









Prepared for:

THE PARK DEVELOPMENT DIVISION

of the

MARYLAND - NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION

> Purchase Order No. 500441 Contract No. 340062

> > OCTOBER 10, 2014

- Image Credits on Cover Page:

 1. Image by M-NCPPC

 2. Image by M-NCPPC

 3. Image by Park Event Centers via Flickr

 4. Image by Rachel Foster Photography via Flickr

 5. Image by Park Event Centers via Flickr

Contents



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY		4
PLANNING PROCESS		5
DESIGN PRINCIPLES		7
EXISTING CONDITIONS		8-21
	CONTEXT	8-9
	TOPOGRAPHY	10-1
	SLOPES	12-13
	HYDROLOGY	14-1
	WATER FLOW	16-1
	VEGETATION	18-19
	BUILT SITE FEATURES AND CIRCULATION	20-2
SITE ALTERNATIVES		22-23
	ALTERNATIVE A	22
	ALTERNATIVE B	23
KEY PLAN - SITE DEVELOPMENT ALTERNATIVES		24-2
DRIVE		26-29
PARKING/SERVICE		30-33
MANOR HOUSE		34-39
BUNK HOUSES		40-43
RESTORED STREAM/AMPHITHEATER		44-4
WOODLAND AND SITE BUFFER		46-4
TRAFFIC ENGINEERING REPORT		48-7

Executive Summary



Rockwood Manor is known for its wooded landscape, rich history, and natural beauty. Built in the 1920's, it has a notable history as the former country estate of Carolyn Gangwer Caughey and was later operated by Girls Scouts U.S.A. Rockwood is currently owned and operated by Montgomery Parks, and it is first and foremost a functioning park intended to serve the public. It hosts social, civic, and business organizations as an event, meeting, and retreat venue. It includes secluded venues and overnight lodgings tucked into Montgomery County's beautiful parkland that stakeholders describe as eclectic with "rustic elegance."

The intent of this Feasibility Study is to explore what solutions are physically possible to address a number of long-standing environmental, circulation, and site utilization issues. The report examines the existing conditions of the Rockwood Manor site including: context, topography, slopes, hydrology, water flow, vegetation, built site features, and circulation. The M-NCPPC does not intend to increase the programmatic capacity of the facility, only to recommend site modifications that would improve the facility for its current use. The report analyzes the existing conditions, and in conjunction with input from the M-NCPPC, proposes two alternative approaches for future site development. The site is discussed in sections including: the drive; parking/service; the manor house; the bunk houses; the restored stream area; and the extended site buffer. The existing conditions, opportunities, and constraints of each area inform the design criteria, goals, and objectives for the proposed alternatives. Also included are a traffic analysis and a preliminary cost estimate for each of the proposed alternatives. Following the completion of this study, Montgomery Parks will work with Rockwood Manor stakeholders, the surrounding community, and relevant agencies to determine which site improvements are most mutually beneficial to all parties involved and will work to develop design solutions.

Planning Process



In the spring of 2014, M-NCPPC began a project to address the width of the entrance drive, which does not currently accommodate two-way traffic and does not provide adequate turning radii for emergency, maintenance, catering, and bus vehicular access. Examining this one issue created an opportunity to take a holistic look at the needs for the entire property.

On April 1, 2014, a day-long charrette with invited stakeholders, M-NCPPC staff, and Oehme, van Sweden & Associates was held to identify the various programmatic and site opportunities and constraints as well as preliminary ideas for improvement on the Rockwood Manor site. Preliminary findings were presented to the public on May 14th and May 20th, and residents had the opportunity to identify additional concerns and opportunities for the site.

The information gathered from the day-long charrette and subsequent community discussions has been consolidated, further examined, and incorporated into this feasibility study. The M-NCPPC intends to use this study to identify future projects for design and construction and to fully involve the community and stakeholders in the design process.

This page was intentionally left blank

Design Principles

Six design principles, originating from the objectives of M-NCPPC and the surrounding community, provide the framework for the proposed alternatives in this feasibility study.

CONTEXTUAL DESIGN

The site shall fit into the larger residential neighborhood and forested parkland context by respecting and embracing the surrounding physical, social, and community contexts. The design will create connections to nearby uses and relocate site features to appropriate locations with consideration of the adjacent neighborhood.

EFFICIENT SITE USE

The design shall maximize the efficiency of the site to best serve its users and help to achieve contextually and environmentally sensitive design goals by reviewing the site's parking layout and configuration, addressing existing service, loading, and maintenance areas.

CULTURALLY SENSITIVE DESIGN

The design shall maintain the site's "rustic elegance" and respect the site's layered history by honoring Ms. Caughey's original vision of Rockwood as well as the Girl Scouts heritage. Rockwood will continue its current function as a countywide event center to host social, civic, and business organizations and invite Girl Scouts, Boy Scouts, youth groups, and students of the Montgomery County Public Schools to use Rockwood as an outdoor education facility.

IMPROVED CIRCULATION AND SAFETY

The design shall improve circulation and safety and reduce internal pedestrian/vehicular conflicts by enhancing visual cues at the entry; improving emergency, service and bus access and circulation; improving circulation for loading and deliveries; and upgrading the site to be compliant with the Americans with Disabilities Act Guidelines (ADA).

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE DESIGN

The design shall preserve the site's natural beauty and enhance its natural features by providing low impact stormwater management features, addressing runoff and drainage issues, and improving stream quality. The design also assesses the existing trees on site and promotes the health of the mature forest that surrounds the park.

IMPROVED VISITOR EXPERIENCE

The design shall enhance the visitor experience for users of the event center and the park through a variety of methods including: improvement of wayfinding, integration of site accessibility, consideration of additional recreational opportunities, enhancement of visual and noise buffers, relocation of activities to better serve park users, and improved site lighting.

Existing Conditions: Context

Rockwood Manor Special Park occupies approximately 30 acres of hilly, forested parkland located at 11001 MacArthur Boulevard in Potomac. The property is divided into 2 sections: the north parcel contains the built structures on site and is the focus of this feasibility study while the south parcel of the site is located entirely within the stream buffer which prevents future development. The property is bounded by single-family residential properties on the north, Belfast Road to the east and south, and MacArthur Boulevard to the west. The surrounding neighborhood is predominantly low-density residential (zoned R-200: minimum lot size of 20,000 square feet). Located directly west of Rockwood Manor Park is the Chesapeake & Ohio Canal National Historical Park.




C & O HISTORIC NATIONAL PARK (EXISTING)



BELFAST ROAD, EAST SIDE OF ROCKWOOD MANOR (EXISTING)



BELFAST ROAD, WEST SIDE OF ROCKWOOD MANOR (EXISTING)



BELFAST ROAD, EAST SIDE OF ROCKWOOD MANOR (EXISTING)



INTERSECTION OF BELFAST ROAD AND WHITERIM TERRACE (EXISTING)





Existing Conditions: Topography

Rockwood Manor's woodland site has varying topography characteristic of land adjacent to a stream. The highest parts of the property are located at the northwest of the site and in the center of the site where the Rockwood Manor House is located. On the north side of the Manor House the terrain generally slopes down to the existing stream. On the south side of the Manor House the terrain slopes down toward Belfast Road.



PATH FROM BUNK HOUSES TO MANOR HOUSE (EXISTING)



ROAD FROM LOWER PARKING LOT TO CARETAKER'S HOUSE (EXISTING)



ROAD TO LOWER PARKING LOT (EXISTING)



Existing Conditions: Slopes

The slopes at Rockwood Manor Park are varied throughout the site. Flat slopes, less than 5%, are located at the north and south edges of the site and also where the existing parking is located. Relatively flat slopes, 5%-10%, are located in the areas adjacent to the flattest areas. Steep slopes, over 10%, run diagonally through the site, from the northwest portion of the site to the southeast. Steep slopes are also located at the existing amphitheater. The steepest slopes, over 15%, are located on the southern bank of the existing stream.



LOWER PARKING LOT (EXISTING)

STREAM (EXISTING)

12 - ROCKWOOD MANOR FEASIBILITY STUDY




Existing Conditions: Hydrology

The site is located within the Lower Potomac Direct watershed and the Rock Run sub-watershed which eventually drains into the Potomac River. The stream on site runs from the northeast to the southeast corners of the site with a wetland buffer of approximately 150'-0". The stream is unstable adjacent to the property, with evidence of active channel down-cutting and eroding banks. This instability can be attributed to uncontrolled stormwater runoff from the upstream property and MacArthur Boulevard. The southeast portion of the site contains erodible soils. Nearly all of the flat terrain outside of a floodplain has already been developed.





STREAM (EXISTING)



Existing Conditions: Water Flow

Water on site generally flows toward the existing stream except for the area to the south of the Manor House which drains toward Belfast Road. Because the property was developed prior to the existence of stormwater management regulations in Montgomery County, little has been done to mitigate the effects of the site's impervious surfaces on stormwater runoff. This has resulted in erosion along many of the steep slopes and scouring of the stream banks.



