



MONTGOMERY COUNTY PLANNING BOARD
THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION

MCPB No. 18-060
Sketch Plan No. 320180160
7607 Old Georgetown
Date of Hearing: July 19, 2018

SEP 13 2018

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, under Section 59-7.1.2 of the Montgomery County Zoning Ordinance, the Montgomery County Planning Board is authorized to review sketch plan applications; and

WHEREAS, on April 23, 2018, Washington Property Company ("Applicant") filed an application for approval of a sketch plan for construction of up to 228,000 square foot mixed-use building including 225,000 square feet of residential and 3,000 square feet of non-residential development on 0.44 acres of CR 5.0 C 5.0 R 4.75 H-225 zoned-land, located at the northeast corner of the intersection of Old Georgetown Road and Commerce Lane ("Subject Property") in the Bethesda CBD Policy Area and 2017 *Bethesda Downtown Sector Plan* ("Sector Plan") area; and

WHEREAS, Applicant's sketch plan application was designated Sketch Plan No. 320180160, 7607 Old Georgetown Road ("Sketch Plan" or "Application"); and

WHEREAS, following review and analysis of the Application by Planning Board staff ("Staff") and other governmental agencies, Staff issued a memorandum to the Planning Board, dated July 6, 2018, setting forth its analysis and recommendation for approval of the Application subject to certain binding elements and conditions ("Staff Report"); and

WHEREAS, on July 19, 2018, the Planning Board held a public hearing on the Application at which it heard testimony and received evidence submitted for the record on the Application; and

WHEREAS, at the hearing, the Planning Board voted to approve the Application subject to certain binding elements and conditions, by the vote certified below.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Board approves Sketch Plan No. 320180160, 7607 Old Georgetown Road, for construction of an up to

Approved as to
Legal Sufficiency:

228,000 square foot mixed-use building including 225,000 square feet of residential and 3,000 of non-residential development on the Subject Property, subject to the following binding elements and conditions:¹

A. Binding Elements. The following site development elements are binding under Section 59-7.3.3.F of the Montgomery County Zoning Ordinance:

1. Maximum density and height;
2. Approximate location of lots and public dedications;
3. General location and extent of public open space;
4. General location of vehicular access points; and
5. Public benefit schedule.

All other elements are illustrative.

B. Conditions. This approval is subject to the following conditions:

1. Density

The Sketch Plan is limited to a maximum of 228,000 total square feet, including up to 225,000 square feet of residential development and up to 3,000 square feet of non-residential uses. The maximum number of dwelling units and use mix will be determined at Preliminary Plan. The maximum density includes up to 133,615 square feet of density from the Bethesda Overlay Zone (BOZ) with a Park Impact Payment (PIP). The final square footage will be determined at Site Plan.

2. Height

The development is limited to a maximum height of 225 feet, as measured from the building height measuring point(s) illustrated on the Certified Site Plan.

3. Incentive Density

The development must be constructed with the public benefits listed below, unless modifications are made under Section 59.7.3.3.I. and this Sketch Plan is amended. Total points must equal at least 100 and be chosen from at least four categories as required by Section 59.4.5.4.A.2. The requirements of Division 59.4.7 and the *CR Zone Incentive Density Implementation Guidelines* must be fulfilled for each public benefit. Final points will be established at Site Plan approval.

- a. Major Public Facilities, achieved through providing an offsite bikeshare station. This location must be accepted by both the Montgomery County Department of Transportation and the M-NCPPC.

¹ For the purpose of these binding elements and conditions, the term "Applicant" shall also mean the developer, the owner or any successor(s) in interest to the terms of this approval.

- b. Connectivity and Mobility, achieved by providing fewer than the maximum parking spaces under the Zoning Ordinance and BOZ overlay, and trip mitigation that exceeds the required minimums;
- c. Diversity of uses and activities, achieved by providing small business opportunities;
- d. Quality of Building and Site Design, achieved through architectural elevations, exceptional design, structured parking, and a tower step-back; and
- e. Protection and Enhancement of the Natural Environment, achieved through building lot terminations and energy conservation and generation by incorporating materials and systems that exceed the required minimums.

4. Building & Site Design

Prior to the submittal of the Site Plan, the Applicant must:

- a. Provide a minimum 12-foot building setback, or as determined at site plan, on Commerce Lane and 20-foot building setback on Old Georgetown from the curb to nearest point of the building façade including overhangs, cantilevers, or other building architectural elements/ features;
- b. Coordinate with appropriate agencies to remove above-grade signal boxes and other utility obstructions from the pedestrian way;
- c. Coordinate with appropriate agencies to provide additional pedestrian area and to enhance traffic safety at the northeast corner of Old Georgetown Road and Commerce Lane, such as through chamfering building and/or reducing curb radius.

5. Park Impact Payment (PIP)

The Park Impact Payment (PIP) must be paid to the M-NCPPC prior to the release of the first above-grade building permit. The final amount will be determined at Site Plan.

6. Streetscape

The Applicant must install the Bethesda Streetscape Standard along the Site Frontage.

7. Building Lot Terminations (BLTs)

Prior to release of any building permit, the Applicant must provide proof of purchase and/or payment for the required BLTs.

8. Moderately Priced Dwelling Units (MPDUs)

The Applicant must provide on-site a minimum of 15% of the total units as Moderately Priced Dwelling Units. The development must provide MPDUs in accordance with Chapter 25A.

9. Bicycle Facilities

The Applicant must participate with the Montgomery County Department of Transportation to implement the master planned separated bicycle lanes along the Project's Old Georgetown Road frontage, details of which will be evaluated at Preliminary Plan.

10. MCDOT letter

The Planning Board accepts the recommendations of the Montgomery County Department of Transportation ("MCDOT") in its letter dated June 21, 2018 and hereby incorporates them as conditions of the Sketch Plan approval. The Applicant must comply with each of the recommendations as set forth in the letter, which may be amended by MCDOT provided that the amendments do not conflict with other conditions of the Sketch Plan approval.

