

## Attachment C

How would the Smart Growth Criteria work in practice? Consider a hypothetical project in an area with partial PAMR mitigation (such as the Twinbrook Sector Plan area) with a 35% requirement (for FY 10). The affordable housing and PAMR requirements would be assessed as follows. First, the application must meet the following criteria:

- Within ½ mile of the Metrorail station (or other transit route with 15 minute frequency transit service during peak periods)
- Using at least 75% of the allowable density
- Minimum 50% residential use
- Meet specified energy efficiency requirements

Suppose the application had the following parameters:

- A 100,000 square foot site with a 3.0 FAR resulting in 300,000 square feet of building footprint,
- A 55% residential component, resulting in 165,000 square feet of residential space,
- A commercial component split between office (25% of the total building space) and retail (20% of the total building space)
- An average gross DU size of 1,000 square feet, resulting in 165 residential dwelling units, of which 12.5% (20 units) must be affordable and 10% (16 units) must be workforce.

This application:

- Would generate 379 peak hour trips,
- With 35% mitigation, 133 peak hour trips would require PAMR mitigation,
- At \$11,000 a trip, the PAMR mitigation would have an expected value of \$1,463,000

Under the Smart Growth Criteria, the applicant could be relieved of PAMR mitigation requirements if 50% of the PAMR savings, or \$731,500, were applied toward providing additional affordable housing.

If the applicant could be expected to take a \$50,000 loss on each affordable housing unit (the difference between the cost to build and the sales cost). The \$731,500 would cover approximately 15 units at \$50,000 each. Therefore, to meet the smart growth criteria, the number of affordable units would need to be increased from 21 units to 36 units (while retaining the 165-unit total).

The combination of PAMR and development impact taxes provides a financial incentive when considered on a per-square foot basis. This application would pay:

- \$937,000 in transportation impact taxes and
- \$532,000 in school impact taxes, for a total of
- \$1,469,000 in development impact taxes, plus
- \$731,500 in PAMR requirements redirected toward affordable housing, resulting in a total of

- \$2,220,500 in tax/PAMR payments, or about \$7.30 per square foot.

Without the Smart Growth Criteria, a similarly sized development of 300,000 GSF without a residential component:

- Would generate 690 peak hour vehicle trips
- With 35% mitigation, 242 peak hour trips would require PAMR mitigation,
- At \$11,000 a trip, the PAMR mitigation would have an expected value of \$2,662,000

The application without Smart Growth Criteria would pay:

- \$1,386,000 in transportation impact taxes and
- \$0 in school impact taxes, for a total of
- \$1,386,000 in development impact taxes, plus
- \$2,662,000 in PAMR requirements, resulting in a total of
- \$4,048,000 in tax/PAMR payments, or about \$13.49 per square foot.

