

MCPB Item No. Date: 6-12-14

*Pacano Property: Final Forest Conservation Plan No. S-2761

Mary Jo Kishter, Senior Planner, Area 3 <u>maryjo.kishter@montgomeryplanning.org</u>, (301) 495.4701 Richard A. Weaver, Supervisor, Area 3, <u>richard.weaver@montgomeryplanning.org</u>, (301) 495-4544 John Carter, Chief, Area 3

Staff Report Date: May 30, 2014

Description

*A. Pacano Property

Final Forest Conservation Plan S-2761

Request for approval of a Final Forest Conservation Plan as part of a Special Exception application to operate a landscape contractor business; located at 28621 Ridge Road (MD 27), approximately 2.5 miles north of its intersection with Kemptown Road (MD 80); 4.50 acres; RDT Zone; Damascus Master Plan; Patuxent River Primary Management Area (PMA).

Staff Recommendation: Approval with conditions

Applicant: Jose Pacano, d/b/a Woodstone Group, LLC **Application Filed:** October 27, 2009



Summary

- The Application does not propose any new construction and the only disturbance is for the removal of existing impervious surfaces.
- The Application proposes to retain 0.90 acres of existing forest, plant 0.80 acres of additional forest, and create 0.70 acres of native meadow habitat within the stream buffer onsite.
- A request for a variance to remove one (1) specimen tree is included in this Application.

FOREST CONSERVATION PLAN RECOMMENDATION: Approval, subject to the following conditions:

- Within one month of the date of the M-NCPPC Planning Board hearing for the Special Exception Application S-2761, the Applicant must submit a revised Final Forest Conservation Plan S-2761 to M-NCPPC Staff for review and approval. The revised Final FCP (FFCP) must address the following.
 - a. The FFCP must include a revised Data Table that accurately reflects the forest data.
 - b. The FFCP must accurately note on Sheet 1 that the plan proposes to remove one tree greater than 30 inches in diameter.
- 2. Within six months of the date of the Board of Appeals Resolution for the Special Exception Application S-2761, the Applicant must comply with the conditions of approval for the Final Forest Conservation Plan S-2761 including:
 - a. The Applicant must plant 0.80 acres of reforestation within the stream buffer as specified on the approved Final Forest Conservation Plan.
 - b. The Applicant must plant 0.70 acres of native meadow vegetation as specified on the approved Final Forest Conservation Plan.
 - c. The Applicant must place a Category I conservation easement over all areas of forest retention, forest planting, and portions of the stream valley buffer as specified on the approved Final Forest Conservation Plan. Conservation easements must be recorded in the Montgomery County land records.
 - d. The Applicant must place a modified Category I conservation easement over all areas of meadow planting as specified on the approved Forest Conservation Plan that allows for annual mowing of the meadow areas. Conservation easements must be recorded in the Montgomery County land records.
 - e. The Applicant must submit financial surety for planting 0.80 acres of forest and creating 0.70 acres of native meadow habitat prior to the start of the maintenance period.
 - f. The Applicant must obtain M-NCPPC approval of a two-year maintenance and management agreement for the forest planting and meadow creation areas.
- 3. The Applicant must install permanent split rail fencing and signs along the perimeter of the conservation easement where is abuts the gravel parking area in the rear of the Property, as determined by the M-NCPPC forest conservation inspector at the time of forest planting.
- 4. The Applicant must install permanent forest conservation easement signage along the perimeter of the conservation easement in all areas where permanent fencing is not required, as determined by the M-NCPPC forest conservation inspector at the time of forest planting.
- 5. The Applicant must comply with all tree protection and tree save measures shown on the approved FFCP. Additional or adjustments to the tree save measures not specified on the FFCP may be required by the M-NCPPC forest conservation inspector.
- 6. The final sediment control plan, if required by Montgomery County Department of Permitting Services, must be consistent with the final limits of disturbance shown on the approved FFCP.

SITE DESCRIPTION

The subject property is identified as Parcel P233 on Tax Map FY 53, and is located at 28621 Ridge Road (MD 27), approximately 2,160 feet north of its intersection with Kemptown Road (MD 80) in the Damascus Master Plan area ("Subject Property" "Property"). The Property is zoned RDT, and it is 4.50 acres in size. The front of the Property, along MD 27 is developed with a house that is used as an office for the landscape contracting business, a shed, and a gravel parking area. There is a gravel driveway

that leads from the gravel parking area across a stream to a two-story barn, shed, and larger gravel parking area.

The Property drains to the Patuxent River, which is classified by the State of Maryland as Use III-P waters. The entire Property is located within the Patuxent River PMA and approximately ninety percent of the Property is located within the stream valley buffer. A perennial stream enters the Property through an existing culvert under a driveway just beyond the north-central Property line, and flows in a southerly direction, under the on-site gravel driveway, to an existing instream farm pond. The pond discharges at an existing concrete headwall into the natural stream channel that flows off the southeastern corner of the property. The stream and pond bisect the Property. Emergent wetlands line the stream channel, upstream of the pond. The land surrounding the pond and along the stream channel is maintained as a mowed lawn, with a few scattered trees. There is no mapped 100-year floodplain on the Property. The Property contains approximately 0.95 acres of forest, of which 0.90 acres is one contiguous stand that encompasses steep slopes on highly erodible soils, and is located along the eastern Property boundary at the rear of the Property. Approximately 0.05 acres of forest is located along the southern Property boundary, south of the pond. Six large trees were identified outside of the existing forest, including two specimen trees (\geq 30 inches Diameter at Breast Height (DBH)) and four significant trees (≥ 24 inches DBH). Neighboring land uses include single-family residential on large estate lots and unimproved parcels. The Property abuts residential properties and undeveloped land to the north, south and east, and farm land to the west across Ridge Road. An historic property known as "Brown's Log Tobacco House" borders the Property to the south.



