Site Plan No. 820170120 Leisure World Administration Building and Clubhouse I

Appendix B

E-mail topic area: Opposition to the Planning Board meeting location
In reply to your "Message from the Office of the Planning Board Chair Regarding Site Plan 820170120:

Your report reveals that November 30, 2017 will be "a very full day for the Planning Board, including an evening session necessary to cover all of the items on the agenda", obviously overwhelming the Commission.

Given that you concede there exists "broad interest" in this extremely controversial and unwarranted Leisure World scheme, and there will be stated potential "time constraints" placed upon those who want to testify, it is incumbent upon the Chairman to assure there be no further impression of partiality.

As such, you are hereby requested to reschedule this hearing - to be held on another date - in Leisure World - solely to hear testimony re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1.

325 standing room only!!!

slkatzman
President,
"JustUs" advocates to enhance the quality of life for all Leisure World residents
From: MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mnccpc-mc.org>
Date: October 16, 2017 4:27:54 PM EDT
To: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Subject: Message from the Office of the Planning Board Chair Regarding Site Plan 820170120

Below is a message from the office of the Planning Board Chair regarding Site Plan 820170120:

The Planning Board Rules of Procedure establish a reasonable and consistent process for the Planning Board to consider, hear, and act upon certain regulatory matters under its authority. The Rules are intended to ensure fair and impartial treatment of each Application before considering all evidence presented, to promote the orderly and efficient conduct of public proceedings convened to decide matters before the Board, and to comply with applicable federal, state, and County law. (Rule 1.2). See below for link to the Rules.

The Chair is the presiding officer at any hearing held by the Planning Board and is responsible to ensure that hearings are conducted for the development of a complete administrative record and to provide a reasonable opportunity for interested Persons to be heard. (Rule 4.2). In that light, the Chair sets the date, time, and location of each hearing. (Rules 4.4), subject to §59.7.3.4.C. of the Zoning Ordinance, which mandates that a public hearing begin within 120 days after the date an application is accepted, unless the applicant requests an extension.

Planning Board Chair Casey Anderson has reviewed your request (and many others) for relocation of the hearing on Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1, and he has decided that the best course in this matter is for the hearing to be held in the Planning Board auditorium, 8787 Geogia Avenue in Silver Spring. The hearing date has been scheduled for the first item after lunch on November 30, which is already a very full day for the Planning Board, including an evening session necessary to cover all of the items on the agenda.

The Board encourages any individual or organization to submit a written statement for consideration by the Planning Board, which must be submitted via e-mail to MCP-Chair@mnccpc-mc.org, faxed to Chair Casey Anderson at 301-495-1320, or addressed to: Casey Anderson, Chair, Montgomery County Planning Board, 8787 Georgia Avenue, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910 by 9 AM on the morning of November 29, 2017, to give ample time for the Board to receive and fully consider all of the testimony. This is particularly important because the Chair may also set time limits for each segment of a public hearing, including limits on the time for individual speakers and the total time permitted for oral testimony. The Chair must consider the total amount of time available to conduct the hearing, the number of Persons who request to be heard, and the overall breadth and complexity of the matters to be considered by the Planning Board. Individuals
testifying before the Board are generally limited to 3 minutes (Rule 4.7). However, as noted on the testimony rules on
the Board’s website, occasionally due to time constraints, the Chair may need to limit the total amount of time
dedicated to public testimony. And given the broad interest in this matter, advance written testimony will not only
assure that the Board can consider the positions of all interested Persons, but it will provide the Board members
sufficient time to consider all of its options. That would include any request to defer the decision of the Board so that in
the event the Board feels after receiving written testimony submitted before the hearing and listening to the testimony
at the scheduled hearing, there is any value in keeping the record open for more information. However, it will be a
decision of the Board to determine whether they are ready to bring the matter to a conclusion.

Questions have been raised about whether the Planning Board hearing room can accommodate a large crowd, and
whether there is ample parking. If the need arises, we can accommodate overflow attendance in convenient locations
throughout the building as we have done with other hearings with large crowds in attendance. In addition, there is a
County parking garage next to the building with sufficient public parking. The Leisure World Board of Directors has also
indicated that they are considering whether to provide transportation to the hearing and will notify residents once a
decision has been made. If you have questions about this, please contact them directly. Finally, for those who cannot
attend, Planning Board hearings are webcast live through the following link:

http://montgomeryplanningboard.org/meetings/watch-online/

The Planning Board Rules of Procedure are available for review

Once again, thank you for your interest in this matter and I hope this information is helpful to you.

Mitzi Figueroa
Senior Advisor to the Chair
Montgomery County Planning Board
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, MD 20910
O: 301.495.4515
C: 240.397.1372

Subject: continuing Request for Hearing to be Held at Leisure World
From: admin@Justus Scalars
Date: October 14, 2017 10:51:11 PM EDT
To: Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>, Justus organization <justus@justus.scalars>, casey anderson
    <casey.anderson@mnccpc.org>, Montgomery County Council
    <county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov>, press and tv mediaf <media@justus.scalars>, ben
    kramer <Benjamin.Kramer@house.state.md.us>, Joyce Garcia <joyce.garcia@mnccpc-mc.org>, Lori
    Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, Elaine and Sonny <emtkw67@gmail.com>, mark fine
    <ccoc@montgomerycountymd.gov>

From: JustUs admin <admin@justus.scalars>
Date: October 5, 2017 1:07:51 PM EDT
To: Natalie Brodsky <nataliebrodsky@hotmail.com>, JustUs <justus@justus.scalars>
Cc: "carol.rubin@mnccpc" <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>, Montgomery County Council
    <county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov>, press and tv mediaf <media@justus.scalars>
Subject: Re: Planning Board Hearing

Carol:
Casey Anderson and/or his assistant have failed to provide the many times requested reason (other than @ his discretion) for his refusal to accommodate the senior residents of LW - and hold this hearing here - although:

the LW CH 1 Crystal Ballroom and the CH 2 auditorium is larger than the P&P hearing room; LW has all of the necessary IT and media facilities to accommodate P&P needs; multiple residents have voiced their voices and requested it be held in LW.

Failure to accommodate the needs as requested will surely result in an even more upset and "ready to rumble" (protest) - myself and others more than willing to lead the charge!

slk

Subject: Leisure World Administration Building
Dear Ms. Shirley,

Because the Leisure World Board of Directors has not allowed a referendum on the question of a new administration building nor an engineering study of the present building, we residents are feeling completely stymied as to how to make our voices heard in a supposedly democratic society.

Our pleas to the governing Board of Directors have been ignored and our last resort is to appeal to you--our duly appointed representatives of the community.

On November 2nd we hope to express, in person, cogent reasons that will move you to deny the Board's relentless pursuit to impose their will. You have invited us to participate at your hearing and we expect to present our views as succinctly as possible. You can understand how alarming it is to us to hear that time is short and the schedule is packed.

We simply ask that you understand our reasoning and our deeply held belief that modifying the present building is the right thing to do.

Sincerely,
Barbara Studwell
14805 Pennfield Circle #307
Silver Spring, MD
301-598-0706

From: Lois Kutun <lkutun@msn.com>
Date: October 17, 2017 6:28:29 PM EDT
To: "casey.anderson@mncppc.org" <casey.anderson@mncppc.org>
Cc: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Subject: HEARING on Site Plan 820170120

Dear Chairperson Anderson:

We Leisure World residents are now finding out that the Planning Board's hearing on Site Plan 820170120 (Administration Building) will indeed be held at the MNCPPC building in downtown Silver Spring.

Additionally, we are learning that November 30, 2017, will be "a very full day for the Planning Board, including an evening session necessary to cover all of the items on the agenda," and there will be stated potential "time constraints" placed upon those who want to testify.
First, you are making it difficult for us by having the hearing in downtown Silver Spring.

Then, you're telling us that, even if we are able to make it to the hearing, you will potentially cut us off mid-sentence if we go over our allotted time. Why not solve all of your and our problems by canceling the November 30 hearing on the Site Plan and arranging to have the hearing here in Leisure World on another date.

Pick a date that works for you. If it's here in Leisure World, we'll show up and exercise our right to participate.

Thank you for your consideration.

Thomas A. Conger and Lois L. Kutun
3536 Fitzhugh Lane
Silver Spring, Maryland

-----Original Message-----
From: Leventhal's Office, Councilmember <Councilmember.Leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov>
To: lojo321 <lojo321@aol.com>
Cc: #CCL.Leventhal Staff <#CCL.LeventhalStaff@montgomerycountymd.gov>
Sent: Wed, Oct 4, 2017 8:33 am
Subject: Re: November 2, 2017 Planning Board Meeting

Dear Ms. Jordan:

Thank you for copying me on your message to Planning Board Chairman Casey Anderson. I wrote to Chairman Anderson earlier to make sure he was aware of Leisure World residents' request to hold the hearing in Leisure World.

Best regards,
George Leventhal
Montgomery County Councilmember

______________________________
From: lojo321@aol.com <lojo321@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 3, 2017 12:49 PM
To: Casey.Anderson@mncppc-mc.org
Cc: Lori.Shirley@Montgomeryplanning.org
Subject: November 2, 2017 Planning Board Meeting

Dear Ms. Anderson,

I am a resident of Leisure World (LW) and am writing to ask that you re-consider having the planning board meeting on November 2nd held here in Leisure World.

The proposal to build a new administration building in Leisure World has created a groundswell of interest and reasonable concerns which, I believe, should merit the attention of members of the Planning Board. More than 300 residents attended the last two Town Hall meetings here in LW to express their concerns about the rationale of moving forward with this plan... a plan that was approved by the LW board in the absence of an engineering study. Many of these residents will find it
difficult, if not impossible, to attend the November 2nd meeting at 8787 Georgia Avenue. Ambulation and accessible transportation can present certain hardships for an aging population. To deny the people who will be affected most by the implementation of this plan a convenient venue in which to assemble is inexplicable.

Please re-consider this request of having this meeting here in Leisure World; it will be the right thing to do.

Sincerely,

Lois A. Jordan

From: admin@justus.group
Date: October 14, 2017 10:01:36 PM EDT
To: casey.anderson@mnccpc.org, Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>, Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, Joyce Garcia <joyce.garcia@mnccpc-md.org>, mark fine <ccoc@montgomerycoutny.md.gov>, press and tv media <media@justus.group>, ben kramer <Benjamin.Kramer@house.state.md.us>, roger manno <roger.manno@senate.state.md.us>, bonnie cullison <bonniecullison@yahoo.com>, "Morales, Marice Delegate"
<Marice.Morales@house.state.md.us>, Sidney@SidneyKatz.com, George Leventhal <georgeleventhal@hotmail.com>, Councilmember Nancy Floreen's Office
<Councilmember.Floreen@montgomerycoutny.md.gov>, Roger Berliner <berliner.rog@gmail.com>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>, Elaine and Sonny <emikw67@gmail.com>
Subject: Request for Hearing to be Held at Leisure World

CHAIRMAN ANDERSON:

YOU SHOULD HOLD THE HEARING HERE AS DOES OUR COUNTY COUNCIL WHEN LW IS ON THEIR AGENDA. REMEMBER WE ARE SENIOR CITIZENS, MANY OF WHO CANNOT READILY GET TO THE COMMISSION WITHOUT HELP. AND WE VERY MUCH NEED YOURS- BY COMING HERE.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION OF OUR RECOMMENDATION. EVEN IF YOU HAVE A FULL AGENDA, PLEASE NOTE THAT WE OWNER /RESIDENTS AT LEISURE WORLD WOULD HAVE NO LEGALLY ELECTED REPRESENTATIVES FOR THE HEARING. THE LW BOARD IS AN APPOINTED BODY. TO GIVE US A REAL CHANCE TO BE HEARD, PLEASE ARRANGE TO HAVE THE HEARING AT LW.

RESPECTFULLY YOURS,

RICHARD P. THORNELL,

PROFESSOR EMERITUS OF LAW,

HOWARD UNIVERSITY
Dear Chairperson Anderson,

In 1970 I attended a public hearing on the proposed Bethesda-Cherry Chase Master Plan. The hearing was put on by MNCPPC, and it was held at the GEC auditorium. Hundreds of people from the affected community were present to participate in the planning process. Shortly after the hearing, I moved to another part of the County.

So and behold, after 47 years, I am back in Montgomery, living in Leisure World. I expected the MNCPPC to still be open to public participation in the planning process.

I guess I was wrong to assume that they truly wish for the community to participate. This is clearly shown by your actions in allowing the public hearing on the Proposed Administration Building to be held here in Leisure World. I hope that you will re-consider your decision to hold the hear
From: "Rubin, Carol" <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>
Date: October 5, 2017 at 11:55:48 AM EDT
To: Natalie Brodsky <nataliebrodsky@hotmail.com>, "ngerke@lwmc.com" <ngerke@lwmc.com>
Subject: RE: Planning Board Hearing

FYI, the hearing has been rescheduled to November 30.

Carol S. Rubin
Principal Counsel
Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission
8787 Georgia Avenue, Suite 205
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
tel: 301-495-4646; fax: 301-495-2173
email: carol.rubin@mncppc.org

From: Natalie Brodsky [mailto:nataliebrodsky@hotmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 05, 2017 11:51 AM
To: ngerke@lwmc.com
Cc: Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>
Subject: Planning Board Hearing

In conversation with Carol Rubin, she mentioned to me that bus transportation was going to be provided to the hearing on November 2. Would you please provide me with the arrangements that are being made for the residents that want to attend this.

I would appreciate a reply from you.

From: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Date: October 5, 2017 8:33:04 AM EDT
To: justus organization <justus@justus.group>, casey anderson <casey.anderson@mncppc.org>, Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>, Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, Montgomery County Councilf, Joyce Garcia <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>
Cc: mark fine <ccoc@montgomerycountymd.gov>, press and tv mediaf <media@justus.group>
Subject: Request for Hearing to be Held at Leisure World

I was just informed that the room for the hearing is too too small for the amount of people that will attend. How are you going to handle the crowd? Let alone we will have a problem with where will we park all the cars. If there is not enough space for us, that means that you have no choice but to move it to Leisure World to accommodate the seniors and tax payers plus that also have a monthly condo fees that pays for everything here including the resale fund which
we had to pay when we moved in (now it is at 2% and the board is trying to raise it to 3% to add more money into this fund to cover the cost of this ridiculous bldg.

Subject:
Re: Planning Board Hearing
From:
natalie brodsky <nataliebrodsky@hotmail.com>
Date:
October 5, 2017 12:48:37 PM EDT
To:
Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>

From: Fred Shapiro <fshapiro@comcast.net>
Subject: Re: Request for Hearing to be Held at Leisure World
Date: October 5, 2017 at 7:47:39 AM EDT
To: casey.anderson@mncppc.org
Cc: justus organization <justus@justus.group>, Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>, Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, Joyce Garcia <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>, mark fine <ccoc@montgomerycountymd.gov>, press and tv mediaf <media@justus.group>, ben kramer <Benjamin.Kramer@house.state.md.us>, roger manno <roger.manno@senate.state.md.us>, bonnie cullison <bonniecullison@yahoo.com>, "Morales, Marice Delegate" <Marice.Morales@house.state.md.us>, Sidney@SidneyKatz.com, George Leventhal <georgeleventhal@hotmail.com>, Councilmember Nancy Floreen's Office <Councilmember.Floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov>, Roger Berliner <berliner.rog@gmail.com>

Dear Chairperson Anderson,

As a former Vice-Chair of the LW Board of Directors and an active resident of the community, I implore upon you to enable the Planning Commission to hear the voices of the many residents who for physical or other reasons do not drive and cannot be transported to the hearing if held in Silver Spring. You are allowing illegal activities and conflicts of interest to flourish in this community if you do not change your mind and hold the hearing elsewhere,

The poor planning of a major project and the ultimate cost are being pursued against the will of the rescinders, with a questionable management supported by an illegally elected Board of Directors. There is a need for democracy to find a place in Leisure World and you can help by bringing the hearing to Leisure World.

I would hope our elected officials, who are also friends, will chip in to make you realize what you are doing that is harmful to our community by reducing the ability of this community to speaks its mind and force the cancellation of a very questionable project.

Thanks for your consideration and I hope you see the LIGHT.

Fred Shapiro

From: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Date: October 4, 2017 10:31:10 PM EDT
To: casey.anderson <casey.anderson@mncppc.org>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>, Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>, Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, Montgomery County Councilf, Joyce Garcia <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>
Cc: mark fine <ccoc@montgomerycountymd.gov>, press and tv mediaf <media@justus.group>
Subject: Request for Hearing to be Held at Leisure World
From: Lois Kutun <lkutun@msn.com>
Date: October 4, 2017 9:35:08 PM EDT
To: "casey.anderson@mncppc.org" <casey.anderson@mncppc.org>
Cc: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Subject: Request for Hearing to be Held at Leisure World

Dear Chairperson Anderson,

Please consider having the hearing on site plan #820170120 (Leisure World Administration Building) here in Leisure World. We have wonderful facilities that would be suitable for the hearing (either the ballroom of Club House 1 or the auditorium of Club House 2). As you are no doubt aware, we are a community of senior citizens, and for some of us it would be difficult to make the trip to downtown Silver Spring. And, it would allow for more community involvement since either of our facilities can accommodate several hundred of our residents. I spoke with a number of my neighbors in Mutual 18, and, in addition to my wife and I, these homeowners favor having the hearing here in Leisure World: Mr. and Mrs. Clifton West, B McCool, Mr. and Mrs. David Pollack, H. Xander, S. Haupt.

Thank you so much for your consideration.

Thomas A. Conger and Lois L. Kutun
3536 Fitzhugh Lane
Silver Spring, MD 20906

From: carole portis <onomistee@aol.com>
Subject: Fwd: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Date: September 29, 2017 6:55:50 PM EDT
To: Joyce Garcia <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>, Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>
Cc: admin@justus.group

I second this request. Rationale?

Carole L. Portis
onomistee@aol.com

From: admin <admin@justus.group>
To: Joyce Garcia <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>; Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>; Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>; Undisclosed-recipients: <>;
Cc: justus organization <justus@justus.group>
Sent: Fri, Sep 29, 2017 5:07 pm
Subject: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1
Joyce:

As has been explained several times, this is a senior citizen community - many are not able to travel to Silver Spring to attend this hearing.

Whereas the IT and communication necessities are available to meet your needs, you have been asked to cite the specific reason for refusing to accommodate the request to hold the Nov. 2, 2017 hearing in Leisure World.

dlkl

From: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Date: September 28, 2017 12:05:31 AM EDT
To: joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org, Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>, Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>, legal@justus.group, pr@justus.group, Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>, press and tv media <media@justus.group>, "Garcia, Joyce" <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>
Subject: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

There has been no legitimate or statutory reason cited for the denial.

Please do so.

dlkl

From: MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org>
Date: September 25, 2017 2:38:21 PM EDT
To: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Cc: "Rubin, Carol" <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>, "Shirley, Lori" <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>, "legal@justus.group" <legal@justus.group>, "pr@justus.group" <pr@justus.group>, Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>, press and tv media <media@justus.group>, "Garcia, Joyce" <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>
Subject: RE: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Planning Board Chair Casey Anderson has reviewed your request for relocation of the hearing on this plan and has restated that the hearing will be held here in our auditorium, 8787 Georgia Avenue in Silver Spring. The hearing date is tentatively scheduled for November 2. If you have a preference for the time of the hearing – morning, afternoon, or evening – please advise and your time preference will be honored.

Thank you.

Joyce Pettigrew Garcia
Special Assistant to the Montgomery County Planning Board
M-NCPIC
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, MD 20910
Direct: 301-495-4631
Main: 301-495-4605
Fax: 301-495-1320
From: admin@justus.group [mailto:admin@justus.group]
Sent: Friday, September 22, 2017 9:19 AM
To: MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org>
Cc: Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>; Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>; justus organization <justus@justus.group>; legal@justus.group; pr@justus.group; Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>; press and tv mediaf <media@justus.group>; Garcia, Joyce <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>
Subject: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Re: Request for hearing relocation -re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Mr. Anderson et al:

There has been no reply to the appeals sent to your attention.

slk

From: admin <admin@justus.group>
To: MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org>
Cc: richard thornell <rthornell@comcast.net>; Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>; Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>; justus organization <justus@justus.group>; Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>; Ike Leggett <ikeleggett@verizon.net>; bonnie cullison <bonniecullison@yahoo.com>; ben kramer <Benjamin.Kramer@house.state.md.us>; fred shapiro <fschapiro@comcast.net>
Sent: Mon, Jul 31, 2017 9:55 pm
Subject: Re: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Mr. Anderson et al:

While appreciative of your willingness to schedule the tentative hearing at our "preferred time", no reason for denying the request was provided.

How many emails, calls, letters and personal visits do you require to understand the burden you have placed upon the residents who will be unable to attend your hearing because of your refusal to accommodate their request?

Perhaps you have not been made aware that a resident sponsored Town Hall meeting was held last Friday here in the Leisure World Clubhouse 1 Crystal Ballroom. The senior citizen residents are so opposed to this unwanted and unnecessary expenditure of their funds, that in the midst of flood warnings -at least 325 residents packed the huge room to overflow capacity with standing room only. Finally, the Town Hall meeting provided residents the opportunity to have their voices and opinions heard - unlike the unelected Leisure World Board of Directors who not only ignores their questions and opinions, but cuts them off without consideration.

You must be made aware that the vast majority of Leisure World residents object to LW BOD outright refusal to allow them a referendum vote on this issue - the LW BOD has twice voted to deny motions to conduct a comprehensive engineering study in order to determine the viability and cost of renovate the current building. Although most likely not of any concern to you---I repeat - AT NO TIME HAS LEISURE WORLD BOD
CONTRACTED AN UNBIASED ENGINEERING FIRM TO CONDUCT A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY OF THE CURRENT BUILDING.

Having followed the recommendation of your Principal Counsel, the request to relocate the hearing in Leisure World, where all of your IT requirements to capture a record of the proceedings are available, this is to appeal your refusal to honor our accommodation request.
Subject: Appeal for location to accommodate Leisure World Residents - re Site Plan 820170

From: nation beverly <brfletcher@aol.com>
Date: August 1, 2017 5:46:40 PM EDT
To: joyce.garcia@mnccpc-mc.org, mcp-chair@mnccpc-mc.org, admin@justus.group, Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>, ikeleggett@montgomerycountymaryland.com and 3 more...
Cc: Nation Vivian <vian54us@yahoo.com>, nation beverly <brfletcher@aol.com>, carole portis <onomistee@aol.com>

To the Montgomery County Planning Board (M-NCPCC)

As concerned voting citizens of Montgomery County, this email is to appeal your decision not to accommodate residents of Leisure World at a Leisure World location for your hearing to review the Site Plan for Leisure World's Administrative Building.

According to your own policies you have stated that M-NCPCC: "...encourages the involvement and participation of all individuals in the community, including those with disabilities, in the planning and review processes. In accordance with the requirements of Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"), M-NCPCC will not discriminate against individuals with disabilities in its services, programs or activities. MNCPPC works to make its facilities and materials accessible and to hold public meetings in locations that are, likewise, accessible."

By denying the Leisure World location, you have in effect violated the very heart and essence of your policy, because this denial has made it impossible for many of our concerned residents to attend this critical meeting.

Our community has facilities both in Clubhouse I and Clubhouse II that can easily accommodate this meeting. As concerned residents of Leisure World, we encourage the Planning Board to reconsider and grant us all the complete ability to attend this important meeting by relocating it to Leisure World. This matter directly concerns our very future and welfare.

Vivian S. Nation and Dr. Beverly R. Fletcher-Nation

From: onomistee@aol.com
Date: August 1, 2017 9:36:53 AM EDT
To: admin@justus.group, mcp-chair@mnccpc-mc.org
It is shameful that this request cannot be accommodated for Leisure World? There are many persons of age, disabilities, do not drive and for the sake of being concerned for their well-being, this meeting must take place at Leisure World. I am a disabled Veteran, this would be appreciated.

All will be looking for our votes soon!

Carole L. Portis

gangmister@aol.com

325 standing room only!!!

slkatzman
President,
"JustUs" advocates to enhance the quality of life for all Leisure World residents

justus.group
admin@justus.group
Albert Einstein – “We cannot solve our problems with the same level of thinking that created them.”
Dear

This letter is submitted in OPPOSITION to the proposal for demolition and replacement of Leisure World's administration building (site plan #820170120).