2009-2011 Growth Policy  
 Case Study Examples of Smart Growth Criteria Effects

**Case Study #1. Metro Station Policy Area (Such as Twinbrook) With 35% PAMR Mitigation Requirement**

	Lot Area (Square Feet)		Proposed Development				PAMR Trips Mitigated		PAMR Cost	
	Allowed	Proposed	Office	Retail	Residential	TOTALS	Percent	Total	Per Trip	Total
<b>Sample Proposal Without Smart Growth Criteria</b>										
Percent FAR by Use	100000	3.00	1.50	55%	45%	0%	100%			
Average Size of Dwelling Unit (SF)						1000				
Square Footage by Type				82500	67500	0	150000			
Number of Dwelling Units						0				
Peak Hour Trips Generated (retail at 75% pass-by)				139	209	0	348	35%	122	\$ 11,000
Net Trip Generation Rate - Trips per 1000 Square Feet							2.32			
PAMR Exemption							0%			\$ -
Net PAMR Cost										\$ 1,342,000
<b>Alternative Review Proposal #1 - Mixed Use Transit Proximity</b>										
Percent FAR by Use	100000	3.00	3.00	25%	20%	55%	100%			
Average Size of Dwelling Unit (SF)						1000				
Lot and Building										
Square Footage by Type				75000	60000	165000	300000			
Number of Dwelling Units						165				
Number of Dwelling Units Subject to Impact Tax						144				
Peak Hour Trips Generated (retail at 75% pass-by)				115	185	79	379	35%	133	\$ 11,000
Net Trip Generation Rate - Trips per 1000 Square Feet							1.26			
PAMR Payment Waived							100%			\$ 1,463,000
Net PAMR Cost to Applicant										\$ -
<b>Housing Mitigation Requirement</b>										
Assumed Value of MPDU / WFDU						\$ 50,000				
Half the Value of PAMR Mitigation						\$ 731,500				
Number of Units Needed						15				
Total Units Subject to Impact Tax						129				
<b>Alternative Review Proposal #2 - Proximity to Basic Services</b>										
Percent FAR by Use	100000	3.00	3.00	25%	20%	55%	100%			
Average Size of Dwelling Unit (SF)						1000				
Lot and Building										
Square Footage by Type				75000	60000	165000	300000			
Number of Dwelling Units						165				
Number of Dwelling Units Subject to Impact Tax						144				
Peak Hour Trips Generated (retail at 75% pass-by)				128	185	79	392	35%	137	\$ 11,000
Net Trip Generation Rate - Trips per 1000 Square Feet							1.31			
PAMR Payment Waived							50%			\$ 753,500
Net PAMR Cost to Applicant										\$ 753,500
<b>Housing Mitigation Requirement</b>										
Assumed Value of MPDU / WFDU						\$ 50,000				
Half the Value of PAMR Mitigation						\$ 376,750				
Number of Units Needed						8				
Total Units Subject to Impact Tax						136				
<b>Comparison: Increased FAR Without Residential</b>										
Percent FAR by Use	100000	3.00	3.00	55%	45%	0%	100%			
Average Size of Dwelling Unit (SF)						1000				
Square Footage by Type				165000	135000	0	300000			
Number of Dwelling Units						0				
Peak Hour Trips Generated (retail at 75% pass-by)				273	417	0	690	35%	242	\$ 11,000
Net Trip Generation Rate - Trips per 1000 Square Feet							2.30			
PAMR Exemption							0%			\$ -
Net PAMR Cost										\$ 2,662,000

Notes:  
 Site assumed to be 750 feet from Metrorail station for Exemption Proposal 1  
 Base case assumed MPDU percentage is 12.5%

2009-2011 Growth Policy  
Case Study Examples of Smart Growth Criteria Effects

**Case Study #1. Metro Station Policy Area (Such as Twinbrook) With 35% PAMR Mitigation Requirement**

	Sample Proposal Without Smart Growth Criteria	Alternative Review Proposal #1 - Mixed Use Transit Proximity	Alternative Review Proposal #2 - Proximity to Basic Services	Comparison: Increased FAR Without Residential
<b>IMPACT TAX COSTS</b>				
Transportation Impact Tax Office				
GSF	82500	75000	75000	165000
Rate	\$ 4.85	\$ 4.85	\$ 4.85	\$ 4.85
Extension	\$ 400,125	\$ 363,750	\$ 363,750	\$ 800,250
Transportation Impact Tax Retail				
GSF	67500	60000	60000	135000
Rate	\$ 4.34	\$ 4.34	\$ 4.34	\$ 4.34
Extension	\$ 292,950	\$ 260,400	\$ 260,400	\$ 585,900
Transportation Impact Tax - High Rise Residential				
DU (subject to impact taxes)	0	129	136	0
Rate	\$ 2,420.00	\$ 2,420.00	\$ 2,420.00	\$ 2,420.00
Extension	\$ -	\$ 312,180	\$ 329,120	\$ -
School Impact Tax - High Rise Residential				
DU (subject to impact taxes)	0	129	136	0
Rate	\$ 4,127.00	\$ 4,127.00	\$ 4,127.00	\$ 4,127.00
Extension	\$ -	\$ 532,383	\$ 561,272	\$ -
<b>TOTAL IMPACT TAX</b>	<b>\$ 693,075</b>	<b>\$ 1,468,713</b>	<b>\$ 1,514,542</b>	<b>\$ 1,386,150</b>
<b>PAMR COSTS</b>				
Applied toward MPDUs	\$ -	\$ 731,500	\$ 376,750	\$ -
Applied toward transportation projects	\$ 1,342,000	\$ -	\$ 753,500	\$ 2,662,000
<b>TOTAL PAMR COST</b>	<b>\$ 1,342,000</b>	<b>\$ 731,500</b>	<b>\$ 1,130,250</b>	<b>\$ 2,662,000</b>
<b>TOTAL PAMR COST PLUS IMPACT TAX</b>	<b>\$ 2,035,075</b>	<b>\$ 2,200,213</b>	<b>\$ 2,644,792</b>	<b>\$ 4,048,150</b>
Total Development GSF	150000	300000	300000	300000
<b>TOTAL PAMR COST PLUS IMPACT TAX / GSF</b>	<b>\$ 13.57</b>	<b>\$ 7.33</b>	<b>\$ 8.82</b>	<b>\$ 13.49</b>