Vicinity Map



Site Aerial View

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Final Forest Conservation Plan (FCP) was prepared as part of Special Exception application No. S-2761, to operate a landscape contractor business (Attachment A). While the Planning Board is technically advisory on Board of Appeals applications, the Planning Board must make a finding that the pending special exception application complies with Chapter 22A, the forest conservation law.

The Application does not propose any new construction; however, it does retain some of the existing manmade features within the stream valley buffer and PMA. The Application does not propose to clear any forest but will require the removal of one specimen tree.

ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

Forest Conservation

The Application meets the requirements of Chapter 22A of the Montgomery County Forest Conservation Law. A Natural Resource Inventory/Forest Stand Delineation (NRI/FSD) was approved for the Property on August 13, 2009. A Final Forest Conservation Plan has been submitted for review. There is approximately 0.95 acres of existing forest on the property. The forest is an immature, mixed-hardwood forest dominated by early successional species including black cherry (*Prunus serotina*), tree-of-heaven (*Ailanthus altissima*), eastern red cedar (*Juniperus virginiana*), and black locust (*Robinia pseudo-acacia*). Six large trees, including two specimen trees and four significant trees were identified on the Property.

N

The project does not propose to clear any of the existing forest; however, 0.05 acres of the forest is located on or below the embankment of the existing farm pond and will not be placed into a conservation easement in case of future maintenance requirements that may require disturbance. There is a 0.10 acre forest planting requirement on the Property that will be met onsite within the stream buffer. The Applicant proposes to retain 0.90 acres of existing forest, plant 0.80 acres of additional forest, and create 0.70 acres of native meadow habitat within the stream buffer onsite. These areas as well as the stream and inline pond will be protected in a Category I conservation easement. The additional forest and meadow plantings, in excess of the forest conservation requirements are proposed to offset the existing stream buffer encroachments and proposal to not protect the entire stream buffer in a conservation easement, and comply with the recommendations and goals of the Patuxent River PMA. Split rail fence will be placed along the perimeter of the conservation easement where it abuts the gravel parking area in the rear of the Property and permanent signs will be provided in all other areas to delineate the limits of the conservation easement.

Forest Conservation Variance

Section 22A-12(b) (3) of Montgomery County Forest Conservation Law provides criteria that identify certain individual trees as high priority for retention and protection. Any impact to these trees, including removal of the subject tree or disturbance within the tree's critical root zone (CRZ) requires a variance. An applicant for a variance must provide certain written information in support of the required findings in accordance with Section 22A-21 of the County Forest Conservation Law. The law requires no impact to trees that: measure 30 inches or greater, DBH; are part of an historic site or designated with an historic structure; are designated as a national, State, or County champion trees; are at least 75 percent of the diameter of the current State champion tree of that species; or trees, shrubs, or plants that are designated as Federal or State rare, threatened, or endangered species.

<u>Variance Request</u> - The Applicant submitted a variance request in a letter dated April 9, 2014 (Attachment B). The Applicant proposes to remove one (1) specimen tree that is 30 inches and greater, diameter at breast height (DBH), that is considered high priority for retention under Section 22A-12(b) (3) of the County Forest Conservation Law.

Tree	Species	DBH	Status
Number		Inches	
5	Red Maple	42	Poor condition, root compaction. Gravel will be removed from parking area to reduce impervious area and tree may be a hazard to existing
			office building

Unwarranted Hardship Basis

Per Section 22A-21, a variance may only be granted if the Planning Board finds that leaving the requested trees in an undisturbed state would result in unwarranted hardship, denying the Applicant reasonable and significant use of its property. In this case, the unwarranted hardship is caused by the existing development on the Property, the poor condition of the subject tree, and the goal to minimize impervious surfaces within the Patuxent PMA. The tree is a 42" DBH red maple that is in poor condition and suffering from root compaction due to the existing gravel driveway and parking area that surrounds the tree and covers a large portion its root system. The Applicant proposes to disturb the critical root zone of the tree in order to remove existing but unnecessary impervious area within the parking lot, as recommended in the Patuxent River PMA. This disturbance to the critical root zone initially triggered the variance requirement; however, given the existing condition of the tree, and its proximity to the office building and parking area that will remain, it was determined that this tree poses a hazard and should be removed. The Application does not propose any new construction on the Property and the only disturbance onsite will be for the removal of existing impervious surfaces that are not necessary for the landscape contracting operation to continue. Therefore, Staff concurs that the Applicant has a sufficient unwarranted hardship to justify a variance request.



Tree #5 to be removed – all features are existing; LOD is for removal of existing impervious surface

Section 22A-21 of the County Forest Conservation Law sets forth the findings that must be made by the Planning Board or Planning Director, as appropriate, in order for a variance to be granted. Staff has made the following determinations in the review of the variance request and the proposed forest conservation plan:

<u>Variance Findings</u> - Staff has made the following determination based on the required findings that granting of the requested variance:

1. Will not confer on the applicant a special privilege that would be denied to other applicants.

Granting the variance will not confer a special privilege on the applicant as the removal of the one tree is due to its current poor condition and the potential hazard that results from allowing it to remain. The Applicant proposed disturbance to the CRZ of the tree in order to remove existing, excess impervious area within the parking lot, but the removal of the tree is based on its existing poor health and proximity to the existing house/office building to remain. Therefore, Staff believes that the granting of this variance is not a special privilege that would be denied to other applicants.