STREAM (EXISTING)




EROSION ON SLOPES (EXISTING)



ROAD TO LOWER PARKING LOT (EXISTING)



INCISED STREAM BANKS (EXISTING)



Existing Conditions: Vegetation

The majority of the site is covered by an Oak-Hickory forest which also contains Tulip Poplar, Beech, Birch, and Pine trees. Near the Manor House and Veranda there are decorative Cherry trees, Holly trees, and formal garden planting.



PATH FROM LOWER PARKING LOT TO BUNK HOUSES (EXISTING)







PATH FROM MANOR HOUSE TO BUNK HOUSES (EXISTING)



MANOR HOUSE (EXISTING)





Existing Conditions: Built Site Features/Circulation

Sited within the woodland property is the Rockwood Manor House, the country estate originally owned by Mr. and Mrs. Caughey. The Manor House is managed as an event center by the M-NCPPC Montgomery Parks Enterprise Division, and its exterior garden rooms include: the Veranda, Flagstone Patio, Gazebo, and Amphitheater. Also located on site are several smaller structures including Carolyn Cottage (connected to the Manor House), the Skyview Lodge, the French House, and the Bunk Houses (Weston Hill Cabin, Tall Timbers Cabin, and the Oaks Cabin) that provide overnight accommodations for event guests. Additional structures include the Maintenance Garage and the Caretaker's Residence to accommodate service necessary for the site.



ENTRY SIGN (EXISTING)



MANOR HOUSE (EXISTING)





VERANDA (EXISTING)





















MAINTENANCE GARAGE (EXISTING)



Site Plan - Alternative A

DRIVE

- 1. Entry Drive
- 2. Entry Signage
- 3. Existing Parking Removed
- 4. Entrance Court
- 5. Existing Amphitheater Removed
- 6. Exit Drive

PARKING / LOADING

- 7. Consolidated Parking
- 8. Staff Parking
- 9. Service Turn-Around
- 10. Reduced Maintenance Garage Footprint
- 11. Accessible Spaces
- 12. Lodge Parking
- 13. Loading Dock/Service Area
- 14. Relocated Dumpster

MANOR HOUSE

- 15. Gazebo Garden
- 16. Reconfigured Wall
- 17. Proposed Lawn Area/Event Venue
- 18. Gazebo
- 19. Proposed Walk and Stairs
- 20. Accessible Path from Venue to Bunk Houses and Amphitheater
- 21. Proposed Fire Circle
- 22. Paved Terrace
- 23. Additional Paved Terrace
- 24. Access from Venue to Amphitheater
- 25. Bioretention
- 26. Proposed Grill Area

BUNK HOUSES

- 27. Proposed Bioretention
- 28. Accessible Path from Bunk Houses to Manor House
- 29. Proposed Natural Play Area
- 30. Proposed Turn Around for Service Vehicles
- 31. Accessible Path from Bunk Houses to Amphitheater
- 32. Accessible Path

RESTORED STREAM / AMPHITHEATER

- 33. Restored Stream
- 34. Reconfigured Service Drive
- 35. Accessible Path from Manor House and Bunk
- Houses to Amphitheater
- 36. Relocated Amphitheater/Fire Circle
- 37. Path from Manor House to Amphitheater
- 38. Existing Parking Removed

EXTENDED SITE BUFFER

- 39. Woodland Screening
- 40. Woodland Understory
- 41. Ornamental Plantings
- 42. Lawn
- 43. Bioretention



Site Plan - Alternative B

DRIVE

- 1. Entry Drive
- 2. Entry Signage
- 3. Existing Parking Removed
- 4. Entrance Court
- 5. Existing Amphitheater Removed
- 6. Exit Drive

PARKING / LOADING

- 7. Consolidated Parking
- 8. Staff Parking
- 9. Service Turn-Around
- 10. Reduced Maintenance Garage Footprint
- 11. Accessible Spaces
- 12. Lodge Parking
- 13. Loading Dock/Service Area
- 14. Relocated Dumpster

MANOR HOUSE

- 15. Gazebo Garden
- 16. Reconfigured Wall
- 17. Proposed Lawn Area/Event Venue
- 18. Gazebo
- 19. Proposed Walk and Stairs
- 20. Accessible Path from Venue to Bunk Houses and Amphitheater
- 21. Proposed Fire Circle
- 22. Paved Terrace
- 23. Additional Paved Terrace
- 24. Access from Venue to Amphitheater
- 25. Bioretention
- 26. Proposed Grill Area

BUNK HOUSES

- 27. Proposed Bioretention
- 28. Path from Bunk Houses to Manor House
- 29. Proposed Natural Play Area
- 30. Proposed Turn Around for Service Vehicles
- 31. Accessible Path from Bunk Houses to Amphitheater

RESTORED STREAM / AMPHITHEATER

- 32. Restored Stream
- 33. Reconfigured Service Drive
- 34. Accessible Path to Amphitheater
- 35. Existing Parking Removed
- 36. Relocated Amphitheater/Fire Circle

EXTENDED SITE BUFFER

- 37. Woodland Screening
- 38. Woodland Understory
- 39. Ornamental Plantings
- 40. Lawn
- 41. Bioretention



Key Plan - Site Development Alternatives

The Key Plan divides the landscape into use zones that explore site development alternatives that will be described in more detail in the following sections of this report. The key areas include:

- A. Drive;
- B. Parking, Service, and Bioretention;
- C. Manor House;
- D. Bunk Houses;
- E. Restored Stream / Amphitheater; and
- F. Woodland and Site Buffer.



Drive

Existing Conditions Assessment

The existing drive into Rockwood Manor is a 12'-0" wide, two-way road that provides an entrance and exit to the facility from MacArthur Boulevard. The visual cues, signage, and lighting along this road are inadequate, such that the signage and entry are easy to miss. In addition, buses and large emergency vehicles are unable to turn right into the site from north-bound MacArthur Boulevard and must currently access the site from south-bound MacArthur Boulevard. The entrance driveway configuration does not accommodate fire trucks turning into the site from either direction and requires them to park on MacArthur Boulevard and enter the site by foot. The current entry configuration is congested for a large volume of vehicles arriving at once (e.g. for a reception immediately following a wedding ceremony at a church). The entry drive widens as it approaches the Manor House and contains angled parking spaces on either side of the drive that take away from the visitor's experience and views to the wooded site. The existing entry is narrow and does not accommodate passenger drop off from the passenger side of the vehicle closest to the building.

Opportunities and Constraints

OPPORTUNITIES

- The site includes 30 acres of woodland including mature canopy trees at the site perimeter and entry that provide a beautiful setting for the entry experience.
- A relocated entry drive would allow sight lines through the woodland to the culturally significant Manor House beyond.
- The entry to Rockwood Manor is located directly across from a the C & O Canal National Historic Park affording easy connections from Great Falls to Rockwood Manor.

CONSTRAINTS

- The existing drive cannot be widened in its current location as it is bound by mature trees on either side.
- · A relocated driveway would need to be sited to avoid impact on the surrounding neighborhood.
- A relocated driveway would need to be sited to preserve the existing mature canopy trees.



















NTRY DRIVE TOWARD MACARTHUR BLVD. (EXISTING)

Design Criteria, Goals, and Objectives

IMPROVED CIRCULATION AND SAFETY

- Separate ingress and egress to the site by adding an exit off of Belfast Road to reduce pedestrian/vehicular conflicts
- Provide a narrow, one-way driveway system to protect existing, mature specimen trees
- Realign the entry drive and improve turning radii to allow buses, service vehicles, and large emergency vehicles to turn into the site from either direction on MacArthur Boulevard
- Create prominent signage that complements the character of the Manor House to increase visual cues to the entry along MacArthur Boulevard
- Create a spacious drop off area that allows for vehicles to turn around and drop off passengers

IMPROVED VISITOR EXPERIENCE

- Remove existing angled parking along the entry drive to open the view to the Manor House and enhance the arrival experience
- Consider lighting at entry sign and along the drive to improve visibility and aesthetics

PROPOSED CHARACTER:



ENTRY DRIVE (PRECEDENT IMAGE)






EXIT DRIVE (PRECEDENT IMAGE)



ENTRANCE COURT (PRECEDENT IMAGE)


Drive Design - Alternative A

- Entry Drive alignment avoids mature trees
- Entry Drive signage is made more prominent and lit for visibility
- Entry Drive is sited away from Whiterim Terrace
- Exit Drive alignment avoids the swale west of the Maintenance Garage and is geometrically configured and signed to allow right turn only onto Belfast Road



Drive - Alternative B

- Exit Drive is sited further from intersection with MacArthur Boulevard
- The landscape around the French House remains intact



Parking/Service

Existing Conditions Assessment

The existing parking is located in multiple areas across the site: along the entry drive, east of the Manor House, and northwest of the Manor House adjacent to the existing stream. The parking lots currently hold approximately 75 cars, and there are currently two vendors that rent space in the parking lots for equipment and storage. The scattered lots result in an inefficient use of space.

The current configuration of roads and parking includes steep roads running perpendicular to the slope and parking in the wetland buffer, both of which are contributing to large volumes and high velocities of runoff. The service/loading area is located adjacent to the Manor House and must serve many functions at once which causes congestion and conflicts between uses. The existing maintenance garage contains six bays, in which it currently houses lawn mowers. The maintenance garage includes more storage space than is currently needed.