11. Future Coordination for Preliminary and Site Plan

In addition to any other requirements for Preliminary Plans under Chapter 50 and Site Plans under Chapter 59, the following must be addressed when filing a Preliminary or Site Plan, as appropriate:

- a. Fire and Rescue access and facility details;
- b. Streetscape details;
- c. Necessary public right-of-way dedication;
- d. Demonstrate how each public benefit satisfies the Zoning Ordinance and Incentive Density Implementation Guideline requirements;
- e. Study alternatives for the proposed cantilever at Commerce Lane with a focus on minimizing the degree of overhang above the sidewalk, and submit street level perspectives with Site Plan showing impact of any cantilever into the pedestrian realm;
- f. Explore pedestrian access entrances into Bethesda Place Plaza in coordination with adjacent Property Owner(s);
- g. Explore provision of a minimum of two street trees on each ROW frontage per the Streetscape Standards and Design Guidelines and provide appropriate clearance for canopy to mature without significant obstruction from building overhangs;
- h. Submit a site distance analysis at time of Site Plan to determine if tree placement will obstruct views from loading and garage entrance;
- i. Further develop circulation and loading strategy to reduce visual impacts along Commerce Lane;
- j. Traffic Mitigation Agreement to participate in the Bethesda Transportation Demand Management District and exceed the Sector Plan 55% NADMS goal;
- k. Address the SITES & LEED recommendations of the Sector Plan, specifically related to energy efficiency and building design features;
- l. SWM concept approval (and subsequent plan) which also address the Bethesda Downtown Sector Plan recommendations regarding SWM;

- m. At the time of Site Plan, the Applicant must show 35% of net lot area of intensive green roof (or onsite canopy plantings) to meet 35% green cover requirement for the site as described in Section 2.4.1 Urban Green (page 60) of the Master Plan and Section 2.3.2 of the associated Design Guidelines (page 63);
- n. Address Bird-Safe Design per pages 80-81 of Bethesda Downtown Sector Plan Design Guidelines;
- o. Provide a noise analysis at time of Preliminary Plan, or a waiver may be submitted per Section 2.2.2 of the 1983 Noise Guidelines.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that having given full consideration to the recommendations and findings of its Staff as presented at the hearing and set forth in the Staff Report, which the Planning Board hereby adopts and incorporates by reference (except as modified herein), and upon consideration of the entire record and all applicable elements of the Zoning Ordinance, the Board finds that as conditioned the necessary elements of the Sketch Plan are appropriate in concept and appropriate for further review at site plan and that:

1. The Sketch Plan meets the objectives, general requirements, and standards of the Zoning Ordinance.

a. Development Standards

The Subject Property includes approximately 0.44 acres zoned CR 5.0 C 5.0 R 4.75, H-225. The data table below demonstrates the Application's conformance to the applicable development standards of the zone.

Data Table

Sketch Plan Data Table			
Section	Development Standard	Permitted/ Required	Proposed
59.4			
	Tract Area per Zone		
	CR 5.0 C-5.0 R-4.75 H-225	n/a	19,239 sf (0.44 ac)
	Prior Dedication	n/a	4,362 sf (0.10 ac)
	Proposed Dedication	n/a	1,100 sf (0.02 ac)
	Site Area	n/a	13,777 sf (0.32 ac)
	Residential Density (GFA/ FAR)	91,385 sf (4.75)	91,385 sf (4.75)
	Commercial Density (GFA/FAR)	96,195 sf (5)	3,000 sf (0.16)
	Bethesda Overlay Zone Density	n/a	133,615 sf (6.94)
	Total FAR/GFA	n/a	228,000 sf (11.85)
	Building Height		
	CR 5.0 C-5.0 R-4.75 H-225	225 feet	225 feet
	Public Open Space (min)	0	0
	Minimum Setbacks	0	0

The Application will provide the minimum required number of bicycle parking spaces within the building, which will be determined at the time of Site Plan. The final number of vehicular parking spaces will be determined at Site Plan based on the residential units and retail square footage.

The Sketch Plan conforms to the intent of the CR zone as described below:

- a) *Implement the recommendations of applicable master plans.*

The Application substantially conforms to the recommendations for the Subject Property included in the 2017 *Bethesda Downtown Sector Plan*. Specifically, this Sketch Plan builds on the past successes of Downtown Bethesda to create a sustainable downtown by focusing on components that will bolster the elements most in need of enhancement. The recommendations increase:

1. **Parks and open spaces**, including new civic greens at Veteran's Park, Bethesda Farm Women's Cooperative Market, Capital Crescent Trail and new urban parks, pathways and gateways.
2. **Affordable housing**, including the preservation of existing market-rate affordable housing, providing a mix of housing options and the provision of Moderately Priced Dwelling Units in exchange for development incentives.

3. **Environmental innovation**, including more energy-efficient buildings, better stormwater management, improved sidewalks and bicycle routes, and other measures to enhance community health and quality of life.
4. **Economic competitiveness**, based on new development, public amenities and proximity to public transit to attract businesses and visitors from throughout the region, and foster entrepreneurship and innovation.

The Subject Property is designated as site 70 on page 100 of the Sector Plan, in the "Wisconsin Avenue Corridor District." The Wisconsin Avenue Corridor is the main artery through the center of Downtown Bethesda. With the existing Bethesda Metrorail Station, future Purple Line and bus rapid transit (BRT) options, Wisconsin Avenue is a critical focus area for improved access, mobility and pedestrian safety. The corridor is envisioned as a symbolic downtown center that reflects the character of adjacent neighborhoods and overall identity of Bethesda through innovative building designs and active public spaces. The Application addresses the following goals as outlined in the Overarching Goals and Wisconsin Avenue Corridor sections of the Plan:

- *Encourage infill and reinvestment on underutilized commercial sites and private surface parking lots.*

The Sketch Plan provides an opportunity for infill development of an underdeveloped commercial site within a block of the Metro station, as recommended by the Sector Plan, by converting a single-story commercial building and surface parking lot to a mixed-use development with up to 200 multi-family dwelling units and up to 3,000 square feet of retail.

- *Encourage mixed-income/affordable housing near transit stations.*

The Application will provide residential dwelling units within a block of the Metro station in an area dominated by commercial and office uses and provide much needed affordable housing in the form of additional MPDUs. The 15 percent MPDUs will result in up to 30 additional MPDUs in Downtown Bethesda. This proposed influx of MPDUs will help to further one of the primary goals of the Sector Plan and Bethesda Overlay Zone,] thereby

ensuring that affordable housing opportunities exist in transit-oriented locations.