First, the application submitted to the Montgomery Planning Board of the MNCPPC was, in our opinion, approved by an illegally constituted Leisure World Board of Directors. The Board is composed of members who were never voted on by the residents of Leisure World. Rather, each mutual of Leisure World elects a Board. Those Boards then select one of their own (usually the president of the mutual) to serve on the overall Leisure World Board of Directors. This makes for over 30 members of the Leisure World Board of Directors, a most unwieldy and inefficient governing body of principally "yes men" or "yes women" to the General Manager Kevin Flannery and the Executive Committee of the Board. It is our understanding that this process of selecting the Leisure World Board of Directors is contrary to the statutes of the State of Maryland. Therefore, the application that you have accepted should be declared null and void.

Second, we are opposed to the proposal because a detailed, invasive engineering study was never done to determine if the existing administration building could be modified and upgraded to fit the needs of Leisure World. So often in our society we are too eager to tear down and throw away, rather than preserve and upgrade. The current administration building is a fine looking structure that is well-sited and harmonious with its surroundings (many trees & shrubs that lend themselves to a very pleasant landscape).

Third, the implementation of the proposal to raze and rebuild would grossly alter the "front door" to our community; i.e., the view that visitors and residents alike have when they enter the development. First, one passes by the Leisure World globe (who doesn't love the globe?). Then as you go through the guard gate, you see ahead of you a beautiful scene of trees and shrubbery that surround the administration building and Club House 1. With the razing of the existing administration building, all you would see is a parking lot. Ugh! No matter that it would be landscaped, it's still a parking lot! We don't want our view of Leisure World to be a parking lot every time we come home to our community. The residents of Leisure World are proud of the way our development looks—it has taken decades to get to this point of serene and soothing surroundings. We want to keep it that way.

Fourth, there will be many trees removed or adversely impacted if the razing and re-building plan is carried out. Sure, the development plan calls for the planting of new trees to replace the ones taken away, but these replacement trees will be immature. Arborists that we are familiar with say that it will take 30 years for these trees to be fully mature. Leisure World has 22% tree cover. The County's environmental department says we should have 40%. We would be going backwards from the 22% if the puny little saplings are planted and counted as part of our tree cover. Additionally, one tree that will be affected is the Japanese Pagoda Tree, located next to the current administration building. This tree has been designated as a "Maryland Champion Tree." Keep our existing trees (including the Japanese Pagoda Tree) by not implementing the proposed development plan.

Fifth, we are greatly concerned about the environmental impacts that will ensue should the plan be carried out. The razing of the existing structure will be noisy and unsightly, involving the use of heavy equipment to tear down and haul away the resultant debris. One of the things that Leisure World residents love the most about our community is the tranquility of the place. It will certainly not be tranquil with all this demolition going on. And then there's the dust and particulate matter in the air that
will result from the demolition, not good for the lungs of our aging population. After the building is razed, to where will the materials be transported? Montgomery County has no landfill, so the stuff will have to be trucked long distances to another site, necessitating the burning of fossil fuels to get it there. And, then there’s the matter of where the proposed new building will be located. The site that has been chosen is land that has had severe soil stability and drainage problems in the past. The plot of ground that the existing administration building sits upon has no drainage problems and no soil issues. Sixth, we are concerned about the cost of the proposed razing and new construction. Figures that have been provided are 5-6 years out of date, and no increase in the estimated cost that would account for inflation has been given. We have lived in Leisure World for six years. Not a year has gone by that we didn’t have an increase in our Mutual 18 fees that are payable each month. It’s called dealing with inflation. We don’t want to be stuck with a price tag that ends up being millions over what was estimated. In Leisure World, we currently require buyers who wish to live in our community to pay 2% of the price of the dwelling. If there’s not enough funds to pay for the razing and new construction project, we could see the Leisure World Board of Directors pushing up the fee to 3%, which would make selling our home more difficult. On a $400,000 house, the fee would jump from $8,000 to $12,000. Please reject this application, as it is not in the best interest of the general welfare of Leisure World, a wonderful, tranquil and harmonious part of Montgomery County, Maryland.

Sincerely,

Thomas A. Conger

Lois L. Kutun

3536 Fitzhugh Lane
Silver Spring, Maryland 20906
There have been two recent, standing-room-only meetings here of residents who have serious objections to building a new administration building. That's 300+ residents per meeting. By not holding your hearing here you risk (a) excluding those who are unable to go to Rockville, which could be malfeasance on your part, and (b) trying to cram the remaining residents, some of whom are in wheelchairs, into a smaller chamber at your headquarters, which will be grist for the newspapers.

At what point do you have enough evidence to move the Nov 30 hearing affecting Leisure World residents from your office to Leisure World? Do the right thing and take a bold step: Move the meeting to Leisure World and let there be complete light on the proceedings. We will do all the work necessary for you to feel right at home here.
Dear Chairperson Anderson:

We Leisure World residents are now finding out that the Planning Board's hearing on Site Plan 820170120 (Administration Building) will indeed be held at the MNCPPC building in downtown Silver Spring.

Additionally, we are learning that November 30, 2017, will be "a very full day for the Planning Board, including an evening session necessary to cover all of the items on the agenda," and there will be stated potential "time constraints" placed upon those who want to testify.

First, you are making it difficult for us by having the hearing in downtown Silver Spring.

Then, you're telling us that, even if we are able to make it to the hearing, you will potentially cut us off mid-sentence if we go over our allotted time. Why not solve all of your and our problems by canceling the November 30 hearing on the Site Plan and arranging to have the hearing here in Leisure World on another date.

Pick a date that works for you. If it's here in Leisure World, we'll show up and exercise our right to participate.

Thank you for your consideration.

Thomas A. Conger and Lois L. Kutun
3536 Fitzhugh Lane
Silver Spring, Maryland

325 standing room only!!!
slkatzman
President,
"JustUs" advocates to enhance the quality of life for all Leisure World residents

justus.group
admin@justus.group

Albert Einstein – “We cannot solve our problems with the same level of thinking that created them.”
In reply to your "Message from the Office of the Planning Board Chair Regarding Site Plan 820170120:

Your report reveals that November 30, 2017 will be "a very full day for the Planning Board, including an evening session necessary to cover all of the items on the agenda", obviously overwhelming the Commission.

Given that you concede there exists "broad interest" in this extremely controversial and unwarranted Leisure World scheme, and there will be stated potential "time constraints" placed upon those who want to testify, it is incumbent upon the Chairman to assure there be no further impression of partiality.

As such, you are hereby requested to reschedule this hearing - to be held on another date - in Leisure World - solely to hear testimony re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1.

325 standing room only!!!
From: MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org>
Date: October 16, 2017 4:27:54 PM EDT
To: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Subject: Message from the Office of the Planning Board Chair Regarding Site Plan 820170120

Below is a message from the office of the Planning Board Chair regarding Site Plan 820170120:

The Planning Board Rules of Procedure establish a reasonable and consistent process for the Planning Board to consider, hear, and act upon certain regulatory matters under its authority. The Rules are intended to ensure fair and impartial treatment of each Application before considering all evidence presented, to promote the orderly and efficient conduct of public proceedings convened to decide matters before the Board, and to comply with applicable federal, state, and County law. (Rule 1.2). See below for link to the Rules.

The Chair is the presiding officer at any hearing held by the Planning Board and is responsible to ensure that hearings are conducted for the development of a complete administrative record and to provide a reasonable opportunity for interested Persons to be heard. (Rule 4.2). In that light, the Chair sets the date, time, and location of each hearing. (Rules 4.4), subject to §59.7.3.4.C. of the Zoning Ordinance, which mandates that a public hearing begin within 120 days after the date an application is accepted, unless the applicant requests an extension.

Planning Board Chair Casey Anderson has reviewed your request (and many others) for relocation of the hearing on Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1, and he has decided that the best course in this matter is for the hearing to be held in the Planning Board auditorium, 8787 Georgia Avenue in Silver Spring. The hearing date has been scheduled for the first item after lunch on November 30, which is already a very full day for the Planning Board, including an evening session necessary to cover all of the items on the agenda.

The Board encourages any individual or organization to submit a written statement for consideration by the Planning Board, which must be submitted via e-mail to MCP-Chair@mncppc-mc.org, faxed to Chair Casey Anderson at 301-495-1320, or addressed to: Casey Anderson, Chair, Montgomery County Planning Board, 8787 Georgia Avenue, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910 by 9 AM on the morning of November 29, 2017, to give ample time for the Board to receive and fully consider all of the testimony. This is particularly important because the Chair may also set time limits for each segment of a public hearing, including limits on the time for individual speakers and the total time permitted for oral testimony. The Chair must consider the total amount of time available to conduct the hearing, the number of Persons who request to be heard, and the overall breadth and complexity of the matters to be considered by the Planning Board. Individuals testifying before the Board are generally limited to 3 minutes (Rule 4.7). However, as noted on the testimony rules on the Board’s website, occasionally due to time constraints, the Chair may need to limit the total amount of time dedicated to public testimony. And given the broad interest in this matter, advance written testimony will not only assure that the Board can consider the positions of all interested Persons, but it will provide the Board members sufficient time to consider all of its options. That would include any request to defer the decision of the Board so that in the event the Board feels after receiving written testimony submitted before the hearing and listening to the testimony
at the scheduled hearing, there is any value in keeping the record open for more information. However, it will be a
decision of the Board to determine whether they are ready to bring the matter to a conclusion.

Questions have been raised about whether the Planning Board hearing room can accommodate a large crowd, and
whether there is ample parking. If the need arises, we can accommodate overflow attendance in convenient locations
throughout the building as we have done with other hearings with large crowds in attendance. In addition, there is a
County parking garage next to the building with sufficient public parking. The Leisure World Board of Directors has also
indicated that they are considering whether to provide transportation to the hearing and will notify residents once a
decision has been made. If you have questions about this, please contact them directly. Finally, for those who cannot
attend, Planning Board hearings are webcast live through the following link:

http://montgomeryplanningboard.org/meetings/watch-online/

The Planning Board Rules of Procedure are available for review

Once again, thank you for your interest in this matter and I hope this information is helpful to you.

Miti Figueredo
Senior Advisor to the Chair
Montgomery County Planning Board
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, MD 20910
O: 301.495.4515
C: 240.397.1372

Subject: continuing Request for Hearing to be Held at Leisure World
From: admin@justus.group
Date: October 14, 2017 10:51:11 PM EDT
To: Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>, casey anderson
( casey.anderson@mnccpc.org), Montgomery County Council
<county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov>, press and tv media <media@justus.group>, ben
kramer <Benjamin.Kramer@house.state.md.us>, Joyce Garcia <joyce.garcia@mnccpc-mc.org>, Lori
Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, Elaine and Sonny <emtkw67@gmail.com>, mark fine
<ccoc@montgomerycountymd.gov>

From: JustUs admin <admin@justus.group>
Date: October 5, 2017 1:07:51 PM EDT
To: Natalie Brodsky <nataliebrodsky@hotmail.com>, JustUs <justus@justus.group>
Cc: "carol.rubin@mnccpc.org" <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>, Montgomery County Council
<county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov>, press and tv media <media@justus.group>
Subject: Re: Planning Board Hearing

Carol:

Casey Anderson and/or his assistant have failed to provide the many times requested reason (other than @ his
discretion) for his refusal to accommodate the senior residents of LW - and hold this hearing here - although:

the LW CH 1 Crystal Ballroom and the CH 2 auditorium is larger than the P&P hearing room; LW has all of the necessary
IT and media facilities to accommodate P&P needs; multiple residents have voiced their voices and requested it be held
in LW.
Failure to accommodate the needs as requested will surely result in an even more upset and "ready to rumble" (protest) - myself and others more than willing to lead the charge!

slk

From: admin@justus.group
Date: October 14, 2017 10:01:36 PM EDT
To: casey anderson <casey.anderson@mnccpc.org>, Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>, Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, Joyce Garcia <joyce.garcia@mnccpc-md.org>, mark fine <ccoc@montgomerycountymd.gov>, press and tv media <media@justus.group>, ben kramer <Benjamin.Kramer@house.state.md.us>, roger manno <roger.manno@senate.state.md.us>, bonnie cullison <bonniecullison@yahoo.com>, "Morales, Maricel Delegate" <Maricel.Morales@house.state.md.us>, Sidney@SidneyKatz.com, George Leventhal <georgeleventhal@hotmail.com>, Councilmember Nancy Floreen's Office <Councilmember.Floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov>, Roger Berliner <berliner.rog@gmail.com>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>, Elaine and Sonny <emtkw67@gmail.com>

Subject: Request for Hearing to be Held at Leisure World

CHAIRMAN ANDERSON:

YOU SHOULD HOLD THE HEARING HERE AS DOES OUR COUNTY COUNCIL WHEN LW IS ON THEIR AGENDA. REMEMBER WE ARE SENIOR CITIZENS, MANY OF WHOM CANNOT READILY GET TO THE COMMISSION WITHOUT HELP. AND WE VERY MUCH NEED YOURS- BY COMING HERE.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION OF OUR RECOMMENDATION. EVEN IF YOU HAVE A FULL AGENDA, PLEASE NOTE THAT WE OWNER /RESIDENTS AT LEISURE WORLD WOULD HAVE NO LEGALLY ELECTED REPRESENTATIVES FOR THE HEARING. THE LW BOARD IS AN APPOINTED BODY. TO GIVE US A REAL CHANCE TO BE HEARD, PLEASE ARRANGE TO HAVE THE HEARING AT LW.

RESPECTFULLY YOURS,

RICHARD P. THORNELL,

PROFESSOR EMERITUS OF LAW,

HOWARD UNIVERSITY
Dear Chairperson Anderson,

In 1970 I attended a public hearing on the proposed Bethesda-Chevy Chase Master Plan. The hearing was put on by the MNCPPC, and it was held at the GIEC auditorium. Hundreds of people from the affected community were present to participate in the planning process. Shortly after the hearing, I moved to another part of the country.

So and behold, after 47 years, I am back in Montgomery, living in Leisure World. I expected the MNCPPC to still be open to public participation in the planning process.

I guess I was wrong to assume that you truly wish for the community to participate. This is clearly shown by your actions in allowing the public hearing on the proposed administration building to be held here in Leisure World. I hope that you will reconsider your decision to hold the hear
From: "Rubin, Carol" <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>
Date: October 5, 2017 at 11:55:48 AM EDT
To: Natalie Brodsky <nataliebrodsky@hotmail.com>, "ngerke@lwmc.com" <ngerke@lwmc.com>
Subject: RE: Planning Board Hearing

FYI, the hearing has been rescheduled to November 30.

Carol S. Rubin
Principal Counsel
Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission
8787 Georgia Avenue, Suite 205
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
tel: 301-495-4646; fax: 301-495-2173
e-mail: carol.rubin@mncppc.org

From: Natalie Brodsky [mailto:nataliebrodsky@hotmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 05, 2017 11:51 AM
To: ngerke@lwmc.com
Cc: Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>
Subject: Planning Board Hearing

In conversation with Carol Rubin, she mentioned to me that bus transportation was going to be provided to the hearing on November 2. Would you please provide me with the arrangements that are being made for the residents that want to attend this.

I would appreciate a reply from you.

From: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Date: October 5, 2017 8:33:04 AM EDT
To: justus organization <justus@justus.group>, casey anderson <casey.anderson@mncppc.org>, Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>, Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, Montgomery County Council, Joyce Garcia <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>
Cc: mark fine <ccoc@montgomerycountymd.gov>, press and tv media <media@justus.group>
Subject: Request for Hearing to be Held at Leisure World

I was just informed that the room for the hearing is too too small for the amount of people that will attend. How are you going to handle the crowd? Let alone we will have a problem with where will we park all the cars. If there is not enough space for us, that means that you have no choice but to move it to Leisure World to accommodate the seniors and tax payers plus that also have a monthly condo fees that pays for everything here including the resale fund which
we had to pay when we moved in (now it is at 2% and the board is trying to raise it to 3% to add more money into this fund to cover the cost of this ridiculous bldg.

Subject: Re: Planning Board Hearing
From: natalie brodsky <nataliebrodsky@hotmail.com>
Date: October 5, 2017 12:48:37 PM EDT
To: Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>

From: Fred Shapiro <fshapiro@comcast.net>
Subject: Re: Request for Hearing to be Held at Leisure World
Date: October 5, 2017 at 7:47:39 AM EDT
To: casey.anderson@mncppc.org
Cc: justus organization <justus@justus.group>, Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>, Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, Joyce Garcia <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>, mark fine <ccoc@montgomerycountymd.gov>, press and tv mediaf <media@justus.group>, ben kramer <Benjamin.Kramer@house.state.md.us>, roger manno <roger.manno@senate.state.md.us>, bonnie cullison <bonniecullison@yahoo.com>, "Morales, Marice Delegate" <Marice.Morales@house.state.md.us>, Sidney@SidneyKatz.com, George Leventhal <georgeleventhal@hotmail.com>, Councilmember Nancy Floreen's Office <Councilmember.Floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov>, Roger Berliner <berliner.rog@gmail.com>

Dear Chairperson Anderson,

As a former Vice-Chair of the LW Board of Directors and an active resident of the community, I implore upon you to enable the Planning Commission to hear the voices of the many residents who for physical or other reasons do not drive and cannot be transported to the hearing if held in Silver Spring. You are allowing illegal activities and conflicts of interest to flourish in this community if you do not change your mind and hold the hearing elsewhere.

The poor planning of a major project and the ultimate cost are being pursued against the will of the rescinders, with a questionable management supported by an illegally elected Board of Directors. There is a need for democracy to find a place in Leisure World and you can help by bringing the hearing to Leisure World.

I would hope our elected officials, who are also friends, will chip in to make you realize what you are doing that is harmful to our community by reducing the ability of this community to speaks its mind and force the cancellation of a very questionable project.

Thanks for your consideration and I hope you see the LIGHT.

Fred Shapiro

From: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Date: October 4, 2017 10:31:10 PM EDT
To: casey.anderson <casey.anderson@mncppc.org>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>, Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>, Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, Montgomery County Councilf, Joyce Garcia <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>
Cc: mark fine <ccoc@montgomerycountymd.gov>, press and tv mediaf <media@justus.group>
Subject: Request for Hearing to be Held at Leisure World
From: Lois Kutun <lkutun@msn.com>
Date: October 4, 2017 9:35:08 PM EDT
To: "casey.anderson@mncppc.org" <casey.anderson@mncppc.org>
Cc: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Subject: Request for Hearing to be Held at Leisure World

Dear Chairperson Anderson,

Please consider having the hearing on site plan #820170120 (Leisure World Administration Building) here in Leisure World. We have wonderful facilities that would be suitable for the hearing (either the ballroom of Club House 1 or the auditorium of Club House 2). As you are no doubt aware, we are a community of senior citizens, and for some of us it would be difficult to make the trip to downtown Silver Spring. And, it would allow for more community involvement since either of our facilities can accommodate several hundred of our residents. I spoke with a number of my neighbors in Mutual 18, and, in addition to my wife and I, these homeowners favor having the hearing here in Leisure World: Mr. and Mrs. Clifton West, B McCool, Mr. and Mrs. David Pollack, H. Xander, S. Haupt.

Thank you so much for your consideration.

Thomas A. Conger and Lois L. Kutun
3536 Fitzhugh Lane
Silver Spring, MD 20906

From: carole portis <onomistee@aol.com>
Subject: Fwd: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1
Date: September 29, 2017 6:55:50 PM EDT
To: Joyce Garcia <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>, Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>
Cc: admin@justus.group

I second this request. Rationale?

Carole L. Portis

onomistee@aol.com

From: admin <admin@justus.group>
To: Joyce Garcia <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>; Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>; Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>; Undisclosed-recipients: < >;
Cc: justus organization <justus@justus.group>
Sent: Fri, Sep 29, 2017 5:07 pm
Subject: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

8
Joyce:

As has been explained several times, this is a senior citizen community - many are not able to travel to Silver Spring to attend this hearing.

Whereas the IT and communication necessities are available to meet your needs, you have been asked to cite the specific reason for refusing to accommodate the request to hold the Nov. 2, 2017 hearing in Leisure World.

slk

From: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Date: September 28, 2017 12:05:31 AM EDT
To: joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org, Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>, Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>, legal@justus.group, pr@justus.group, Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>, press and tv media <media@justus.group>, "Garcia, Joyce" <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>
Subject: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

There has been no legitimate or statutory reason cited for the denial.

Please do so.

slk

From: MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org>
Date: September 25, 2017 2:38:21 PM EDT
To: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Cc: "Rubin, Carol" <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>, "Shirley, Lori" <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>, "legal@justus.group" <legal@justus.group>, "pr@justus.group" <pr@justus.group>, Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>, press and tv media <media@justus.group>, "Garcia, Joyce" <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>
Subject: RE: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Planning Board Chair Casey Anderson has reviewed your request for relocation of the hearing on this plan and has restated that the hearing will be held here in our auditorium, 8787 Georgia Avenue in Silver Spring. The hearing date is tentatively scheduled for November 2. If you have a preference for the time of the hearing – morning, afternoon, or evening – please advise and your time preference will be honored.

Thank you.

Joyce Pettigrew García
Special Assistant to the Montgomery County Planning Board
M-NCPPC
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, MD 20910
Direct: 301-495-4631
Main: 301-495-4605
Fax: 301-495-1320
From: admin@justus.group [mailto:admin@justus.group]
Sent: Friday, September 22, 2017 9:19 AM
To: MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mnccpc-mc.org>
Cc: Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>; Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>; justus organization <justus@justus.group>; legal@justus.group; pr@justus.group; Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>; press and tv mediat <media@justus.group>; Garcia, Joyce <joyce.garcia@mnccpc-mc.org>
Subject: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Re: Request for hearing relocation -re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Mr. Anderson et al:

There has been no reply to the appeals sent to your attention.

slk

From: admin <admin@justus.group>
To: MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mnccpc-mc.org>
Cc: richard thornell <pthornell@comcast.net>; Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>; Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>; justus organization <justus@justus.group>; Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>; ike Leggett <ikeleggett@verizon.net>; bonnie cullison <bonniecullison@yahoo.com>; ben kramer <Benjamin.Kramer@house.state.md.us>; fred shapiro <fshapiro@comcast.net>
Sent: Mon, Jul 31, 2017 9:55 pm
Subject: Re: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Mr. Anderson et al:

While appreciative of your willingness to schedule the tentative hearing at our "preferred time", no reason for denying the request was provided.

How many emails, calls, letters and personal visits do you require to understand the burden you have placed upon the residents who will be unable to attend your hearing because of your refusal to accommodate their request?

Perhaps you have not been made aware that a resident sponsored Town Hall meeting was held last Friday here in the Leisure World Clubhouse 1 Crystal Ballroom. The senior citizen residents are so opposed to this unwanted and unnecessary expenditure of their funds, that in the midst of flood warnings -at least 325 residents packed the huge room to overflow capacity with standing room only. Finally, the Town Hall meeting provided residents the opportunity to have their voices and opinions heard - unlike the unelected Leisure World Board of Directors who not only ignores their questions and opinions, but cuts them off without consideration.

You must be made aware that the vast majority of Leisure World residents object to LW BOD outright refusal to allow them a referendum vote on this issue - the LW BOD has twice voted to deny motions to conduct a comprehensive engineering study in order to determine the viability and cost of renovate the current building. Although most likely not of any concern to you-----I repeat - AT NO TIME HAS LEISURE WORLD BOD
CONTRACTED AN UNBIASED ENGINEERING FIRM TO CONDUCT A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY OF THE CURRENT BUILDING.

Having followed the recommendation of your Principal Counsel, the request to relocate the hearing in Leisure World, where all of your IT requirements to capture a record of the proceedings are available, this is to appeal your refusal to honor our accommodation request.
Subject: Appeal for location to accommodate Leisure World Residents - re Site Plan 820170

From: nation beverly <brfletcher@aol.com>
Date: August 1, 2017 5:46:40 PM EDT
To: joyce.garcia@mnccpc-mc.org, mcp-chair@mnccpc-mc.org, admin@justus.group, Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>, ikeleggett@montgomerycountymaryland.com and 3 more...
Cc: Nation Vivian <vian54us@yahoo.com>, nation beverly <brfletcher@aol.com>, carole portis <onomistee@aol.com>

To the Montgomery County Planning Board (M-NCPPC)

As concerned voting citizens of Montgomery County, this email is to appeal your decision not to accommodate residents of Leisure World at a Leisure World location for your hearing to review the Site Plan for Leisure World’s Administrative Building.