2. Is not based on conditions or circumstances which are the result of the actions by the applicant.

The requested variance is not based on conditions or circumstances which are the result of actions by the Applicant. The requested variance is based upon the existing poor condition of the tree and the existing site conditions.

3. Is not based on a condition relating to land or building use, either permitted or non-conforming, on a neighboring property.

The requested variance is a result of the existing conditions and not as a result of land or building use on a neighboring property.

4. Will not violate State water quality standards or cause measurable degradation in water quality.

The variance will not violate State water quality standards or cause measurable degradation in water quality. The specimen tree being removed is not located within a stream buffer; however it is located within the transition area of the Patuxent River PMA. The Application proposes 0.80 acres of forest planting within the stream buffer which will ultimately replace any water quality benefits lost by the removal of this tree. Therefore, Staff concurs that the project will not violate State water quality standards or cause measurable degradation in water quality.

<u>Mitigation for Trees Subject to the Variance Provision</u> - There is one (1) tree proposed for removal in this variance request. This tree is in poor condition and is a potential hazard to the nearby home/office and parking area. Staff does not typically recommend mitigation for trees in poor condition or hazards; however, it should be noted that the 0.70 acres of forest planting proposed within the stream buffer onsite is in excess of that required by the forest conservation law and will ultimately mitigate any benefits lost by the removal of this tree.

<u>County Arborist's Recommendation on the Variance</u> - In accordance with Montgomery County Code Section 22A-21(c), the Planning Department is required to refer a copy of the variance request to the County Arborist in the Montgomery County Department of Environmental Protection for a recommendation prior to acting on the request. The request was forwarded to the County Arborist on April 17, 2014. On April 23, 2014, the County Arborist issued a letter stating that she would not provide a recommendation pertaining to the variance request because the Application was submitted before October 1, 2009 (Attachment C).

Variance Recommendation - Staff recommends that the variance be granted.

CONCLUSION

The Final Forest Conservation Plan meets all applicable requirements of Chapter 22A of the County Code. Therefore, Staff recommends that the Planning Board approve the Final Forest Conservation Plan with the conditions cited in this Staff report. The variance approval is included in the Planning Board's approval of the Final Forest Conservation Plan.

Attachments

Attachment A – Final Forest Conservation Plan Attachment B – Applicant's Variance Request dated April 9, 2014 Attachment C - County Arborist Letter dated April 23, 2014

O:\AREA_3\REGULATORY PLANNING\Pacano\Environmental\REV PR_S2761_DRAFT Staff Report_FCP_05202014.docx

Sequence of Events for Property Owners Required to Comply With Forest Conservation and/or Tree-Save Plans **Pre-Construction** Long-term protection devices will be installed per the Forest Conservation An on-site pre-construction meeting is required after the limits of Plan/Tree Save Plan and attached details. Installation will occur at the disturbance have been staked and flagged, but before any clearing or appropriate time during the construction project. Refer to the plan drawing grading begins. The property owner should contact the Montgomery for long-term protection measures to be installed. County Planning Department inspection staff before construction to verify the limits of disturbance and discuss tree protection and tree care During Construction measures. The developer's representative, construction superintendent, ISA certified arborist or Maryland-licensed tree expert that will implement Periodic inspections by the forest conservation inspector will occur during the tree protection measures, forest conservation inspector, and the construction project. Corrections and repairs to all tree protection Department of Permitting Services (DPS) sediment control inspector should devices, as determined by the forest conservation inspector, must be made attend this pre-construction meeting. within the timeframe established by the inspector. No clearing or grading shall begin before stress-reduction measures have Post-Construction been implemented. Appropriate measures may include, but are not limited After construction is completed, an inspection shall be requested. a. Root pruning Corrective measures may include: b. Crown reduction or pruning a. Removal and replacement of dead and dying trees c. Watering b. Pruning of dead or declining limbs d. Fertilizing c. Soil aeration e. Vertica! mulching d. Fertilization f. Root aeration matting e. Watering Measures not specified on the forest conservation plan may be required as f. Wound repair determined by the forest conservation inspector in coordination with the g. Clean up of retention areas arborist. 10. After inspection and completion of corrective measures have been A Maryland-licensed tree expert or an International Society of undertaken, all temporary protection devices shall be removed from the Arboriculture-certified arborist must perform all stress reduction measures. site. Removal of tree protection devices that also operate for erosion and Documentation of stress reduction measures must be either observed by sediment control must be coordinated with both the Department of the forest conservation inspector or sent to the inspector at 8787 Georgia Permitting Services and the forest conservation inspector. No additional Avenue, Silver Spring, MD 20910. The forest conservation inspector will grading, sodding, or burial may take place after the tree protection fencing determine the exact method to convey the stress reductions measures is removed. during the pre-construction meeting. INSPECTIONS Temporary tree protection devices shall be installed per the Forest Conservation Plan/Tree Save Plan and prior to any construction activities. All field inspections must be requested by the applicant. Inspections must be Tree protection fencing locations should be staked prior to the conducted as follows: pre-construction meeting. The forest conservation inspector, in coordination with the DPS sediment control inspector, may make field Tree Save Plans and Forest Conservation Plans without Planting Requirements adjustments to increase the survivability of trees and forest shown as saved on the approved plan. Temporary tree protect devices may include: After the limits of disturbance have been staked and flagged, but before any clearing or grading begins. a. Chain link fence (four feet high) After necessary stress reduction measures have been completed and protection b. Super silt fence with wire strung between support poles (minimum measures have been installed, but before any clearing and grading begin. 4 feet high) with high visibility flagging. c. 14 gauge 2 inch x 4 inch welded wire fencing supported by steel After completion of all construction activities, but before removal of tree protection fencing, to determine the level of compliance with the provision of T-bar posts (minimum 4 feet high) with high visibility flagging. the forest conservation. Temporary protection devices shall be maintained and installed by the Additional Requirements for Plans with Planting Requirements contractor for the duration of construction project and must not be altered without prior approval from the forest conservation inspector. No Before the start of any required reforestation and afforestation planting. equipment, trucks, materials, or debris may be stored within the tree After the required reforestation and afforestation planting has been completed protection fence areas during the entire construction project. No vehicle or to verify that the planting is acceptable and prior to the start the maintenance equipment access to the fenced area will be permitted. Tree protection shall not be removed without prior approval of forest conservation period. At the end of the maintenance period to determine the level of compliance inspector. with the provisions of the lpanting plan, and if appropriate, release of the performance bond. Forest retention area signs shall be installed as required by the forest conservation inspector, or as shown on the approved plan. Management and Inspection Plan for Exotic Invasives At the end of the first growing season after this plan is approved, a plan to control invasive species should be executed. At the the end of the second season, another tree survey should be conducted to monitor natural regeneration and verify that minimum densities are being maintained and additional control of invasive species should be executed if needed. During the third growing season, another tree survey should be conducted to verify seeding densities. The bond for this acre area should be held for three years, but may reflect planting of seedings only and may be released earlier depending on the favorable tree survivability. MANAGEMENT / INSPECTION SCHEDULE FOR INVASIVES IN TYPE I EASEMENTS DURING THE PLANTS DORMANT SEASON AND BEFORE THE GROWING SEASON BEGINS (APPROX, DEC.-FEB.). STEP 1 - INTIVIDUAL PLANTS ARE TO BE PULLED/CUT AND REMOVED FORM THE SITE. AN INITIAL TREATMENT OF