2009-2011 Growth Policy  
Case Study Examples of Smart Growth Criteria Effects

**Case Study #2. Suburban Area (Such as Germantown East) With 100% PAMR Mitigation Requirement**

Lot Area (Square Feet)	Floor Area Ratio		Proposed Development				PAMR Trips Mitigated		PAMR Cost	
	Allowed	Proposed	Office	Retail	Residential	TOTALS	Percent	Total	Per Trip	Total
<b>Sample Proposal Without Smart Growth Criteria</b>										
Percent FAR by Use	100000	1.00	0.50	90%	10%	0%	100%			
Average Size of Dwelling Unit (SF)						1200				
Square Footage by Type				45000	5000	0	<b>50000</b>			
Number of Dwelling Units						0				
Peak Hour Trips Generated (retail at 75% pass-by)				85	15	0	<b>100</b>	100%	100	\$ 11,000
Net Trip Generation Rate - Trips per 1000 Square Feet							<b>2.00</b>			
PAMR Exemption							0%			\$ -
Net PAMR Cost										<b>\$ 1,100,000</b>
<b>Alternative Review Proposal #1 - Mixed Use Transit Proximity</b>										
Percent FAR by Use	100000	1.00	0.85	45%	5%	50%	100%			
Average Size of Dwelling Unit (SF)						1200				
Lot and Building										
Square Footage by Type				38250	4250	42500	<b>85000</b>			
Number of Dwelling Units						35				
Number of Dwelling Units Subject to Impact Tax						31				
Peak Hour Trips Generated (retail at 75% pass-by)				75	26	17	<b>118</b>	100%	118	\$ 11,000
Net Trip Generation Rate - Trips per 1000 Square Feet							<b>1.39</b>			
PAMR Payment Waived							100%			\$ 1,298,000
Net PAMR Cost to Applicant										<b>\$ -</b>
<b>Housing Mitigation Requirement</b>										
Assumed Value of MPDU / WFDU						\$ 30,000				
Half the Value of PAMR Mitigation						\$ 649,000				
Number of Units Needed						22				
Total Units Subject to Impact Tax						9				
<b>Alternative Review Proposal #2 - Proximity to Basic Services</b>										
Percent FAR by Use	100000	1.00	0.85	45%	5%	50%	100%			
Average Size of Dwelling Unit (SF)						1200				
Lot and Building										
Square Footage by Type				38250	4250	42500	<b>85000</b>			
Number of Dwelling Units						35				
Number of Dwelling Units Subject to Impact Tax						31				
Peak Hour Trips Generated (retail at 75% pass-by)				75	26	17	<b>118</b>	100%	118	\$ 11,000
Net Trip Generation Rate - Trips per 1000 Square Feet							<b>1.39</b>			
PAMR Payment Waived							50%			\$ 649,000
Net PAMR Cost to Applicant										<b>\$ 649,000</b>
<b>Housing Mitigation Requirement</b>										
Assumed Value of MPDU / WFDU						\$ 30,000				
Half the Value of PAMR Mitigation						\$ 324,500				
Number of Units Needed						11				
Total Units Subject to Impact Tax						20				
<b>Comparison: Increased FAR Without Residential</b>										
Percent FAR by Use	100000	1.00	0.85	90%	10%	0%	100%			
Average Size of Dwelling Unit (SF)						1000				
Square Footage by Type				76500	8500	0	<b>85000</b>			
Number of Dwelling Units						0				
Peak Hour Trips Generated (retail at 75% pass-by)				130	26	0	<b>156</b>	100%	156	\$ 11,000
Net Trip Generation Rate - Trips per 1000 Square Feet							<b>1.84</b>			
PAMR Exemption							0%			\$ -
Net PAMR Cost										<b>\$ 1,716,000</b>