Opportunities and Constraints

OPPORTUNITIES

- Several trees in poor condition were recently removed from areas adjacent to and within the existing main parking area. There are opportunities to reconfigure the existing parking area more efficiently.
- Opportunities exist to reduce conflicts in existing loading and service areas.
- Existing slopes and drainage on site lend themselves to the integration of bioretention areas.
- The wooded site contains natural beauty that should be preserved and enhanced. Consider locating electric lines underground in and around the parking lot to reduce future conflicts with PEPCO and existing tree canopy.
- Valet parking could be an option if the parking lots were reorganized to accommodate multiple cars stacking.

CONSTRAINTS

- The site is heavily wooded and sloped, so possible parking locations are limited.
- The existing service area is not large enough and conflicts exist between varying service vehicles (caterers, musicians, garbage trucks and dumpsters). The dumpsters, adjacent to the building, attract nuisance wildlife and cause problems for maintenance staff.







LOWER PARKING LOT (EXISTING)



LOWER PARKING LOT (EXISTING)



LOWER PARKING LOT (EXISTING)













SERVICE AREA (EXISTING)



Design Criteria, Goals, and Objectives

EFFICIENT SITE USE

- Consolidate and maximize the parking on-site to minimize the visual impact of parking
- Remove parking from ecologically sensitive areas, such as the wetland buffer
- · Consider a modest increase to the total number of parking spaces (including required ADA spaces) to better serve existing functions of the site and accommodate:
 - Maximum 85 visitor cars, including 4 accessible spaces and 1 accessible van space
 - 8 staff parking spots
 - accommodation for 2 buses (in lieu of some parking spaces)
- Reduce maintenance garage footprint (can be reduced to three bays if necessary)
- · Relocate dumpsters away from the building and out from the back of the loading area to reduce service conflicts
- · Add service turn-around near the Maintenance Garage building to accommodate vehicles with a 35'-0" turning radius
- · Consider reorganization of parking spaces to accommodate multiple car stacking for valet parking
- Expand service area to accommodate 2 catering trucks and improve loading area to the kitchen

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE DESIGN

• Integrate bioretention or other stormwater management practices to help improve the quality and decrease the quantity of stormwater runoff

PROPOSED CHARACTER






PERMEABLE PAVING (PRECEDENT IMAGE)



BIORETENTION (PRECEDENT IMAGE)


Parking/Service - Alternative A

- (83) Guest spaces, including (5) accessible spaces and (1) accessible van space
- (8) Staff parking spaces



Parking/Service - Alternative B

- (96) Guest spaces, including (4) accessible spaces and (1) accessible van space
- (8) Staff parking spaces
- Includes increased opportunities for canopy trees and/or bioretention in the parking lot
- Possible (4) additional guest parking spaces



Manor House

Existing Conditions Assessment

A culturally significant estate built in the 1920's, Rockwood Manor is comprised of seven different rooms with varying capacities. Maximum capacity for any one combined/adjoining space in the Manor House is 170 standing (cocktail style), 130 seated, and 110 seated with dancing. Tenting options for up to 200 guests are available. Outdoor ceremonies may be held on the flagstone patio or in the gazebo garden. Overnight accommodations within and immediately adjacent to the Manor House include 10 hotel-style guest rooms and a three bedroom cottage with a total capacity of 37. Located directly outside the Manor House are several outdoor rooms including the Veranda, the Flagstone Patio with trellis, and Gazebo Garden.

Opportunities and Constraints

OPPORTUNITIES

- The Manor House is a culturally significant building with a long and rich history.
- Rockwood Manor is a popular venue for events.

CONSTRAINTS

• Due to the site's steep slopes, accessible paths do not yet exist from the Manor House to other facilities on campus.



MANOR HOUSE (EXISTING)




MANOR HOUSE (EXISTING)



MANOR HOUSE (EXISTING)



MANOR HOUSE (EXISTING)





PAVED TERRACE (EXISTING)



TRELLIS AND PICNIC AREA (EXISTING)



BACK OF MANOR HOUSE (EXISTING)



SERVICE AREA (EXISTING)



BACK OF MANOR HOUSE (EXISTING)

Design Criteria, Goals, and Objectives

CULTURALLY SENSITIVE DESIGN

- Retain Ms. Caughey's original vision of Rockwood Manor by keeping with the existing character of the Manor House and surrounding site
- Preserve the site's Girl Scout Heritage by continuing tradition of hosting student groups, including Girl and Boy Scouts

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE DESIGN

• Connect building downspouts and parking drainage to newly constructed bioretention areas

IMPROVED CIRCULATION AND SAFETY

• Create an exterior accessible route from the Manor House to the various buildings and event spaces on site including bunk houses

IMPROVED VISITOR EXPERIENCE

- · Replace Gazebo with a similar style structure that provides more versatility in programming
- · Reconfigure built landscape masonry wall and add lawn area to create an additional event venue on site adjacent to the existing veranda and lawn
- Expand paved terrace area adjacent to the Manor House to avoid muddy areas beneath tents during events
- Replace existing arbor with a structure more in character and scale of the existing site
- · Add fire hearth to draw guests from the patio into the landscape
- Consider relocation of electric wires to allow for additional tent options
- Add lighting throughout the park to increase safety and improve wayfinding
- Add new grill area to offer caterers an area to set up and cook for events near kitchen but out of sight
- Consider a vestibule with a double set of doors outside of Brooke Hall to contain noise inside the building, reducing disturbance to the neighbors. Consider replacing the event tents with a hard-walled structure to better contain noise.

PROPOSED CHARACTER:



EVENT SPACE (PRECEDENT IMAGE)



FIRE CIRCLE (PRECEDENT IMAGE)





ARBOR (PRECEDENT IMAGE)

This page was intentionally left blank

Manor House - Alternative A



Bunk Houses

Existing Conditions Assessment

The bunk houses consist of Tall Timbers Cabin, The Oaks Cabin, and Weston Hill Cabin that can each accommodate between 24 - 28 people. An existing paved path connects the Manor House to the Bunk Houses for pedestrians and maintenance vehicles. An additional pedestrian only path is located on the northwest side of the Manor House that leads down a steep slope to the bunk houses.

Opportunities and Constraints

OPPORTUNITIES

- The grill near the bunk houses is well utilized and could be expanded.
- The area is an appropriate space for an additional fire circle.
- The bunk houses are separated from the rest of the developed portion of the site, accentuating their rustic, secluded character.
- The bunk houses have excellent views to the forest and stream.

CONSTRAINTS

- Due to the site's steep slopes, accessible paths do not yet exist from the Bunk Houses to other facilities on campus.
- Because bunkhouses are located within the stream buffer, additional impervious cover must be kept to a minimum.



PATH FROM LOWER PARKING LOT TO BUNK HOUSES (EXISTING)



WESTON HILL BUNK HOUSE (EXISTING)



BUNK HOUSE (EXISTING)



LOWER PARKING LOT TO BUNK HOUSES



PATH FROM BUNK HOUSES TO MANOR HOUSE (EXISTING)



PATH FROM MANOR HOUSE TO BUNK HOUSES (EXISTING)



INSIDE BUNK HOUSE (EXISTING)



INSIDE BUNK HOUSE (EXISTING)



PATH FROM BUNK HOUSES TO MANOR HOUSE (EXISTING)

Design Criteria, Goals, and Objectives

CULTURALLY SENSITIVE DESIGN

• Preserve the site's Girl Scout Heritage by enhancing the bunk house area to continue hosting event and student groups, including Girl and Boy Scouts

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE DESIGN

- Consider low-impact uses for the area adjacent to the stream
- Integrate bioretention into the low areas of the site between the bunk houses and the stream to increase quality and decrease the quantity of stormwater runoff

IMPROVED CIRCULATION AND SAFETY

- Incorporate an accessible path from the Bunk Houses to the proposed amphitheater
- Incorporate an accessible path from the Bunk Houses to the Manor House

IMPROVED VISITOR EXPERIENCE

- Add a paved turn around area to allow maintenance vehicles to easily unload luggage and equipment adjacent to the bunk house area
- Incorporate a natural play area to accommodate a variety of age groups and facilitate activities on site

PROPOSED CHARACTER:



NATURAL PLAY AREA (PRECEDENT IMAGE)



NATURAL PLAY AREA (PRECEDENT IMAGE)




NATURAL PLAY AREA (PRECEDENT IMAGE)



NATURAL PLAY AREA (PRECEDENT IMAGE)


Bunk Houses - Alternative A

- Accessible path from Bunk Houses to Manor House is included with 4.5% maximum slope
- Includes additional accessible path with a 4.5% maximum slope



Bunk Houses - Alternative B

- Accessible path from Bunk Houses to Manor House is included that minimizes disturbance by utilizing existing path footprint
- Necessitates 7% ramp with landings and handrails



Restored Stream / Amphitheater

Existing Conditions Assessment

The existing stream bed is unstable and shows evidence of active channel down-cutting and eroding banks. The lower impervious, paved parking lot is located inside the wetland buffer and contributes to additional runoff into the stream.

The existing amphitheater is located to the south of the site and is in close proximity to Belfast Road. Its current location does not offer privacy as it is visible from the nearby road. The amphitheater can seat approximately 100 people and is well utilized for formal and informal purposes.