- *Develop compact nodes that place the highest intensity in those centers, provide distinctive infill buildings and step down to lower densities and heights near the edges.*

The Application will place high density residential uses and street activating retail uses in the core of Downtown Bethesda, incorporating urban design elements that provide visual interest further defining the skyline in the Wisconsin Avenue Corridor District.

- *Economic competitiveness based on new development, public amenities and proximity to public transit to attract businesses and visitors from throughout the region, and foster entrepreneurship and innovation.*

The Application will provide infill redevelopment within the highest intensity center in Downtown Bethesda, which is dominated by office buildings and retail that serves the office customer base. The project will provide an influx of residents to the Metro Core area providing much needed around-the-clock activity contributing to a more vibrant mixed-use identity. The combination of multifamily dwelling units, ground floor retail uses, and amenities proposed will increase the customer base of nearby shops, restaurants and services in the Metro Core during nighttime and on weekends that does not exist today.

- *Encourage high-performance buildings and sites nearest the established centers.*

The Sketch Plan proposes to incorporate energy efficient building systems to exceed the ASHRAE 90.1 standard by a minimum of 17.5%, as required by the Bethesda Overlay Zone. In addition, intensive green roof systems are proposed to maximize the Property's overall green cover.

The design of the Project generally conforms to the Sector Plan Design Guidelines except for two components. The Guidelines recommend a 25'-30' Build-To Line along Urban Boulevards such as Old Georgetown Road. While the Application only proposes a 20-foot setback at this time, the building setback responds to the existing

development along the street on either side of the Subject Property and will contribute to a continuous street wall.

The Design Guidelines also call for a 15'-20' Build-To Line along Downtown Mixed-Use Streets, such as Commerce Lane. The proposal allows for a 15' setback for the ground floor of the project along Commerce Lane, however a proposed second-story cantilever of approximately 8' will encroach into the recommended Build-To Line and pedestrian realm. Page 72, Section 2.4.4 of the Bethesda Design Guidelines states, "Avoid cantilevering the majority of the building mass over the Frontage Zone, public sidewalk, or public open space to prevent interfering with street trees and blocking access to sunlight and sky views for pedestrians."

As a uniquely shaped and undersized lot, the Subject Property is constrained. However, a cantilever of this size could negatively impact the pedestrian realm and increase conflicts with the existing signal pole and cabinet at the corner of Old Georgetown and Commerce Lane. Given that Commerce Lane is designated as a canopy corridor, this also raises potential conflicts with street tree placement and development potential. This approval is conditioned on the Applicant providing a minimum 12-foot setback on Commerce Lane from the curb to the nearest point of the building façade, including overhangs, cantilevers, or other building architectural elements/ features, with an acknowledgement that the Planning Board may find a lesser setback to be appropriate at time of site plan after considering comments from the Design Advisory Panel. Before submitting a site plan application, the Applicant is required to study alternatives for the proposed cantilever at Commerce Lane with a focus on minimizing the degree of overhang on the sidewalk. The Applicant is also encouraged to explore methods to retain existing street trees along the Subject Property's street frontages.

- b) *Target opportunities for redevelopment of single-use commercial areas and surface parking lots with a mix of uses.*

The Application will redevelop the existing single-use lot that contains a single-story restaurant and private parking lot to build a mixed-use building that will house high density residential and allow for ground floor retail, all within proximity to the Metro. The Sketch Plan meets the objective of this finding.

- c) *Encourage development that integrates a combination of housing types, mobility options, commercial services, and public facilities and*

amenities, where parking is prohibited between the building and the street.

The Application encourages such development by proposing market-rate residential units in a variety of unit types as well as 15% MPDUs, offering housing opportunities for a range of incomes proximate to the numerous transit options of Downtown Bethesda. The Sketch Plan will accommodate all modes of transit – pedestrian, bicycle, and vehicular – in proximity to the Metro and bus service by improving the streetscape along its two frontages. The Application does not propose any parking between the building and the street frontages.

- d) *Allows a flexible mix of uses, densities, and building heights appropriate to various settings to ensure compatible relationships with adjoining neighborhoods.*

The Sector Plan identifies the Wisconsin Ave District as an area that should emphasize mixed land uses that focus on employment, high density residential, and distinctive infill buildings. The Application will provide residential uses on-site as well as a height and density that is compatible with the desired character of infill development within the Wisconsin Ave District.

- e) *Integrate an appropriate balance of employment and housing opportunities.*

The Subject Property is within the Wisconsin Ave District of the Sector Plan, which is intended primarily for the mix of land uses and a focus on integrating residential in an area that is largely dominated by commercial and office. As approved, the mixed-use project containing high density residential and retail provides the appropriate land use, as recommended in the Sector Plan.

- f) *Standardize optional method development by establishing minimum requirements for the provision of public benefits that will support and accommodate density above the standard Method limit.*

The Application will provide the required public benefits from a minimum of four categories to achieve the desired incentive density above the standard method limit. Final determination of public benefit points will be determined at the time of Site Plan.

The Bethesda Overlay Zone (BOZ) was adopted July 18, 2017, specifically to implement the recommendations of the *Bethesda Downtown Sector Plan* as it relates to density, building heights, affordable housing, parks, and design. The BOZ set a cap of overall development (32.4 million square feet) whereby the zoning approved for most properties retains the base density but increases the heights on respective sites. An Applicant can request an allocation of density over the base density to build to the maximum height permitted by the Zone, as needed. An allocation of density from the BOZ requires a park impact payment of \$10/square foot based upon the density requested and facilitates acquisition of parkland in the downtown Bethesda area. This Application requests an allocation of 133,615 square feet from the BOZ, initiating a Park Impact Payment (amount to be determined during Site Plan review) to be paid at the time of building permit. The amount of density allocated from the BOZ for Application is deducted from the 32.4 million cap.

2. Substantially conform with the recommendations of the applicable master plan;

As discussed in Finding 1.a above, the Application substantially conforms to the recommendation of the 2017 Bethesda Downtown Sector Plan. The proposed development will provide a mixed-use building with ground floor retail in an Established Center of Activity within the Wisconsin Avenue District, increase the supply of housing to serve a variety of income levels, redevelop an underutilized property, and provide streetscape improvements that improve the safety and character of Old Georgetown Road and Commerce Lane.

3. Satisfy any development plan or schematic development plan in effect on October 29, 2014;

The Sketch Plan is not subject to a development plan or schematic development plan.