According to your own policies you have stated that M-NCPPC:
"...encourages the involvement and participation of all individuals in the community, including those with disabilities, in the planning and review processes. In accordance with the requirements of Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"), M-NCPPC will not discriminate against individuals with disabilities in its services, programs or activities. MNCPPC works to make its facilities and materials accessible and to hold public meetings in locations that are, likewise, accessible."

By denying the Leisure World location, you have in effect violated the very heart and essence of your policy, because this denial has made it impossible for many of our concerned residents to attend this critical meeting.

Our community has facilities both in Clubhouse I and Clubhouse II that can easily accommodate this meeting. As concerned residents of Leisure World, we encourage the Planning Board to reconsider and grant us all the complete ability to attend this important meeting by relocating it to Leisure World. This matter directly concerns our very future and welfare.

Vivian S. Nation and Dr. Beverly R. Fletcher-Nation

From: onomistee@aol.com
Date: August 1, 2017 9:36:53 AM EDT
To: admin@justus.group, mcp-chair@mnccpc-mc.org
It is shameful that this request cannot be accommodated for Leisure World? There are many persons of age, disabilities, do not drive and for the sake of being concerned for their well-being, this meeting must take place at Leisure World. I am a disabled Veteran, this would be appreciated.

All will be looking for our votes soon!

Carole L. Portis
Dear

This letter is submitted in OPPOSITION to the proposal for demolition and replacement of Leisure World's administration building (site plan #820170120).

First, the application submitted to the Montgomery Planning Board of the MNCPPC was, in our opinion, approved by an illegally constituted Leisure World Board of Directors. The Board is composed of members who were never voted on by the residents of Leisure World. Rather, each mutual of Leisure World elects a Board. Those Boards then select one of their own (usually the president of the mutual) to serve on the overall Leisure World Board of Directors. This makes for over 30 members of the Leisure World Board of Directors, a most unwieldy and inefficient governing body of principally "yes men" or "yes women" to the General Manager Kevin Flannery and the Executive Committee of the Board. It is our understanding that this process of selecting the Leisure World Board of Directors is contrary to the statutes of the State of Maryland. Therefore, the application that you have accepted should be declared null and void.

Second, we are opposed to the proposal because a detailed, invasive engineering study was never done to determine if the existing administration building could be modified and upgraded to fit the needs of Leisure World. So often in our society we are too eager to tear down and throw away, rather than preserve and upgrade. The current administration building is a fine looking structure that is well-sited and harmonious with its surroundings (many trees & shrubs that lend themselves to a very pleasant landscape).

Third, the implementation of the proposal to raze and rebuild would grossly alter the "front door" to our community; i.e., the view that visitors and residents alike have when they enter the development. First, one passes by the Leisure World globe (who doesn't love the globe?). Then as you go through the guard gate, you see ahead of you a beautiful scene of trees and shrubbery that surround the administration building and Club House 1. With the razing of the existing administration building, all you would see is a parking lot. Ugh! No matter that it would be landscaped, it's still a parking lot! We don't want our view of Leisure World to be a parking lot every time we come home to our community. The residents of Leisure World are proud of the way our development looks--it has taken decades to get to this point of serene and soothing surroundings. We want to keep it that way.

Fourth, there will be many trees removed or adversely impacted if the razing and re-building plan is carried out. Sure, the development plan calls for the planting of new trees to replace the ones taken away, but these replacement trees will be immature. Arborists that we are familiar with say that it will take 30 years for these trees to be fully mature. Leisure World has 22% tree cover. The County's environmental department says we should have 40%. We would be going backwards from the 22% if the puny little saplings are planted and counted as part of our tree cover. Additionally, one tree that will be affected is the Japanese Pagoda Tree, located next to the current administration building. This tree has been designated as a "Maryland Champion Tree." Keep our existing trees (including the Japanese Pagoda Tree) by not implementing the proposed development plan.

Fifth, we are greatly concerned about the environmental impacts that will ensue should the plan be carried out. The razing of the existing structure will be noisy and unsightly, involving the use of heavy equipment to tear down and haul away the resultant debris. One of the things that Leisure World residents love the most about our community is the tranquility of the place. It will certainly not be tranquil with all this demolition going on. And then there's the dust and particulate matter in the air that
will result from the demolition, not good for the lungs of our aging population. After the building is razed, to where will the materials be transported? Montgomery County has no landfill, so the stuff will have to be trucked long distances to another site, necessitating the burning of fossil fuels to get it there. And, then there's the matter of where the proposed new building will be located. The site that has been chosen is land that has had severe soil stability and drainage problems in the past. The plot of ground that the existing administration building sits upon has no drainage problems and no soil issues. Sixth, we are concerned about the cost of the proposed razing and new construction. Figures that have been provided are 5-6 years out of date, and no increase in the estimated cost that would account for inflation has been given. We have lived in Leisure World for six years. Not a year has gone by that we didn't have an increase in our Mutual 18 fees that are payable each month. It's called dealing with inflation. We don't want to be stuck with a price tag that ends up being millions over what was estimated. In Leisure World, we currently require buyers who wish to live in our community to pay 2% of the price of the dwelling. If there's not enough funds to pay for the razing and new construction project, we could see the Leisure World Board of Directors pushing up the fee to 3%, which would make selling our home more difficult. On a $400,000 house, the fee would jump from $8,000 to $12,000. Please reject this application, as it is not in the best interest of the general welfare of Leisure World, a wonderful, tranquil and harmonious part of Montgomery County, Maryland.

Sincerely,

Thomas A. Conger

Lois L. Kutun

3536 Fitzhugh Lane
Silver Spring, Maryland 20906
From: "Rubin, Carol" <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>
Date: October 5, 2017 at 11:55:48 AM EDT
To: Natalie Brodsky <nataliebrodsky@hotmail.com>, "ngerke@lwmc.com" <ngerke@lwmc.com>
Subject: RE: Planning Board Hearing

FYI, the hearing has been rescheduled to November 30.

Carol S. Rubin

Principal Counsel

Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission

8787 Georgia Avenue, Suite 205

Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

tel: 301-495-4646; fax: 301-495-2173

email: carol.rubin@mncppc.org

From: Natalie Brodsky [mailto:nataliebrodsky@hotmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 05, 2017 11:51 AM
To: ngerke@lwmc.com
Cc: Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>
Subject: Planning Board Hearing

In conversation with Carol Rubin, she mentioned to me that bus transportation was going to be provided to the hearing on November 2. Would you please provide me with the arrangements that are being made for the residents that want to attend this.

I would appreciate a reply from you.

From: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Date: October 5, 2017 8:33:04 AM EDT
To: justus organization <justus@justus.group>, casey anderson <casey.anderson@mncppc.org>, Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>, Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, Montgomery County Councilf, Joyce Garcia <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>
Cc: mark fine <ccoc@montgomerycountymd.gov>, press and tv media <media@justus.group>
Subject: Request for Hearing to be Held at Leisure World

I was just informed that the room for the hearing is too too small for the amount of people that will attend. How are you going to handle the crowd? Let alone we will have a problem with where will we park all the cars. If there is not enough space for us, that means that you have no choice but to move it to Leisure World to accommodate the seniors and tax payers plus that also have a monthly condo fees that pays for everything here including the resale fund which
we had to pay when we moved in (now it is at 2% and the board is trying to raise it to 3% to add more money into this fund to cover the cost of this ridiculous bldg.

Subject: Re: Planning Board Hearing
From: natalie brodsky <nataliebrodsky@hotmail.com>
Date: October 5, 2017 12:48:37 PM EDT
To: Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>

From: Fred Shapiro <fshapiro@comcast.net>
Subject: Re: Request for Hearing to be Held at Leisure World
Date: October 5, 2017 at 7:47:39 AM EDT
To: casey.anderson@mncppc.org
Cc: justus organization <justus@justus.group>, Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>, Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, Joyce Garcia <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>, mark fine <ccoc@montgomerycountymd.gov>, press and tv medai <media@justus.group>, ben kramer <Benjamin.Kramer@house.state.md.us>, roger manno <roger.manno@senate.state.md.us>, bonnie cullison <bonniecullison@yahoo.com>, "Morales, Marice Delegate" <Marice.Morales@house.state.md.us>, Sidney@SidneyKatz.com, George Leventhal <georgeleventhal@hotmail.com>, Councilmember Nancy Floreen's Office <Councilmember.Floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov>, Roger Berliner <berliner.rog@gmail.com>

Dear Chairperson Anderson,

As a former Vice-Chair of the LW Board of Directors and an active resident of the community, I implore upon you to enable the Planning Commission to hear the voices of the many residents who for physical or other reasons do not drive and cannot be transported to the hearing if held in Silver Spring. You are allowing illegal activities and conflicts of interest to flourish in this community if you do not change your mind and hold the hearing elsewhere,

The poor planning of a major project and the ultimate cost are being pursued against the will of the rescinders, with a questionable management supported by an illegally elected Board of Directors. There is a need for democracy to find a place in Leisure World and you can help by bringing the hearing to Leisure World.

I would hope our elected officials, who are also friends, will chip in to make you realize what you are doing that is harmful to our community by reducing the ability of this community to speaks its mind and force the cancellation of a very questionable project.

Thanks for your consideration and I hope you see the LIGHT.

Fred Shapiro

From: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Date: October 4, 2017 10:31:10 PM EDT
To: casey anderson <casey.anderson@mncppc.org>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>, Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>, Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, Montgomery County Council, Joyce Garcia <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>
Cc: mark fine <ccoc@montgomerycountymd.gov>, press and tv media <media@justus.group>
Subject: Request for Hearing to be Held at Leisure World

From: Lois Kutun <lkutun@msn.com>
Date: October 4, 2017 9:35:08 PM EDT
To: "casey.anderson@mncppc.org" <casey.anderson@mncppc.org>
Dear Chairperson Anderson,

Please consider having the hearing on site plan #820170120 (Leisure World Administration Building) here in Leisure World. We have wonderful facilities that would be suitable for the hearing (either the ballroom of Club House 1 or the auditorium of Club House 2). As you are no doubt aware, we are a community of senior citizens, and for some of us it would be difficult to make the trip to downtown Silver Spring. And, it would allow for more community involvement since either of our facilities can accommodate several hundred of our residents. I spoke with a number of my neighbors in Mutual 18, and, in addition to my wife and I, these homeowners favor having the hearing here in Leisure World: Mr. and Mrs. Clifton West, B McCoil, Mr. and Mrs. David Pollack, H. Xander, S. Haupt.

Thank you so much for your consideration.

Thomas A. Conger and Lois L. Kutun
3536 Fitzhugh Lane
Silver Spring, MD 20906

I second this request. Rationale?

Carole L. Portis

carole.portis@omsal.com

As has been explained several times, this is a senior citizen community - many are not able to travel to Silver Spring to attend this hearing.

Whereas the IT and communication necessities are available to meet your needs, you have been asked to cite the specific reason for refusing to accommodate the request to hold the Nov. 2, 2017 hearing in Leisure World.
From: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Date: September 28, 2017 12:05:31 AM EDT
To: joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org, Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>, Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>, legal@justus.group, pr@justus.group, Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>, press and tv media <media@justus.group>, "Garcia, Joyce" <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>
Subject: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

There has been no legitimate or statutory reason cited for the denial.

Please do so.

slk

From: MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org>
Date: September 25, 2017 2:38:21 PM EDT
To: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Cc: "Rubin, Carol" <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>, "Shirley, Lori" <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>, "legal@justus.group" <legal@justus.group>, "pr@justus.group" <pr@justus.group>, Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>, press and tv media <media@justus.group>, "Garcia, Joyce" <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>
Subject: RE: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Planning Board Chair Casey Anderson has reviewed your request for relocation of the hearing on this plan and has restated that the hearing will be held here in our auditorium, 8787 Georgia Avenue in Silver Spring. The hearing date is tentatively scheduled for November 2. If you have a preference for the time of the hearing – morning, afternoon, or evening – please advise and your time preference will be honored.

Thank you.

Joyce Pettigrew Garcia
Special Assistant to the Montgomery County Planning Board
M-NCPCC
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, MD 20910
Direct: 301-495-4631
Main: 301-495-4605
Fax: 301-495-1320
joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org

From: admin@justus.group [mailto:admin@justus.group]
Sent: Friday, September 22, 2017 9:19 AM
To: MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org>
Cc: Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>; Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>; justus organization <justus@justus.group>; legal@justus.group; pr@justus.group; Town Hall organizing committee
Subject: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Re: Request for hearing relocation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Mr. Anderson et al:

There has been no reply to the appeals sent to your attention.

slk

From: admin <admin@justus.group>
To: MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org>
Cc: richard thornell <rhthornell@comcast.net>; Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>; Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>; justus organization <justus@justus.group>; Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>; Ike Leggett <ikeleggett@verizon.net>; bonnie cullison <bonniecullison@yahoo.com>; ben kramer <Benjamin.Kramer@house.state.md.us>; fred shapiro <fshapiro@comcast.net>
Sent: Mon, Jul 31, 2017 9:55 pm
Subject: Re: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Mr. Anderson et al:

While appreciative of your willingness to schedule the tentative hearing at our "preferred time", no reason for denying the request was provided.

How many emails, calls, letters and personal visits do you require to understand the burden you have placed upon the residents who will be unable to attend your hearing because of your refusal to accommodate their request?

Perhaps you have not been made aware that a resident sponsored Town Hall meeting was held last Friday here in the Leisure World Clubhouse 1 Crystal Ballroom. The senior citizen residents are so opposed to this unwanted and unnecessary expenditure of their funds, that in the midst of flood warnings - at least 325 residents packed the huge room to overflow capacity with standing room only. Finally, the Town Hall meeting provided residents the opportunity to have their voices and opinions heard - unlike the unelected Leisure World Board of Directors who not only ignores their questions and opinions, but cuts them off without consideration.

You must be made aware that the vast majority of Leisure World residents object to LW BOD outright refusal to allow them a referendum vote on this issue - the LW BOD has twice voted to deny motions to conduct a comprehensive engineering study in order to determine the viability and cost of renovate the current building. Although most likely not of any concern to you---I repeat - AT NO TIME HAS LEISURE WORLD BOD CONTRACTED AN UNBIASED ENGINEERING FIRM TO CONDUCT A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY OF THE CURRENT BUILDING.

Having followed the recommendation of your Principal Counsel, the request to relocate the hearing in Leisure World, where all of your IT requirements to capture a record of the proceedings are available, this is to appeal your refusal to honor our accommodation request.
Subject: Appeal for location to accommodate Leisure World Residents - re Site Plan 820170
From: nation beverly <Bfletcher@aol.com>
Date: August 1, 2017 5:46:40 PM EDT
To: joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org, mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org, admin@justus.group, Town Hall organizing committee
<thcommittee@justus.group>, ikeleggett@montgomerycountymaryland.com and 3 more...
Cc: Nation Vivian <vian54us@yahoo.com>, nation beverly <brfletcher@aol.com>, carole portis <onomistee@aol.com>

To the Montgomery County Planning Board (M-NCPPC)

As concerned voting citizens of Montgomery County, this email is to appeal your decision not to accommodate residents of Leisure World at a Leisure World location for your hearing to review the Site Plan for Leisure World's Administrative Building.

According to your own policies you have stated that M-NCPPC:
"...encourages the involvement and participation of all individuals in the community, including those with disabilities, in the planning and review processes. In accordance with the requirements of Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"), M-NCPPC will not discriminate against individuals with disabilities in its services, programs or activities. MNCPPCC works to make its facilities and materials accessible and to hold public meetings in locations that are, likewise, accessible."

By denying the Leisure World location, you have in effect violated the very heart and essence of your policy, because this denial has made it impossible for many of our concerned residents to attend this critical meeting.

Our community has facilities both in Clubhouse I and Clubhouse II that can easily accommodate this meeting. As concerned residents of Leisure World, we encourage the Planning Board to reconsider and grant us all the complete ability to attend this important meeting by relocating it to Leisure World. This matter directly concerns our very future and welfare.

Vivian S. Nation and Dr. Beverly R. Fletcher-Nation

From: onomistee@aol.com
Date: August 1, 2017 9:36:53 AM EDT
To: admin@justus.group, mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org
Cc: rpithornelli@comcast.net, carol.rubin@mncppc.org, lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org, justus@justus.group, thcommittee@justus.group, ikeleggett@montgomerycountymaryland.com, bonnieullison@yahoo.com, Benjamin.Kramer@house.state.md.us, lshapiro@comcast.net
Subject: Re: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1
It is shameful that this request cannot be accommodated for Leisure World? There are many persons of age, disabilities, do not drive and for the sake of being concerned for their well-being, this meeting must take place at Leisure World. I am a disabled Veteran, this would be appreciated.

All will be looking for our votes soon!

Carole L. Portis

enomistec@aol.com

325 standing room only!!!

slkatzman  
President,  
"JustUs" advocates to enhance the quality of life for all Leisure World residents

justus.group  
admin@justus.group

Albert Einstein — “We cannot solve our problems with the same level of thinking that created them.”
Dear
This letter is submitted in OPPOSITION to the proposal for demolition and replacement of Leisure World’s administration building (site plan #820170120).
First, the application submitted to the Montgomery Planning Board of the MNCPPC was, in our opinion, approved by an illegally constituted Leisure World Board of Directors. The Board is composed of members who were never voted on by the residents of Leisure World. Rather, each mutual of Leisure World elects a Board. Those Boards then select one of their own (usually the president of the mutual) to serve on the overall Leisure World Board of Directors. This makes for over 30 members of the Leisure World Board of Directors, a most unwieldy and inefficient governing body of principally "yes men" or "yes women" to the General Manager Kevin Flannery and the Executive Committee of the Board. It is our understanding that this process of selecting the Leisure World Board of Directors is contrary to the statutes of the State of Maryland. Therefore, the application that you have accepted should be declared null and void.
Second, we are opposed to the proposal because a detailed, invasive engineering study was never done to determine if the existing administration building could be modified and upgraded to fit the needs of Leisure World. So often in our society we are too eager to tear down and throw away, rather than preserve and upgrade. The current administration building is a fine looking structure that is well-sited and harmonious with its surroundings (many trees & shrubs that lend themselves to a very pleasant landscape).
Third, the implementation of the proposal to raze and rebuild would grossly alter the "front door" to our community; i.e., the view that visitors and residents alike have when they enter the development. First, one passes by the Leisure World globe (who doesn’t love the globe?). Then as you go through the guard gate, you see ahead of you a beautiful scene of trees and shrubbery that surround the administration building and Club House 1. With the razing of the existing administration building, all you would see is a parking lot. Ugh! No matter that it would be landscaped, it’s still a parking lot! We don’t want our view of Leisure World to be a parking lot every time we come home to our community. The residents of Leisure World are proud of the way our development looks—it has taken decades to get to this point of serene and soothing surroundings. We want to keep it that way.
Fourth, there will be many trees removed or adversely impacted if the razing and re-building plan is carried out. Sure, the development plan calls for the planting of new trees to replace the ones taken away, but these replacement trees will be immature. Arborists that we are familiar with say that it will take 30 years for these trees to be fully mature. Leisure World has 22% tree cover. The County’s environmental department says we should have 40%. We would be going backwards from the 22% if the puny little saplings are planted and counted as part of our tree cover. Additionally, one tree that will be affected is the Japanese Pagoda Tree, located next to the current administration building. This tree has been designated as a "Maryland Champion Tree." Keep our existing trees (including the Japanese Pagoda Tree) by not implementing the proposed development plan.
Fifth, we are greatly concerned about the environmental impacts that will ensue should the plan be carried out. The razing of the existing structure will be noisy and unsightly, involving the use of heavy equipment to tear down and haul away the resultant debris. One of the things that Leisure World residents love the most about our community is the tranquility of the place. It will certainly not be tranquil with all this demolition going on. And then there's the dust and particulate matter in the air that
will result from the demolition, not good for the lungs of our aging population. After the building is razed, to where will the materials be transported? Montgomery County has no landfill, so the stuff will have to be trucked long distances to another site, necessitating the burning of fossil fuels to get it there. And, then there's the matter of where the proposed new building will be located. The site that has been chosen is land that has had severe soil stability and drainage problems in the past. The plot of ground that the existing administration building sits upon has no drainage problems and no soil issues. Sixth, we are concerned about the cost of the proposed razing and new construction. Figures that have been provided are 5-6 years out of date, and no increase in the estimated cost that would account for inflation has been given. We have lived in Leisure World for six years. Not a year has gone by that we didn't have an increase in our Mutual 18 fees that are payable each month. It's called dealing with inflation. We don't want to be stuck with a price tag that ends up being millions over what was estimated. In Leisure World, we currently require buyers who wish to live in our community to pay 2% of the price of the dwelling. If there's not enough funds to pay for the razing and new construction project, we could see the Leisure World Board of Directors pushing up the fee to 3%, which would make selling our home more difficult. On a $400,000 house, the fee would jump from $8,000 to $12,000. Please reject this application, as it is not in the best interest of the general welfare of Leisure World, a wonderful, tranquil and harmonious part of Montgomery County, Maryland.

Sincerely,

Thomas A. Conger

Lois L. Kutun
3536 Fitzhugh Lane
Silver Spring, Maryland 20906
I am writing to you to request that the November 30 Park and Planning meeting on the permit for the Leisure World Administrative building be moved to Leisure World. After finding out the accommodations that could be made for the residents is very limited. We had held two townhall meeting with the capacity of 300 people. The residents here at LW want to be heard and having it at your facility will limit our freedom of speech. We are able to accommodate the hearing here, so what is your reason for not allowing it to be moved to LW?

It will be very difficult for the residents (senior citizens) to attend this very important meeting which impacts their voices to be heard.
CHAIRMAN ANDERSON:

YOU SHOULD HOLD THE HEARING HERE AS DOES OUR COUNTY COUNCIL WHEN LW IS ON THEIR AGENDA. REMEMBER WE ARE SENIOR CITIZENS, MANY OF WHOM CANNOT READILY GET TO THE COMMISSION WITHOUT HELP. AND WE VERY MUCH NEED YOURS- BY COMING HERE.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION OF OUR RECOMMENDATION. EVEN IF YOU HAVE A FULL AGENDA, PLEASE NOTE THAT WE OWNER/RESIDENTS AT LEISURE WORLD WOULD HAVE NO LEGALLY ELECTED REPRESENTATIVES FOR THE HEARING. THE LW BOARD IS AN APPOINTED BODY. TO GIVE US A REAL CHANCE TO BE HEARD, PLEASE ARRANGE TO HAVE THE HEARING AT LW.

RESPECTFULLY YOURS,

RICHARD P. THORNELL,

PROFESSOR EMERITUS OF LAW,

HOWARD UNIVERSITY
Clarification: The Planning Board is not involved in any of the logistics or issues with this application, yet. They will be fully informed about the application and the substantive issues once the Staff Report is posted approximately 2 weeks prior to the hearing. The Chair is involved with logistics, only. I (Carol Rubin) spoke to a representative of the LW Board at DRC about providing buses, but no commitment was ever made. I will be happy to take this up, once again, if there is any indication on the part of the residents that they will take advantage of the buses, if provided. However, it will need to be by the applicant. The Planning Board does not handle such logistics.

Carol S. Rubin
Principal Counsel
Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission
8787 Georgia Avenue, Suite 205
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
tel: 301-495-4646; fax: 301-495-2173
e-mail: carol.rubin@mnccpc.org

This e-mail message is intended only for the addressee and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any interception, review, retransmission, dissemination, or other use of, or taking of any action upon this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited by law and may subject them to criminal or civil liability. If you received this communication in error, please contact us immediately at the direct dial number set forth above, or at (301) 495-4646, and delete the communication from any computer or network system.

From: admin@justus.group [mailto:admin@justus.group]
Sent: Tuesday, October 10, 2017 11:07 AM
To: Anderson, Casey <Casey.Anderson@mnccpc-md.org>; Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>; justus organization <justus@justus.group>
Cc: Montgomery County Council <county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov>; Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>
Subject: Bus transportation to the meeting on November 30

From: Natalie Brodsky <nataliebrodsky@hotmail.com>
Date: October 10, 2017 11:01:08 AM EDT
To: Nicole Gerke <ngerke@lwmc.com>
Cc: "carol.rubin@mnccpc.org" <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>
Subject: Re: Bus transportation to the meeting on November 30

I was told that you mentioned to the planning board that transportation was going to be provided. Why the change?