IMMEDIATELY AFTER CUTTING TO ENSURE ROOTKILL, A DYE SHOULD BE MIXED IN TO THE HERBICIDE SO AS TO MARK STUMPS HERBICIDE WAS APPLIED. REPEAT SETP 1 IN JULY - AUGUST BEFORE FRUITS MATURE, CAREFULLY REMOVE DEBRIS WITHOUT STEP 2 - ENDANGERING NATIVE VEGETATION. IN SEPTEMBER, THIS AREA SHOULD BE MONITORED TO VERIFY THAT MINIMUM DENSITIES ARE BEING STEP 3 - MAINTAINED AND THAT SEEDLINGS HAVEN'T BEEN DAMAGED, REMAINING INVASIVE PLANTS THAT HAVEN'T RESPONDED TO THE HERBICIDES SOULD BE FLAGGED AT THIS TIME. IN MID TO LATE OCTOBER THOSE PLANTS FLAGGED SHOULD BE TREATED WITH TRICLOPHYR. THIS WILL HELP STEP 4 - TO FURTHER REDUCE THE POPULATION. EVEN WITH THE COMPLETE REMOVAL AND ROOTKILL OF INVASIVES, SUBSTANTIAL SEEDLING REGENERATION OCCURS. DUE TO A PERSISTENT SOIL SEED BANK. REINFESTATION IS A CONTINUAL POSSIBILITY. TO COMBAT STEP 5 prefered. THIS IT IS NECESSARY TO REPEAT STEPS 2-4 FOR A TOTAL OF THREE YEARS. REFOREST CLEARED AREAS WITH SPECIFIED REFORESTATION PLANTINGS (REFER TO REFORESTATION STEP 6 -SCHEDULES - THIS SHEET)

TRICLOPYR GARLON 4 MIXED AT 2% WITH WATER SHOULD BE SPRAYED DIRECTLY ON THE CUT STUMP

STATE BILL 666 - NO NET LOSS OF FORESTRY POLICY - FOREST CONSERVATION NOTE:

The plan does not propose to remove;

- Any tree greater than 30 inches in diameter at breast height (dbh)
- Any tree with a dbh equal to or greater than 75% of the current state champion. Trees that are part of a historic site or associated with a historic structure,
- Any tree designated as the county champion three,
- Any tree shrub or plant identified on the list of rare, threatened and endnagered list of the U.S.
- Fish and Wildlife Service or the Maryland Department of Natural Resources.

The critical root zone of all trees greater than 30" are shown on this plan. All critical root zones are outside of the proposed limits of disturbance.

REV#	DATE	
	I	I

OWNER / DEVELOPER

JOSE ROBERTO PACANO 28621 Ridge Road, MT. Airy, MD. 21771-4438 Phone: (301) 252 - 3055



control invasives. The infested area should be mown prior to commencement, of planting. Necessary weed control measure should be determined during the pre-planting inspection, including, but not limited to mulching, periodic mowing around the reforestation plantings, and fabric coverings. The use of chemical weed controls will be limited to extreme cases, and only with prior written approval by MNCPPC staff. Where period mowing will occur as a weed control measure, the typical tree planting distribution pattern should be modified so as to allow access by moving equipment without damage to plantings.

B. A soils analysis will be conducted prior to commencement of reforestation on land where extensive agricultural use has occured in the past. Test pits will be dug in areas of undisturbed soil to determine if a gragipan layer is present. If Fragipan is present, it should be pierced by auguring and planting. Holes should be dug to twice the normal diameter for the material planted.

D. If fill material is used at the planting site, it should be clean fill with 12 inches of native soil. Stockpiling of native top soils must be done in such a way that the height of the pile does not damage the seed bank.