4. Achieve compatible internal and external relationships between existing and pending nearby development;

At 225' in height, the building will be taller than the surrounding existing buildings, as this is one of the few sites in the vicinity to redevelop under the *Bethesda Downtown Sector Plan* which allows for increased building height. The proposed massing also provides setbacks as recommended by the Bethesda Design Guidelines to ensure compatibility with existing buildings and public open space. The Applicant has stated in their Statement of Justification that they are coordinating with the adjacent property owners of

Bethesda Place Plaza to allow access from the rear of the building into the public space. Additionally, the future building and site design has been conditioned to pursue this coordination to achieve an external relationship with the existing public open space.

5. Provides satisfactory general vehicular, pedestrian, and bicyclist access, circulation, parking, and loading:

The Application provides satisfactory general vehicular, pedestrian, and bicyclist access, circulation, parking, and loading. Vehicular access and loading will be located along Commerce Lane to avoid traffic congestion along Old Georgetown Road. The Project has been conditioned to coordinate with MCDOT to participate in the future construction of separated bicycle lanes along the north side of Old Georgetown Road. Additionally, the Applicant will provide an offsite bikeshare station as part of their Public Benefit package, onsite bicycle storage for tenants, and underground parking for residents and the retail tenant. The Subject Property is located within the Parking Lot District and as such, the Applicant is providing less parking than the maximum required by zoning.

Pedestrian access to the Subject Property will be from the established sidewalk network and will be enhanced by streetscape improvements along each of the Subject Property frontages and right-of-way dedication along Old Georgetown Road as recommended by the Bethesda Design Guidelines. The current configuration of the Old Georgetown Road and Commerce Lane intersection conflicts with pedestrian access due to existing obstructions such as the signal pole and above-grade utility box. The Application is conditioned on the Applicant coordinating with appropriate agencies during subsequent reviews to seek remedies such as relocating the signal pole, undergrounding the signal box, and/or modifying the curb radius.

6. Propose an outline of public benefits that supports the requested incentive density and is appropriate for the specific community:

Taking into account the considerations in Section 59.4.7.1.B and the Public Benefit Points established with the Bethesda Overlay Zone in Section 59.4.9.2.C.4, including the recommendations and objectives of the Sector Plan and any applicable design guidelines, the Incentive Density Implementation Guidelines, the size and configuration of the site and its relationship to adjacent properties, similar public benefits nearby, and additional enhancements related to the individual public benefits, the following outline of public benefits supports the Applicant's request for incentive density and is appropriate for the community surrounding the site, as described below.

For this Application, the Zoning Ordinance requires 100 points in four categories; however, the Applicant has provided five categories for consideration. Although at the time of Sketch Plan review only the categories need be approved, the following table shows both the categories and points for the public benefits requested at Sketch Plan to demonstrate the project's ability to meet the requirement to provide sufficient benefit points.

Public Benefits Calculations		
Public Benefit	Incentive Density Points	
	Max Allowed	Requested
59.4.7.3A: Major Public Facilities		
Bikeshare	70	9
59.4.7.3C: Connectivity and Mobility		
Minimum Parking ¹	20	17.86
Trip Mitigation	20	10
59.4.7.3D: Diversity of Uses and Activities		
Small Business Opportunities	20	10
59.4.7.3E: Quality of Building and Site Design		
Architectural Elevations	30	30
Exceptional Design ¹	30	30
Structured Parking	20	20
Tower Step-Back	20	10
59.4.7.3F: Protection and Enhancement of the Natural Environment		
Building Lot Termination (BLT)	30	1.8
Energy conservation and generation ¹	25	15
TOTAL		153.66

¹Denotes Sector Plan priority

Major Public Facilities

Bikeshare station: The Applicant requests 9 points for providing an off-site 19-dock bikeshare station. Points for this incentive are granted based on the amount of land conveyed to, actual construction of, or financial contributions made to major public facilities. The location of the offsite bikeshare station will need to be identified at Site Plan. The Planning Board supports the category at this time.

Connectivity and Mobility

Minimum Parking: The Applicant requests 17.86 points for providing fewer than the maximum allowed number of parking spaces. Points for this incentive are granted on a sliding scale from no points for providing maximum allowable number of on-site spaces to 20 points for providing no

more than the minimum numbers of spaces on-site. Final determination will be made at Site Plan. The Planning Board supports the category at this time.

Trip Mitigation: The Applicant requests 10 points for executing a binding traffic mitigation agreement (TMAg) with MNCPPC and MCDOT prior to certification of a Site Plan Application for the Project. Points for this incentive are granted for entering into binding agreements to reduce the number of weekday morning and evening peak hour trips attributable to the site in excess of any other regulatory requirement. The Public Benefits Section of the ordinance requires a minimum of 50% reduction, however the Bethesda Downtown Sector Plan recommends a reduction of trips by 55%. Points are given when the Project exceeds regulatory requirements, and details will be determined during the Preliminary Plan review. The Planning Board supports the category at this time.

Diversity of Uses and Activities

Small Business Opportunities: The Applicant requests 10 points for providing retail space for small businesses. Points for this incentive are granted when the project will be designed for small, neighborhood-oriented businesses and the Applicant records covenants that reflects restrictions. One ground floor retail bay of 3,000 square feet is currently proposed. The Planning Board supports the category at this time.

Quality of Building and Site Design

Architectural Elevations: The Applicant requests 30 points for providing architectural elevations as part of the Certified Site Plan showing particular elements of the design such as minimum amounts of transparency, maximum separation between doors, and other design priorities of the applicable Sector Plan and implementing design guidelines. The Sketch Plan is intended to be conceptual in nature with an emphasis on building densities, massing, and heights. The Planning Board supports the category at this time with further details and refinement to be provided at the time of Site Plan.

Exceptional Design: The Applicant requests 30 points for building and/or site design that enhances the character of a setting. As a development receiving an allocation of Bethesda Overlay Zone density, the Application is subject to the Design Advisory Panel review, which will award points based on the quality of the design. The Applicant asserts that the Sketch Plan fulfills many recommendations of the Downtown Bethesda Sector Plan and Design Guidelines.