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 10, 2017, at 10:57 AM, Nicole Gerke <ngerke@lwmc.com> wrote:
Natalie:

At this time, LW is not providing bus service to the MNCPPC meeting.

Nicole A Gerke, AIA, LEED AP, MBA
Project Manager
Community Services Department
(301) 598-1026 direct
(301) 801-0723 cell
ngerke@lwmc.com

From: Natalie Brodsky [mailto:nataliebrodsky@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, October 9, 2017 10:57 PM
To: Nicole Gerke <ngerke@lwmc.com>
Cc: carol.rubin@mnccpc.org
Subject: Bus transportation to the meeting on November 30

I had sent you a email in reference to your comment to Maryland Park and Planning about providing buses for this meeting. What is the status of this and who are the buses for?

Please respond ASAP.

thanks

325 standing room only!!!

slkatzman
President,
"JustUs" advocates to enhance the quality of life for all Leisure World residents

justus.group
admin@justus.group
Albert Einstein – “We cannot solve our problems with the same level of thinking that created them.”
From: Natalie Brodsky <nataliebrodsky@hotmail.com>
Date: October 10, 2017 11:01:08 AM EDT
To: Nicole Gerke <ngerke@lwmc.com>
Cc: "carol.rubin@mnccpc.org" <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>
Subject: Re: Bus transportation to the meeting on November 30

I was told that you mentioned to the planning board that transportation was going to be provided. Why the change?

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 10, 2017, at 10:57 AM, Nicole Gerke <ngerke@lwmc.com> wrote:

Natalie:

At this time, LW is not providing bus service to the MNCPPC meeting.

Nicole A Gerke, AIA, LEED AP, MBA
Project Manager
Community Services Department
(301) 598-1026 direct
(301) 801-0723 cell
ngerke@lwmc.com

From: Natalie Brodsky [mailto:nataliebrodsky@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, October 9, 2017 10:57 PM
To: Nicole Gerke <ngerke@lwmc.com>
Cc: carol.rubin@mnccpc.org
Subject: Bus transportation to the meeting on November 30

I had sent you a email in reference to your comment to Maryland Park and Planning about providing buses for this meeting. What is the status of this and who are the buses for?

Please respond ASAP.
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Albert Einstein – “We cannot solve our problems with the same level of thinking that created them.”
Dear Chairperson Anderson,

As a former Vice-Chair of the LW Board of Directors and an active resident of the community, I implore upon you to enable the Planning Commission to hear the voices of the many residents who for physical or other reasons do not drive and cannot be transported to the hearing if held in Silver Spring. You are allowing illegal activities and conflicts of interest to flourish in this community if you do not change your mind and hold the hearing elsewhere.

The poor planning of a major project and the ultimate cost are being pursued against the will of the rescinders, with a questionable management supported by an illegally elected Board of Directors. There is a need for democracy to find a place in Leisure World and you can help by bringing the hearing to Leisure World.

I would hope our elected officials, who are also friends, will chip in to make you realize what you are doing that is harmful to our community by reducing the ability of this community to speak its mind and force the cancellation of a very questionable project.

Thanks for your consideration and I hope you see the LIGHT.

Fred Shapiro

From: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Date: October 4, 2017 10:31:10 PM EDT
To: casey.anderson@mnccpc.org, justus organization <justus@justus.group>, Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>, Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, Montgomery County Council, Joyce Garcia <joyce.garcia@mnccpc-mc.org>
Cc: mark fine <ccoc@montgomerycountymd.gov>, press and tv media <media@justus.group>
Subject: Request for Hearing to be Held at Leisure World

From: Lois Kutun <lkutun@msn.com>
Dear Chairperson Anderson,

Please consider having the hearing on site plan #820170120 (Leisure World Administration Building) here in Leisure World. We have wonderful facilities that would be suitable for the hearing (either the ballroom of Club House 1 or the auditorium of Club House 2). As you are no doubt aware, we are a community of senior citizens, and for some of us it would be difficult to make the trip to downtown Silver Spring. And, it would allow for more community involvement since either of our facilities can accommodate several hundred of our residents. I spoke with a number of my neighbors in Mutual 18, and, in addition to my wife and I, these homeowners favor having the hearing here in Leisure World: Mr. and Mrs. Clifton West, B McCool, Mr. and Mrs. David Pollack, H. Xander, S. Haupt.

Thank you so much for your consideration.

Thomas A. Conger and Lois L. Kutun
3536 Fitzhugh Lane
Silver Spring, MD 20906

---

From: carole portis <onomistee@aol.com>
Subject: Fwd: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1
Date: September 29, 2017 6:55:50 PM EDT
To: Joyce Garcia <joyce.garcia@mnoppc-mc.org>, Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mnoppc.org>
Cc: admin@justus.group

I second this request. Rationale?

Carole L. Portis

onomistee@aol.com

---

From: admin <admin@justus.group>
To: Joyce Garcia <joyce.garcia@mnoppc-mc.org>; Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>; Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mnoppc.org>; Undisclosed-recipients: <>
Cc: justus organization <justus@justus.group>
Sent: Fri, Sep 29, 2017 5:07 pm
Subject: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Joyce:

As has been explained several times, this is a senior citizen community - many are not able to travel to Silver Spring to attend this hearing.
Whereas the IT and communication necessities are available to meet your needs, you have been asked to cite the specific reason for refusing to accommodate the request to hold the Nov. 2, 2017 hearing in Leisure World.

slk

From: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Date: September 28, 2017 12:05:31 AM EDT
To: joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org, Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>, Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>, legal@justus.group, pr@justus.group, Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>, press and tv media <media@justus.group>, "Garcia, Joyce" <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>
Subject: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

There has been no legitimate or statutory reason cited for the denial.

Please do so.

slk

From: MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org>
Date: September 25, 2017 2:38:21 PM EDT
To: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Cc: "Rubin, Carol" <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>, "Shirley, Lori" <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>, "legal@justus.group" <legal@justus.group>, "pr@justus.group" <pr@justus.group>, Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>, press and tv media <media@justus.group>, "Garcia, Joyce" <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>
Subject: RE: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Planning Board Chair Casey Anderson has reviewed your request for relocation of the hearing on this plan and has restated that the hearing will be held here in our auditorium, 8787 Georgia Avenue in Silver Spring. The hearing date is tentatively scheduled for November 2. If you have a preference for the time of the hearing – morning, afternoon, or evening – please advise and your time preference will be honored.

Thank you.

Joyce Pettigrew Garcia
Special Assistant to the Montgomery County Planning Board
M-NCPPC
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, MD 20910
Direct: 301-495-4531
Main: 301-495-4605
Fax: 301-495-1320
joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org
Re: Request for hearing relocation -re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Mr. Anderson et al:

There has been no reply to the appeals sent to your attention.

slk

---

From: admin <admin@justus.group>
To: MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org>
Cc: richard thornell <pthornell@comcast.net>; Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>; Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>; justus organization <justus@justus.group>; legal@justus.group;pr@justus.group; Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>; lke Leggett <keleggett@verizon.net>; bonnie cullison <bonniecullison@yahoo.com>; ben kramer <Benjamin.Kramer@house.state.md.us>; fred shapiro <fshapiro@comcast.net>
Sent: Mon, Jul 31, 2017 9:55 pm
Subject: Re: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Mr. Anderson et al:

While appreciative of your willingness to schedule the tentative hearing at our "preferred time", no reason for denying the request was provided.

How many emails, calls, letters and personal visits do you require to understand the burden you have placed upon the residents who will be unable to attend your hearing because of your refusal to accommodate their request?

Perhaps you have not been made aware that a resident sponsored Town Hall meeting was held last Friday here in the Leisure World Clubhouse 1 Crystal Ballroom. The senior citizen residents are so opposed to this unwanted and unnecessary expenditure of their funds, that in the midst of flood warnings -at least 325 residents packed the huge room to overflow capacity with standing room only. Finally, the Town Hall meeting provided residents the opportunity to have their voices and opinions heard - unlike the unelected Leisure World Board of Directors who not only ignores their questions and opinions, but cuts them off without consideration.

You must be made aware that the vast majority of Leisure World residents object to LW BOD outright refusal to allow them a referendum vote on this issue - the LW BOD has twice voted to deny motions to conduct a comprehensive engineering study in order to determine the viability and cost of renovate the current building. Although most likely not of any concern to you---I repeat - AT NO TIME HAS LEISURE WORLD BOD CONTRACTED AN UNBIASED ENGINEERING FIRM TO CONDUCT A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY OF THE CURRENT BUILDING.
Having followed the recommendation of your Principal Counsel, the request to relocate the hearing in Leisure World, where all of your IT requirements to capture a record of the proceedings are available, this is to appeal your refusal to honor our accommodation request.
To the Montgomery County Planning Board (M-NCPPC)

As concerned voting citizens of Montgomery County, this email is to appeal your decision not to accommodate residents of Leisure World at a Leisure World location for your hearing to review the Site Plan for Leisure World's Administrative Building.

According to your own policies you have stated that M-NCPPC: "...encourages the involvement and participation of all individuals in the community, including those with disabilities, in the planning and review processes. In accordance with the requirements of Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (“ADA”), M-NCPPC will not discriminate against individuals with disabilities in its services, programs or activities. MNCPPC works to make its facilities and materials accessible and to hold public meetings in locations that are, likewise, accessible."

By denying the Leisure World location, you have in effect violated the very heart and essence of your policy, because this denial has made it impossible for many of our concerned residents to attend this critical meeting.

Our community has facilities both in Clubhouse I and Clubhouse II that can easily accommodate this meeting. As concerned residents of Leisure World, we encourage the Planning Board to reconsider and grant us all the complete ability to attend this important meeting by relocating it to Leisure World. This matter directly concerns our very future and welfare.

Vivian S. Nation and Dr. Beverly R. Fletcher-Nation

From: onomistee@aol.com
Date: August 1, 2017 9:36:53 AM EDT
To: admin@justus.group, mcp-chair@mnccpcc-mc.org
Cc: rplhornell@comcast.net, carol.rubin@mnccpcc.org, lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org, justus@justus.group, thcommittee@justus.group,ikeleggett@montgomerycountymaryland.com, bonniecullison@yahoo.com, benjamin.kr amer@house.state.md.us, istsapio@comcast.net
Subject: Re: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1
It is shameful that this request cannot be accommodated for Leisure World? There are many persons of age, disabilities, do not drive and for the sake of being concerned for their well-being, this meeting must take place at Leisure World. I am a disabled Veteran, this would be appreciated.

All will be looking for our votes soon!

Carole L. Portis

gonomistee@aol.com
😊

325 standing room only!!!

slkatzman
President,
"JustUs" advocates to enhance the quality of life for all Leisure World residents

justus.group
admin@justus.group

Albert Einstein – “We cannot solve our problems with the same level of thinking that created them.”
Shirley, Lori

From: Fred Shapiro <fshapiro@comcast.net>
Sent: Thursday, October 05, 2017 7:48 AM
To: Anderson, Casey
Cc: justus organization; Rubin, Carol; Shirley, Lori; Garcia, Joyce; mark fine; press and tv media; ben kramer; roger manno; bonnie cullison; Morales, Marice Delegate; Sidney@SidneyKatz.com; George Leventhal; Councilmember Nancy Floreen's Office; Roger Berliner
Subject: Re: Request for Hearing to be Held at Leisure World

Dear Chairperson Anderson,

As a former Vice-Chair of the LW Board of Directors and an active resident of the community, I implore upon you to enable the Planning Commission to hear the voices of the many residents who for physical or other reasons do not drive and cannot be transported to the hearing if held in Silver Spring. You are allowing illegal activities and conflicts of interest to flourish in this community if you do not change your mind and hold the hearing elsewhere,

The poor planning of a major project and the ultimate cost are being pursued against the will of the rescinders, with a questionable management supported by an illegally elected Board of Directors. There is a need for democracy to find a place in Leisure World and you can help by bringing the hearing to Leisure World.

I would hope our elected officials, who are also friends, will chip in to make you realize what you are doing that is harmful to our community by reducing the ability of this community to speak its mind and force the cancellation of a very questionable project.

Thanks for your consideration and I hope you see the LIGHT.

Fred Shapiro

---

On Oct 4, 2017, at 10:31 PM, admin@justus.group wrote:

From: Lois Kutun <lkutun@msn.com>
Date: October 4, 2017 9:35:08 PM EDT
To: "casey.anderson@mncppc.org" <casey.anderson@mncppc.org>
Cc: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Subject: Request for Hearing to be Held at Leisure World

Dear Chairperson Anderson,

Please consider having the hearing on site plan #820170120 (Leisure World Administration Building) here in Leisure World. We have wonderful facilities that would be suitable for the hearing (either the ballroom of Club House 1 or the auditorium of Club House 2). As you are no doubt aware, we are a community of senior citizens, and for some of us it would be difficult to make the trip to downtown Silver Spring. And, it would allow for more community involvement since either of our facilities can accommodate several hundred of our residents. I spoke with a number of my neighbors in Mutual 18, and, in addition to my wife and I, these homeowners favor having the hearing here in Leisure World: Mr. and Mrs. Clifton West, B McCool, Mr. and Mrs. David Pollack, H. Xander, S. Haupt.
Thank you so much for your consideration.

Thomas A. Conger and Lois L. Kutun
3536 Fitzhugh Lane
Silver Spring, MD 20906

From: carole portis <onomistee@aol.com>
Subject: Fwd: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1
Date: September 29, 2017 6:55:50 PM EDT
To: Joyce Garcia <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>, Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>
Cc: admin@justus.group

I second this request. Rationale?

Carole L. Portis
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From: admin <admin@justus.group>
To: Joyce Garcia <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>; Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>; Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>; Undisclosed-recipients: <>
Cc: justus organization <justus@justus.group>
Sent: Fri, Sep 29, 2017 5:07 pm
Subject: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Joyce:

As has been explained several times, this is a senior citizen community - many are not able to travel to Silver Spring to attend this hearing.

Whereas the IT and communication necessities are available to meet your needs, you have been asked to cite the specific reason for refusing to accommodate the request to hold the Nov. 2, 2017 hearing in Leisure World.

slk

From: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Date: September 28, 2017 12:05:31 AM EDT
To: joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org, Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>, Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>, legal@justus.group, pr@justus.group, Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>, press and tv mediaf <media@justus.group>, "Garcia, Joyce" <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>
Subject: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1
There has been no legitimate or statutory reason cited for the denial. Please do so.

slk

From: MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org>
Date: September 25, 2017 2:38:21 PM EDT
To: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Cc: "Rubin, Carol" <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>, "Shirley, Lori" <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>, "legal@justus.group" <legal@justus.group>, "pr@justus.group" <pr@justus.group>, Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>, press and tv mediaf <media@justus.group>, "Garcia, Joyce" <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>
Subject: RE: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Planning Board Chair Casey Anderson has reviewed your request for relocation of the hearing on this plan and has restated that the hearing will be held here in our auditorium, 8787 Georgia Avenue in Silver Spring. The hearing date is tentatively scheduled for November 2. If you have a preference for the time of the hearing – morning, afternoon, or evening – please advise and your time preference will be honored.

Thank you.

Joyce Pettigrew Garcia
Special Assistant to the Montgomery County Planning Board
M-NCPCC
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, MD 20910
Direct: 301-495-4631
Main: 301-495-4605
Fax: 301-495-1320
joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org

From: admin@justus.group [mailto:admin@justus.group]
Sent: Friday, September 22, 2017 9:19 AM
To: MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org>
Cc: Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>; Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>; justus organization <justus@justus.group>; legal@justus.group;pr@justus.group; Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>; press and tv mediaf <media@justus.group>; Garcia, Joyce <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>
Subject: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Re: Request for hearing relocation -re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Mr. Anderson et al:

There has been no reply to the appeals sent to your attention.
Mr. Anderson et al:

While appreciative of your willingness to schedule the tentative hearing at our "preferred time", **no reason for denying the request was provided.**

How many emails, calls, letters and personal visits do you require to understand the burden you have placed upon the residents who will be unable to attend your hearing because of your refusal to accommodate their request?

Perhaps you have not been made aware that a resident sponsored Town Hall meeting was held last Friday here in the Leisure World Clubhouse 1 Crystal Ballroom. The senior citizen residents are so opposed to this unwanted and unnecessary expenditure of their funds, that in the midst of flood warnings -at least 325 residents packed the huge room to overflow capacity with standing room only. Finally, the Town Hall meeting provided residents the opportunity to have their voices and opinions heard - unlike the unelected Leisure World Board of Directors who not only ignores their questions and opinions, but cuts them off without consideration.

You must be made aware that the vast majority of Leisure World residents object to LW BOD outright refusal to allow them a referendum vote on this issue - the LW BOD has twice voted to deny motions to conduct a comprehensive engineering study in order to determine the viability and cost of renovate the current building. Although most likely not of any concern to you—I repeat - AT NO TIME HAS LEISURE WORLD BOD CONTRACTED AN UNBIASED ENGINEERING FIRM TO CONDUCT A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY OF THE CURRENT BUILDING.

Having followed the recommendation of your Principal Counsel, the request to relocate the hearing in Leisure World, where all of your IT requirements to capture a record of the proceedings are available, this is to appeal your refusal to honor our accommodation request.
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To the Montgomery County Planning Board (M-NCPPC)

As concerned voting citizens of Montgomery County, this email is to appeal your decision not to accommodate residents of Leisure World at a Leisure World location for your hearing to review the Site Plan for Leisure World's Administrative Building.

According to your own policies you have stated that M-NCPPC: "...encourages the involvement and participation of all individuals in the community, including those with disabilities, in the planning and review processes. In accordance with the requirements of Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"), M-NCPPC will not discriminate against individuals with disabilities in its services, programs or activities. MNCPPC works to make its facilities and materials accessible and to hold public meetings in locations that are, likewise, accessible."

By denying the Leisure World location, you have in effect violated the very heart and essence of your policy, because this denial has made it impossible for many of our concerned residents to attend this critical meeting.

Our community has facilities both in Clubhouse I and Clubhouse II that can easily accommodate this meeting. As concerned residents of Leisure World, we encourage the Planning Board to reconsider and grant us all the complete ability to attend this important meeting by relocating it to Leisure World. This matter directly concerns our very future and welfare.

Vivian S. Nation and Dr. Beverly R. Fletcher-Nation

From: onomistee@aol.com
Date: August 1, 2017 9:36:53 AM EDT
To: admin@justus.group, mcp-chair@mnccppc-mc.org
Cc: rpthornell@comcast.net, carol.rubin@mnccppc.org, lorishirley@montgomeryplanning.org, justus@justus.group, thcommittee@justus.group,ikeleggett@montgomerycountymaryland.com, bonniecuttson@yahoo.com, Benjamin.Kramer@house.state.md.us, fshapiro@comcast.net
Subject: Re: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

It is shameful that this request cannot be accommodated for Leisure World? There are many persons of age, disabilities, do not drive and for the sake of being concerned for their well-
being, this meeting must take place at Leisure World. I am a disabled Veteran, this would be appreciated.

All will be looking for our votes soon!

Carole L. Portis

onomistec@aol.com
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Albert Einstein – “We cannot solve our problems with the same level of thinking that created them.”

--
You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "JustUs" group. To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to justus+unsubscribe@justus.group.
From: admin@justus.group
To: Joyce Garcia <joyce.garcia@mnccpc-mc.org>; Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>; Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>; Undisclosed-recipients: <; Cc: justus organization <justus@justus.group>

From: Lois Kutun <lkutun@msn.com>
Date: October 4, 2017 9:35:08 PM EDT
To: "casey.anderson@mnccpc.org" <casey.anderson@mnccpc.org>
Cc: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Subject: Request for Hearing to be Held at Leisure World

Dear Chairperson Anderson,

Please consider having the hearing on site plan #820170120 (Leisure World Administration Building) here in Leisure World. We have wonderful facilities that would be suitable for the hearing (either the ballroom of Club House 1 or the auditorium of Club House 2). As you are no doubt aware, we are a community of senior citizens, and for some of us it would be difficult to make the trip to downtown Silver Spring. And, it would allow for more community involvement since either of our facilities can accommodate several hundred of our residents. I spoke with a number of my neighbors in Mutual 18, and, in addition to my wife and I, these homeowners favor having the hearing here in Leisure World: Mr. and Mrs. Clifton West, B McCool, Mr. and Mrs. David Pollack, H. Xander, S. Haupt.

Thank you so much for your consideration.

Thomas A. Conger and Lois L. Kutun
3536 Fitzhugh Lane
Silver Spring, MD 20906

From: carole portis <onomistee@aol.com>
Subject: Fwd: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1
Date: September 29, 2017 6:55:50 PM EDT
To: Joyce Garcia <joyce.garcia@mnccpc-mc.org>, Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>
Cc: admin@justus.group

I second this request. Rationale?

Carole L. Portis

onomistee@aol.com

From: admin <admin@justus.group>
To: Joyce Garcia <joyce.garcia@mnccpc-mc.org>; Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>; Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>; Undisclosed-recipients: <; Cc: justus organization <justus@justus.group>
Joyce:

As has been explained several times, this is a senior citizen community - many are not able to travel to Silver Spring to attend this hearing.

Whereas the IT and communication necessities are available to meet your needs, you have been asked to cite the specific reason for refusing to accommodate the request to hold the Nov. 2, 2017 hearing in Leisure World.

slk

From: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Date: September 28, 2017 12:05:31 AM EDT
To: joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org, Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>, Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>, legal@justus.group, pr@justus.group, Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>, press and tv media <media@justus.group>, "Garcia, Joyce" <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>

Subject: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

There has been no legitimate or statutory reason cited for the denial.

Please do so.

slk

From: MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org>
Date: September 25, 2017 2:38:21 PM EDT
To: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Cc: "Rubin, Carol" <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>, "Shirley, Lori" <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>, "legal@justus.group" <legal@justus.group>, "pr@justus.group" <pr@justus.group>, Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>, press and tv media <media@justus.group>, "Garcia, Joyce" <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>

Subject: RE: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Planning Board Chair Casey Anderson has reviewed your request for relocation of the hearing on this plan and has restated that the hearing will be held here in our auditorium, 8787 Georgia Avenue in Silver Spring. The hearing date is tentatively scheduled for November 2. If you have a preference for the time of the hearing – morning, afternoon, or evening – please advise and your time preference will be honored.

Thank you.

Joyce Pettigrew Garcia
Special Assistant to the Montgomery County Planning Board
M-NCPPC
8787 Georgia Avenue
From: admin@justus.group [mailto:admin@justus.group]  
Sent: Friday, September 22, 2017 9:19 AM  
To: MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mnccpc-mc.org>  
Cc: Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>; Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>; justus organization <justus@justus.group>; legal@justus.group;pr@justus.group; Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>; press and tv mediafrica@justus.group>; Garcia, Joyce <joyce.garcia@mnccpc-mc.org>  
Subject: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1  

Re: Request for hearing relocation -re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1  

Mr. Anderson et al:  

There has been no reply to the appeals sent to your attention.

slk

From: admin <admin@justus.group>  
To: MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mnccpc-mc.org>  
Cc: richard thornell <rthornell@comcast.net>; Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>; Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>; justus organization <justus@justus.group>; Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>; Ike Leggett <ikeleggett@verizon.net>; bonnie cullison <bonniecullison@yahoo.com>; ben kramer <Benjamin.Kramer@house.state.md.us>; fred shapiro <fshapiro@comcast.net>  
Sent: Mon, Jul 31, 2017 9:55 pm  
Subject: Re: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1  

Mr. Anderson et al:  

While appreciative of your willingness to schedule the tentative hearing at our "preferred time", no reason for denying the request was provided.

How many emails, calls, letters and personal visits do you require to understand the burden you have placed upon the residents who will be unable to attend your hearing because of your refusal to accommodate their request?

Perhaps you have not been made aware that a resident sponsored Town Hall meeting was held last Friday here in the Leisure World Clubhouse 1 Crystal Ballroom. The senior citizen residents are so opposed to this unwanted and unnecessary expenditure of their funds, that in the midst of flood warnings - at least 325 residents packed the huge room to overflow capacity with standing room only. Finally, the Town Hall meeting provided residents the opportunity to have their voices and opinions heard - unlike the unelected Leisure World Board of Directors who not only ignores their questions and opinions, but cuts them off without consideration.
You must be made aware that the vast majority of Leisure World residents object to LW BOD outright refusal to allow them a referendum vote on this issue - the LW BOD has twice voted to deny motions to conduct a comprehensive engineering study in order to determine the viability and cost of renovate the current building. Although most likely not of any concern to you—I repeat - AT NO TIME HAS LEISURE WORLD BOD CONTRACTED AN UNBIASED ENGINEERING FIRM TO CONDUCT A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY OF THE CURRENT BUILDING.