Tract	4.5		
Remaining in Agricultural Use	-		
Road & Utility ROWs ¹	-		
Total Existing Forest	0.9		
Forest Retention	0.9		
Forest Cleared	0.1		
Land Use & Thresholds ²			Plan I
Land Use Category	ARA	ARA, MDR, IDA, HDR, MDP, o	r CIA.
		percent	<u> </u>
Conservation Threshold	50%	percent	Clause
Conservation Threshold Afforestation Threshold	20%	percent	Signa
			Signa
	20%	percent	Signa
	20% Total Channel Length	percent Average Buffer	Signa
Afforestation Threshold	20% Total Channel Length (ft.)	percent Average Buffer Width (ft.) ³	Signa Planted
Afforestation Threshold Stream(s)	20% Total Channel Length (ft.) 225	percent Average Buffer Width (ft.) ³ 50	
Afforestation Threshold Stream(s) Acres of Forest in	20% Total Channel Length (ft.) 225 Retained	percent Average Buffer Width (ft.) ³ 50 Cleared	Planted
Afforestation Threshold Stream(s) Acres of Forest in Wetlands	20% Total Channel Length (ft.) 225 Retained	percent Average Buffer Width (ft.) ³ 50 Cleared -	Planted

R AZTEC ASSO	CIATES, INC.	SEAL		
civil engineers &				28621 RI MONTGOM
3280 Urbana Pike Ijamsville, Maryland 21754	Tel (301) 775-4394 Fax (301) 831-8978 email:raztecengr@comcast.net		ZONE: RDT TAX MAP: FY53	



SPECIES NAME	DBH
Acer negundo	30
Acer negundo	26
Acer negundo	24
Acer negundo	25
Acer rubrun	42
Acer saacharinum	28

SPECIES NAME	Count	Symbol	
Cornus florida	2	CF	
llex opaca	2	IO	
 nex opaca	L	10	

COMMON	SHRUB	1"CAL.	TOTAL 1" CAL.
NAME	QUANTITY	STOCK	STOCK
	(18"-24" H.)		EQUIVALENCY
Red Maple		33	33
Tulip Poplar		33	33
Red Oak		33	33
Sycamore		33	33
Dogwood		30	30
		160	160
Arrowwood, Southern	18		CONTAINER
Serviceberry	18		CONTAINER
Witch Hazel	18		CONTAINER
	54		
CREDIT AREAS		0.80 Ac.	0.80 Ac.

15 lbs per acro x 0.70 Acres

	SCALE : 1"=2000
 SITE LEGEND	
PROPOSED BOUNADRY	
EXISTING STRUCTURES	
EXISTING PAVEMENT	
EXISTING CONTOUR	
EXISTING INDEX CONTOUR	
EXISTING SEWER LINE	S
EXISTING WATER LINE	W
POWER POLE	ф
SEPTIC TANK	[285]
WETLANDS	<u>مالد</u>
WELL	w.
EXISTING FOREST STAND	
STREAM VALLEY BUFFER	· · · SVB· · · ·
MAPPED SOIL TYPE	
SIGNIFICANT TREE	•2
SPECIMIN TREE	e 2
CRITICAL ROOT ZONE	CRZ
EXISTING TREE LINE	
REFORESTATION AREA	
MEADOW AREA	$ \begin{array}{ccc} \Psi & \Psi \\ \Psi & \Psi & \Psi \end{array} $
IMPERVIOUS AREA TO BE REMOVED	
IMPERVIOUS AREA TO BE REMOVED AND REFORESTED	ZIZIZ
STEEP SLOPES (15% - 25%)	
STEEP SLOPES <u>></u> 25%	
CATEGORY 1 FOREST	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE	
TREE PROTECTION FENCE	
TREE TO BE REMOVED	\otimes



DATE		

JOSE ROBERTO PACANO 28621 Ridge Road, MT. Airy, MD. 21771-4438 Phone: (301) 252 - 3055

	· · ·	
3	MONTHS	MAINTENAN CALEND
	JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUNE JULY AUG SEPT OCT NOV DEC	
п <i>о</i> г		
,	* * *	
*		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
-		
	ATIES DURING THESE MONTHS ARE DEPENDENT UPON GROUND CONDITIONS	
	TLY RECOMMENDED MMENDED WITH ADDITIONAL CARE	
ECO		
e#93	NDANT UPON SITE CONDITIONS	
204 204	NDANT UPON SITE CONDITIONS: WEEKLY WATERING IS GREATLY RECOMMEND: MAY THROUGH OCTOBER UNLESS WEEKLY RAINFALL EQUALS !"	ED