Opportunities and Constraints

OPPORTUNITIES

- The steam is a natural feature and asset to the park.
- The amphitheater is well utilized but should be relocated to take good advantage of the site's extensive woodlands. Once removed, the flat area left by the lower parking lot could house a new amphitheater.

CONSTRAINTS

- · Existing stream bed is unstable and its banks are eroded.
- The existing amphitheater is too close to Belfast Road.



PATH (EXISTING)





AMPHITHEATER (EXISTING)



LOWER PARKING LOT (EXISTING)



STREAM (EXISTING)



LOWER PARKING LOT (EXISTING)

Design Criteria, Goals, and Objectives

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE DESIGN

- Improve quality and reduce velocity of water runoff into stream
- Incorporate stormwater management practices into the park and consider treatment of the water from the Manor parcel downstream in the south parcel located on the opposite side of Belfast Road
- Remove existing lower parking lot that is located in the floodplain to improve runoff quality and reduce impervious surfaces in the wetland buffer


IMPROVED CIRCULATION AND SAFETY

• Incorporate an accessible path from the Manor House and Bunk Houses to the relocated Amphitheater

IMPROVED VISITOR EXPERIENCE

• Relocate the amphitheater to a more wooded, scenic location

PROPOSED CHARACTER:



RESTORED STREAM (PRECEDENT IMAGE)





AMPHITHEATER (PRECEDENT IMAGE)








PATH (PRECEDENT IMAGE)


Restored Stream / Amphitheater - Alternative A

 Accessible path from Manor House and Bunk Houses to the Amphitheater (4.5% maximum slope)



Restored Stream / Amphitheater- Alternative B

- Accessible path from Bunk Houses to the Amphitheater (4.5% maximum slope)
- Amphitheater is accessible from Manor House only by way of Bunk Houses



Woodland and Site Buffer

Existing Conditions Assessment

The site contains approximately 30 acres of natural wooded area that is currently used as a public park. It is bounded to the west by the C & O Canal National Historical Park and to the north, east, and south by residential neighborhoods.

Opportunities and Constraints

OPPORTUNITIES

• The site's 30 acres of woodland and mature canopy trees can be enhanced through increased understory planting and additional vegetation to provide a visual buffer for adjacent residences.

CONSTRAINTS

• Proposed alternatives will incorporate collaboration with an acoustical engineer to evaluate the feasibility of various measures to reduce noise trespass from events at Rockwood Manor into neighboring residential properties.



VIEW TO THE NORTH OF THE SITE (EXISTING)



NORTH SIDE OF ENTRY DRIVE (EXISTING)



LOWER PARKING LOT (EXISTING)



LAWN BEHIND THE FRENCH HOUSE (EXISTING)


Design Criteria, Goals, and Objectives

CONTEXTUAL DESIGN

- Collaborate with an acoustical engineer to consider measures to reduce sound levels and visual impact from events at Rockwood Manor
- Enhance the rustic and natural character of the site by supplementing the wooded buffer
- Consider inclusion of evergreen, understory plants to increase year-round visual screening

IMPROVED VISITOR EXPERIENCE

• Consider inclusion of low impact activities compatible with the wooded site (possible activities might include a ropes course, bicycle trails, volleyball court, basketball court, or yoga platform)



Traffic Engineering Report



A VETERAN-OWNED SMALL BUSINESS

CORPORATE OFFICE
Baltimore, MD
Suite H
9900 Franklin Square Drive
Baltimore, Maryland 21236
410.931.6600
fax: 410.931.6601
1.800.583.8411

FIELD LOCATIONS

Arkansas Maryland New York North Carolina Ohio Texas Virginia West Virginia October 2, 2014

Mr. Brandon Freeman Charles P. Johnson and Associates 1751 Elton Road Silver Spring, Maryland 21093

RE: Rockwood Manor

Montgomery County, Maryland Our Job No: 2014-0615

Dear Mr Blass:

The Traffic Group, Inc. has had an opportunity to conduct a Preliminary Assessment of the proposed changes to the Rockwood Manor facility located in the Potomac area of Montgomery County. The Rockwood Manor Property is located in the northeast quadrant of the McArthur Boulevard and Belfast Road intersection as shown on Exhibit 1. At the present time, the subject facility is served by a single point of access along McArthur Boulevard for vehicles entering and exiting the subject site. In order to provide a more efficient operation on the subject site, it is currently proposed that access to the property be modified to include a single point of access inbound along McArthur Boulevard and a single point of egress along Belfast Road. A copy of the preliminary site plan is shown on Exhibit 2.

McArthur Boulevard is a two lane north/south roadway in the vicinity of the subject property. The posted speed limit along McArthur Boulevard is 35 MPH. Belfast Road is a two lane east/west roadway which intersects McArthur Boulevard along the east side. The Belfast Road approach to McArthur Boulevard is presently controlled by a stop sign. The posted speed limit along Belfast Road is 25 MPH. Exhibit 3 was prepared to show the existing lane use and traffic control along the adjacent roadways.

The Traffic Group, Inc., conducted 24-hour machine counts along McArthur Boulevard and Belfast Road from Wednesday, June 18th, 2014 to Monday, June 23, 2014. These counts were conducted to determine the hourly directional traffic along each of these roadways. A summary showing the total vehicles observed during each of the one hour intervals for each day is contained in Appendix A to this letter.

Merging Innovation and Excellence® www.trafficgroup.com

For the purposes of this analysis, we have presented a "worst case" scenario by using the highest volume observed during the morning and evening peak hours on any of the days that were counted and the highest one hour volumes observed on the weekend. Exhibit 4 was prepared to show the existing peak hour volumes along each of the study area roadways for both the weekday morning and evening peak hour and the weekend peak hour.

In anticipation of future growth along the adjacent roadways, we have adjusted the existing peak hour volumes to reflect a 2% growth per year for a three year period to represent conditions in the design year of the subject project. The 2017 peak hour volumes are shown on Exhibit 5.

Trip Generation

The Rockwood Manor facility has the capacity for approximately 170 individuals indoors or 200 individuals for an outdoor event. Based on this information, for the purposes of this analysis we are presenting a "worst case" scenario and assuming an event for 200 people.

Based on studies obtained of other similar type facilities, we have prepared Exhibit 6 which shows the trips projected to be generated by the subject facility for both the weekday morning and evening peak hours and the weekend peak hour. Once again, these numbers are based on the maximum capacity of the subject facility and the highest one hour volumes along the adjacent roadways..

The peak hour trips shown on Exhibit 6 were then distributed and assigned to the nearby road system as shown on Exhibit 7. Exhibit 8 shows the total peak hour volumes, which combines the trips projected to be generated by the subject facility along with the background traffic conditions.

Intersection Capacity Analyses and Two-Lane Highway Capacity Manual Methodology Analysis have been conducted for the study area roadways and intersections and the results are shown on Exhibit 9. Copies of the capacity worksheets are contained in Appendix B of this report.

A review of Exhibit 9 indicates that both of the access points to the subject facility along with the Belfast Road and McArthur Boulevard roadway segments are projected to operate at acceptable levels of service based on accepted standards for Montgomery County even when assuming an extreme worst case for the use of the subject facility.

Summary of Findings

Based on the information contained in this Technical Memorandum, it is our opinion that the proposed modifications to the Rockwood Manor Facility would not result in unsatisfactory traffic conditions along the adjacent roadways in Montgomery County.


If you have any questions concerning this information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,


Alem Cook


Glenn Cook Vice President

GEC/clg (F:\2014\2014-0615_Rockwood Manor\DOCS\CORRESP\ANALYST\Technical Memorandum.docx)




myc, 140615_rockwood manor\initial\ex.dwg-loc_st, f10/3/2014






myc, 140615_rockwood manor\initial\ex.dwg-lu-exist, f7/10/2014



myc, 140615_rockwood manor\initial\ex.dwg-exist, f7/10/2014



 $myc, 140615_rockwood\ manor\\ \ linitial\\ \ ex.dwg-back, f7/10/2014$

TRIP GENERATION

MORNING PEAK HOUR		EVENING PEAK HOUR		HOUR	WEEK	END PEAR	HOUR	
IN	ОПТ	TOTAL	IN	оит	TOTAL	IN	ОПТ	TOTAL

Rockwood Manor

Banquet Hall Trip Rate(trips/seat)	0.28	0	0.28	0.28	0.15	0.43	0.28	0.15	0.43
200 Seats	56	0	56	56	30	86	56	30	86


- $1. \ The following resources were reviewed to determine the trip rates for banquet facilities. The highest rates are choosen for a more constant of the following resources were reviewed to determine the trip rates for banquet facilities. The highest rates are choosen for a more constant of the following resources were reviewed to determine the trip rates for banquet facilities. The highest rates are choosen for a more constant of the following resources were reviewed to determine the trip rates for banquet facilities. The highest rates are choosen for a more constant of the following resources were reviewed to determine the trip rates for banquet facilities. The highest rates are choosen for a more constant of the following resources are constant of the$

- a. TIS for Valhalla Brandywine prepared by McMahon, Oct 14, 2008.
 b. TIS for The Regent at Stone House prepared by The Traffic Group, Jul 1, 2014.
 c. Trip generation rates from NJDOT.
 2. It is assumed that there are only inbound trips during the morning peak hour and they are the same as evening peak hours.