Having followed the recommendation of your Principal Counsel, the request to relocate the hearing in Leisure World, where all of your IT requirements to capture a record of the proceedings are available, this is to appeal your refusal to honor our accommodation request.
Subject: Appeal for location to accommodate Leisure World Residents - re Site Plan 820170
From: nation beverly <Brlfletcher@aol.com>
Date: August 1, 2017 5:46:40 PM EDT
To: joyce.garcia@mnccpec-mc.org, mcp-chair@mnccpec-mc.org, admin@justus.group,
    Town Hall organizing committee
To the Montgomery County Planning Board (M-NCPPC)

As concerned voting citizens of Montgomery County, this email is to appeal your
decision not to accommodate residents of
Leisure World at a Leisure World location for your hearing to review the Site Plan
for Leisure World's Administrative Building.

According to your own policies you have stated that M-NCPPC:
"...encourages the involvement and participation of all individuals in the community,
including those with disabilities, in the planning and
review processes. In accordance with the requirements of Title II of the Americans with
Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"), M-NCPPC will
not discriminate against individuals with disabilities in its services, programs or activities.
MNCPPC works to make its facilities and
materials accessible and to hold public meetings in locations that are, likewise,
accessible."

By denying the Leisure World location, you have in effect violated the very heart
and essence of your policy, because this
denial has made it impossible for many of our concerned residents to attend this
critical meeting.

Our community has facilities both in Clubhouse I and Clubhouse II that can easily
accommodate this meeting. As concerned
residents of Leisure World, we encourage the Planning Board to reconsider and
grant us all the complete ability to attend this
important meeting by relocating it to Leisure World. This matter directly concerns
our very future and welfare.

Vivian S. Nation  and Dr. Beverly R. Fletcher-Nation

From: onomistee@aol.com
Date: August 1, 2017 9:36:53 AM EDT
To: admin@justus.group, mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org
Cc: rpithornell@comcast.net, carol.rubin@mncppc.org, lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org, justus@justus.group,
 thcommittee@justus.group,ikeleggett@montgomerycountymaryland.com, bonniecullison@yahoo.com, Benjamin.Kr
 amer@house.state.md.us, jshapiro@comcast.net
Subject: Re: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World
Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1
It is shameful that this request cannot be accommodated for Leisure World? There are many persons of age, disabilities, do not drive and for the sake of being concerned for their well-being, this meeting must take place at Leisure World. I am a disabled Veteran, this would be appreciated.

All will be looking for our votes soon!

Carole L. Portis

enomister@aol.com

325 standing room only!!!

slkatzman
President,
"JustUs" advocates to enhance the quality of life for all Leisure World residents

justus.group
admin@justus.group

Albert Einstein – “We cannot solve our problems with the same level of thinking that created them.”
Dear Ms. Anderson,

I am a resident of Leisure World (LW) and am writing to ask that you re-consider having the planning board meeting on November 2nd held here in Leisure World.

The proposal to build a new administration building in Leisure World has created a groundswell of interest and reasonable concerns which, I believe, should merit the attention of members of the Planning Board. More than 300 residents attended the last two Town Hall meetings here in LW to express their concerns about the rationale of moving forward with this plan... a plan that was approved by the LW board in the absence of an engineering study. Many of these residents will find it difficult, if not impossible, to attend the November 2nd meeting at 8787 Georgia Avenue. Ambulation and accessible transportation can present certain hardships for an aging population. To deny the people who will be affected most by the implementation of this plan a convenient venue in which to assemble is inexplicable.

Please re-consider this request of having this meeting here in Leisure World; it will be the right thing to do.

Sincerely,

Lois A. Jordan
From: admin@justus.group  
Sent: Tuesday, October 03, 2017 9:42 AM  
To: Anderson, Casey; Rubin, Carol; Garcia, Joyce; Shirley, Lori; press and tv media; justus organization  
Subject: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

From: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>  
Date: September 29, 2017 5:07:37 PM EDT  
To: Joyce Garcia <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>, Montgomery County Council, Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>  
Cc: justus organization <justus@justus.group>  
Subject: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Joyce:

As has been explained several times, this is a senior citizen community - many are not able to travel to Silver Spring to attend this hearing.

Whereas the IT and communication necessities are available to meet your needs, you have been asked to cite the specific reason for refusing to accommodate the request to hold the Nov. 2, 2017 hearing in Leisure World.
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From: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>  
Date: September 28, 2017 12:05:31 AM EDT  
To: joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org, Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>, Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>, legal@justus.group, pr@justus.group, Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>, press and tv media <media@justus.group>, "Garcia, Joyce" <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>  
Subject: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

There has been no legitimate or statutory reason cited for the denial.

Please do so.
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From: MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org>  
Date: September 25, 2017 2:38:21 PM EDT  
To: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Planning Board Chair Casey Anderson has reviewed your request for relocation of the hearing on this plan and has restated that the hearing will be held here in our auditorium, 8787 Georgia Avenue in Silver Spring. The hearing date is tentatively scheduled for November 2. If you have a preference for the time of the hearing – morning, afternoon, or evening – please advise and your time preference will be honored.

Thank you.

Joyce Pettigrew Garcia
Special Assistant to the Montgomery County Planning Board
M-NCPPC
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, MD 20910
Direct: 301-495-4631
Main: 301-495-4605
Fax: 301-495-1320
joyce.garcia@mnccpc-mc.org

From: admin@justus.group [mailto:admin@justus.group]
Sent: Friday, September 22, 2017 9:19 AM
To: MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mnccpc-mc.org>
Cc: Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>; Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>; justus organization <justus@justus.group>; legal@justus.group; pr@justus.group; Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>; press and tv media <media@justus.group>; Garcia, Joyce <joyce.garcia@mnccpc-mc.org>

Subject: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Re: Request for hearing relocation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Mr. Anderson et al:

There has been no reply to the appeals sent to your attention.
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Mr. Anderson et al:

While appreciative of your willingness to schedule the tentative hearing at our "preferred time", no reason for denying the request was provided.

How many emails, calls, letters and personal visits do you require to understand the burden you have placed upon the residents who will be unable to attend your hearing because of your refusal to accommodate their request?

Perhaps you have not been made aware that a resident sponsored Town Hall meeting was held last Friday here in the Leisure World Clubhouse 1 Crystal Ballroom. The senior citizen residents are so opposed to this unwanted and unnecessary expenditure of their funds, that in the midst of flood warnings - at least 325 residents packed the huge room to overflow capacity with standing room only. Finally, the Town Hall meeting provided residents the opportunity to have their voices and opinions heard - unlike the unelected Leisure World Board of Directors who not only ignores their questions and opinions, but cuts them off without consideration.

You must be made aware that the vast majority of Leisure World residents object to LW BOD outright refusal to allow them a referendum vote on this issue - the LW BOD has twice voted to deny motions to conduct a comprehensive engineering study in order to determine the viability and cost of renovate the current building. Although most likely not of any concern to you----I repeat - AT NO TIME HAS LEISURE WORLD BOD CONTRACTED AN UNBIASED ENGINEERING FIRM TO CONDUCT A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY OF THE CURRENT BUILDING.

Having followed the recommendation of your Principal Counsel, the request to relocate the hearing in Leisure World, where all of your IT requirements to capture a record of the proceedings are available, this is to appeal your refusal to honor our accommodation request.
To: joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org, mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org, admin@justus.group.
Town Hall organizing committee
<thcommittee@justus.group>, ikeleggett@montgomerycountymaryland.com and 3
more...
Cc: Nation Vivian <vian54us@yahoo.com>, nation beverly <brfletcher@aol.com>, carole
portis <onomistee@aol.com>

To the Montgomery County Planning Board (M-NCPPC)

As concerned voting citizens of Montgomery County, this email is to appeal your
decision not to accommodate residents of
Leisure World at a Leisure World location for your hearing to review the Site Plan
for Leisure World's Administrative Building.

According to your own policies you have stated that M-NCPPC:
"...encourages the involvement and participation of all individuals in the community,
including those with disabilities, in the planning and
review processes. In accordance with the requirements of Title II of the Americans with
Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"), M-NCPPC will
not discriminate against individuals with disabilities in its services, programs or activities. MNCPPCC works to make its facilities and
materials accessible and to hold public meetings in locations that are, likewise,
accessible."

By denying the Leisure World location, you have in effect violated the very heart
and essence of your policy, because this
denial has made it impossible for many of our concerned residents to attend this
critical meeting.

Our community has facilities both in Clubhouse I and Clubhouse II that can easily
accommodate this meeting. As concerned
residents of Leisure World, we encourage the Planning Board to reconsider and
grant us all the complete ability to attend this
important meeting by relocating it to Leisure World. This matter directly concerns
our very future and welfare.

Vivian S. Nation  and Dr. Beverly R. Fletcher-Nation
It is shameful that this request cannot be accommodated for Leisure World? There are many persons of age, disabilities, do not drive and for the sake of being concerned for their well-being, this meeting must take place at Leisure World. I am a disabled Veteran, this would be appreciated.

All will be looking for our votes soon!

Carole L. Portis

enomistree@aol.com

325 standing room only!!!

slkatzman
President,
"JustUs" advocates to enhance the quality of life for all Leisure World residents

justus.group
admin@justus.group

Albert Einstein – “We cannot solve our problems with the same level of thinking that created them.”
I second this request. Rationale?

Carole L. Portis

-----Original Message-----
From: admin <admin@justus.group>
To: Joyce Garcia <joyce.garcia@mnccpc-mc.org>; Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>; Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>; Undisclosed-recipients: < >;
Cc: justus organization <justus@justus.group>
Sent: Fri, Sep 29, 2017 5:07 pm
Subject: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Joyce:

As has been explained several times, this is a senior citizen community - many are not able to travel to Silver Spring to attend this hearing.

Whereas the IT and communication necessities are available to meet your needs, you have been asked to cite the specific reason for refusing to accommodate the request to hold the Nov. 2, 2017 hearing in Leisure World.
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From: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Date: September 28, 2017 12:05:31 AM EDT
To: joyce.garcia@mnccpc-mc.org, Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>, Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>, legal@justus.group, pr@justus.group, Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>, press and tv mediaf <media@justus.group>, "Garcia, Joyce" <joyce.garcia@mnccpc-mc.org>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>
Subject: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

There has been no legitimate or statutory reason cited for the denial.

Please do so.
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Planning Board Chair Casey Anderson has reviewed your request for relocation of the hearing on this plan and has restated that the hearing will be held here in our auditorium, 8787 Georgia Avenue in Silver Spring. The hearing date is tentatively scheduled for November 2. If you have a preference for the time of the hearing – morning, afternoon, or evening – please advise and your time preference will be honored.

Thank you.

Joyce Pettigrew Garcia
Special Assistant to the Montgomery County Planning Board
M-NCPPC
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, MD 20910
Direct: 301-495-4631
Main: 301-495-4605
Fax: 301-495-1320
joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org

Re: Request for hearing relocation -re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Mr. Anderson et al:

There has been no reply to the appeals sent to your attention.
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Mr. Anderson et al:

While appreciative of your willingness to schedule the tentative hearing at our "preferred time", no reason for denying the request was provided.

How many emails, calls, letters and personal visits do you require to understand the burden you have placed upon the residents who will be unable to attend your hearing because of your refusal to accommodate their request?

Perhaps you have not been made aware that a resident sponsored Town Hall meeting was held last Friday here in the Leisure World Clubhouse 1 Crystal Ballroom. The senior citizen residents are so opposed to this unwanted and unnecessary expenditure of their funds, that in the midst of flood warnings -at least 325 residents packed the huge room to overflow capacity with standing room only. Finally, the Town Hall meeting provided residents the opportunity to have their voices and opinions heard - unlike the unelected Leisure World Board of Directors who not only ignores their questions and opinions, but cuts them off without consideration.

You must be made aware that the vast majority of Leisure World residents object to LW BOD outright refusal to allow them a referendum vote on this issue - the LW BOD has twice voted to deny motions to conduct a comprehensive engineering study in order to determine the viability and cost of renovate the current building. Although most likely not of any concern to you----I repeat - AT NO TIME HAS LEISURE WORLD BOD CONTRACTED AN UNBIASED ENGINEERING FIRM TO CONDUCT A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY OF THE CURRENT BUILDING.

Having followed the recommendation of your Principal Counsel, the request to relocate the hearing in Leisure World, where all of your IT requirements to capture a record of the proceedings are available, this is to appeal your refusal to honor our accommodation request.
Subject: Appeal for location to accommodate Leisure World Residents - re Site Plan 820170
From: nation beverly <Brfletcher@aol.com>
Date: August 1, 2017 5:46:40 PM EDT
To: joyce.garcia@mnccpc-mc.org, mcp-chair@mnccpc-mc.org, admin@justus.group,
Town Hall organizing committee
To the Montgomery County Planning Board (M-NCPPC)

As concerned voting citizens of Montgomery County, this email is to appeal your decision not to accommodate residents of Leisure World at a Leisure World location for your hearing to review the Site Plan for Leisure World's Administrative Building.

According to your own policies you have stated that M-NCPPC:
"...encourages the involvement and participation of all individuals in the community, including those with disabilities, in the planning and review processes. In accordance with the requirements of Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"), M-NCPPC will not discriminate against individuals with disabilities in its services, programs or activities. MNCPCC works to make its facilities and materials accessible and to hold public meetings in locations that are, likewise, accessible."

By denying the Leisure World location, you have in effect violated the very heart and essence of your policy, because this denial has made it impossible for many of our concerned residents to attend this critical meeting.

Our community has facilities both in Clubhouse I and Clubhouse II that can easily accommodate this meeting. As concerned residents of Leisure World, we encourage the Planning Board to reconsider and grant us all the complete ability to attend this important meeting by relocating it to Leisure World. This matter directly concerns our very future and welfare.

Vivian S. Nation and Dr. Beverly R. Fletcher-Nation
It is shameful that this request cannot be accommodated for Leisure World? There are many persons of age, disabilities, do not drive and for the sake of being concerned for their well-being, this meeting must take place at Leisure World. I am a disabled Veteran, this would be appreciated.

All will be looking for our votes soon!

Carole L. Portis

onomister@aol.com

-----

325 standing room only!!!

slkatzman
President,
"JustUs" advocates to enhance the quality of life for all Leisure World residents

justus.group
admin@justus.group

Albert Einstein – “We cannot solve our problems with the same level of thinking that created them.”
Shirley, Lori

From: admin@justus.group
Sent: Friday, September 29, 2017 5:08 PM
To: García, Joyce; Shirley, Lori; Rubin, Carol
Cc: justus organization
Subject: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Joyce:

As has been explained several times, this is a senior citizen community - many are not able to travel to Silver Spring to attend this hearing.

Whereas the IT and communication necessities are available to meet your needs, you have been asked to cite the specific reason for refusing to accommodate the request to hold the Nov. 2, 2017 hearing in Leisure World.
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From: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Date: September 28, 2017 12:05:31 AM EDT
To: Joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org, Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>, Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, Justus organization <justus@justus.group>, legal@justus.group, pr@justus.group, Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>, press and tv media <media@justus.group>, "Garcia, Joyce" <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>, Justus organization <justus@justus.group>
Subject: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

There has been no legitimate or statutory reason cited for the denial.

Please do so.
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From: MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org>
Date: September 25, 2017 2:38:21 PM EDT
To: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Cc: "Rubin, Carol" <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>, "Shirley, Lori" <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, Justus organization <justus@justus.group>, "legal@justus.group" <legal@justus.group>, "pr@justus.group" <pr@justus.group>, Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>, press and tv media <media@justus.group>, "Garcia, Joyce" <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>
Subject: RE: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Planning Board Chair Casey Anderson has reviewed your request for relocation of the hearing on this plan and has restated that the hearing will be held here in our auditorium, 8787 Georgia Avenue in Silver Spring. The hearing date is tentatively
scheduled for November 2. If you have a preference for the time of the hearing—morning, afternoon, or evening—please advise and your time preference will be honored.

Thank you.

Joyce Pettigrew Garcia
Special Assistant to the Montgomery County Planning Board
M-NCPPC
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, MD 20910
Direct: 301-495-4631
Main: 301-495-4605
Fax: 301-495-1320
joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org

From: admin@admin.group [mailto:admin@admin.group]
Sent: Friday, September 22, 2017 9:19 AM
To: MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org>
Cc: Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>; Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>; justus organization <justus@justus.group>; legal@justus.group;pr@justus.group; Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>; press and tv mediaf <media@justus.group>; Garcia, Joyce <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>
Subject: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Re: Request for hearing relocation -re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Mr. Anderson et al:

There has been no reply to the appeals sent to your attention.
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From: admin <admin@admin.group>
To: MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org>
Cc: richard thornell <rthornell@comcast.net>; Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>; Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>; justus organization <justus@justus.group>; Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>; ike leggett <ikeleggett@verizon.net>; bonnie cullison <bonniecullison@yahoo.com>; ben kramer <Benjamin.Kramer@house.state.md.us>; fred shapiro <fshapiro@comcast.net>
Sent: Mon, Jul 31, 2017 9:55 pm
Subject: Re: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Mr. Anderson et al:

While appreciative of your willingness to schedule the tentative hearing at our "preferred time", no reason for denying the request was provided.

How many emails, calls, letters and personal visits do you require to understand the burden you have placed upon the residents who will be unable to attend your hearing because of your refusal to accommodate their request?
Perhaps you have not been made aware that a resident sponsored Town Hall meeting was held last Friday here in the Leisure World Clubhouse 1 Crystal Ballroom. The senior citizen residents are so opposed to this unwanted and unnecessary expenditure of their funds, that in the midst of flood warnings - at least 325 residents packed the huge room to overflow capacity with standing room only. Finally, the Town Hall meeting provided residents the opportunity to have their voices and opinions heard - unlike the unelected Leisure World Board of Directors who not only ignores their questions and opinions, but cuts them off without consideration.

You must be made aware that the vast majority of Leisure World residents object to LW BOD outright refusal to allow them a referendum vote on this issue - the LW BOD has twice voted to deny motions to conduct a comprehensive engineering study in order to determine the viability and cost of renovate the current building. Although most likely not of any concern to you - I repeat - AT NO TIME HAS LEISURE WORLD BOD CONTRACTED AN UNBIASED ENGINEERING FIRM TO CONDUCT A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY OF THE CURRENT BUILDING.

Having followed the recommendation of your Principal Counsel, the request to relocate the hearing in Leisure World, where all of your IT requirements to capture a record of the proceedings are available, this is to appeal your refusal to honor our accommodation request.
Subject: Appeal for location to accommodate Leisure World Residents - re Site Plan 820170
From: nation beverly <Brfletcher@aol.com>
Date: August 1, 2017 5:46:40 PM EDT
To: joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org, mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org, admin@justus.group, Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>, ikeleggett@montgomerycountymaryland.com and 3 more...
Cc: Nation Vivian <vian54us@yahoo.com>, nation beverly <brfletcher@aol.com>, carole portis <onomistee@aol.com>

To the Montgomery County Planning Board (M-NPPC)

As concerned voting citizens of Montgomery County, this email is to appeal your decision not to accommodate residents of Leisure World at a Leisure World location for your hearing to review the Site Plan for Leisure World's Administrative Building.

According to your own policies you have stated that M-NPPC:
"....encourages the involvement and participation of all individuals in the community, including those with disabilities, in the planning and review processes. In accordance with the requirements of Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"), M-NPPC will not discriminate against individuals with disabilities in its services, programs or activities. MNCPPC works to make its facilities and materials accessible and to hold public meetings in locations that are, likewise, accessible."

By denying the Leisure World location, you have in effect violated the very heart and essence of your policy, because this denial has made it impossible for many of our concerned residents to attend this critical meeting.

Our community has facilities both in Clubhouse I and Clubhouse II that can easily accommodate this meeting. As concerned residents of Leisure World, we encourage the Planning Board to reconsider and grant us all the complete ability to attend this important meeting by relocating it to Leisure World. This matter directly concerns our very future and welfare.

Vivian S. Nation and Dr. Beverly R. Fletcher-Nation

From: onomistee@aol.com
Date: August 1, 2017 9:36:53 AM EDT
To: admin@justus.group, mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org
Cc: rpthornell@comcast.net, carol.rubin@mncppc.org, lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org, justus@justus.group, thcommittee@justus.group, ikeleggett@montgomerycountymaryland.com, bonniecullison@yahoo.com, Benjamin.Kramer@house.state.md.us, fsapiro@comcast.net
Subject: Re: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1
It is shameful that this request cannot be accommodated for Leisure World? There are many persons of age, disabilities, do not drive and for the sake of being concerned for their well-being, this meeting must take place at Leisure World. I am a disabled Veteran, this would be appreciated.

All will be looking for our votes soon!

Carole L. Portis

eonmister@aol.com

325 standing room only!!!

slkatzman
President,
"JustUs" advocates to enhance the quality of life for all Leisure World residents

justus.group
admin@justus.group

Albert Einstein – “We cannot solve our problems with the same level of thinking that created them.”
Shirley, Lori

From: admin@justus.group
Sent: Thursday, September 28, 2017 12:06 AM
To: Garcia, Joyce; Rubin, Carol; Shirley, Lori; justus organization; legal@justus.group;
pr@justus.group; Town Hall organizing committee; press and tv media; Garcia, Joyce;
justus organization

Subject: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120,
Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

There has been no legitimate or statutory reason cited for the denial.

Please do so.

slk

From: MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org>
Date: September 25, 2017 2:38:21 PM EDT
To: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Cc: "Rubin, Carol" <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>, "Shirley, Lori" <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, justus
organization <justus@justus.group>, "legal@justus.group" <legal@justus.group>, "pr@justus.group"
<br@justus.group>, Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>, press and tv media
<media@justus.group>, "Garcia, Joyce" <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>
Subject: RE: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure
World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Planning Board Chair Casey Anderson has reviewed your request for relocation of the hearing on this plan and has restated
that the hearing will be held here in our auditorium, 8787 Georgia Avenue in Silver Spring. The hearing date is tentatively
scheduled for November 2. If you have a preference for the time of the hearing – morning, afternoon, or evening – please
advise and your time preference will be honored.

Thank you.

Joyce Pettigrew Garcia
Special Assistant to the Montgomery County Planning Board
M-NCPCC
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, MD 20910
Direct: 301-495-4631
Main: 301-495-4605
Fax: 301-495-1320
joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org

From: admin@justus.group [mailto:admin@justus.group]
Sent: Friday, September 22, 2017 9:19 AM
To: MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org>
Cc: Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>; Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>; justus organization
Subject: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Re: Request for hearing relocation -re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Mr. Anderson et al:

There has been no reply to the appeals sent to your attention.

slk

From: admin <admin@justus.group>
To: MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mnccppc-mc.org>
Cc: richard thornell <rpthornell@comcast.net>; Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mnccppc.org>; Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>; justus organization <justus@justus.group>; Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>; Ike Leggett <ikeleggett@verizon.net>; bonnie cullison <bonniecullison@yahoo.com>; ben kramer <Benjamin.Kramer@house.state.md.us>; fred shapiro <fshapiro@comcast.net>
Sent: Mon, Jul 31, 2017 9:55 pm
Subject: Re: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Mr. Anderson et al:

While appreciative of your willingness to schedule the tentative hearing at our "preferred time", no reason for denying the request was provided.

How many emails, calls, letters and personal visits do you require to understand the burden you have placed upon the residents who will be unable to attend your hearing because of your refusal to accommodate their request?

Perhaps you have not been made aware that a resident sponsored Town Hall meeting was held last Friday here in the Leisure World Clubhouse 1 Crystal Ballroom. The senior citizen residents are so opposed to this unwanted and unnecessary expenditure of their funds, that in the midst of flood warnings -at least 325 residents packed the huge room to overflow capacity with standing room only. Finally, the Town Hall meeting provided residents the opportunity to have their voices and opinions heard - unlike the unelected Leisure World Board of Directors who not only ignores their questions and opinions, but cuts them off without consideration.