				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											
TREE PLANTING		PLANTING PROCEDURES FOR AFFORESTATION, REFORESTATION AREAS (LANDSCAPE AND SEEDING STOCK)			AFFORESTATION MANAGEMENT PLAN & MAINTENANCE PLAN The Contractor implementing the reforestation plan is subject to a binding maintenance agreement for the length										
AND MAINTENANCE CALENDAR		All tree planting for woodland replacement, Afforestation will be completed withing 6 months of the completion of final grading provided that it can be done within the specified planting window. An additional 6 months may be necessary in order to plant during the planting window.			of 2 years. IPM practices will be employed as needed to control diseased, insects and weeds. The contractor is responsible for the following:										
FEB MAR APR MAY JUNE JULY AUG SEPT OCT NOV DEC		Prior to the issuance on any permites the contractor responsible for soil preparation, site preparation, tree planting and tree maintenance must be identified			 Field check the planting area according to the following schedule: Year 1: 3 times (March - April), (July - August), (October - November) 										
		0	- March 1st - December 30th. No planting will occur while th	e	Year 2: Twice annually (April - May), Septer										
			est of the Montgomery County Area. (See *Reforestation Pla	nts	Field Data Forms (Condition check sheets) will be sent to the client after each visit. II. Watering is dependent of rainfall. The amount and frequency will vary. Plants will be watered as needed during										
_		Lists") Landscape stock Spacing and Quantity - See "Reforesta	1" caliper. ation Plant Lists".		years 1-2, depending on rainfall, time of seas	on, and installation timing.									
* * *		Layout - For landscape stock see "Plat Each landscape tree should be mulche they are in a planting bed where mulch	d with 2"-3" of composted shredded hardwood mulch unless		 III. Control of invasive species will be achieved by annually mulching individual trees with composted wood chips or shredded hardwood mulch, re-flagging them as needed and spot applications of herbicide "Round-Up" applied directly to target species competing with reforestation plants. Be careful not to spray herbicide onto or inside the critical root zone of desirable plants. IV. Fertilizing within the first 2 years of maintenance period may not be necessary and will be based on the soil tect results and the UMCP Ext. Service Recommendations. 										
		E PREPARATION (EXPOSED AND 1													
		Contractor is to perform soil rests in prop ential nutriend and pH deficiences.	posed planting areas prior to site preparation, to identify		V. Pest control is to be accomplished by identifying insect and disease, problems and applying appropriate integrated pest management practices as needed.										
DURING THESE MONTHS ARE DEPENDENT UPON GROUND CONDITIONS ECOTHENDED DED WITH ADDITIONAL CARE		Soils shall be free of contaminants (oil p ninum and soluble manganese).	products, concentrated soluble salts, ferrous iron, soluble		 VI. Perimeter fencing and Signage will be removed after two years based on the planting date upon sale occupancy dwelling. VII. The Warranty service obligations are such that at the end of the 2 year maintenance period, at 33 shrubs per acre plant survivability mush be abve 75% and at (200) 1" caliper trees per acre. survivability mush be 75%. The applicant will be charged with a mitigation fee to restock the area and it will be levied based on the square footage of the affected area. 										
		Apply soil amendments, if specified, pri- to be determined by the University of M logist based on soil test results.	or to tilling, discing, raking, final grading, etc. Soil amendme Aaryland Cooperative Extension service and or a qualified	nts											
		 4) Flat areas and slopes up to 3:1 shall be loose and finable to a depth of at least 6 inches. The top layer of soil shall be loosened by raking, discing or other acceptable means before seeding. 5) Herbicide applications for the control of invasive species after plantingg will be done as part of the maintenance agreement PLANT INSTALLATION METHODS 			area. LONG TERM PROTECTION Protection of forest areas, as established through the forest conservation process, relies upon adherence to protection and maintenance standards during construction and preservation of these areas as undisturbed open space after construction to construction to ensure their long-term survival. In order to provide for identification of these measures and ensure that they are carried out, refer to this Forest Conservation Plan.										
									1997년 - 1997년 - 1997년 - 1997년 -	Auger planting method is preferred fo	or level areas, as it creates better soil porosity by drilling a hol	le	NOTES TO INCLUDE WITH ALL PLANS		Concervation Areas (Tree Save
								UPON SITE CONDITIONS UPON SITE CONDITIONS: WEEKLY WATERING IS GREATLY RECOMMENDED "HROUGH OCTOBER UNLESS WEEKLY RAINFALL EQUALS 1"		 much larger than the root system and producing tilled backfill, see "Method for Auger Tree Planting" detail. Hand digging is acceptable in situations where the auger cannor be applied (slopes, we areas, confined spaces, etc.) See "Planting Methods" detail. 			 Property owners shall be notified by the Developer or Contractor of any Woodland Conservation Areas (Tree Save Areas, Reforestation Areas, Afforestation Areas, or Selective Clearing Areas) located on their lot or parcel of land and the property the owner/developer or owners representative shall notify the purchaser of the property of any Woodland Conservation Areas. All appropriate bonds will be posted with M-NCPPC prior to the issuance of any permits. These bonds will be 		
	PL_{I}	ANT CRITERIA FOR AFFORESTATI			retained as surety by M-NCPPC until all requ										
