

ATTACHMENT # B

TITLE: Updated Briefing Schedule

**Intercounty Connector Environmental Impact Study
Planning Board Briefing Schedule**

January 28, 2005 DRAFT

Prior SHA Activities

- Scoping Public Open Houses - June 2003
- Alternatives Public Workshops - November 13, 15, and 19, 2003

County Council Activities

- Comment on ARDS per November Public Workshops - December 1, 2003

Briefing # 1 – January 22, 2004 (2.0 hours–no public testimony) - COMPLETED

Topics:

- Review ICC Study Background and Schedule
- Review Draft Alternates Retained for Detailed Study (ARDS)
- Review County Council Comments on ARDS
- Review 1989 Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with SHA re: parkland impacts
- Review M-NCPPC staff involvement in SHA study

Interim SHA Activities

- ARDS selection - February 2004

Briefing # 2 – March 4, 2004 (1.5 hours – no public testimony) - COMPLETED

“Property Owner” topics

- Staff proposal defining how “equal quality” parkland will be assessed per MOU (Hench)
- Means by which park owner statements can influence Section 4(f) impacts analysis (SHA)

“Planning / Zoning Authority” topics

- Review proposed briefing schedule (Valladares)
- Confirmation that Planning Board process dovetails with SHA process (SHA)
- Update on selected ARDS package (SHA), response to Council / Board comments

Interim SHA Activities

- Draft Environmental Stewardship materials - March 2004
- Expert Land Use Panel Analysis Findings - June 2004
- Draft Travel Demand Analysis materials - April 2004
- Draft Cultural Resources Effects materials - May 2004
- Preliminary Draft Socioeconomic and Land Use Technical Report - 10 May 2004.

- Preliminary Draft Noise Quality Technical Report - 10 May 2004.
- Draft Section 4(f) Evaluation materials - May 2004

Briefing # 3 – June 3, 2004 (2 hours – no public testimony) - COMPLETED	
“Property Owner” topics	“Planning / Zoning Authority” topics
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review of SHA Draft Section 4(f) Impacts report • Staff proposal for candidate replacement parklands that would satisfy MOU (Hench) • Staff proposal for Section 4(f) impacts analysis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review proposed briefing schedule (Valladares) • Review SHA’s interim draft technical report findings • Review Environmental Stewardship process and findings • Staff proposal for policy guidance on balancing natural / cultural / community resource protection and enhancement

Interim SHA Activities

- Public Informational Update Meetings - June 2004
- Draft Natural Environmental Technical Report - June 2004
- Draft Air Quality Technical Report - June 2004

Briefing # 4 – July 15, 2004 (4 hours) - COMPLETED	
Include Public Testimony on Staff Recommendations	
“Property Owner” topics	“Planning / Zoning Authority” topics
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planning Board comment to SHA on staff proposals for MOU and candidate replacement parkland 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review proposed briefing schedule (Valladares) • Planning Board comment to SHA on staff proposals for policy guidance on balancing natural / cultural / community resource protection and enhancement • Review SHA’s interim technical report findings • Review Environmental Stewardship process and findings

Interim SHA Activities

- Preliminary DEIS for IAWG only - July 23, 2004
- DEIS/Draft Section 4(f) Notice of Availability (NOA) - December 3, 2004

- Joint Location, Design and Corps of Engineers Section 404 **Public Hearings** - January 4, 5 & 8, 2005

Briefing # 5 – January 13, 2005 (2 hours – no public testimony) - COMPLETED	
“Property Owner” topics	“Planning / Zoning Authority” topics
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review draft 4(f) findings • Staff proposal on parkland mitigation concepts for inclusion in FEIS (both Corridor 1 and Corridor 2) • Park staffing impacts • FY 05 & 06 Operating and CIP Budget/Work Program impacts 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review DEIS summary of findings • Air Quality Conformity/COG • Review SHA Public Hearings • Present menu of options for Preferred Alternative • Planning Board comment on additional supplementary information requested of staff for Briefing #6. • Review proposed briefing schedule

Interim SHA Activities

- Joint Location, Design and Corps of Engineers Section 404 **Public Hearing** - January 29, 2005

Briefing # 6 – February 3, 2005 (4 hours)	
Include Public Testimony on Staff Preferred Alternative Recommendations	
“Property Owner” topics	“Planning / Zoning Authority” topics
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As necessary 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planning Board recommendations on Preferred Alternative. • Review proposed briefing schedule

Interim SHA Activities

- Close of Comment Period - February 15, 2005
- Conceptual Mitigation Package to LAWG - March 2005
- **SHA selection of Preferred Alternative**
- **FHWA concurrence on SHA Preferred Alternative**
- Preliminary FEIS preparation, March 2005

Briefing # 7 – April 7, 2005 (2 hours – no public testimony)	
“Property Owner” topics	“Planning / Zoning Authority” topics
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planning Board comments to SHA on staff proposals for parkland mitigation and compensation concepts for recommended Preferred Alternative. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review proposed briefing schedule (Valladares)

Interim SHA Activities

- Preliminary FEIS publication - April 2005
- Conceptual Mitigation Concurrence by MDE, COE - April 2005
- Notice of Availability (NOA) FEIS - June 2005

Briefing # 8 – July 2005 (2 hours – no public testimony)	
“Property Owner” topics	“Planning / Zoning Authority” topics
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discuss status of parkland mitigation with SHA staff • Park construction impacts 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review future involvement schedule (Valladares)

- **FHWA Record of Decision (ROD) - July 2005**
- **Start detailed design**
- **Start Construction - October 2006-2010**

Subsequent Planning Board briefing for design and construction phases to be developed as needed.

Topic details

Section 4(f) analysis / influence includes:

- Indirect “constructive use” impacts
- Bike path definition as recreation vs. transportation resources
- Means by which a “higher acreage” impact could be the preferred minimization alternative and how the Planning Board can influence the FHWA findings in this regard

Travel demand analysis includes:

- Accessibility
- Value pricing / toll issues
- Effect of ICC on intersections and links in study area
- Alternative interchange / truncation options

Natural environmental impact analysis includes:

- Stream crossing techniques and designs
- Stormwater management treatment considerations
- SPA considerations

“Balancing natural/cultural/community resource protection and enhancement” considers policy issues such as:

- Transportation/recreation value of bike path versus impervious surface/resource protection issues
- Support for distributing presumably scarce stewardship resources among categories

ICC Personal Archives.doc