You must be made aware that the vast majority of Leisure World residents object to LW BOD outright refusal to allow them a referendum vote on this issue - the LW BOD has twice voted to deny motions to conduct a comprehensive engineering study in order to determine the viability and cost of renovate the current building. Although most likely not of any concern to you---I repeat - AT NO TIME HAS LEISURE WORLD BOD CONTRACTED AN UNBIASED ENGINEERING FIRM TO CONDUCT A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY OF THE CURRENT BUILDING.

Having followed the recommendation of your Principal Counsel, the request to relocate the hearing in Leisure World, where all of your IT requirements to capture a record of the proceedings are available, this is to appeal your refusal to honor our accommodation request.
To the Montgomery County Planning Board (M-NCPPC)

As concerned voting citizens of Montgomery County, this email is to appeal your decision not to accommodate residents of Leisure World at a Leisure World location for your hearing to review the Site Plan for Leisure World’s Administrative Building.

According to your own policies you have stated that M-NCPPC: "...encourages the involvement and participation of all individuals in the community, including those with disabilities, in the planning and review processes. In accordance with the requirements of Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"), M-NCPPC will not discriminate against individuals with disabilities in its services, programs or activities. MNCPPC works to make its facilities and materials accessible and to hold public meetings in locations that are, likewise, accessible."

By denying the Leisure World location, you have in effect violated the very heart and essence of your policy, because this denial has made it impossible for many of our concerned residents to attend this critical meeting.

Our community has facilities both in Clubhouse I and Clubhouse II that can easily accommodate this meeting. As concerned residents of Leisure World, we encourage the Planning Board to reconsider and grant us all the complete ability to attend this important meeting by relocating it to Leisure World. This matter directly concerns our very future and welfare.

Vivian S. Nation and Dr. Beverly R. Fletcher-Nation

From: onomistee@aol.com
Date: August 1, 2017 9:36:53 AM EDT
To: admin@justus.group, mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org
Cc: rpthornell@comcast.net, carol.rubin@mncppc.org, lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org, justus@justus.group, thcommittee@justus.group,ikeleggett@montgomerycountymaryland.com, bonniecullison@yahoo.com, Benjamin.Kramer@house.state.md.us, fshapiro@comcast.net
Subject: Re: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1
It is shameful that this request cannot be accommodated for Leisure World? There are many persons of age, disabilities, do not drive and for the sake of being concerned for their well-being, this meeting must take place at Leisure World. I am a disabled Veteran, this would be appreciated.

All will be looking for our votes soon!

Carole L. Portis

eonmister@aol.com

325 standing room only!!!

slkatzman
President,
"JustUs" advocates to enhance the quality of life for all Leisure World residents

justus.group
admin@justus.group

Albert Einstein – “We cannot solve our problems with the same level of thinking that created them.”
From: MCP-Chair
Sent: Monday, September 25, 2017 2:38 PM
To: admin@justus.group
Cc: Rubin, Carol; Shirley, Lori; justus organization; legal@justus.group; pr@justus.group; Town Hall organizing committee; press and tv media; Garcia, Joyce
Subject: RE: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Planning Board Chair Casey Anderson has reviewed your request for relocation of the hearing on this plan and has restated that the hearing will be held here in our auditorium, 8787 Georgia Avenue in Silver Spring. The hearing date is tentatively scheduled for November 2. If you have a preference for the time of the hearing – morning, afternoon, or evening – please advise and your time preference will be honored.

Thank you.

Joyce Pettigrew Garcia
Special Assistant to the Montgomery County Planning Board
M-NCPPC
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, MD 20910
Direct: 301-495-4631
Main: 301-495-4605
Fax: 301-495-1320
joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org

---

From: admin@justus.group [mailto:admin@justus.group]
Sent: Friday, September 22, 2017 9:19 AM
To: MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org>
Cc: Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>; Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>; justus organization <justus@justus.group>; legal@justus.group; pr@justus.group; Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>; press and tv media <media@justus.group>; Garcia, Joyce <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>
Subject: replies to denial request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Re: Request for hearing relocation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Mr. Anderson et al:

There has been no reply to the appeals sent to your attention.
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From: admin <admin@justus.group>
To: MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org>
Cc: richard thornell <cithornell@comcast.net>; Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>; Shirley, Lori
Mr. Anderson et al:

While appreciative of your willingness to schedule the tentative hearing at our "preferred time", no reason for denying the request was provided.

How many emails, calls, letters and personal visits do you require to understand the burden you have placed upon the residents who will be unable to attend your hearing because of your refusal to accommodate their request?

Perhaps you have not been made aware that a resident sponsored Town Hall meeting was held last Friday here in the Leisure World Clubhouse 1 Crystal Ballroom. The senior citizen residents are so opposed to this unwanted and unnecessary expenditure of their funds, that in the midst of flood warnings -at least 325 residents packed the huge room to overflow capacity with standing room only. Finally, the Town Hall meeting provided residents the opportunity to have their voices and opinions heard - unlike the unelected Leisure World Board of Directors who not only ignores their questions and opinions, but cuts them off without consideration.

You must be made aware that the vast majority of Leisure World residents object to LW BOD outright refusal to allow them a referendum vote on this issue - the LW BOD has twice voted to deny motions to conduct a comprehensive engineering study in order to determine the viability and cost of renovate the current building. Although most likely not of any concern to you—I repeat - AT NO TIME HAS LEISURE WORLD BOD CONTRACTED AN UNBIASED ENGINEERING FIRM TO CONDUCT A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY OF THE CURRENT BUILDING.

Having followed the recommendation of your Principal Counsel, the request to relocate the hearing in Leisure World, where all of your IT requirements to capture a record of the proceedings are available, this is to appeal your refusal to honor our accommodation request.
Subject: Appeal for location to accommodate Leisure World Residents - re Site Plan 820170
From: nation beverly <Bralphie@oll.com>
Date: August 1, 2017 5:46:40 PM EDT
To: joyce.garcia@mnccpc-mc.org, mcp-chair@mnccpc-mc.org, admin@justus.group,
Town Hall organizing committee <thcommittee@justus.group>,
ikeleggett@montgomerycountymaryland.com and 3 more...
To the Montgomery County Planning Board (M-NCPPC)

As concerned voting citizens of Montgomery County, this email is to appeal your decision not to accommodate residents of Leisure World at a Leisure World location for your hearing to review the Site Plan for Leisure World's Administrative Building.

According to your own policies you have stated that M-NCPPC:
"...encourages the involvement and participation of all individuals in the community, including those with disabilities, in the planning and review processes. In accordance with the requirements of Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"), M-NCPPC will not discriminate against individuals with disabilities in its services, programs or activities. MNCPPC works to make its facilities and materials accessible and to hold public meetings in locations that are, likewise, accessible."

By denying the Leisure World location, you have in effect violated the very heart and essence of your policy, because this denial has made it impossible for many of our concerned residents to attend this critical meeting.

Our community has facilities both in Clubhouse I and Clubhouse II that can easily accommodate this meeting. As concerned residents of Leisure World, we encourage the Planning Board to reconsider and grant us all the complete ability to attend this important meeting by relocating it to Leisure World. This matter directly concerns our very future and welfare.

Vivian S. Nation  and Dr. Beverly R. Fletcher-Nation

From: onomistee@aol.com
Date: August 1, 2017 9:36:53 AM EDT
To: admin@justus.group, mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org
Cc: rpthornell@comcast.net, carol.rubin@mncppc.org, lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org, justus@justus.group, thcommittee@justus.group, ikeleggett@montgomerycountymaryland.com, bonniecullison@yahoo.com, Benjamin.Kramer@house.state.md.us, fsapiro@comcast.net
Subject: Re: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

It is shameful that this request cannot be accommodated for Leisure World? There are many persons of age, disabilities, do not drive and for the sake of being concerned for their well-being, this meeting must take place at Leisure World. I am a disabled Veteran, this would be appreciated.
All will be looking for our votes soon!

Carole L. Portis

enomistec@aol.com

325 standing room only!!!

slkatzman
President,
"JustUs" advocates to enhance the quality of life for all Leisure World residents

justus.group
admin@justus.group

Albert Einstein – “We cannot solve our problems with the same level of thinking that created them.”
Re: Request for hearing relocation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Mr. Anderson et al:

There has been no reply to the appeals sent to your attention.

slk

Mr. Anderson et al:

While appreciative of your willingness to schedule the tentative hearing at our "preferred time", **no reason for denying the request was provided.**

How many emails, calls, letters and personal visits do you require to understand the burden you have placed upon the residents who will be unable to attend your hearing because of your refusal to accommodate their request?

Perhaps you have not been made aware that a resident sponsored Town Hall meeting was held last Friday here in the Leisure World Clubhouse 1 Crystal Ballroom. The senior citizen residents are so opposed to this unwanted and unnecessary expenditure of their funds, that in the midst of flood warnings - at least 325 residents packed the huge room to overflow capacity with standing room only. Finally, the Town Hall meeting provided residents the opportunity to have their voices and opinions heard - unlike the unelected Leisure World Board of Directors who not only ignores their questions and opinions, but cuts them off without consideration.

You must be made aware that the vast majority of Leisure World residents object to LW BOD outright refusal to allow them a referendum vote on this issue - the LW BOD has twice voted to deny motions to conduct a comprehensive engineering study in order to determine the viability and cost of renovate the current building. Although most likely not of any concern to you—**I repeat - AT NO TIME HAS LEISURE WORLD BOD CONTRACTED AN UNBIASED ENGINEERING FIRM TO CONDUCT A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY OF THE CURRENT BUILDING.**
Having followed the recommendation of your Principal Counsel, the request to relocate the hearing in Leisure World, where all of your IT requirements to capture a record of the proceedings are available, this is to appeal your refusal to honor our accommodation request.
To the Montgomery County Planning Board (M-NCPPC)

As concerned voting citizens of Montgomery County, this email is to appeal your decision not to accommodate residents of Leisure World at a Leisure World location for your hearing to review the Site Plan for Leisure World's Administrative Building.

According to your own policies you have stated that M-NCPPC: "...encourages the involvement and participation of all individuals in the community, including those with disabilities, in the planning and review processes. In accordance with the requirements of Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"), M-NCPPC will not discriminate against individuals with disabilities in its services, programs or activities. MNCPPC works to make its facilities and materials accessible and to hold public meetings in locations that are, likewise, accessible."

By denying the Leisure World location, you have in effect violated the very heart and essence of your policy, because this denial has made it impossible for many of our concerned residents to attend this critical meeting.

Our community has facilities both in Clubhouse I and Clubhouse II that can easily accommodate this meeting. As concerned residents of Leisure World, we encourage the Planning Board to reconsider and grant us all the complete ability to attend this important meeting by relocating it to Leisure World. This matter directly concerns our very future and welfare.

Vivian S. Nation and Dr. Beverly R. Fletcher-Nation
It is shameful that this request cannot be accommodated for Leisure World? There are many persons of age, disabilities, do not drive and for the sake of being concerned for their well-being, this meeting must take place at Leisure World. I am a disabled Veteran, this would be appreciated.

All will be looking for our votes soon!

Carole L. Portis

gonimistee@aol.com

325 standing room only!!!

slkatzman
President,
"JustUs" advocates to enhance the quality of life for all Leisure World residents

justus.group
admin@justus.group

Albert Einstein – “We cannot solve our problems with the same level of thinking that created them.”
Dear Ms. Katzman:

Planning Board Chair Casey Anderson received your request to host the Leisure World hearing, tentatively scheduled for November 2. The Chair has decided that the hearing will be held here in our auditorium, 8787 Georgia Avenue in Silver Spring, however he would like to schedule the hearing at your preferred time – morning, afternoon, or evening. It is our understanding that Leisure World may offer transportation to residents to attend the hearing.

In response to your comment citing the limitations of the Leisure World senior residents, please note our Accessibility Statement:

Accessibility
The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission (M-NCPPC) encourages the involvement and participation of all individuals in the community, including those with disabilities, in the planning and review processes. In accordance with the requirements of Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"), M-NCPPC will not discriminate against individuals with disabilities in its services, programs or activities. M-NCPPC works to make its facilities and materials accessible and to hold public meetings in locations that are, likewise, accessible. M-NCPPC will generally provide, upon request, appropriate aids and services and make reasonable modifications to policies and programs for persons with disabilities (e.g. large print materials, listening devices, sign language interpretation, etc.). For assistance with such requests, please contact the M-NCPPC Montgomery County Commissioners’ Office, at least a week in advance, at (301) 495-4605 or at mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org. Maryland residents can also use the free Maryland Relay Service for assistance with calls to or from hearing or speech impaired persons; for information, go to www.mdrelay.org or call (866) 269-9006. Residents may also call the TTY number, (301) 495-1331, for assistance.

Please let me know your time preference and we’ll add it to the Board’s agenda.

Thank you.

Joyce Pettigrew Garcia
Special Assistant to the Montgomery County Planning Board
M-NCPPC
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, MD 20910
Direct: 301-495-4631
Main: 301-495-4605
Fax: 301-495-1320
joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org

From: Rubin, Carol
Sent: Thursday, July 13, 2017 9:21 AM
To: MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org>
Subject: FW: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

This is a procedural decision for the Chair.

Carol S. Rubin
Principal Counsel
Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission
8787 Georgia Avenue, Suite 205
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
tel: 301-495-4646; fax: 301-495-2173
e-mail: carol.rubin@mncppc.org

This e-mail message is intended only for the addressee and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any interception, review, retransmission, dissemination, or other use of, or taking of any action upon this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited by law and may subject them to criminal or civil liability. If you received this communication in error, please contact us immediately at the direct dial number set forth above, or at (301) 495-4646, and delete the communication from any computer or network system.

From: JustUs [mailto:justus.lwmd@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2017 9:29 PM
To: Anderson, Casey <Casey.Anderson@mncppc-mc.org>; Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>
Cc: richard thornell <rpthornell@comcast.net>; JustUs Leisure World <justus.lwmd@gmail.com>
Subject: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Casey Anderson,
Chair, Montgomery County Planning Board

and

Carol S. Rubin
Principal Counsel,
Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission

The 6/29/17 Linowes and Blocker "Notice of Application" identifies the tentative date for the Montgomery County Planning Board public hearing for Site Plan 820170120 is November 2, 2017.

Whatever date the public hearing is actually held, due to the limitations of the Leisure World senior residents, this is to request it be held in Leisure World. Leisure World has hosted County Council town hall meetings and is able to accommodate the Planning Board's IT requirements for recording the proceedings.
Await reply to confirm acceptance of this accommodation request.

Thank you.

slk

s.l.katzman

president,

"JustUs" advocates to enhance the quality of life for all Leisure World residents
Date: July 12, 2017 9:28:38 PM EDT

To: casey.anderson@mncppc.org, "Rubin, Carol" <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>

Cc: richard thornell <rplhornell@comcast.net>,

Subject: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

To: Casey Anderson,
Chair, Montgomery County Planning Board

and

Carol S. Rubin
Principal Counsel,
Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission

The 6/29/17 Linowes and Blocker "Notice of Application" identifies the tentative date for the Montgomery County Planning Board public hearing for Site Plan 820170120 is November 2, 2017.

Whatever date the public hearing is actually held, due to the limitations of the Leisure World senior residents, this is to request it be held in Leisure World. Leisure World has hosted County Council town hall meetings and is able to accommodate the Planning Board's IT requirements for recording the proceedings.

Await reply to confirm acceptance of this accommodation request.

Thank you.

slk


slkatzman

President,

"JustUs" advocates to enhance the quality of life for all Leisure World residents
Author, Medicare Enrollment Personal Workbook
Shirley, Lori

DRC is working meeting for the reviewing agencies and the applicant to discuss their submitted application. It is not a public hearing and the protocol followed for that meeting is exactly the same as it has been for other DRC meetings. I have nothing to add to what you have already stated.

Mark Pfefferle
Chief
Development Applications and Regulatory Coordination
Montgomery County Planning Department
Maryland-National Park and Planning Commission
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, MD 20910

From: Shirley, Lori
Sent: Thursday, July 27, 2017 11:14 AM
To: Pfefferle, Mark <mark.pfefferle@montgomeryplanning.org>
Cc: Butler, Patrick <patrick.butler@montgomeryplanning.org>
Subject: FW: Leisure World-Admin.Bldg. Site Plan 820170120

Hi Mark,

I see you are out of the office until next Monday. Please see the couple of e-mails below between Ms. Sheryl Katzman and me regarding Site Plan No. 820170120 Leisure World Administration Building and Clubhouse I. Ms. Katzman has some concerns for this past Tuesday's DRC meeting protocol for this Site Plan. You may recall there were three Leisure World residents at the DRC meeting to observe and listen to the DRC's discussion for the Site Plan. Thank you.

Lori Shirley
Planner Coordinator
Area 2 Division
Montgomery County Planning Department
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
T 301-495-4557
F 301-495-1313
E Lori.Shirley@montgomeryplanning.org
W MontgomeryPlanning.org
M-NCPCC
Hi Ms. Katzman,

This is to address your questions below, and please know that after this is sent to you, I will forward it to Mark Pfefferle, Chief of the Department's Development Application and Regulatory Compliance (DARC) Division because he is the Chairman of the Development Review Committee (DRC). Mr. Pfefferle can best address your comment about the DRC meeting this past Tuesday and its protocol. The three Leisure World residents sat along a side wall of the conference room and had clear sight-view of a Smart Board (an approximately 72-inch screen) that had the electronic plans displayed for the Committee to discuss the project. None of the conference rooms in the Department have microphones and the three residents did not indicate they were unable to hear the round-table discussion.

Your reference to a reconvened meeting on Tuesday, August 29, is confusing to me. To clarify, there is no such (reconvened) meeting of the DRC scheduled for this Site Plan on that date. The DRC's review of this Site Plan was completed this past Tuesday. The mention of this date at the meeting was regarding the 65th day before the tentative Planning Board hearing on November 2, 2017. The 65th day is a critical deadline for the Applicant to submit final revised plans by, in order to stay within the 120-day review of the Site Plan. If you need any further clarification about the August 29, 2017 deadline, and Area 2's review of the Site Plan please call me at your convenience and I will be glad to explain it to you. Thank you.

Lori Shirley
Planner Coordinator
Area 2 Division
Montgomery County Planning Department
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
T 301-495-4557
F 301-495-1313
E Lori.Shirley@montgomeryplanning.org
W MontgomeryPlanning.org

From: justus.lwmd@yahoo.com
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 10:47 AM
To: Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>; Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>
Cc: barbara studwell <bbstudwell@gmail.com>; marilyn sargent <mrsargent@gmail.com>; harry cohen <sylharry@gmail.com>
Subject: : Leisure World-Admin.Bldg. Site Plan 820170120

note return email address: justus.lwmd@yahoo.com
Lori: thank you for sending the documents.

on another note - 3 Leisure World residents took their time to drive and attend the Planning Development Review Committee meeting this morning - - I was unable to attend due the conflicting date/time with the monthly LW BOD meeting.

the protocol of the meeting has been reported to be less than satisfactory in that the participants huddled around the meeting table - and did not use microphones - leaving the guests unable to hear what was being discussed.

I'm informed that the meeting is scheduled to be reconvened on Tues. Aug. 29 - once again - the LW BOD meeting is scheduled on that same date - presenting a conflict for those LW residents who attend the monthly LW BOD meeting -

Can your meeting be rescheduled to another day to accommodate those of us who want to attend?

slk

slkatzman
President,
"JustUs" advocates to enhance the quality of life for all Leisure World residents
Hello Sharon,

Thank you for taking the time to clarify and validate on your end, the conversation you and I had this past Tuesday about the application and the County’s development review process. You don’t have to apologize to me because when I wrote the e-mail yesterday, I was certain that you had the general understanding about the Planning Board’s meeting location, as you explained below. That having been said, my main concern as the Lead Reviewer (for all regulatory plans I’m responsible for reviewing) is that, misinformation can and oftentimes, be out there in the community. Please know that all Planning Department staff work diligently to be transparent and clarify, early on, to provide accurate and current information to the public about a project such as this one in the County’s development review pipeline.

I’m encouraging each of you to please contact me with your questions, concerns and comments about the Site Plan as its reviewed here in Area 2 and as it makes it way toward a Planning Board hearing later this year. As I discussed with Sharon, letters from residents about the proposal should be addressed to the Planning Board Chairman, Mr. Casey Anderson, and mailed to the address in my contact information below. These letters will become attachments to the staff report as long as these are received before the report is posted (two weeks before the Planning Board hearing). Please also look on our website at: www.montgomeryplanning.org regarding how the public can participate in the development review process, become a party of record for a proposal and sign up to speak at a Planning Board hearing. The Department’s website has many tools and is a great resource for the public about planning initiatives, specific-sites under review and development at-large, inside the County.

Thanks again Sharon for your follow-up e-mail.

Lori Shirley
Planner Coordinator
Area 2 Division
Montgomery County Planning Department
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
T 301-495-4557
F 301-495-1313
E Lori.Shirley@montgomeryplanning.org
W MontgomeryPlanning.org
M-NCPDC

From: Sharon Campbell [mailto:scampbell.lw@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 5:37 PM
To: Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>
Ms. Shirley is correct. I did not make a request to her for a hearing to be held at LW as that was something I clearly understood would have to be done by some other means. Also, it appears to me that in all other respects her email is correct.

I am disturbed that my conversation with her would be referenced in any way, much less an incorrect manner, by anyone other than myself or by someone to whom I would have given express approval. No request to do so was ever received by me and I was unaware of these emails until just now.

I found Ms. Shirley to be very accommodating and patient with me in trying to answer my questions, mainly about specific pertinent information with regard to the site plan.

I apologize, Ms. Shirley, for an inconvenience this round of emails may have caused.

Sharon Campbell

On Wed, Jul 26, 2017 at 4:45 PM, Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org> wrote:

Hello Ms. Katzman,

This is to confirm that Sharon Campbell and I spoke yesterday about the Applicant’s Site Plan and other electronic documents and records available in the Department’s Development Activity Information Center (DAIC). We also briefly discussed her question about the Planning Board’s hearing location. I explained these meetings are held at the Department’s offices at 8787 Georgia Avenue in Silver Spring, in the building’s Auditorium because, it has all of the technology (audio/visual equipment) for the Board to conduct these meetings. Most of our conversation focused on the Applicant’s Site Plan. I took the time to show her how to access DAIC. We viewed the Applicant’s Natural Resources Inventory/Forest Stand Delineation (NRI/FSD) and Exemption letter. I also explained to her the development review process, and I encouraged her and other Leisure World residents to participate in the process, including submitting letters addressed to Chairman Anderson to summarize their concerns. Ms. Campbell did not request that I change the Board’s meeting location to the Leisure World site to accommodate the residents. I don’t have the authority to make any such decision. Carol Rubin is correct, that any request to change the Planning Board’s meeting location should be made to Chairman Anderson’s staff to address.

I’m concerned that your interpretation of a third party conversation passed along to you has been misconstrued. In scrolling down these series of e-mails below, I see you made an e-mail request to the Chairman’s office on 7/12/17 to relocate the Planning Board hearing for the item. Ms. Campbell and I spoke yesterday, 7/25/17 in the afternoon, after the Site Plan was discussed at the Development Review Committee meeting. Again, in my conversation with her, I briefly explained the location of the Planning Board’s weekly meetings at the Department’s offices. In hindsight, I probably should have suggested Ms. Campbell make a formal request to the Chairman’s office. However, at no point in the conversation with Ms. Campbell, did I take it upon myself to make a decision as to the Planning Board’s hearing location for this Site Plan. I disagree with your statement (in the e-mail below) that I denied a request made by Ms. Campbell to relocate the future Planning Board meeting for this Site Plan. Again, no such request was made to me and, I don’t have the authority to make any such decision, had a request been made to me.
Please let me know if you have any other questions about this Site Plan. As the Lead Reviewer assigned to review the Site Plan, I'm available to assist you and your Leisure World neighbors and others from the public regarding the proposal, how to access documents in DAIC and how the County’s development review process works.

[As an aside comment I would like to correct your reference to my last name (in the e-mail below) for future e-mail communication: it's Shirley (spelled just like the girl's name) and not Shipley.] Thank you.

Lori Shirley
Planner Coordinator
Area 2 Division
Montgomery County Planning Department
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
T 301-495-4557
F 301-495-1313
E Lori.Shirley@montgomeryplanning.org
W MontgomeryPlanning.org

From: justus.lwmd@yahoo.com [mailto:justus.lwmd@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 3:23 PM
To: Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>
Cc: joan hecht <joan_hecht@yahoo.com>; Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>
Subject: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

would you please check with Lori Shipley to determine if Sharon Campbell reports from their conversation is correct?
From: "Rubin, Carol" <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>

Date: July 26, 2017 3:15:42 PM EDT

To: "justus.lwmd@yahoo.com" <justus.lwmd@yahoo.com>

Cc: joan hecht <joan_hecht@yahoo.com>, "Garcia, Joyce" <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>

Subject: RE: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Not my call. I referred this to the Chair's office to respond.