EXHIBIT 6 TRIP GENERATION FOR SUBJECT SITE

myc, 140615\initial\trip.xls-site, f10/02/14



myc, 140615_rockwood manor\initial\ex.dwg-stn, f10/2/2014



 $myc,\,140615_rockwood\,manor\ initial\ ex.dwg-tot,\,f10/2/2014$

CLV Analysis	Total Traffic				
	AM Peak	PM Peak	Weekend Peak		
Intersection	LOS / CLV	LOS / CLV	LOS / CLV		
MacArthur Blvd & New Entrance	A / 621	A / 696	A / 325		
Belfast Rd & New Exit	A / 54	A / 54	A / 56		

HCM Two-Lane Highway Analysis			
	AM Peak	PM Peak	Weekend Peak
Highway Segment	V/C	V/C	V/C
MacAuthur Blvd			
from Belfast Rd/Site Entrance	0.41	0.46	0.21
North of Site Entrance	0.42	0.43	0.18
Belfast Rd			
From Site Exit to MacAuthur Blvd	0.04	0.04	0.04



EXHIBIT 9
RESULTS OF INTERSECTION
CAPACITY ANALYSES

myc, 140615\initial\los.xls-results, f10/02/14

This page was intentionally left blank

APPENDIX A

Traffic Counts

The Traffic Group, Inc. 9900 Franklin Square Drive Suite H Baltimore, MD 21236 (800)583-8411


MacArthur Blvd North of Belfast Road Montgomery County, Maryland

Site Code: 000000100082 Station ID: 0000000ROCK2

The Traffic Group, Inc. 9900 Franklin Square Drive Suite H Baltimore, MD 21236 (800)583-6411

MacArthur Blvd North of Belfast Road Montgomery County, Maryla

Site Code: 000000100082 Station ID: 0000000ROCK2



Belfast Road East of MacArthur Blvd Montgomery County, Maryland

The Traffic Group, Inc. 9900 Franklin Square Drive Suite H Baltimore, MD 21236 (800)583-8411

Site Code: 000000102043 Station ID: 0000000ROCK1


. 0' 0.000 Un

Sun 08:00 54 14:00 48 27 27 17:00 41 Start 12:00 AM 07:00 03:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 08:00 07:00 08:00 07:00 08:00 07:00 08:00 07:00 08:00 07:00 08:00 07:00 08:00 07:00 08:00 07:00 08:

The Traffic Group, Inc. 9900 Franklin Square Drive Suite H Baltimore, MD 21236 (800)583-8411

Belfast Road East of MacArthur Bivd Montgomery County, Maryland


Site Code: 000000102043 Station ID: 0000000ROCK1





This page was intentionally left blank


APPENDIX B

Capacity Analysis Worksheets









General Information	GHWAY SEGMENT WORKSHEET Site Information		
Analyst RH Agency or Company The Traffic Grop, Inc. Date Performed 7/3/2014 Analysis Time Period AM Peak	Highway / Direction of Travel Mac From/To Belf	cAuthur Blvd fast Rd/Entrance htgomery Couny, MD al	
Project Description: Rockwood Manor			
Input Data			
Shoulder width tt Lane width tt Lane width tt Shoulder width tt Shoulder width tt Shoulder width tt Segment length, L ₁ mi Analysis direction vol., V _d 604veh/h Opposing direction vol., V _o 118veh/h	Class I highway Terrain Le Grade Length mi Peak-hour factor, PH No-passing zone % Trucks and Buses % Recreational vehic Access points/ mi	Up/down IF	
Average Travel Speed	Analysis Direction (d)	Onnering Blooding (A)	
Passanger car aquivalente for trucks E. (Eyhibit 20.0 az 20.45)	Analysis Direction (d)	Opposing Direction (o)	
Passenger-car equivalents for trucks, E _T (Exhibit 20-9 or 20-15)	1.1	1.7	
Passenger-car equivalents for RVs, E _R (Exhibit 20-9 or 20-17)	1.0	1.0	
Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, f _{HV} =1/ (1+ P _T (E _T -1)+P _R (E _R -1))	0.995	0.966	
Grade adjustment factor 1, f _G (Exhibit 20-7 or 20-13)	1.00	1.00	
Directional flow rate ² , v _i (pc/h) v _i =V _i (PHF*f _{HV} * f _G) Free-Flow Speed from Field Measurement	690	139	
Free-Flow Speed Holli Fleid Measurement	Estimated Free-Flow Speed Base free-flow speed³, BFFS _{FM} 45.0 I		
Field measured speed ³ , S_{FM} mi/h Observed volume ³ , V_f veh/h Free-flow speed, FFS_d $FFS=S_{FM}+0.00776(V/f_{HV})$ mi/h Adjustment for no-passing zones, f_{np} (Exhibit 20-19) 3.0 mi/h	Adj. for lane width and shoulder width, ³ f _{LS} (Exh ² Adj. for access points ³ , f _A (Exhibit 20-5) Free-flow speed, FFS _d (FSS=BFFS-f _{LS} -f _A) Average travel speed, ATS=FFS-0.00776v _p -f _{np}	20-5) 2.6 mi/h 0.0 mi/h 42.4 mi/h 32.9 mi/h	
	Analysis Direction (d)	Opposing Direction (o)	
Passenger-car equivalents for trucks, E _T (Exhibit 20-10 or 20-16)	1.0	1.1	
Passenger-car equivalents for RVs, E _R (Exhibit 20-10 or 20-16)	1.0	1.0	
Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, $f_{HV}=1/(1+P_T(E_T-1)+P_R(E_R-1))$	1.000	0.995	
Grade adjustment factor ¹ , f _G (Exhibit 20-8 or 20-14)	1.00	1.00	
Directional flow rate ² , v _i (pc/h)=V _i /(PHF*f _{HV} * f _G)	686	135	
Base percent time-spent-following ⁴ , BPTSF(%)=100(1-e ^{av} d ^b)	55.3		
Adj. for no-passing zone, f _{np} (Exhibit. 20-20)	26.1		
Percent time-spent-following, PTSF(%)=BPTSF+f np	77.1		
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures			
Level of service, LOS (Exhibit 20-3 or 20-4)	D		
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c=V _p / 1,700	0.41		
Peak 15-min veh-miles of travel, VMT ₁₅ (veh- mi)=0.25L _t (V/PHF)	17		
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT ₆₀ (veh- mi)=V*L _t	60		
Peak 15-min total travel time, TT ₁₅ (veh-h)=VMT ₁₅ /ATS	0.5		
Notes 1. If the highway is extended segment (level) or rolling terrain, fG=1.0. 2. If v _i (v _d or v _o) >=1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis—the LOS is F. 3. For the analysis direction only. 4. Exhibit 20-21 provides factors a and b. 5. Use alternative Equation 20-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a specific			


HCS+TM Version 5.5

Generated: 10/2/2014 10:10 AM

General Information	Site Information			
Analyst RH Agency or Company The Traffic Grop, Inc.	Highway / Direction of Travel From/To	MacAuthur Blvd Belfast Rd/Entrance		
Date Performed 7/3/2014 Analysis Time Period PM Peak	Jurisdiction Analysis Year	Montgomery Couny, MD Total		
Project Description: Rockwood Manor	1 ,			
Input Data				
Shoulder width Lane width Lane width Shoulder width Segment length, L ₁ mi	tt Terrain Grade Leng Peak-hour f No-passing Show North Arrow % Trucks at	factor, PHF 0.88		
Analysis direction vol., V _d 679veh/h Opposing direction vol., V _s 308veh/h	Access poir	nts/ mi 0		
Average Travel Speed	Analysis Direction (d)	Opposing Direction (c)		
Passanger car equivalents for trucks E. /Evhibit 20.0 or 20.45\	Analysis Direction (d)	Opposing Direction (o)		
Passenger-car equivalents for trucks, E _T (Exhibit 20-9 or 20-15)		1.2		
Passenger-car equivalents for RVs, E _R (Exhibit 20-9 or 20-17)	1.0	1.0		
Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, f _{HV} =1/ (1+ P _T (E _T -1)+P _R (E _R -1))	0.995	0.990		
Grade adjustment factor ¹ , f _G (Exhibit 20-7 or 20-13)	1.00	1.00		
Directional flow rate ² , $v_i(pc/h)$ $v_i=V_i/(PHF^*f_{HV}^*f_G)$	775	354		
Free-Flow Speed from Field Measurement	Estimate	ed Free-Flow Speed		
Field measured speeds, S_{FM} mi/h Observed volumes, V_f veh/h Free-flow speed, FFS_d $FFS=S_{FM}+0.00776(V/_{HV})$ mi/h Adjustment for no-passing zones, f_{np} (Exhibit 20-19) 3.0 m	Base free-flow speed ³ , BFFS _{FM} Adj. for lane width and shoulder width, ³ Adj. for access points ³ , f _A (Exhibit 20-5) Free-flow speed, FFS _d (FSS=BFFS-f _{Ls} Average travel speed, ATS=FFS-0.007	0.0 mi/h s-f _A) 42.4 mi/h		
Percent Time-Spent-Following				
	Analysis Direction (d)	Opposing Direction (o)		
Passenger-car equivalents for trucks, E _T (Exhibit 20-10 or 20-16)	1.0	1.1		
Passenger-car equivalents for RVs, E _R (Exhibit 20-10 or 20-16)	1.0	1.0		
Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, $f_{HV}=1/(1+P_T(E_{T}-1)+P_R(E_{R}-1))$	1.000	0.995		
Grade adjustment factor ¹ , f _G (Exhibit 20-8 or 20-14)	1.00	1.00		
Directional flow rate ² , v _i (pc/h)=V _i /(PHF*f _{HV} * f _G)	772	352		
Base percent time-spent-following4, BPTSF(%)=100(1-eav _d ^b)		63.4		
Adj. for no-passing zone, f _{np} (Exhibit. 20-20)		28.9		
Percent time-spent-following, PTSF(%)=BPTSF+f np		83.3		
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures				
Level of service, LOS (Exhibit 20-3 or 20-4)		D		
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c=V _p / 1,700		0.46		
Peak 15-min veh-miles of travel, VMT ₁₅ (veh- mi)=0.25L _t (V/PHF)		19		
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT ₆₀ (veh- mi)=V*L _t		68		
Peak 15-min total travel time, TT ₁₅ (veh-h)=VMT ₁₅ /ATS		0.6		
Notes				
1. If the highway is extended segment (level) or rolling terrain, fG=1.0. 2. If v _i (v _d or v _o) >=1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis—the LOS is F. 3. For the analysis direction only. 4. Exhibit 20-21 provides factors a and b. 5. Use alternative Equation 20-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a second content of the seco	specific downgrade.			
	poomo downigiado.			