The proposed massing is inspired by the unique trapezoidal shape of the Property and provides a distinct base with two towers that are stepped back and angled in response to the conditions of each frontage. The Applicant's

Statement of Justification envisions a design that is sustainable in form and material, and sustainable in practice with use of rain screen technologies and mechanical systems. The construction materials are intended to be a combination of metal or ceramic panels, and masonry or concrete panels that will create a simple pattern that compliments the overall building form. Based on the conceptual materials submitted with the Application, the Planning Board supports the category at this time.

The Design Advisory Panel recommended the following during their April 4, 2018 meeting:

- Public Benefit Points: The project is on track to achieve at least the minimum 10 Exceptional Design points required in the Bethesda Overlay Zone. It is an example of an excellent submission, particularly on a small infill site
- Provide more detail on the interplay between the building and Bethesda Place Plaza
- Reduce the visual impact of the loading and access area along Commerce Lane

Structured Parking: The Applicant requests 20 points for providing structured parking in a below grade parking structure. The Planning Board supports the category at this time.

Tower Step-Back: The Applicant requests 10 points for providing a tower step back on the building's upper floors by a minimum of six feet behind the first-floor façade. The step back must be retained across at least 70 percent of the frontage on any right-of-way or open space. The Planning Board supports the category at this time.

Protection and Enhancement of the Natural Environment

BLTs: The Applicant requests 1.8 points for the purchase of two BLT easements or equivalent payment made for every 31,500 square feet of gross floor area comprising the 7.5% incentive density floor area. Points are granted by the calculation of BLTs as provided in Section 59.4.7.3.F of the Zoning Ordinance. The Planning Board supports the Applicant's request at this time.

Energy Conservation and Generation: The Applicant requests 15 points for incorporating high efficiency building materials and mechanical systems that exceed energy efficient standards for the building type by 17.5%. For Projects located within the High-Performance Area of Downtown Bethesda, this is a required public benefit category for which the Applicant must achieve 15 points. The Planning Board supports this request at this time.

7. *Establish a feasible and appropriate provisional phasing plan for all structures, uses, rights-of-way, sidewalks, dedications, public benefits, and future preliminary and site plan applications.*

The Project will be built in one phase.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board's approval of a sketch plan is in concept only and subject to further review at site plan, when, based on detailed review the Board may modify the Sketch Plan's binding elements or conditions based on the Montgomery County Code, the Sector Plan, or other requirements; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution incorporates by reference all evidence of record, including maps, drawings, memoranda, correspondence, and other information; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all binding site development elements shown on the latest version of 7607 Old Georgetown Road, Sketch Plan No. 302180160, received by M-NCPPC as of the date of the Staff Report, are required, except as modified by the above conditions of approval; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution constitutes the written opinion of the Board in this matter, and the date of this Resolution is SEP 13 2018 (which is the date that this Resolution is mailed to all parties of record); and

* * * * *

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of a resolution adopted by the Montgomery County Planning Board of the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission on motion of Commissioner Cichy, seconded by Commissioner Patterson, with Chair Anderson and Commissioners Fani-González, Cichy, and Patterson voting in favor, and Vice Chair Dreyfuss absent at its regular meeting held on Thursday, July 19, 2018, in Silver Spring, Maryland.



Casey Anderson, Chair
Montgomery County Planning Board

Bethesda Downtown Design Advisory Panel

FROM: Laura Shipman
Design Advisory Panel Liaison

PROJECT: 7607 Old Georgetown Road
Site Plan No. 8201900300

DATE: September 26, 2018

*The **7607 Old Georgetown Road** project was reviewed by the Bethesda Downtown Design Advisory Panel on **September 26, 2018**. The following meeting notes summarize the Panel's discussion, and recommendations regarding design excellence and the exceptional design public benefits points. The Panel's recommendations should be incorporated into the Staff Report and strongly considered by Staff prior to the certification of the Site Plan. Should you have any additional questions and/or comments please feel free to contact the Design Advisory Panel Liaison.*

Attendance:

Karl Du Puy (Panelist)
George Dove (Panelist)
Damon Orobona (Panelist)
Rod Henderer (Panelist)
Qiaojue Yu (Panelist)
Paul Mortensen (Panelist, Senior Urban Designer in the Director's Office)

Laura Shipman (Design Advisory Panel Liaison)
Gwen Wright (Planning Director)
Robert Kronenberg (Acting Deputy Director)
Grace Bogdan (Lead Reviewer)
Stephanie Dickel (Area 1 Planner Coordinator)
Matt Folden (Area 1 Planner Coordinator)

David Judd (Applicant Team)
Daryl South (Applicant Team)
Janel Kausner (Applicant Team)
Robert Sponseller (Applicant Team)
Geoff Lawson (Applicant Team)
Kevin Johnson (Applicant Team)
Matt Gordon (Applicant Team)
Bob Dalrymple (Applicant Team)
Naomi Spinrad (Member of the Public)



Discussion Points:

- The couple of trees matches the trees across the street?
 - *Applicant response:* Yes, they match the ginkgo trees across the street. Because of the access issues along Commerce we placed them together.
- The big monumental opening on the east side on the base what is that?
 - *Applicant response:* It will be a terrace, an “urban porch”
- Do you know which façade treatment you prefer?
 - *Applicant response:* No, we are required to do at least 8 inches recess by code, and not sure if we will do more.
- It is the detail that sets the building apart, if you do the “outies” it adds detail on the façade.
- I think it’s a really elegant building. I think the improvements are quite nice.
- You show two plaza connection options. How will you address accessibility?
 - *Applicant response:* Accessibility is not required. The two plaza connection options are if we only access on the property we control as opposed to reaching over into the plaza. Two other entry points provide accessibility to the plaza.
- How will you make the ventilation shaft wall element less oppressive?
 - *Applicant response:* There are existing trees there today to screen and we will use a material that will blend with the building architecture.
- I know accessibility is not required, but for families and others needing assistance it is a good thing to have.
- Having the entrance to the building off of the plaza is really great.
- As expected the project has come out beautifully.
- How are you screening the mechanical penthouse and how does it relate to skin of building?
 - *Applicant response:* We are running the skin up instead of differentiating. The openings are for air flow, and below they are windows, and the deep coffering creates an interesting architectural moment.
- So, the tall element is a checkerboard plaid?
 - *Applicant response:* The openings repeat but it is two different openings. The openings on the west are driven by unit types and outdoor space



- It seems forced with the ABAB window pattern. It seems you need some regular to play the irregular against. I would create more regular.
- I like subtlety of the movement of ABAB. It gives a very nice quality and shadows.
- Of the three façade options either the middle or right are preferable to left. The advantage of the right is you can cast shadow on brick as opposed to shadow on glass. The one on the left becomes very dull and a very tall building on a small site, the texture on the façade is very important.