Carol S. Rubin

Principal Counsel

Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission

8787 Georgia Avenue, Suite 205

Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

tel: 301-495-4646; fax: 301-495-2173

email: carol.rubin@mncppc.org
Carol: I've received your reply - I am experiencing extraordinarily troublesome gmail problems -

I have received your emails sent to: justus.lwmd@yahoo.com -

according to the emails I received from Joan yesterday, she identified that Sharon Campbell's spoke with Lori Shipley yesterday, and that Lori told her the meeting will not be held in LW.

Please advise.

slk

From: "Rubin, Carol" <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>
Date: July 26, 2017 12:17:21 PM EDT
To: joan hecht <joan.hecht@yahoo.com>
Cc: "justus.lwmd@yahoo.com" <justus.lwmd@yahoo.com>
Subject: RE: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Ms. Hecht,

I keep getting undeliverable notices in my responses to SLK. Please let he know.

Carol S. Rubin

From: Rubin, Carol
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 12:16 PM
To: 'justus.lwmd@yahoo.com' <justus.lwmd@yahoo.com>
I was not aware. As I indicated earlier, the location of the hearing is a decision of the Chair. It is not a decision in which he needs legal input. Therefore, I am referring your email to Joyce Garcia, Special Assistant to the Planning Board.

Carol S. Rubin

---

From: justus.lwmd@yahoo.com [mailto:justus.lwmd@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 11:08 AM
To: Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>
Cc: joan hecht <joan_hecht@yahoo.com>
Subject: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

are you aware if a denial of the accommodation request for the hearing being held in LW has been denied?

slk

---

From: "Rubin, Carol" <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>
Date: July 26, 2017 11:02:26 AM EDT
To: "justus.lwmd@yahoo.com" <justus.lwmd@yahoo.com>
Subject: FW: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

As I indicated earlier, the location of the hearing is a decision of the Chair. It is not a decision in which he needs legal input. Therefore, I am referring your email to Joyce Garcia, Special Assistant to the Planning Board.
Carol S. Rubin

From: justus.lwmd@yahoo.com [mailto:justus.lwmd@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 10:52 AM
To: Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>
Subject: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Carol: (note return email address: justus.lwmd@yahoo.com)

As per an earlier conversation, this hearing accommodation request was sent to Mr. Anderson, thus far without reply.

However, I received a message that Lori Shipley had told a LW resident that it has been denied.

Please advise.

slk

justus.lwmd@yahoo.com

Date: July 12, 2017 9:28:38 PM EDT
To: casey.anderson@mnccpc.org, "Rubin, Carol" <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>
Cc: richard thornell <rpthornell@comcast.net>,
Subject: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

To: Casey Anderson,
Chair, Montgomery County Planning Board

and

Carol S. Rubin
Principal Counsel,
Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission

The 6/29/17 Linowes and Blocker "Notice of Application" identifies the tentative date for the Montgomery County Planning Board public hearing for Site Plan 820170120 is November 2, 2017.

Whatever date the public hearing is actually held, due to the limitations of the Leisure World senior residents, this is to request it be held in Leisure World. Leisure World has hosted County Council town hall meetings and is able to accommodate the Planning Board's IT requirements for recording the proceedings.

Await reply to confirm acceptance of this accommodation request.

Thank you.

slk

slkatzman

President,

"JustUs" advocates to enhance the quality of life for all Leisure World residents
Hello Ms. Katzman,

Please see my e-mail below in response to your 7.25.17 e-mail regarding your comments about the protocols at this past Tuesday's DRC meeting. My e-mail contacts have been updated with your current address. However, I wanted you to know that I received a delivery failure notice on my end for your e-mail address.

Lori Shirley
Planner Coordinator
Area 2 Division
Montgomery County Planning Department
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
T 301-495-4557
F 301-495-1313
E Lori.Shirley@montgomeryplanning.org
W MontgomeryPlanning.org
M-NCPPC

Hi Ms. Katzman,

This is to address your questions below, and please know that after this is sent to you, I will forward it to Mark Pfefferle, Chief of the Department’s Development Application and Regulatory Compliance (DARC) Division because he is the Chairman of the Development Review Committee (DRC). Mr. Pfefferle can best address your comment about the DRC meeting this past Tuesday and its protocol. The three Leisure World residents sat along a side wall of the conference room and had clear sight-view of a Smart Board (an approximately 72-inch screen) that had the electronic plans displayed for the Committee to discuss the project. None of the conference rooms in the Department have microphones and the three residents did not indicate they were unable to hear the round-table discussion.

Your reference to a reconvened meeting on Tuesday, August 29, is confusing to me. To clarify, there is no such (reconvened) meeting of the DRC scheduled for this Site Plan on that date. The DRC's review of this Site Plan was completed this past Tuesday. The mention of this date at the meeting was regarding the 65th day before the tentative Planning Board hearing on November 2, 2017. The 65th day is a critical deadline for the Applicant to submit final revised plans by, in order to stay within the 120-day review of the Site Plan. If you
need any further clarification about the August 29, 2017 deadline, and Area 2’s review of the Site Plan please call me at your convenience and I will be glad to explain it to you. Thank you.

Lori Shirley
Planner Coordinator
Area 2 Division
Montgomery County Planning Department
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
T 301-495-4557
F 301-495-1313
E Lori.Shirley@montgomeryplanning.org
W MontgomeryPlanning.org

From: justus lwmd@yahoo.com [mailto:justus lwmd@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 10:47 AM
To: Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>; Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mnccc.org>
Cc: barbara studwell <bbstudwell@gmail.com>; marilyn sargent <mrsargent@gmail.com>; harry cohen <sylharry@gmail.com>
Subject: : Leisure World-Admin.Bldg. Site Plan 820170120

note return email address: justus lwmd@yahoo.com

Subject: Fwd: Leisure World-Admin.Bldg. Site Plan 820170120
Date: July 25, 2017 6:36:11 PM EDT
To: Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>
Cc: barbara studwell <bbstudwell@gmail.com>, mickey sargent <mrsargent01@gmail.com>, harry cohen <sylharry@gmail.com>

Lori: thank you for sending the documents.

on another note - 3 Leisure World residents took their time to drive and attend the Planning Development Review Committee meeting this morning - - I was unable to attend due the conflicting date/time with the monthly LW BOD meeting.

the protocol of the meeting has been reported to be less than satisfactory in that the participants huddled around the meeting table - and did not use microphones - leaving the guests unable to hear what was being discussed.

I'm informed that the meeting is scheduled to be reconvened on Tues. Aug. 29 - once again - the LW BOD meeting is scheduled on that same date - presenting a conflict for those LW residents who attend the monthly LW BOD meeting -

Can your meeting be rescheduled to another day to accommodate those of us who want to attend?

slk

slkatzman
President,
"JustUs" advocates to enhance the quality of life for all Leisure World residents
Hi Ms. Katzman,

This is to address your questions below, and please know that after this is sent to you, I will forward it to Mark Pfefferle, Chief of the Department’s Development Application and Regulatory Compliance (DARC) Division because he is the Chairman of the Development Review Committee (DRC). Mr. Pfefferle can best address your comment about the DRC meeting this past Tuesday and its protocol. The three Leisure World residents sat along a side wall of the conference room and had clear sight-view of a Smart Board (an approximately 72-inch screen) that had the electronic plans displayed for the Committee to discuss the project. None of the conference rooms in the Department have microphones and the three residents did not indicate they were unable to hear the round-table discussion.

Your reference to a reconvened meeting on Tuesday, August 29, is confusing to me. To clarify, there is no such (reconvened) meeting of the DRC scheduled for this Site Plan on that date. The DRC’s review of this Site Plan was completed this past Tuesday. The mention of this date at the meeting was regarding the 65th day before the tentative Planning Board hearing on November 2, 2017. The 65th day is a critical deadline for the Applicant to submit final revised plans by, in order to stay within the 120-day review of the Site Plan. If you need any further clarification about the August 29, 2017 deadline, and Area 2’s review of the Site Plan please call me at your convenience and I will be glad to explain it to you. Thank you.

Lori Shirley
Planner Coordinator
Area 2 Division
Montgomery County Planning Department
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
T 301-495-4557
F 301-495-1313
E Lori.Shirley@montgomeryplanning.org
W MontgomeryPlanning.org
M-NCPPC
Lori: thank you for sending the documents.

on another note - 3 Leisure World residents took their time to drive and attend the Planning Development Review Committee meeting this morning - - I was unable to attend due the conflicting date/time with the monthly LW BOD meeting.

the protocol of the meeting has been reported to be less than satisfactory in that the participants huddled around the meeting table - and did not use microphones - leaving the guests unable to hear what was being discussed.

I'm informed that the meeting is scheduled to be reconvened on Tues. Aug. 29 - once again - the LW BOD meeting is scheduled on that same date - presenting a conflict for those LW residents who attend the monthly LW BOD meeting -

Can your meeting be rescheduled to another day to accommodate those of us who want to attend?

slk

slkatzman
President,
"JustUs" advocates to enhance the quality of life for all Leisure World residents
Shirley, Lori

From: justus.lwmd@yahoo.com
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 5:51 PM
To: Shirley, Lori; Rubin, Carol; Garcia, Joyce; sharon campbell; joan hecht
Subject: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Agree - each of the M-NCPCC employees with whom I’ve communicated re: the LW site plan have been extraordinarily respectful and responsive - for which I personally am most appreciative.

As I replied to Joan - at no time have I received a reply to the request for accommodation from Mr. Anderson - therefore whatever she was told or understood was invalid.

slk

From: Sharon Campbell <scampbell.lw@gmail.com>
Date: July 26, 2017 5:37:03 PM EDT
To: "Shirley, Lori" <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>
Cc: "justus.lwmd@yahoo.com" <justus.lwmd@yahoo.com>, "Rubin, Carol" <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>, joan hecht <joan_hecht@yahoo.com>, "Garcia, Joyce" <joyce.garcia@mnccpc-mc.org>
Subject: Re: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Ms. Shirley is correct. I did not make a request to her for a hearing to be held at LW as that was something I clearly understood would have to be done by some other means. Also, it appears to me that in all other respects her email is correct.

I am disturbed that my conversation with her would be referenced in any way, much less an incorrect manner, by anyone other than myself or by someone to whom I would have given express approval. No request to do so was ever received by me and I was unaware of these emails until just now.

I found Ms. Shirley to be very accommodating and patient with me in trying to answer my questions, mainly about specific pertinent information with regard to the site plan.

I apologize, Ms. Shirley, for an inconvenience this round of emails may have caused.

Sharon Campbell

Subject: : request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1
From: justus.lwmd@yahoo.com
Date: July 26, 2017 5:10:32 PM EDT
To: Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>
Cc: Carol Rubin <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>, joan hecht <joan_hecht@yahoo.com>, joyce.garcia@mnccpc-mc.org, sharon campbell <scampbell.lw@gmail.com>
Thank you Lori:

1. At no time did I infer that you had made a decision that the (tentatively scheduled) Nov. 2 HEARING would not be held in LW as requested. This started as a result of emails received from Joan stating that in her conversation w/Sharon who had spoken with you, reported that the HEARING would not be held in LW.

2. I have asked you to change the meeting I’ve been told was scheduled to reconvene on Aug. 29 as per email to you yesterday, due to the continued conflict with the LW BOD meeting on the same date - as was the case yesterday.

slk

From: "Shirley, Lori" <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>
Date: July 26, 2017 4:45:12 PM EDT
To: "justus.lwmd@yahoo.com" <justus.lwmd@yahoo.com>, "Rubin, Carol" <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>
Cc: joan hecht <joan_hecht@yahoo.com>, "Garcia, Joyce" <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>, Sharon Campbell <scampbell.lw@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Hello Ms. Katzman,

This is to confirm that Sharon Campbell and I spoke yesterday about the Applicant’s Site Plan and other electronic documents and records available in the Department’s Development Activity Information Center (DAIC). We also briefly discussed her question about the Planning Board’s hearing location. I explained these meetings are held at the Department’s offices at 8787 Georgia Avenue in Silver Spring, in the building's Auditorium because, it has all of the technology (audio/visual equipment) for the Board to conduct these meetings. Most of our conversation focused on the Applicant’s Site Plan. I took the time to show her how to access DAIC. We viewed the Applicant’s Natural Resources Inventory/Forest Stand Delineation (NRI/FSD) and Exemption letter. I also explained to her the development review process, and I encouraged her and other Leisure World residents to participate in the process, including submitting letters addressed to Chairman Anderson to summarize their concerns. Ms. Campbell did not request that I change the Board’s meeting location to the Leisure World site to accommodate the residents. I don’t have the authority to make any such decision. Carol Rubin is correct, that any request to change the Planning Board’s meeting location should be made to Chairman Anderson’s staff to address.

I’m concerned that your interpretation of a third party conversation passed along to you has been misconstrued. In scrolling down these series of e-mails below, I see you made an e-mail request to the Chairman’s office on 7/12/17 to relocate the Planning Board hearing for the item. Ms. Campbell and I spoke yesterday, 7/25/17 in the afternoon, after the Site Plan was discussed at the Development Review Committee meeting. Again, in my conversation with her, I briefly explained the location of the Planning Board’s weekly meetings at the Department’s offices. In hindsight, I probably should have suggested Ms. Campbell make a formal request to the Chairman’s office. However, at no point in the conversation with Ms. Campbell, did I take it upon myself to make a decision as to the Planning Board’s hearing location for this Site Plan. I disagree with your statement (in the e-mail below) that I denied a request made by Ms. Campbell to relocate the future Planning Board meeting for this Site Plan. Again, no such request was made to me and, I don’t have the authority to make any such decision, had a request been made to me.

Please let me know if you have any other questions about this Site Plan. As the Lead Reviewer assigned to review the Site Plan, I’m available to assist you and your Leisure World neighbors and others from the public regarding the proposal, how to access documents in DAIC and how the County’s development review process works.
[As an aside comment I would like to correct your reference to my last name (in the e-mail below) for future e-mail communication: it’s Shirley (spelled just like the girl’s name) and not Shipley.] Thank you.

Lori Shirley
Planner Coordinator
Area 2 Division
Montgomery County Planning Department
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
T 301-495-4557
F 301-495-1313
E Lori.Shirley@montgomeryplanning.org
W MontgomeryPlanning.org

From: justus.lwmd@yahoo.com [mailto:justus.lwmd@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 3:23 PM
To: Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>
Cc: joan hecht <joan_hecht@yahoo.com>; Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>
Subject: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

would you please check with Lori Shipley to determine if Sharon Campbell reports from their conversation is correct?

slk

From: "Rubin, Carol" <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>
Date: July 26, 2017 3:15:42 PM EDT
To: "justus.lwmd@yahoo.com" <justus.lwmd@yahoo.com>
Cc: joan hecht <joan_hecht@yahoo.com>, "Garcia, Joyce" <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>
Subject: RE: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Not my call. I referred this to the Chair’s office to respond.

Carol S. Rubin
Principal Counsel
Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission
8787 Georgia Avenue, Suite 205
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
tel: 301-495-4646; fax: 301-495-2173
e-mail: carol.rubin@mncppc.org

From: justus.lwmd@yahoo.com [mailto:justus.lwmd@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 12:28 PM
To: Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>
CC: joan hecht <joan_hecht@yahoo.com>
Subject: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Carol: I've received your reply - I am experiencing extraordinarily troublesome gmail problems -

I have received your emails sent to: justus.lwmd@yahoo.com -

according to the emails I received from Joan yesterday, she identified that Sharon Campbell's spoke with Lori Shipley yesterday, and that Lori told her the meeting will not be held in LW.

Please advise.

slk

From: "Rubin, Carol" <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>
Date: July 26, 2017 12:17:21 PM EDT
To: joan hecht <joan_hecht@yahoo.com>
Cc: "justus.lwmd@yahoo.com" <justus.lwmd@yahoo.com>
Subject: RE: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Ms. Hecht,
I keep getting undeliverable notices in my responses to SLK. Please let he know.

Carol S. Rubin

---

From: Rubin, Carol
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 12:16 PM
To: 'justus.lwmd@yahoo.com' <justus.lwmd@yahoo.com>
Cc: joan hecht <joan_hecht@yahoo.com>
Subject: RE: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

I was not aware. As I indicated earlier, the location of the hearing is a decision of the Chair. It is not a decision in which he needs legal input. Therefore, I am referring your email to Joyce Garcia, Special Assistant to the Planning Board.

Carol S. Rubin

---

From: justus.lwmd@yahoo.com [mailto:justus.lwmd@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 11:08 AM
To: Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>
Cc: joan hecht <joan_hecht@yahoo.com>
Subject: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

are you aware if a denial of the accommodation request for the hearing being held in LW has been denied?
As I indicated earlier, the location of the hearing is a decision of the Chair. It is not a decision in which he needs legal input. Therefore, I am referring your email to Joyce Garcia, Special Assistant to the Planning Board.

Carol S. Rubin

As per an earlier conversation, this hearing accommodation request was sent to Mr. Anderson, thus far without reply.

However, I received a message that Lori Shipley had told a LW resident that it has been denied.

Please advise.

slk
justus lwmd@yahoo.com

To: Casey Anderson,
Chair, Montgomery County Planning Board

and

Carol S. Rubin
Principal Counsel,
Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission

The 6/29/17 Linowes and Blocker "Notice of Application" identifies the tentative date for the Montgomery County Planning Board public hearing for Site Plan 820170120 is November 2, 2017.

Whatever date the public hearing is actually held, due to the limitations of the Leisure World senior residents, this is to request it be held in Leisure World. Leisure World has hosted County Council town hall meetings and is able to accommodate the Planning Board's IT requirements for recording the proceedings.

Await reply to confirm acceptance of this accommodation request.

Thank you.

slk

slkatzman
President,
"JustUs" advocates to enhance the quality of life for all Leisure World residents
From: Sharon Campbell <scampbell.lw@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 5:37 PM
To: Shirley, Lori
Cc: justus.lwmd@yahoo.com; Rubin, Carol; joan hecht; Garcia, Joyce
Subject: Re: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure
World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Ms. Shirley is correct. I did not make a request to her for a hearing to be held at LW as that was something I clearly understood would have to be done by some other means. Also, it appears to me that in all other respects her email is correct.

I am disturbed that my conversation with her would be referenced in any way, much less an incorrect manner, by anyone other than myself or by someone to whom I would have given express approval. No request to do so was ever received by me and I was unaware of these emails until just now.

I found Ms. Shirley to be very accommodating and patient with me in trying to answer my questions, mainly about specific pertinent information with regard to the site plan.

I apologize, Ms. Shirley, for an inconvenience this round of emails may have caused.

Sharon Campbell

On Wed, Jul 26, 2017 at 4:45 PM, Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org> wrote:

Hello Ms. Katzman,

This is to confirm that Sharon Campbell and I spoke yesterday about the Applicant's Site Plan and other electronic documents and records available in the Department's Development Activity Information Center (DAIC). We also briefly discussed her question about the Planning Board's hearing location. I explained these meetings are held at the Department's offices at 8787 Georgia Avenue in Silver Spring, in the building's Auditorium because, it has all of the technology (audio/visual equipment) for the Board to conduct these meetings. Most of our conversation focused on the Applicant's Site Plan. I took the time to show her how to access DAIC. We viewed the Applicant's Natural Resources Inventory/Forest Stand Delineation (NRI/FSD) and Exemption letter. I also explained to her the development review process, and I encouraged her and other Leisure World residents to participate in the process, including submitting letters addressed to Chairman Anderson to summarize their concerns. Ms. Campbell did not request that I change the Board's meeting location to the Leisure World site to accommodate the residents. I don't have the authority to make any such decision. Carol Rubin is correct, that any request to change the Planning Board's meeting location should be made to Chairman Anderson's staff to address.

I'm concerned that your interpretation of a third party conversation passed along to you has been misconstrued. In scrolling down these series of e-mails below, I see you made an e-mail request to the Chairman's office on 7/12/17 to relocate the Planning Board hearing for the item. Ms. Campbell and I spoke yesterday, 7/25/17 in the afternoon, after the Site Plan was discussed at the Development Review Committee meeting. Again, in my conversation with her, I briefly explained the location of the Planning Board's weekly
meetings at the Department’s offices. In hindsight, I probably should have suggested Ms. Campbell make a formal request to the Chairman’s office. However, at no point in the conversation with Ms. Campbell, did I take it upon myself to make a decision as to the Planning Board’s hearing location for this Site Plan. I disagree with your statement (in the e-mail below) that I denied a request made by Ms. Campbell to relocate the future Planning Board meeting for this Site Plan. Again, no such request was made to me and, I don’t have the authority to make any such decision, had a request been made to me.

Please let me know if you have any other questions about this Site Plan. As the Lead Reviewer assigned to review the Site Plan, I’m available to assist you and your Leisure World neighbors and others from the public regarding the proposal, how to access documents in DAIC and how the County’s development review process works.

[As an aside comment I would like to correct your reference to my last name (in the e-mail below) for future e-mail communication: it’s Shirley (spelled just like the girl’s name) and not Shipley.] Thank you.

Lori Shirley
Planner Coordinator
Area 2 Division
Montgomery County Planning Department
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
T 301-495-4557
F 301-495-1313
E Lori.Shirley@montgomeryplanning.org
W MontgomeryPlanning.org

M-NCPDC

From: justus.lwmd@yahoo.com [mailto:justus.lwmd@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 3:23 PM
To: Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>
Cc: joan hecht <joan_hecht@yahoo.com>; Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>
would you please check with Lori Shipley to determine if Sharon Campbell reports from their conversation is correct?
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From: "Rubin, Carol" <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>

Date: July 26, 2017 3:15:42 PM EDT

To: "justus.lwm@ymail.com" <justus.lwm@ymail.com>

Cc: joan hecht <joan_hecht@yahoo.com>, "Garcia, Joyce" <joyce.garcia@mnccpc-mc.org>

Subject: RE: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Not my call. I referred this to the Chair's office to respond.

Carol S. Rubin

Principal Counsel

Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission

8787 Georgia Avenue, Suite 205

Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

tel: 301-495-4646; fax: 301-495-2173

email: carol.rubin@mnccpc.org
Carol: I've received your reply - I am experiencing extraordinarily troublesome gmail problems -

I have received your emails sent to: justus.lwmd@yahoo.com -

according to the emails I received from Joan yesterday, she identified that Sharon Campbell's spoke with Lori Shipley yesterday, and that Lori told her the meeting will not be held in LW.

Please advise.

slk

From: "Rubin, Carol" <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>
Date: July 26, 2017 12:17:21 PM EDT
To: joan hecht <joan_hecht@yahoo.com>
Cc: "justus.lwmd@yahoo.com" <justus.lwmd@yahoo.com>

Subject: RE: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Ms. Hecht,

I keep getting undeliverable notices in my responses to SLK. Please let he know.

Carol S. Rubin
Shirley, Lori

From: justus.lwmd@yahoo.com
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 5:11 PM
To: Shirley, Lori
Cc: Rubin, Carol; joan hecht; Garcia, Joyce; sharon campbell
Subject: : request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Importance: High

Thank you Lori:

1. At no time did I infer that you had made a decision that the (tentatively scheduled) Nov. 2 HEARING would not be held in LW as requested. This started as a result of emails received from Joan stating that in her conversation w/Sharon who had spoken with you, reported that the HEARING would not be held in LW.