DIRECTIONAL TWO-LANE HIGHWAY SEGMENT WORKSHEET

Copyright © 2008 University of Florida, All Rights Reserved



Analyst Agency or Company Date Performed Analysis Time Period	RH The Traffic Grop, Inc. 7/3/2014 AM Peak		Highway / Direction of Travel From/To Jurisdiction Analysis Year	MacAuthur Blvd North of Entrance Montgomery Couny, MD Total	
Project Description: Rockwood M					
Input Data					
I Analysis direction vol., V _d	Shoulder width Lane width Lane width Shoulder width t length, L ₁ mi	tt tt tt tt tt	Terrain Grade Le Peak-hot No-passit Show Horth Arrow % Trucks	ur factor, PHF 0.88 ng zone 100% s and Buses , P _T 5 % ational vehicles, P _R 5%	
., .	79veh/h				
Average Travel Speed			Analysis Direction (d)	Opposing Direction (o)	
Passenger-car equivalents for true	ks. E _T (Exhibit 20-9 or 20-15)		1.1	1.7	
Passenger-car equivalents for trucks, E _T (Exhibit 20-9 or 20-15) Passenger-car equivalents for RVs, E _R (Exhibit 20-9 or 20-17)			1.0	1.0	
	COMPAN DEMOCRE NO DESERVE DESERVE		0.995	0.966	
Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, f	AND		1.00	1.00	
Grade adjustment factor 1, f _G (Exhibit 20-7 or 20-13)		709	93		
Directional flow rate ² , v _i (pc/h) v _i =V _i /(PHF*f _{HV} * f _G) Free-Flow Speed from Field Measurement			Estimated Free-Flow Speed		
Field measured speed³, S_{FM} mi/h Observed volume³, V_f veh/h Free-flow speed, FFS $_d$ FFS= S_{FM} +0.00776(V_f f_{HV}) mi/h Adjustment for no-passing zones, f_{np} (Exhibit 20-19) 2.4 mi/h		Base free-flow speed ³ , BFFS _{FM} Adj. for lane width and shoulder width Adj. for access points ³ , f _A (Exhibit 20 Free-flow speed, FFS _d (FSS=BFFS-Average travel speed, ATS=FFS-0.0	0-5) 0.0 mi/h -f _{LS} -f _A) 42.4 mi/h		
Percent Time-Spent-Following			Analysis Direction (d)	Opposing Direction (o)	
Passenger-car equivalents for truc	ks, E _T (Exhibit 20-10 or 20-16)		1.0	1.1	
Passenger-car equivalents for RVs			1.0	1.0	
Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, f	VII. (1861)		1.000	0.995	
Grade adjustment factor ¹ , f _G (Exhi	bit 20-8 or 20-14)		1.00	1.00	
Directional flow rate ² , v _i (pc/h)=V _i /(l	PHF*f _{HV} * f _G)		706	90	
Base percent time-spent-following	⁴ , BPTSF(%)=100(1-e ^{av} d ^b)			56.3	
Adj. for no-passing zone, f _{np} (Exhil	pit. 20-20)			21.9	
Percent time-spent-following, PTS	SHOWN YOU THRUST STATE OF THE			75.7	
Level of Service and Other Perfe	ormance Measures				
Level of service, LOS (Exhibit 20-3	3 or 20-4)			D	
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c=V _p / 1	,700			0.42	
Peak 15-min veh-miles of travel, VMT ₁₅ (veh- mi)=0.25L _t (V/PHF)			18		
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel,	VMT ₆₀ (veh- <i>mi</i>)=V*L _t			62	
Peak 15-min total travel time, TT ₁₅	(veh-h)=VMT ₁₅ /ATS			0.5	
Notes					
1. If the highway is extended segn 2. If $v(v_d \text{ or } v_o) >= 1,700 \text{ pc/h}$, term 3. For the analysis direction only. 4. Exhibit 20-21 provides factors a 5. Use alternative Equation 20-14	and b.		owngrade.		

HCS+TM Version 5.5


DIRECTIONAL TWO-LANE HIGHWAY SEGMENT WORKSHEET

Site Information

General Information

Copyright © 2008 University of Florida, All Rights Reserved

Generated: 10/2/2014 10:28 AM



Copyright © 2008 University of Florida, All Rights Reserved

HCS+™ Version 5.5

Generated: 10/2/2014 11:36 AM

	DIRECTIONAL TW	O-LANE HIG	HWAY SEGMENT WORK	SHEET		
General Information	RH		Site Information	Dolfoot Dd		
Analyst Agency or Company	The Traffic Grop, Inc.		Highway / Direction of Travel From/To	Belfast Rd Site Exit/MacAuthur Blvd		
Date Performed Analysis Time Period	7/3/2014 AM Peak		Jurisdiction Analysis Year	Montgomery Couny, MD Total		
Project Description: Rockwood M			Arialysis Teal	Total		
Input Data						
	\$ Shoulder width	-	power			
*	Lane width	n.	l Cla	ass I highway Class II highway		
	🕽 Lane width	ft	Terrain	Level Rolling		
	\$\ Shoulder width	tı _	Grade Le	ength mi Up/down ur factor, PHF 0.88		
		-	No-passi	3		
Segmer	nt length, L _t mi		24 1044 1401 11 123 1 044	s and Buses , P _T 5 %		
Analysis direction vol., V _d	54veh/h		4	eational vehicles, P _R 5% points/ <i>mi</i> 0		
Opposing direction vol., V _o	17veh/h		700035	oomen m		
Average Travel Speed						
			Analysis Direction (d)	Opposing Direction (o)		
Passenger-car equivalents for truc	cks, E _T (Exhibit 20-9 or 20-15)		1.7	1.7		
Passenger-car equivalents for RV	s, E _R (Exhibit 20-9 or 20-17)		1.0	1.0		
Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, f	E _{HV} =1/(1+ P _T (E _T -1)+P _R (E _R -1))		0.966	0.966		
Grade adjustment factor 1, f _G (Exhibit 20-7 or 20-13)		1.00	1.00			
Directional flow rate ² , v _i (pc/h) v _i =V _i /(PHF*f _{HV} * f _G)		64	20			
Free-Flow	Speed from Field Measurement		Estim	nated Free-Flow Speed		
		100212	Base free-flow speed3, BFFS _{FM}	45.0 mi/h		
Field measured speed ³ , S _{FM}		mi/h	Adj. for lane width and shoulder width	th, ³ f _{LS} (Exh 20-5) 4.2 <i>mi/h</i>		
Observed volume ³ , V _f		veh/h	Adj. for access points ³ , f _A (Exhibit 20	The state of the s		
Free-flow speed, FFS _d FFS=S _{FM}	THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT O	mi/h	Free-flow speed, FFS _d (FSS=BFFS			
Adjustment for no-passing zones,	t _{np} (Exhibit 20-19)	2.4 mi/h	Average travel speed, ATS=FFS-0.0	00776v _p -f _{np} 37.7 <i>mi/h</i>		
Percent Time-Spent-Following						
			Analysis Direction (d)	Opposing Direction (o)		
Passenger-car equivalents for truc	cks, E _T (Exhibit 20-10 or 20-16)		1.1	1.1		
Passenger-car equivalents for RV			1.0	1.0		
Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, f	$f_{HV} = 1/(1 + P_T(E_T - 1) + P_R(E_R - 1))$		0.995	0.995		
Grade adjustment factor ¹ , f _G (Exhi	ibit 20-8 or 20-14)		1.00	1.00		
Directional flow rate ² , v _i (pc/h)=V _i /(100		62	19		
Base percent time-spent-following				7.5		
Adj. for no-passing zone, f _{np} (Exhi			48.2			
Percent time-spent-following, PTS				44.4		
Level of Service and Other Perf						
Level of service, LOS (Exhibit 20-			B			
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c=V _p /				0.04		
Peak 15-min veh-miles of travel, VMT ₁₅ (veh- mi)=0.25L _t (V/PHF)			2			
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel,	S. C. On I'll Novine April 201			5		
Peak 15-min total travel time, TT ₁	₅ (veh-h)=VMT ₁₅ /ATS			0.1		
Notes	ment (level) or rolling terrain, fG=1.0					
2. If v _i (v _d or v _o) >=1,700 pc/h, term 3. For the analysis direction only. 4. Exhibit 20-21 provides factors a	ninate analysis–the LOS is F.	J.				
5. Use alternative Equation 20-14	if some trucks operate at crawl spe	eeds on a specific de	owngrade.			
Copyright @ 2008 University of Flo	rida, All Rights Reserved		HCS+TM Version 5.5	Generated: 10/2/2014 11:37		