Panel Recommendations:

The following recommendations should be incorporated into the Staff Report.

1. Of the three façade options either the middle or right are preferable.
2. Consider providing accessible access directly from the building to the plaza.
3. Public Benefit Points: All of the panel members support the requested 30 exceptional design points noting that this building is an example and shows real care to meeting the spirit of the design guidelines.
4. Vote: **5** in support





MONTGOMERY COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT
THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION

January 19, 2018

Old Georgetown Road Project, LLC
c/o Janel Kausner
4719 Hampden Lane Suite 3
Bethesda, MD 20814

Re: Forest Conservation Exemption Request and Simplified NRI/FSD No. 42018113E
Property Name: 7607 Old Georgetown Road (Part of Lot 3 Sunnyside)
Action Taken: Exemption Confirmed & Existing Conditions Plan Approved on 1/19/2018

Dear Janel Kausner:

On January 17, 2018, Montgomery County Planning Department Staff received a revised Existing Conditions Plan for 7607 Old Georgetown Road. This Existing Conditions Plan is part of a Chapter 22A-5(s)(1) Exemption Request for an activity on a small property. A Sketch Plan is being filed in conjunction with this forest conservation exemption request.

A Chapter 22A-5(s)(1) Exemption Request, is for an activity on a tract of land of less than 1.5 acre with no existing forest, or existing specimen or champion tree, and the afforestation requirements would not exceed 10,000 square feet.

The tract area of the project is approximately 0.34 acres. This activity does not trigger afforestation requirements. No forest or champion tree exists on the property. No specimen trees exist within the project tract area and the limits of disturbance. There is a significant-sized willow oak tree within the proposed limits of disturbance.

The Forest Conservation Exemption Request No. 42018113E for 7607 Old Georgetown Road (Part of Lot 3 Sunnyside) is confirmed. The revised Existing Conditions Plan submitted on January 17, 2018 is approved.

Any changes from the confirmed Forest Conservation Exemption Request and approved Existing Conditions Plan may constitute grounds to rescind or amend any approval actions taken.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Stephen Peck".

Stephen Peck
Senior Planner
Development Applications and Regulatory Coordination
M-NCPPC - Montgomery County Planning Department

CC: Andy Bradshaw, Johnson Bernat Associates



DEPARTMENT OF PERMITTING SERVICES

Isiah Leggett
County Executive

Diane R. Schwartz Jones
Director

November 16, 2018

Mr. Andrew Bradshaw, P.E.
Johnson Bernat Associates, Inc.
205 N. Frederick Avenue, Suite 100
Gaithersburg, MD 20877

Re: **COMBINED STORMWATER MANAGEMENT
CONCEPT/SITE DEVELOPMENT
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN**
Request for 7607 Old Georgetown Road
Preliminary Plan #: 120190050
Site Plan #: 820190030
SM File #: 284161
Tract Size/Zone: 0.34 Ac./CR5.0
Total Concept Area: 0.39 Ac.
Lots/Block: P3
Parcel(s): 249
Watershed: Little Falls Branch

Dear Mr. Bradshaw:

Based on a review by the Department of Permitting Services Review Staff, the stormwater management concept for the above-mentioned site is **acceptable**. The stormwater management concept proposes to meet required stormwater management goals via ESD to the MEP with the use of green roof and a micro-bioretenion. A waiver of the remaining stormwater management requirement is granted since full onsite treatment cannot be provided due to site constraints and shallow existing storm drain.

The following items will need to be addressed during the detailed sediment control/stormwater management plan stage:

1. A detailed review of the stormwater management computations will occur at the time of detailed plan review.
2. An engineered sediment control plan must be submitted for this development.
3. All filtration media for manufactured best management practices, whether for new development or redevelopment, must consist of MDE approved material.
4. Landscaping in areas located within the stormwater management easement which are shown on the approved Landscape Plan as part of the approved Site Plan are illustrative purpose only and may be changed at the time of detailed plan review of the Sediment Control/Storm Water Management plans by the Mont. Co. Department of Permitting Services, Water Resources Section.
5. This site is classified as redevelopment.
6. Use the latest MCDPS stormwater management design standards at time of plan submittal.



255 Rockville Pike, 2nd Floor, Rockville, Maryland 20850 | 240-777-0311
www.montgomerycountymd.gov/permittingservices


7. Design of the green roof to be done by a professional with green roof experience.
8. There will be a minimum of 3,750 square feet of 8-inch green roof. At time of plan submittal try to increase the amount of green roof.
9. Underground garage is to drain to WSSC. Provide schematic riser diagram showing the garage floor drains to WSSC.

This list may not be all-inclusive and may change based on available information at the time.

This letter must appear on the sediment control/stormwater management plan at its initial submittal. The concept approval is based on all stormwater management structures being located outside of the Public Utility Easement, the Public Improvement Easement, and the Public Right of Way unless specifically approved on the concept plan. Any divergence from the information provided to this office; or additional information received during the development process; or a change in an applicable Executive Regulation may constitute grounds to rescind or amend any approval actions taken, and to reevaluate the site for additional or amended stormwater management requirements. If there are subsequent additions or modifications to the development, a separate concept request shall be required.

If you have any questions regarding these actions, please feel free to contact David Kuykendall at 240-777-6332.

Sincerely,



Mark C. Etheridge, Manager
Water Resources Section
Division of Land Development Services

MCE: CN284161 7607 Old Georgetown Road.DWK

cc: N. Braunstein
SM File # 284161

ESD: Required/Provided 2,549 cf / 1,032 cf
PE: Target/Achieved: 2.0"/0.81"
STRUCTURAL: 0.0 cf
WAIVED: 0.39 ac.