2. I have asked you to change the meeting I've been told was scheduled to reconvene on Aug. 29 as per email to you yesterday, due to the continued conflict with the LW BOD meeting on the same date - as was the case yesterday.

slk

From: "Shirley, Lori" <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>
Date: July 26, 2017 4:45:12 PM EDT
To: "justus.lwmd@yahoo.com" <justus.lwmd@yahoo.com>, "Rubin, Carol" <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>
Cc: joan hecht <joan_hecht@yahoo.com>, "Garcia, Joyce" <joyce.garcla@mncppc-mc.org>, Sharon Campbell <scampbell.lw@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Hello Ms. Katzman,

This is to confirm that Sharon Campbell and I spoke yesterday about the Applicant's Site Plan and other electronic documents and records available in the Department's Development Activity Information Center (DAIC). We also briefly discussed her question about the Planning Board's hearing location. I explained these meetings are held at the Department's offices at 8787 Georgia Avenue in Silver Spring, in the building's Auditorium because, it has all of the technology (audio/visual equipment) for the Board to conduct these meetings. Most of our conversation focused on the Applicant's Site Plan. I took the time to show her how to access DAIC. We viewed the Applicant's Natural Resources Inventory/Forest Stand Delineation (NRI/FSD) and Exemption letter. I also explained to her the development review process, and I encouraged her and other Leisure World residents to participate in the process, including submitting letters addressed to Chairman Anderson to summarize their concerns. Ms. Campbell did not request that I change the Board's meeting location to the Leisure World site to accommodate the residents. I don't have the authority to make any such decision. Carol Rubin is correct, that any request to change the Planning Board’s meeting location should be made to Chairman Anderson’s staff to address.

I'm concerned that your interpretation of a third party conversation passed along to you has been misconstrued. In scrolling down these series of e-mails below, I see you made an e-mail request to the Chairman's office on 7/12/17 to relocate the Planning Board hearing for the item. Ms. Campbell and I spoke yesterday, 7/25/17 in the afternoon, after the Site Plan was discussed at the Development Review
Committee meeting. Again, in my conversation with her, I briefly explained the location of the Planning Board’s weekly meetings at the Department’s offices. In hindsight, I probably should have suggested Ms. Campbell make a formal request to the Chairman’s office. However, at no point in the conversation with Ms. Campbell, did I take it upon myself to make a decision as to the Planning Board’s hearing location for this Site Plan. I disagree with your statement (in the e-mail below) that I denied a request made by Ms. Campbell to relocate the future Planning Board meeting for this Site Plan. Again, no such request was made to me and, I don’t have the authority to make any such decision, had a request been made to me.

Please let me know if you have any other questions about this Site Plan. As the Lead Reviewer assigned to review the Site Plan, I’m available to assist you and your Leisure World neighbors and others from the public regarding the proposal, how to access documents in DAIC and how the County’s development review process works.

[As an aside comment I would like to correct your reference to my last name (in the e-mail below) for future e-mail communication: it’s Shirley (spelled just like the girl’s name) and not Shipley.] Thank you.

Lori Shirley
Planner Coordinator
Area 2 Division
Montgomery County Planning Department
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
T 301-495-4557
F 301-495-1313
E Lori.Shirley@montgomeryplanning.org
W MontgomeryPlanning.org
F M-NCPDC

From: justus.lwmd@yahoo.com [mailto:justus.lwmd@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 3:23 PM
To: Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>
Cc: joan hecht <joan_hecht@yahoo.com>; Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>
Subject: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

would you please check with Lori Shipley to determine if Sharon Campbell reports from their conversation is correct?

slk

From: "Rubin, Carol" <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>
Date: July 26, 2017 3:15:42 PM EDT
To: "justus.lwmd@yahoo.com" <justus.lwmd@yahoo.com>
Cc: joan hecht <joan_hecht@yahoo.com>, "Garcia, Joyce" <joyce.garcia@mncppc-mc.org>
Subject: RE: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Not my call. I referred this to the Chair’s office to respond.

Carol S. Rubin
Principal Counsel
From: justus lwmd@yahoo.com
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 12:28 PM
To: Rubin, Carol
Cc: joan hecht@yahoo.com
Subject: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Carol: I’ve received your reply - I am experiencing extraordinarily troublesome gmail problems -

I have received your emails sent to: justus lwmd@yahoo.com -

according to the emails I received from Joan yesterday, she identified that Sharon Campbell’s spoke with Lori Shipley yesterday, and that Lori told her the meeting will not be held in LW.

Please advise.

slk

From: "Rubin, Carol" <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>
Date: July 26, 2017 12:17:21 PM EDT
To: joan hecht <joan hecht@yahoo.com>
Cc: "justus lwmd@yahoo.com" <justus lwmd@yahoo.com>
Subject: RE: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Ms. Hecht,
I keep getting undeliverable notices in my responses to SLK. Please let him know.

Carol S. Rubin

From: Rubin, Carol
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 12:16 PM
To: justus lwmd@yahoo.com, justus lwmd@yahoo.com
Cc: joan hecht@yahoo.com
Subject: RE: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

I was not aware. As I indicated earlier, the location of the hearing is a decision of the Chair. It is not a decision in which he needs legal input. Therefore, I am referring your email to Joyce Garcia, Special Assistant to the Planning Board.
would you please check with Lori Shipley to determine if Sharon Campbell reports from their conversation is correct?

slk

From: "Rubin, Carol" <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>
Date: July 26, 2017 3:15:42 PM EDT
To: "justus.lwmd@yahoo.com" <justus.lwmd@yahoo.com>
Cc: joan hecht <joan_hecht@yahoo.com>, "Garcia, Joyce" <joyce.garcia@mnccpc-mc.org>
Subject: RE: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Not my call. I referred this to the Chair’s office to respond.

Carol S. Rubin
Principal Counsel
Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission
8787 Georgia Avenue, Suite 205
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
tel: 301-495-4646; fax: 301-495-2173
e-mail: carol.rubin@mnccpc.org

From: justus.lwmd@yahoo.com [mailto:justus.lwmd@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 12:28 PM
To: Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>
Cc: joan hecht <joan_hecht@yahoo.com>
Subject: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Carol: I’ve received your reply - I am experiencing extraordinarily troublesome gmail problems -

I have received your emails sent to: justus.lwmd@yahoo.com -

according to the emails I received from Joan yesterday, she identified that Sharon Campbell’s spoke with Lori Shipley yesterday, and that Lori told her the meeting will not be held in LW.

Please advise.
Ms. Hecht,
I keep getting undeliverable notices in my responses to SLK. Please let he know.

Carol S. Rubin

I was not aware. As I indicated earlier, the location of the hearing is a decision of the Chair. It is not a decision in which he needs legal input. Therefore, I am referring your email to Joyce Garcia, Special Assistant to the Planning Board.

Carol S. Rubin

are you aware if a denial of the accommodation request for the hearing being held in LW has been denied?

slk

As I indicated earlier, the location of the hearing is a decision of the Chair. It is not a decision in which he needs legal input. Therefore, I am referring your email to Joyce Garcia, Special Assistant to the Planning Board.
Carol S. Rubin

From: justus.lwmd@yahoo.com [mailto:justus.lwmd@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 10:52 AM
To: Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>
Subject: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Carol: (note return email address: justus.lwmd@yahoo.com)

As per an earlier conversation, this hearing accommodation request was sent to Mr. Anderson, thus far without reply.

However, I received a message that Lori Shipley had told a LW resident that it has been denied.

Please advise.

slk
justus.lwmd@yahoo.com

Date: July 12, 2017 9:28:38 PM EDT
To: casey.anderson@mnccpc.org, "Rubin, Carol" <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>
Cc: richard.thornell <p.thornell@comcast.net>
Subject: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

To: Casey Anderson,
Chair, Montgomery County Planning Board

and

Carol S. Rubin
Principal Counsel,
Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission

The 6/29/17 Linowes and Blocker "Notice of Application" identifies the tentative date for the Montgomery County Planning Board public hearing for Site Plan 820170120 is November 2, 2017.

Whatever date the public hearing is actually held, due to the limitations of the Leisure World senior residents, this is to request it be held in Leisure World. Leisure World has hosted County Council town hall meetings and is able to accommodate the Planning Board's IT requirements for recording the proceedings.
Await reply to confirm acceptance of this accommodation request.

Thank you.

slk

slkatzman
President,
"JustUs" advocates to enhance the quality of life for all Leisure World residents
From: Rubin, Carol
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 12:16 PM
To: 'justus.lwmd@yahoo.com' <justus.lwmd@yahoo.com>
Cc: joan hecht <joan_hecht@yahoo.com>
Subject: RE: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

I was not aware. As I indicated earlier, the location of the hearing is a decision of the Chair. It is not a decision in which he needs legal input. Therefore, I am referring your email to Joyce Garcia, Special Assistant to the Planning Board.

Carol S. Rubin

From: justus.lwmd@yahoo.com [mailto:justus.lwmd@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 11:08 AM
To: Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mnppcc.org>
Cc: joan hecht <joan_hecht@yahoo.com>
Subject: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

are you aware if a denial of the accommodation request for the hearing being held in LW has been denied?

slk

From: "Rubin, Carol" <carol.rubin@mnppcc.org>
Date: July 26, 2017 11:02:26 AM EDT
To: "justus.lwmd@yahoo.com" <justus.lwmd@yahoo.com>
As I indicated earlier, the location of the hearing is a decision of the Chair. It is not a decision in which he needs legal input. Therefore, I am referring your email to Joyce Garcia, Special Assistant to the Planning Board.

Carol S. Rubin

From: justus.lwmd@yahoo.com [mailto:justus.lwmd@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 10:52 AM
To: Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mnccpc.org>
Subject: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Carol: (note return email address: justus.lwmd@yahoo.com)

As per an earlier conversation, this hearing accommodation request was sent to Mr. Anderson, thus far without reply.

However, I received a message that Lori Shipley had told a LW resident that it has been denied.

Please advise.

slk

justus.lwmd@yahoo.com
Carol S. Rubin

From: justus.lwmd@yahoo.com [mailto:justus.lwmd@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 11:08 AM
To: Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>
Cc: joan hecht <joan_hecht@yahoo.com>
Subject: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

are you aware if a denial of the accommodation request for the hearing being held in LW has been denied?

slk

From: "Rubin, Carol" <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>
Date: July 26, 2017 11:02:26 AM EDT
To: "justus.lwmd@yahoo.com" <justus.lwmd@yahoo.com>
Subject: FW: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

As I indicated earlier, the location of the hearing is a decision of the Chair. It is not a decision in which he needs legal input. Therefore, I am referring your email to Joyce Garcia, Special Assistant to the Planning Board.

Carol S. Rubin

From: justus.lwmd@yahoo.com [mailto:justus.lwmd@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 10:52 AM
To: Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>
Subject: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

Carol: (note return email address: justus.lwmd@yahoo.com)

As per an earlier conversation, this hearing accommodation request was sent to Mr. Anderson, thus far without reply.

However, I received a message that Lori Shipley had told a LW resident that it has been denied.

Please advise.

slk
justus.lwmd@yahoo.com

Date: July 12, 2017 9:28:38 PM EDT
To: casey.anderson@mncppc.org, "Rubin, Carol" <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>
Cc: richard thornell <pthornell@comcast.net>,
Subject: request for hearing location accommodation - re: Site Plan 820170120, Leisure World Administrative Building and Clubhouse 1

To: Casey Anderson,
Chair, Montgomery County Planning Board

and

Carol S. Rubin
Principal Counsel,
Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission

The 6/29/17 Linowes and Blocker "Notice of Application" identifies the tentative date for the Montgomery County Planning Board public hearing for Site Plan 820170120 is November 2, 2017.

Whatever date the public hearing is actually held, due to the limitations of the Leisure World senior residents, this is to request it be held in Leisure World. Leisure World has hosted County Council town hall meetings and is able to accommodate the Planning Board's IT requirements for recording the proceedings.

Await reply to confirm acceptance of this accommodation request.

Thank you.

slk

slkatzman
President,
"JustUs" advocates to enhance the quality of life for all Leisure World residents
Lori: thank you for sending the documents.

On another note - 3 Leisure World residents took their time to drive and attend the Planning Development Review Committee meeting this morning - - I was unable to attend due the conflicting date/time with the monthly LW BOD meeting.

The protocol of the meeting has been reported to be less than satisfactory in that the participants huddled around the meeting table - and did not use microphones - leaving the guests unable to hear what was being discussed.

I'm informed that the meeting is scheduled to be reconvened on Tues. Aug. 29 - once again - the LW BOD meeting is scheduled on that same date - presenting a conflict for those LW residents who attend the monthly LW BOD meeting -

Can your meeting be rescheduled to another day to accommodate those of us who want to attend?

slk

slkatzman
President,
"JustUs" advocates to enhance the quality of life for all Leisure World residents
Shirley, Lori

From: 17justus <17justus.lwmd@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 25, 2017 6:36 PM
To: Shirley, Lori
Cc: barbara studwell; mickey sargent; harry cohen
Subject: Fwd: Leisure World-Admin.Bldg. Site Plan 820170120

Lori: thank you for sending the documents.

on another note - 3 Leisure World residents took their time to drive and attend the Planning Development Review Committee meeting this morning - - I was unable to attend due the conflicting date/time with the monthly LW BOD meeting.

the protocol of the meeting has been reported to be less than satisfactory in that the participants huddled around the meeting table - and did not use microphones - leaving the guests unable to hear what was being discussed.

I'm informed that the meeting is scheduled to be reconvened on Tues. Aug. 29 - once again - the LW BOD meeting is scheduled on that same date - presenting a conflict for those LW residents who attend the monthly LW BOD meeting -

Can you meeting be rescheduled to another day to accommodate those of us who want to attend?

slk

From: Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>
Date: Tue, Jul 25, 2017 at 9:48 AM
Subject: RE: Leisure World-Admin.Bldg. Site Plan 820170120
To: "17justus.lwmd@gmail.com" <17justus.lwmd@gmail.com>
Cc: "Patterson, Patrick" <Patrick.Patterson@montgomeryplanning.org>

Hello Ms. Katzman,

This e-mail is to provide you with the subject Site's Exemption letter and Natural Resources Inventory/Forest Stand Delineation (NRI/FSD) in pdf form since you were having difficulty accessing these documents on DAIC from your computer. Last night I accessed both of these documents in DAIC from my residence, to see if similar issues you encountered also happened to me from the DAIC server for the public. The Exemption letter opened quickly and there were no issues in my ability to access it. The NRI/FSD took longer to open, in fact, I had to "refresh" the page twice. After the second "refresh" effort, the document opened. The browser on my personal computer is an updated one. Possibly you should update the browser on your computer and will then have better results accessing these electronic documents.

As for your request that the other documents in this application be sent to you in individual pdf format, that would take a lot of staff time to download these that way. Please know that if you continue to experience
difficulty accessing these documents in DAIC after you upgrade your browser, the next best option available to you is to come to the Planning Department's Information Counter (IC) on the second floor where you can view these on a Department computer during office hours for IC staff.

Please let me know if you have any other questions regarding this Site Plan. Thank you.

Lori Shirley
Planner Coordinator
Area 2 Division
Montgomery County Planning Department
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
T 301-495-4557
F 301-495-1313
E Lori.Shirley@montgomeryplanning.org
W MontgomeryPlanning.org

From: 17justus.lwmd@gmail.com [mailto:17justus.lwmd@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, July 24, 2017 1:16 PM
To: Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>; Patterson, Patrick <Patrick.Patterson@montgomeryplanning.org>; Shires, Edward <edward.shires@montgomeryplanning.org>; Rubin, Carol <carol.rubin@mncppc.org>

Subject: Leisure World-Admin.Bldg. Site Plan 820170120

To: Lori Shirley, Patrick Patterson, Edward Shires
my responses to each of you are in red below -

The NRI/FSD and Exemption Letter 42016039E were both signed on 9.24.15. I will try to send you pdf versions of each of these two documents. If you requested that all documents in DAIC for the site plan be e-mailed to you, that must have gotten passed me/I missed it.

as seen here - that request was emailed on June 26, 2017. In that we are unable to access the DAIC pdf's, that request is reiterated again:

Patrick and Carol - thank you very much for sending this information.
This is to request that you email (.pdf) the 820170120 plan application submitted by Leisure World-to include the requisite "pre-submission meeting documentation".

Thank you.

I see you e-mailed Patrick Patterson and me last Friday afternoon at 4:51 p.m., in which you request information. I must have just turned off my computer off right about then at the end of the day. Please let’s wait and see what Patrick can do about the accessibility of these other documents for you in DAIC.

Subject: Problems with ePlan Documents
From: Shires, Edward <edward.shires@montgomeryplanning.org>
Date: July 24, 2017 11:12:22 AM EDT
To: 17justus.lwmd@gmail.com
Cc: Patterson, Patrick <Patrick.Patterson@montgomeryplanning.org>

Hello Ms. Katzman,

Patrick Patterson sent me an e-mail concerning some documents in ePlans for Site Plan 820170120 that you have problems opening/reading. If you want to talk about this over the phone, please provide me with a number so we can see what the issues are.

Thank you very much,

Ed Shires

Edward A. Shires
GIS Specialist I
My DRC review comments for tomorrow touch on what you ask regarding ADA-accessibility, in that, they should have submitted a Pedestrian and Vehicular Circulation Plan. The Area 2 Transportation Planner also needs to review a Circulation Plan to address ADA requirements. Also, a plan revision comment from Area 2 is that they red-line the modifications to the Clubhouse because, the way these are shown on the plans as submitted, these are not easily identified. I believe the new circular drop-off access to the Clubhouse will include a canopy for protection from the outdoor elements, and I tried to locate this feature on the site plan and architecture. My comment about the red-lined revisions will address that concern. These are all typical comments generated for the DRC meeting and discussion with the Applicant.

There are nine ADA-accessible handicap parking spaces proposed at the north end of the circular entrance to the Clubhouse and eight new handicap parking spaces proposed at the front of the Clubhouse (west side). These are features the Applicant explained they need to provide to make the Clubhouse more accessible to senior citizens and handicapped patrons.

the inadequate architectural drawing currently on display in the LW clubhouses failure to provide the following information. To the best of our knowledge there has been no independent/unbiased professional ADA expert involved in the LW plans -- there is absolutely no excuse for a building with front entry steps in a community of 8500 senior residents - a majority of which use walkers, canes, and wheelchairs - requiring a long walk to access inclined ramps to enter and exit.

1. the number of front entry steps

2. the degree of incline for the ramps which make the entry accessible

3. location and distance of the handicapped parking spaces to the access ramps
4. are the ramps and main entry covered to protect the senior residents from the elements?

Lori Shirley
Planner Coordinator
Area 2 Division
Montgomery County Planning Department
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
T 301-495-4557
F 301-495-1313
E Lori.Shirley@montgomeryplanning.org
W MontgomeryPlanning.org

From: 17 justus [mailto:17justus.lwmd@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, July 24, 2017 10:40 AM
To: Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>
Subject: Re: Leisure World-Admin.Bldg. Site Plan 820170120

Thank you Lori.

Please provide the date of the Natural Resources Inventory/Forest Stand Delineation (NRI/FSD) study and exemption letter (42016039E).
Not being able to access the DAIC files presents a major issue for Leisure World residents - short of fulfilling my previously request that each of the documents be emailed to me, what is the alternative and timely work around?

slk

From: Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>

To: "Patterson, Patrick"<Patrick.Patterson@montgomeryplanning.org>,
17 Justus <17justus.lwmd@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Jul 24, 2017 at 10:21 AM

Subject: RE: Leisure World-Admin.Bldg. Site Plan 820170120

Good morning Ms. Katzman,

In response to your question #2 below, the Applicant had a Natural Resources Inventory/Forest Stand Delineation (NRI/FSD) prepared, submitted and approved as an initial required plan review toward submittal of a site plan application for the new administration building and modifications to the Clubhouse I. An exemption letter (42016039E) was also approved in the review of environmental conditions at the site. This is the extent of the environmental plan reviews required for a site plan by the Planning Department. Another county agency, the Department of Permitting Services (DPS) Water Resources Section is reviewing the Applicant’s Concept Plan for stormwater management and Sediment and Erosion Control requirements. At tomorrow’s Development Review Committee (DRC) meeting, the Applicant will receive written and verbal comments regarding the status of their Concept Plan being “accepted” or “denied” as the site plan is reviewed in the 120-day process.

Based on your comment that some documents in DAIC are not readable, that will be addressed by Patrick Patterson, as he responded below. After I read your e-mail and stated concerns, I went in to DAIC from my computer and found all submitted documents on record in DAIC were accessible and readable. However, sometimes documents in DAIC, when viewed by the public (from the second server for access/viewing by those outside the Department) have had issues such as those you described.

Please know the server Planning staff views documents in DAIC from is “geographically” closer to our office building than possibly where you’re geographically located in relation to the second server. I’ve been told by Department IT staff, that “geography” does make a difference in the quality of the electronic records and documents, when viewed by the public from the second server in the system.

I hope this is helpful information. Please let me know if you have any other questions about the site plan. Thank you.
Thank you Ms. Katzman. I’ll update my contacts.

1. I’ll inform our staff here in the DARC division. They may contact you to pinpoint which documents your having issues with in particular. I’ve cc-ed Ed Shires on this email for this reason.
2. I’m not sure. I can check, however, I’ll instead defer your question to Lori Shirley of the Area 2 Team. Lori is your best contact on contextual questions as she is the Lead Plan Reviewer for this project. The DARC division specializes in record keeping and document accessibility.

Respectfully,

Patrick M. Patterson
From: 17 justus [mailto:17justus.lwmd@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, July 24, 2017 8:56 AM
To: Patterson, Patrick <Patrick.Patterson@montgomeryplanning.org>; Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>
Subject: Leisure World-Admin.Bldg. Site Plan 820170120

Patrick:

my name is sheryl katzman

Please Note:

1. most of the DAIC - pdf links do not work - the few that do are unreadable
2. has an Environmental impact study been done by the county or submitted by LWMC?

slk

From: "Patterson, Patrick" <Patrick.Patterson@montgomeryplanning.org>
Date: July 24, 2017 8:46:12 AM EDT
To: "17justus.lwmd@gmail.com" <17justus.lwmd@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: Leisure World- Administration Building site plan(s)

Good Morning,

Thank you for providing the review comments. So that I have your contact information properly documented, please reply back with your full name. I will assure that your review comments are routed to the appropriate depository.

Respectfully Requested,
Patrick M. Patterson
Planner, Development Applications & Regulatory Coordination
Montgomery County Planning Department
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
(301)495-4555 office
http://montgomeryplanning.org/

From: 7justus.kwmd@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 21, 2017 4:51 PM
To: Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>; Patterson, Patrick <Patrick.Patterson@montgomeryplanning.org>
Cc: joan hecht <joan_hecht@yahoo.com>; bob aridke <marybeth.bob@gmail.com>; elaine hurley <ew.hurley1190@bellsouth.net>; Janice McLean <janicewmclean@gmail.com>
Subject: Leisure World- Administration Building site plan(s)


The architectural drawings do not provide the following information, if able to do so, you are requested to identify:

1. the number of front entry steps

2. the degree of incline for the ramps which make the entry accessible

3. location and distance of the handicapped parking spaces to the access ramps

4. are the ramps and main entry covered to protect the senior residents from the elements?

slkatzman

President,

"JustUs" advocates to enhance the quality of life for all Leisure World residents

Albert Einstein — “We cannot solve our problems with the same level of thinking that created them.”
September 24, 2015

Mr. Devin Kennedy, PLA
Stantec
20410 Century Blvd. Ste. 200
Germantown, MD. 20874

Re: 42016039E; Forest Conservation Exemption; Leisure World-Admin. Bldg. & Clubhouse I;
Parcels 4 & 53

Dear Mr. Kennedy:

This letter is to inform you that your request for an exemption from submitting a forest conservation plan 42016039E, located at 3701 Rossmoor Blvd., is confirmed. The existing conditions plan which was submitted on September 21, 2015, is in compliance with Chapter 22A-5(I) of the Montgomery County Forest Conservation Law.

The activity qualifies for an exemption (I): any planned unit development for which a development plan was approved by the District Council or for which a project plan was approved by the Planning Board before January 1, 1992, and which has received site plan approval before July 1, 1992 for the tract. However, even if site plan approval has not been obtained before July 1, 1992, for the tract, the planned unit development is exempt if it is 75% or more complete on January 1, 1992, as measured by the total acreage subject to the planned unit development that has received site plan approval. A development plan or project plan amendment approved after January 1, 1992 is not exempt if it results in the cutting of more than 5,000 additional square feet of forest.

A pre-construction meeting is required after the limits of disturbance have been staked to verify the limits of disturbance. The property owner should contact the construction superintendent, the forest conservation inspector, and the Montgomery County Department of Permitting Services sediment control inspector to attend this pre-construction meeting.

If you have any questions feel free to contact me at (301) 495-4581 or at david.wiglesworth@montgomeryplanning.org.

Sincerely,

David Wiglesworth
Sr. Planner
Development Application and Regulatory Coordination Division

CC: 42016039E
Nicole A. Gerke (LWMC)