HCS+TM Version 5.5

64 - ROCKWOOD MANOR FEASIBILITY STUDY

MARYLAND - NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION OEHME VAN SWEDEN & ASSOCIATES, INC : LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS

General Information			HWAY SEGMENT WORK			
Analyst Agency or Company Date Performed Analysis Time Period	RH The Traffic Grop, Inc. 7/3/2014 PM Peak		Highway / Direction of Travel From/To Jurisdiction Analysis Year	Belfast Rd Site Exit/MacAuthur E Montgomery Couny, I Total		
Project Description: Rockwood M	1anor					
Input Data						
1	Shoulder width Lane width Lane width Shoulder width Shoulder width at length, L ₁ mi	tt	Terrain Grade Lo Peak-ho No-pass Show North Arrow % Trucks % Recre	ur factor, PHF 0.88	ing	
	38veh/h				700	
Average Travel Speed			1 1 2 5 3 48			
			Analysis Direction (d)		Direction (o)	
Passenger-car equivalents for truc			1.7		1.7	
Passenger-car equivalents for RVs	The state of the s		1.0	756	1.0	
Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, f			0.966		0.966	
Grade adjustment factor ¹ , f _G (Exhibit 20-7 or 20-13)		1.00		1.00		
Directional flow rate ² , v _i (pc/h) v _i =V	(/(PHF*f _{HV} * f _G) Speed from Field Measurement		64	ated Free-Flow Speed	45	
FIEE-FIOW	opeed from rield Measurement		Base free-flow speed ³ , BFFS _{FM} 45.0			
Field measured speed³, S_{FM} mi/h Observed volume³, V_f veh/h Free-flow speed, FFS $_d$ FFS= S_{FM} +0.00776(V_f f_{HV}) mi/h Adjustment for no-passing zones, f_{np} (Exhibit 20-19) 2.4 mi/h		Adj. for lane width and shoulder width Adj. for access points ³ , f _A (Exhibit 20) Free-flow speed, FFS _d (FSS=BFFS) Average travel speed, ATS=FFS-0.0	0-5) -f _{LS} -f _A)	4.2 mi/h 0.0 mi/h 40.8 mi/h 37.6 mi/h		
Percent Time-Spent-Following			Applysis Direction (d)	0	Discretion (s)	
Passenger-car equivalents for truc	cks. E ₌ (Exhibit 20-10 or 20-16)		Analysis Direction (d)		Direction (o)	
Passenger-car equivalents for RV	PLATE TO THE PARTY OF THE PARTY		1.0		1.0	
Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, f			0.995		.995	
Grade adjustment factor ¹ , f _G (Exhi			1.00		1.00	
Directional flow rate ² , v _i (pc/h)=V _i /(62		43	
Base percent time-spent-following				7.5		
Adj. for no-passing zone, f _{np} (Exhi	bit. 20-20)		53.4			
Percent time-spent-following, PTS				39.0		
Level of Service and Other Perfe	ormance Measures					
Level of service, LOS (Exhibit 20-	- P.A. (196		A			
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c=V _p / 1	1,700		0.04			
Peak 15-min veh-miles of travel, V	/MT ₁₅ (veh- <i>mi</i>)=0.25L _t (V/PHF)			2		
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel,	VMT ₆₀ (veh- mi)=V*L _t			5		
Peak 15-min total travel time, TT ₁₅	₅ (veh-h)=VMT ₁₅ /ATS			0.1		
Notes 1. If the highway is extended segn 2. If v _i (v _d or v _o) >=1,700 pc/h, term 3. For the analysis direction only. 4. Exhibit 20-21 provides factors a 5. Use alternative Equation 20-14	a and b.					

Copyright © 2008 University of Florida, All Rights Reserved HCS+TM Version 5.5 Generated: 10/2/2014 11:39 AM

X-03-10-000-000-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-0	DIRECTIONAL TW	O-LANE HIG	HWAY SEGMENT WORKS	HEET		
General Information			Site Information			
Analyst Agency or Company Date Performed Analysis Time Period	RH The Traffic Grop, Inc. 7/3/2014 Weekend Peak		Highway / Direction of Travel From/To Jurisdiction Analysis Year	Belfast Rd Site Exit/MacAuthur Blvd Montgomery Couny, MD Total		
Project Description: Rockwood I	Manor					
Input Data						
Shoulder width It Lane width It Lane width It Shoulder width It Segment length, L ₁ mi Analysis direction vol., V _d 56veh/h Opposing direction vol., V _o 31veh/h Average Travel Speed		Class I highway Terrain Crade Length Peak-hour factor, PHF No-passing zone Trucks and Buses, P _T Recreational vehicles, P _R Access points/ mi Class II highway Rolling Rolling Rolling 100% 0.88 No-passing zone 100% Recreational vehicles, P _R 5% Access points/ mi 0				
Average Traver Speed			Analysis Direction (d)	Opposing Direction (o)		
Passenger-car equivalents for tru	cks, E _T (Exhibit 20-9 or 20-15)		1.7	1.7		
Passenger-car equivalents for R\	A SECOND CONTRACTOR OF FRANCES		1.0	1.0		
Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor,	WAR TOWNSON HARRIE MANY TANKS ATTA MANYET MINISTER		0.966	0.966		
Grade adjustment factor 1, f _G (Ex	TOTAL PRO-CORD Select CARSACT PRODUC		1.00	1.00		
Directional flow rate2, v _i (pc/h) v _i =	V _i /(PHF*f _{HV} * f _G)		66	36		
Free-Flow	Speed from Field Measurement		Estimated Free-Flow Speed			
Field measured speed³, S_{FM} mi/h Observed volume³, V_f veh/h Free-flow speed, FFS $_d$ FFS= S_{FM} +0.00776(V_f / f_{HV}) mi/h Adjustment for no-passing zones, f_{np} (Exhibit 20-19) 2.4 mi/h		Adj. for lane width and shoulder width Adj. for access points ³ , f _A (Exhibit 20-Free-flow speed, FFS _d (FSS=BFFS-f, Average travel speed, ATS=FFS-0.00	5) 0.0 mi/h Ls ^{-f} A) 40.8 mi/h			
Percent Time-Spent-Following			Analysis Direction (d)	Opposing Direction (c)		
Passenger-car equivalents for tru	icks F_(Exhibit 20-10 or 20-16)		1.1	Opposing Direction (o) 1.1		
Passenger-car equivalents for R\			1.0	1.0		
Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor,			0.995	0.995		
Grade adjustment factor ¹ , f _G (Exl			1.00	1.00		
Directional flow rate ² , v _i (pc/h)=V _i /			64	35		
Base percent time-spent-followin				7.7		
Adj. for no-passing zone, f _{np} (Exh	SSECTION CONTRACTORY			51.2		
Percent time-spent-following, PT	WANDDAWA IS NO VENONONE AS			40.8		
Level of Service and Other Per						
Level of service, LOS (Exhibit 20	-3 or 20-4)			В		
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c=V _p /	1,700		0.04			
Peak 15-min veh-miles of travel,	VMT ₁₅ (veh- mi)=0.25L _t (V/PHF)			2		
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel	, VMT ₆₀ (veh- mi)=V*L _t			6		
Peak 15-min total travel time, TT	₁₅ (veh-h)=VMT ₁₅ /ATS			0.1		
Notes						
2. If v _i (v _d or v _o) >=1,700 pc/h, ter 3. For the analysis direction only 4. Exhibit 20-21 provides factors	5: 6		lowngrade.			