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Mark Elrich
County Executive

Al R. Roshdieh
Director

December 10, 2018

Ms. Stephanie Dickel, Planner Coordinator
Area 1 Planning Division
The Maryland-National Capital
Park & Planning Commission
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-3760

RE: Preliminary Plan No. 120190050
7607 Old Georgetown Road

Dear Ms. Dickel:

We have completed our review of the revised preliminary plan uploaded to eplans on December 5, 2018. A previous version of this plan was reviewed by the Development Review Committee (DRC) at its meeting on October 23, 2018. The comments in this letter supersede those submitted in the MCDOT Sketch Plan letter dated June 21, 2018. We recommend approval of the plan subject to the following comments:

All Planning Board Opinions relating to this plan or any subsequent revision, project plans or site plans should be submitted to the Montgomery County Department of Permitting Services in the package for record plats, storm drain, grading or paving plans, or application for access permit. This letter and all other correspondence from this department should be included in the package.

Design Exception

1. Driveway Spacing between Opposite and Adjacent driveways – The applicant is proposing to locate the driveway less than 100-foot tangent from the opposite and adjacent driveways along Commerce Lane. The driveway will be more than 100-feet from the Commerce Lane and Old Georgetown Road intersection.

MCDOT Response: DOT's 100-foot tangent policy is often waived for many of the projects that are located in the Bethesda Central Business District. The applicant is providing all vehicular access, including loading along the Commerce Lane frontage. No vehicular access points are proposed along Old Georgetown Road. DOT's policy

Office of the Director

101 Monroe Street 10th Floor · Rockville Maryland 20850 · 240-777-7170 · 240-777-7178 FAX
www.montgomerycountymd.gov

Located one block west of the Rockville Metro Station

recommends vehicular access points on the lowest classified street, which is Commerce Lane. Therefore, DOT recommends approval of the design exception for the vehicular access and loading along Commerce Lane.

Significant Plan Review Comments

1. The applicant must participate in a pro-rata basis towards the construction of the master planned two-way, separated bike lanes along the Old Georgetown Road (MD 187) frontage. The applicant must provide an engineer's estimate for the separated bike lanes along their Old Georgetown Road frontage that will include contingencies and be approved by MCDOT prior to the issuance of the right-of-way permit. The cost shall include, but not be limited to, repaving, restriping, flex posts, concrete medians, signing and other related improvements for the separated bike lanes. The payment must be made prior to the issuance of the first Use and Occupancy permit. If the County has already completed the project, or decides not to implement the project, the applicant will continue to make the payment prior to issuance of the first Use and Occupancy permit. This payment will be used to fund other bicycle infrastructure improvements in the Bethesda CBD area.
2. Coordinate with Ms. Beth Dennard of MCDOT Commuter Services Section (240-777-8384, or beth.dennard@montgomerycountymd.gov) regarding the following TDM comments:
 - A. Traffic Mitigation Agreement: To facilitate review of the draft TMAg submitted with the preliminary plan application, contact Beth Dennard for a copy of the most recent template for multi-family mixed-use development. Submit a redlined editable document for review. Prior to issuance of any building permits by MCDPS, the applicant must work with MCDOT to finalize and execute a TMAg with MCDOT and Park and Planning. The TMAg will include but not be limited to the following provisions:
 - i. Bicycle Facilities: Provide bike racks in weather-protected, highly visible and active locations. Provide secure bicycle storage for resident use (e.g., bike cage). Include a small bicycle repair station for resident use.
 - ii. Display and Real Time Transit Information: Provide a monitor in the residential lobby to display of Real Time Transit Information and other transportation-related information. Provide space in the lobby for a small standing display for printed materials.

- iii. Electric Car Charging: Provide two EV-ready spaces or the number required by law, whichever is greater.

B. Bikesharing

MCDOT supports the Planning Board's granting of Public Benefits Points in the category of Major Public Facilities for the provision of an off-site 19-dock bikeshare station. MCDOT will select an off-site location for a bikeshare station. Applicant will pay the capital costs associated with a typical-sized bikeshare station (19-docks). The location of the off-site bikeshare station will need to be identified at Site Plan. Applicant will be required to work with MCDOT to promote use of bikeshare among residents, employees and visitors at the Project.

C. Real Time Transit Information and Standing Display

Provide monitor (and associated connections, wiring, etc.) in the residential lobby to display Real Time Transit Information. This will enable information to be readily accessed by resident, employees, visitors, etc. The Real Time Information feed can be shown on the same lobby monitor planned for building-related information (e.g., building director information). Provide space in the lobby for a small standing display for printed materials.

D. Parking

Although the Applicant proposes to provide approximately half of the number of spaces allowed, given the project's location within one block of the Bethesda Metro Station and within blocks of the future Purple Line Station, and the Downtown Bethesda Sector Plan's NADMS goal of 55 percent, CSS recommends that the proposed number of parking spaces be further reduced to the minimum number at Site Plan.

3. Construct Bethesda Central Business District streetscaping along the Commerce Lane and Old Georgetown Road (MD 187) street frontages.
4. A waiver from the Montgomery County Planning Board for 25-foot truncation is required.

Standard Plan Review Comments

5. We defer to MSHA for access and improvements to Old Georgetown Road (MD 187).
6. Provide necessary dedication of Old Georgetown Road (MD 187) in accordance with the

May 2017, Bethesda Downtown Master Plan.

7. The storm drain analysis was reviewed and deemed acceptable to MCDOT. No improvements are needed to the downstream public storm drain system for this plan.
8. Size storm drain easement(s) prior to record plat. No fences will be allowed within the storm drain easement(s) without a revocable permit from the Department of Permitting Services and a recorded Maintenance and Liability Agreement.
9. The sight distance study has been accepted. A copy of the accepted Sight Distance Evaluation certification form is enclosed for your information and reference.
10. In all underground utility installations, install identification tape or other "toning" device approximately 2' above the utility.
11. Provide a minimum 5' continuous clear path (no grates) along all public streets.
12. Provide on-site handicap access facilities, parking spaces, ramps, etc. in accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act.
13. If the proposed development will alter any existing street lights, signing, and/or pavement markings, please contact Mr. Dan Sanayi of our Traffic Engineering Design and Operations Section at (240) 777-2190 for proper executing procedures. All costs associated with such relocations shall be the responsibility of the applicant.
14. If the proposed development will alter or impact any existing County maintained transportation system management component (i.e., traffic signals, signal poles, handboxes, surveillance cameras, etc.) or communication component (i.e., traffic signal interconnect, fiber optic lines, etc.), please contact Mr. Kamal Hamud of our Transportation Systems Engineering Team at (240) 777-2190 for proper executing procedures. All costs associated with such relocations shall be the responsibility of the applicant.
15. At or before the permit stage, please coordinate with Mr. Benjamin Morgan of our Division of Parking Management to coordinate the impacts on public parking facilities in the vicinity of this project. Mr. Morgan can be contacted at 240-777-8704.
16. We recommend that the applicant coordinate with Mr. John Thomas of our Transportation Engineering Section regarding bicycle facilities along the site frontage. Mr. Thomas can be contacted at john.thomas@montgomerycountymd.gov or at 240-777-7240.