trees is most successful when coordinated with the local talendar summarizes some of the recommended time frames	•	Nursery stock (ANSI 260.1). They sha	spects to the current edition of the American Standard for all be nursery grown in accordance with good horticultural anditions similar to those in the locality of the project. Plant												
on and stress reduction activities.		practice and grown under elimactic conditions similar to those in the locality of the project. Plant names shall be given in the edition of Standard Plant Names, American Joint committee on Horticultural Nomenclature.			DETAIL - ROOT PRUNING										
d State FOREST CONSERVATION MANUAL	•	planted immediately shall be kept in t	times from the sun and drying winds. Plants that cannot be the shade, and kept well watered. Plants shall not remain			· · ·									
		elements is provided on site.	endar days unless adequate irrigation and protection from the r rope at any time so as to damage the bard or break branches	or											
		 twigs. Plants shall be sound, vigorous, and healthy. They shall be free of disease and insect pests and shall, have healthy, well developed root systems. Trunks and branches shall be free of cuts and abrasions over one inch (1") in any dimensions. 													
					$\epsilon \qquad 3$										
	•	Container-grown plants shall not have All plants shall be certified pest-free l	by the Department of Agriculture of the State of origin.		ALEE	مستر من المستر ا									
		******			ا ا ا ا		OF TRENCH LINE								
	Tree Protection Fe		PLANTING LAYOUT (AGUREGATE DISTRIBUTION ORIFT THEORY)		TRENCH WITHIN 2' OF LIMIT OF FOREST CONSERVATION										
	Not to scale	ice Detail													
			The second second				ISERVATION AREA								
IE ONLY DEAD, DECAYED, BROKEN, WELDED WIRE FENCE	J			Second approximation and approximate and approximate approximation and approximate	I STATION		MINIMUM DEPTH								
CHES (NEVER PRUNE LEADER)	10, 10, 10, 1	DRIVEN 2'INTO THE GROUND			ROOT PRUNING TREN 6" MAXIMUM WIE										
BLE STRAND NO. 12 GAUGE WIRE,	BETWEEN POSTS		And a start of the	Certro Avents confliction											
		À T	O -main O-min Asic-ovyriming araces		CRITICAL ROOT	ZONE									
EYORS FLAGGING (WHITE)			<u>Cancent</u> : Aggregete Bills or Swaap. A cluster typ teathers and storig the edges.		<u>NOTES:</u>	had as most of the forest concern	tion alon navious process								
5' HARDWOOD STAKE AT 90° ANGLE TO WIRE (2' INTO UNDISTURBED EARTH), 3 STAKES PER TREE			Symmetric Appropriate massing of diffusion and of the most common vegetation distribution patterns occurring in nature. Principle seed beaters are at the control core of the cluster with send dispersal outwords, atten		 Retention Areas to be established as part of the forest conservation plan review process. Boundaries of Retention Areas to be staked, flagged and/or fenced prior to trenching. Exact location of trench should be identified. 		ed prior to trenching.								
REDDED MULCH TAPERED TO 0" AT	V		windplown with densities thinning out alor Groupings bland through and into attain go of windplown millwood sector. Winy olier	magalanga, tamangiam tina tadisad .		backfilled with soll removed or or ing vibratory knife or other accept									
	Γ	-SECURE FENCING TO METAL POSTS	elongated and tear drop in shope. <u>Application</u> : This does not mean thei plants must be b skrubs cannot blend into proupings of tea												
ING GRADE / UNDISTURBED SOIL		STANDARD SYMBOL	species cannot occur legenter. It simp should must the side emphished inset or same time soplicating returns appropriate of	nly opinizers these then installing archiventifiers part collection at the	Source: Adapted from Steve Clark & A and Forest Conservation Manual, 1991.	sectates/ACRT, Inc.	NO SCALE								
URLAP, ROPE AND WIREBASKET TOP 1/2 OF BALL		ТРЕ	When using this theory is tay and a plant will depend on the scientily of plants and	hip plan the size of the dilts - regime the scale of the site			¥								
E SOIL WITH INOCULANT			," and the constal consideration of the install	🧱 👷 🔣 transfer 🧌 sa shike shike s		Kiebas									
	Practice may be combined with sediment control		DEVELOPER'S		CERTIFICATE										
	fencing. Location and limits of fencing should be coordinated in field with arborist.		The Undersigned agrees to execu		all the features of the Approved Final Odenton, Maryla:										
AFTER PLANTING. 3. Boundaries	s of protection area should	be staked	THE READ AND NATIONAL CADITAL	Forest Conservation Plan No. $S - 7$ forest planting, maintenance, and all	27(el including, financial bonding,	(410) 672-5990 (office)									
NE AND FINISHED BY HAND. prior to installing protective PPC PLANNING DEPT INSPECTOR OR 4. Root damage should be avoid the protection signage is required NA_OF THE ROOT MASS SHALL BE 5.			THE MARYLAND -NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION Developer's Name:		ROBERTO PACANO	(410) 672	(410) 672-5993 (fax)								
	all be maintained through	out	Forest Conservation Plan Submitted for Planning Board		SE ROBERTO PACANØ	FOREST CONSERVAT	ION PLAN PREPARED								
			Approval	Address: 286 MT.	521 Ridge Road, , Airy, MD, 21771-4438	Michael O. U	5/16/1								
ger)			Plan No. S-2710/ Mary Do Kishtth 5/21/14	Phone: (30 eMail:	1) 252 - 3055	MICHAEL J. KLEB									
DECEMBER 2007 Montg	omery County Planning Departr		Signature Date	Signiture:	Let	(QUALIFIED P	ROFESSIONAL PER								
	MontgomeryPlanning	.org			$ \mathcal{O} $	COMAI	R 08.19.06.01)								
						SCALE									
		SEAL FOREST CONSERVATI			TION NOTES & DETAILS										
R AZTEC ASSOCIA	ATES, INC					N/A	NOVEMBER,								
civil engineers & plan				DGE ROAD, DAMA		CHECKED BY: MR	SHEET NUME								
				ERY COUNTY, MAR		DRAWN BY:									
1220 Ushana Bika	Tel (301) 775-4	394	ZONE: RDT			CI	3 of 3								