HCS+™ Version 5.5

Copyright © 2008 University of Florida, All Rights Reserved

Generated: 10/2/2014 11:40 AM

General Information	Site Information		
Analyst RH Agency or Company The Traffic Grop, Inc. Date Performed 7/3/2014 Analysis Time Period PM Peak	From/To Nor	cAuthur Blvd th of Entrance ntgomery Couny, MD al	
Project Description: Rockwood Manor			
Input Data			
Shoulder width tt Lane width tt Lane width tt Shoulder width tt Segment length, Ltmi	Terrain L Grade Length m Peak-hour factor, Ph No-passing zone % Trucks and Buses	HF 0.88 100% s,P _T 5%	
Analysis direction vol., V _d 640veh/h	% Recreational vehi		
Opposing direction vol., V _o 325veh/h	Access points/ mi	0	
Average Travel Speed			
	Analysis Direction (d)	Opposing Direction (o)	
Passenger-car equivalents for trucks, E _T (Exhibit 20-9 or 20-15)	1.1	1.2	
Passenger-car equivalents for RVs, E _R (Exhibit 20-9 or 20-17)	1.0	1.0	
Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, f_{HV} =1/ (1+ P_T (E_T -1)+ P_R (E_R -1))	0.995	0.990	
Grade adjustment factor ¹ , f _G (Exhibit 20-7 or 20-13)	1.00	1.00	
Directional flow rate ² , v _i (pc/h) v _i =V _i /(PHF*f _{HV} * f _G)	731	373	
Free-Flow Speed from Field Measurement	Estimated Free-F	low Speed	
Field measured speed³, S_{FM} mi/h Observed volume³, V_f veh/h Free-flow speed, FFS $_d$ FFS= S_{FM} +0.00776(V_f / f_{HV}) mi/h Adjustment for no-passing zones, f_{np} (Exhibit 20-19) 2.9 mi/h	Adj. for lane width and shoulder width, 3 f_{LS} (Exh Adj. for access points 3 , f_A (Exhibit 20-5) Free-flow speed, FFS $_d$ (FSS=BFFS- f_{LS} - f_A) Average travel speed, ATS=FFS-0.00776 v_p - f_{np}	20-5) 2.6 mi/h 0.0 mi/h 42.4 mi/h 31.0 mi/h	
	Analysis Direction (d)	Opposing Direction (o)	
Passenger-car equivalents for trucks, E _T (Exhibit 20-10 or 20-16)	1.0	1.1	
Passenger-car equivalents for RVs, E _R (Exhibit 20-10 or 20-16)	1.0	1.0	
Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, f_{HV} =1/ (1+ P_T (E_T -1)+ P_R (E_R -1))	1.000	0.995	
Grade adjustment factor ¹ , f _G (Exhibit 20-8 or 20-14)	1.00	1.00	
Directional flow rate ² , v _i (pc/h)=V _i /(PHF*f _{HV} * f _G)	727	371	
Base percent time-spent-following4, BPTSF(%)=100(1-eav _d ^b)	61.6		
Adj. for no-passing zone, f _{np} (Exhibit. 20-20)	31.1		
Percent time-spent-following, PTSF(%)=BPTSF+f np	82.2		
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures			
Level of service, LOS (Exhibit 20-3 or 20-4)	D 0.43		
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c=V _p / 1,700	0.43		
Peak 15-min veh-miles of travel, VMT ₁₅ (veh- <i>mi</i>)=0.25L _t (V/PHF)	18		
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT ₆₀ (veh- mi)=V*L _t	64		
Peak 15-min total travel time, TT ₁₅ (veh-h)=VMT ₁₅ /ATS	0.6		
Notes 1. If the highway is extended segment (level) or rolling terrain, fG=1.0 . 2. If $v_i(v_a \text{ or } v_o) >= 1,700 \text{ pc/h}$, terminate analysis—the LOS is F. 3. For the analysis direction only. 4. Exhibit 20-21 provides factors a and b.			

HCS+™ Version 5.5

Generated: 10/2/2014 10:29 AM

66 - ROCKWOOD MANOR FEASIBILITY STUDY

Copyright © 2008 University of Florida, All Rights Reserved







DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION
DEPARTMENT OF PERMITTING SERVICES


SIGHT DISTANCE EVALUATION


Facility/Subdivision Name: Rockwood Mano	r	Preliminary Plan Number: 1-		
Street Name: Belfast Road		Master Plan Road Classification: Tertiary		
Posted Speed Limit: 25 Street/Driveway #1 (Alternative 'A' Sight Distance (feet) OK? Right 219* YES Left 263 YES Comments: *Right sight distance is based on	mph Street/D	riveway #2 (Alternative ' Sight Distance (feet) Right 312* Left 150 hts: Low hanging limbs to	OK? YES YES	
the distance to Mc Carthur Blvd flowline.	should b	e trimmed back. *Right s on the distance to McCa	ight distance	
Classification or Posted Speed (use higher value) Sig in Ea Tertiary - 25 mph Secondary - 30 Business - 30 Primary - 35 Arterial - 40 (45) Major - 50 (55) (55)	GUIDELINES Required ht Distance ach Direction* 150' 200' 200' 250' 325' 400' 475' 550' rce: AASHTO	Sight distance is mea eye height of 3.5' at a centerline of the drive street) 6' back from the or edge of traveled we intersecting roadway 2.75' above the road visible. (See attached	a point on the eway (or side he face of curb ay of the where a point surface is	
ENGINEER/ SURVEYOR CER I hereby certify that this information is was collected in accordance with these signature 19908 PLS/P.E. MD Reg. No.	accurate and	Montgomery Company Approved Disapproved By: Date:	d:	


MARYLAND - NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION OEHME VAN SWEDEN & ASSOCIATES, INC : LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS























This page was intentionally left blank



October 6, 2014

Mrs. Sara Fiore McCartin, ASLA, LEED-AP OEHME, VAN SWEDEN, OvS Landscape Architecture 800 G Street SE Washington, D.C. 20003

RF.

Rockwood Manor Park

Traffic Study

Dear Mrs. McCartin,

CPJ conducted a sight distance study, on June 30, 2014 based upon the proposed exits shown on the exhibits labeled Alternative 'A' and Alternative 'B', for Rockwood Manor Park. These exits are located off of Belfast Rd. which is classified as a tertiary, road has a posted 25 miles per hour speed limit. CPJ also performed a sight distance study at the proposed entrance location along MacArthur Blvd. Sight distance studies are not required at entrances that are only one way. The sight distance study was performed on the precautionary basis in the event the entrance had to be used as an exit the driver would have adequate sight of oncoming traffic.

CPJ found that Alternative 'A' provides adequate sight distance, based upon Montgomery County requirements for tertiary roads, but is not located at the required 250' away from the intersection of MacArthur Blvd. and Belfast Rd. Choosing this exit may require further coordination with county reviewers. Reviewers can take into account that though it is not 250' away from the intersection, there is very little traffic or queuing along Belfast Road and the sight distance exceeds what's required.

Though Alternative 'B' is located over the required 250' away from the intersection, it just meets Montgomery County's sight distance requirement for tertiary roads. CPJ also noticed that Alternative 'B' will be placed near an existing culvert and sump area. The grading required for this option may require extra tree loss and rework of the existing culvert.

CPJ found that the entrance on MacArthur Blvd. provides adequate sight distance, based upon Montgomery County requirements for secondary roads. As stated previously, sight distance would only be required in emergency situations when the entrance would be used as an exit.

Based on review of both available documents and a visual review of the site, CPJ anticipates that the following approvals and permits may be required for this project:

- MDE Notice of Intent
- MDE Non-Tidal Wetlands an Waterways Construction Permit
- Required if construction is being done in areas where wetlands and U.S. waterways will be impacted
- M-NCPPC Mandatory Referral
- M-NCPPC NRI/FSD Plan approval
- M-NCPPC Forest Conservation Plan approval
- MCDPS R/W Permit

For storm drain and proposed road connection to Belfast Rd.

- MCDPS Sediment Control Permit
- MCDPS Stormwater Mangagement Concept approval
- MCDPS Stormwater Management approval
- MCDOT approval

For Maintenance of Traffic Plan

It should be noted that the MacArthur Blvd. entrance must also be 250' away from the intersection of Belfast Rd. and MacArthur Blvd. It has been discussed previously that the existing entrance be redeveloped to accommodate all large vehicles entering the site. There is no existing deceleration lane at the existing entrance.

1751 Elton Road, Suite 300 • Silver Spring, MD 20903 • 301-434-7000 • Fax: 301-434-9394 • www.cpja.com

We researched MCDOT's Design standards and saw no specific reference to when you have to have a deceleration lane. There were notes on most of the standards that on an open section roadway the decision would be made on a case by case basis. Deceleration lanes are not normally called for unless they are needed for capacity reasons and that is not the case here. We would recommend that we submit the report and wait to get feedback from them. This is not an intersection or entrance that is going to be getting use other than an occasional event so it is likely the County will not request one for that reason.

If this was a State highway, in which in this case it is not, their standards would request a full decal lane (385" full width, 100' taper) or a partial lane (100' full width, 100' taper). But again we would argue that this is a facility that that is used on an occasional basis and that we feel a deceleration lane is not necessary or that a partial lane would be more than adequate.

So we would recommend submitting what we have and then we can respond to any comment that may be made by the County.

Although CPJ cannot state with certainty that Alternative 'A' or 'B' will be approved as proposed, a hybrid of the two by shifting the entrance location will likely be approved by MCDPS. The worst case for the proposed entrance on MacArthur Blvd, is located 250 feet away from Belfast Road.

Sincerely,

Brian Davila, P.E

Public Sector Division Section Head Charles P. Johnson & Associates, Inc.

CC: CPJ File No. 44-214