Notes:  
Site assumed to be adjacent to Ride-On Route 55 stop for Exemption Proposal #1  
Base case assumed MPDU percentage is 12.5%

2009-2011 Growth Policy  
Case Study Examples of Smart Growth Criteria Effects

**Case Study #2. Suburban Area (Such as Germantown East) With 100% PAMR Mitigation Requirement**

	Sample Proposal Without Smart Growth Criteria	Alternative Review Proposal #1 - Mixed Use Transit Proximity	Alternative Review Proposal #2 - Proximity to Basic Services	Comparison: Increased FAR Without Residential
<b>IMPACT TAX COSTS</b>				
Transportation Impact Tax Office				
GSF	45000	38250	38250	76500
Rate	\$ 9.69	\$ 9.69	\$ 9.69	\$ 9.69
Extension	\$ 436,050	\$ 370,643	\$ 370,643	\$ 741,285
Transportation Impact Tax Retail				
GSF	5000	4250	4250	8500
Rate	\$ 8.67	\$ 8.67	\$ 8.67	\$ 8.67
Extension	\$ 43,350	\$ 36,848	\$ 36,848	\$ 73,695
Transportation Impact Tax - Multifamily (Garden)				
DU (subject to impact taxes)	0	9	20	0
Rate	\$ 6,776.00	\$ 6,776.00	\$ 6,776.00	\$ 6,776.00
Extension	\$ -	\$ 60,984	\$ 135,520	\$ -
School Impact Tax - Multifamily (Non High Rise)				
DU (subject to impact taxes)	0	9	20	0
Rate	\$ 9,734.00	\$ 9,734.00	\$ 9,734.00	\$ 9,734.00
Extension	\$ -	\$ 87,606	\$ 194,680	\$ -
<b>TOTAL IMPACT TAX</b>	<b>\$ 479,400</b>	<b>\$ 556,080</b>	<b>\$ 737,690</b>	<b>\$ 814,980</b>
<b>PAMR COSTS</b>				
Applied toward MPDUs	\$ -	\$ 649,000	\$ 324,500	\$ -
Applied toward transportation projects	\$ 1,100,000	\$ -	\$ 649,000	\$ 1,716,000
<b>TOTAL PAMR COST</b>	<b>\$ 1,100,000</b>	<b>\$ 649,000</b>	<b>\$ 973,500</b>	<b>\$ 1,716,000</b>
<b>TOTAL PAMR COST PLUS IMPACT TAX</b>	<b>\$ 1,579,400</b>	<b>\$ 1,205,080</b>	<b>\$ 1,711,190</b>	<b>\$ 2,530,980</b>
Total Development GSF	50000	85000	85000	85000
<b>TOTAL PAMR COST PLUS IMPACT TAX / GSF</b>	<b>\$ 31.59</b>	<b>\$ 14.18</b>	<b>\$ 20.13</b>	<b>\$ 29.78</b>