3280 Urbana Pike Ijamsville, Maryland 21754

Tel (301) 775-4394 Fax (301) 831-8978 email:raztecengr@comcast.net

ZONE: RDT TAX MAP: FY53

12th ELECTION DISTRICT

SL

April 9, 2014

Forest Conservation Program Manager Environmental Planning Section Maryland National Park & Planning Commission 8787 Georgia Avenue Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

Re: Jose Pacano, d/b/a Woodstone Group, LLC Petition for a Special Exception for a Landscape Contractor Business Variance Request Case No. S2761

On behalf of our client, Jose Pacano, d/b/a Woodstone Group, LLC, we are requesting a variance from Section 5-1607(c)(2)(III) of the Natural Resources Article of the Maryland Annotated Code. This section states:

5-1607(c)(2) The following trees, shrubs, plants and specific areas shall be considered priority for retention and protection, and they shall be left in an undisturbed condition unless the applicant has demonstrated, to the satisfaction of the state or local authority, that the applicant qualifies for a variance under Section 5-1611 of this subsection.

- (III) Trees having a diameter measured 4.5 feet above the ground of
 - (1) 30 inches, or
 - (2) 75 % of the diameter measured 4.5' above the ground, of the current state champion tree of that species as designated by the Department.

Section 5-1611 of the Natural Resources Article of the Maryland Annotated Code grants authority to Montgomery County (the local authority) to approve variances from these requirements, "where owing to special features of a site or other circumstances, implementation of this subtitle would result in unwarranted hardship to an applicant." And Section 22A-21, entitled "Variance", of the Montgomery County Code establishes the criteria to grant a variance.

The subject property, 2861 Ridge Road, Mt. Airy, Maryland, is located on Md. Route 27

(Ridge Road) approximately ½ mile north of the intersection of Md. Route 80 (Kemptown Road) and Md. Route 27, in Mt. Airy, Montgomery County, Maryland. The property contains 4.5 acres, with a mature .90 acre forest in the rear, a small home in the front right-hand corner of the property, and a stream that runs through the center of the property. A pond has been formed in the middle of the property, surrounded by gently rolling, open land. There is a 30' x 40' barn behind the pond and in front of the forest, which has been used for many years as the base for a landscape contracting business, and the applicant seeks to obtain a special exception to allow him to continue using the property for that purpose. The home in the front right hand corner has been used as an office for this business, and is no longer used as a residence. The neighborhood surrounding the property contains a smattering of large lot single family homes and farms.

The applicant is requesting a variance to remove one tree that measures 30" or greater in diameter at breast height (dbh). In particular, the applicant would like to remove the following tree:

Tree #5 – Red Maple, 42", poor condition, root compaction.

Section 22A-21 (b) lists the criteria for the granting of the variance requested herein. The following narrative explains how the requested variance is justified under the set of circumstances described above.

1. Describe the special conditions peculiar to the property which would cause the unwarranted hardship:

The property has been used as a landscape contracting business for over 10 years. It was being used as such when the applicant purchased the property in 2006. The applicant has made no changes to the property, but will be reforesting much of the property when the special exception is granted.

Removal of Tree #5

The home located in the front right hand corner of the property, where Tree #5 is located, has been used as the office for the landscaping business, and was used for that purpose when the applicant purchased the property. The previous owner installed gravel in the area in front of the home, creating five parking spaces and surrounding the tree with gravel and impacting the critical root zone of the tree. The tree is diseased and dying, and is located approximately 20 feet from the front of the home. A large part of the tree overhangs the home, and if the tree falls it will damage the home.

2. Describe how enforcement of these rules will deprive the landowner of rights commonly enjoyed by others in similar areas:

Not granting this variance would cause undue hardship on the applicant because based on the existing zoning and subdivision requirements only limited areas are available to build on the property. Indeed, because the property is located in the Patuxent River Management Area, nothing can be built within 100' of the stream that runs through the property, and the home in the front right corner of the property is located in the only area of the property that can be built on. This home is placed in danger by the tree, which is diseased and dying, and the owner should be allowed to remove it so that it no longer endangers the home. Granting the variance will remove the danger to the only home on the property.

3. Verify that State water quality standards will not be violated or that a measurable degradation in water quality will not occur as a result of the granting of the variance:

The variance will not violate state water quality standards or cause measurable degradation in water quality. The tree is located outside the 100' stream buffer on the property, and after the tree is removed, the applicant intends to remove a large portion of the gravel surrounding the tree and plant grass in this area. This will improve the water quality on the site.

4. Provide any other information appropriate to support the request:

As mentioned above, the tree is diseased and dying. There is currently gravel surrounding its roots, and after it has been removed the applicant intends to remove a large portion of the gravel, so that the five parking spaces in front of the home are reduced to three. This will improve the quality of any water runoff on the site.

The Applicant believes that the information set forth above is adequate to justify the requested variance to remove one of the six significant/specimen trees on the subject property. Furthermore, the Applicant's request for a variance complies with the "minimum criteria" of Section 22A-21 (d) for the following reasons:

- 1. This Applicant will receive no special privileges or benefits by the granting of the requested variance that would not be available to any other applicant.
- 2. The variance request is not based on conditions or circumstances which result from the actions of the applicant. The applicant did not create the existing site conditions, including the random location of the specimen trees, the placement of gravel under the tree in question, or the diseased condition of the tree.
- 3. The variance is not based on a condition relating to the land or building use, either permitted or nonconforming on a neighboring property. All of the conditions discussed above exist on 28621 Ridge Road, Mt. Airy, Md.
- 4. Loss of the requested tree will not violate State water quality standards or cause measurable degradation in water quality.

If you have any further questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

David C. Gardner Attorney for the Applicant



Attachment C

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Isiah Leggett County Executive Robert G. Hoyt Director

April 23, 2014

Françoise Carrier, Chair Montgomery County Planning Board Maryland National Capital Park & Planning Commission 8787 Georgia Avenue Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

RE: Pacano, S-2761, NRI/FSD application accepted on 5/27/2009

Dear Ms. Carrier:

Based on a review by the Montgomery Planning Department, the application for the above referenced request is required to comply with Chapter 22A of the Montgomery County Code. As stated in a letter to Royce Hanson from Bob Hoyt, dated October 27, 2009, the County Attorney's Office has advised me that the specific provisions pertaining to certain trees in the Maryland Forest Conservation Act, and therefore any subsequent changes to the County Code based on those provisions, do not apply to any application that was submitted before October 1, 2009. Since this application was submitted before this date, I will not provide a recommendation pertaining to the approval of this request for a variance.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me directly.

Sincerely,

Mille

Laura Miller County Arborist

cc: Mary Jo Kishter, Senior Planner

Division of Environmental Policy & Compliance