17. Trees in the County rights of way – spacing and species to be in accordance with the applicable MCDOT standards. Tree planning within the public right of way must be coordinated with DPS Right-of-Way Plan Review Section.
18. Permit and bond will be required as a prerequisite to DPS approval of the record plat. The permit may include, but not necessarily be limited to the following improvements:
 - A. Curbs, gutters, storm drain and appurtenances, sidewalks, handicap ramps and street trees along Commerce Lane.
 - B. Construct Bethesda Central Business District streetscaping along Commerce Lane.
 - C. Permanent monuments and property line markers, as required by Section 50-24(e) of the Subdivision Regulations.
 - D. Erosion and sediment control measures as required by Section 50-35(j) and on-site stormwater management where applicable shall be provided by the Developer (at no cost to the County) at such locations deemed necessary by the Department of Permitting Services (DPS) and will comply with their specifications. Erosion and sediment control measures are to be built prior to construction of streets, houses and/or site grading and are to remain in operation (including maintenance) as long as deemed necessary by the DPS.
 - E. The developer shall provide street lights in accordance with the specifications, requirements, and standards prescribed by the MCDOT Division of Traffic Engineering and Operations.

Thank you for the opportunity to review this sketch plan. If you have any questions or comments regarding this letter, please contact William Whelan, our Development Review Area Engineer for this project, at william.whelan@montgomerycountymd.gov or (240) 777-2173.

Sincerely,



Rebecca Torma, Manager
Development Review Team
Office of Transportation Policy

Ms. Stephanie Dickel
Preliminary Plan No. 120190050
December 10, 2018
Page 6

Enclosure

cc: Janel Kausner Old Georgetown Rd Project, LLC
Andrew Bradshaw Johnson Bernat Associates
Matthew Gordon Linowes and Blocher, LLP
Plan letters notebook

cc-e: Kwesi Woodroffe MSHA District 3
Sandra Brecher MCDOT CSS
Beth Dennard MCDOT CSS
John Thomas MCDOT DTE
Benjamin Morgan MCDOT DPM
Sam Farhadi MCDPS RWPR
Marie LaBaw MCFRS
Vince Subramaniam MCDOT DTEO

820190030 7607 Old Georgetown Road

Contact: Sam Farhadi at 240 777-6333

We have reviewed site plan file:

“07-SITE-820190030-SP-1.0.pdf V5” uploaded on/ dated **“12/5/2018”** and

The followings need to be addressed prior to the certification of site plan:

1. Provide public sidewalk:
 - a. to ADA standards (minimum five feet wide) and label it accordingly;
 - b. Public and private sidewalks when adjacent each other need to have the physical limits of maintenance provided or PIE/ ROW for the additional sidewalk is needed.

November 09, 2018

Mr. Andrew Bradshaw
J.B.A., Inc.
205 N. Frederick Ave., Suite 100
Gaithersburg MD 20877

Dear Mr. Andrew Bradshaw:

Thank you for the opportunity to review the **Site Plan** for the proposed **7607 Old Georgetown Road – 18APMO032XX** on **MD-187 Old Georgetown Road (Mile Point 0.10) Montgomery County**, Maryland. The State Highway Administration (SHA) has reviewed the plans and we are pleased to respond.

Based on the information provided, please address the following comments in a point-by-point response:

Historical Cultural Resources Comments (Provided by Ms. Lisa Kraus):

1. Based on this assessment, the proposed roadway improvements to MD 187 associated with the 7607 Old Georgetown Road project do not have the potential to impact historic properties. Formal consultation with the Maryland Historical Trust is not recommended.

Office of Environmental Design (OED) Comments (Provided by Ms. Margot Bartosh):

1. Guidance Documents.

- a. Please refer to the Maryland State Highway Administration (SHA) Environmental Guide for Access and District Permit Applicants, SHA Landscape Design Guide (LDG), SHA Landscape Construction Cost Estimating Manual, and the SHA Preferred Plant List (PPL) at <http://www.roads.maryland.gov/index.aspx?PageId=25>. In addition, refer to the SHA 2018 Standard Specification for Construction and Materials located at <http://www.roads.maryland.gov/pages/sscm.aspx?PageId=853&lid=SSP>.
- b. The Applicant shall note that SHA Standards and Specifications for Construction and Materials shall supersede all other specifications for landscape construction within SHA property; and all landscape construction on SHA property shall conform to the requirements of the SHA Landscape Notes and current SHA Standards and Specifications.

2. Plans for Landscape Construction

- a. Please refer to Chapter 6.0 of the Environmental Guide and Chapters 700, 701, and 710 of the Estimating Manual, and provide a plan drawing clearly showing and labeling all landscape/streetscape elements proposed to be installed within the MDOT SHA Right of Way.
 - b. Provide on a separate plan that shows all landscape/streetscape elements proposed to be installed within the MDOT SHA Right of Way relative to all underground and overhead utility structures and lines.
 - c. Provide construction drawings, details, and/or catalog cut sheets of all landscape/streetscape elements proposed to be installed within the MDOT SHA Right of Way
3. **Engineer's Estimate.** Please refer to the SHA Landscape Estimating Manual and include in the Project's Bond Cost Estimate all landscape/streetscape elements proposed to be installed within the MDOT SHA Right of Way.
4. **Assistance.** Please direct future correspondence or questions regarding these comments to Ms. Margot Bartosh at 410-545-8622 or by email to OEDProjectReview@sha.state.md.us.

District 3 Utility Comments (Provided by Mr. Sigmund Ajegwu):

1. All new proposed utility installation or relocation of existing utilities will require a separate utility permit.
2. District 3 Traffic and Safety should review request and approve Pedestrians sidewalk closures.
3. Ensure the public and elected officials are given adequate notifications.
4. Contractor will be required to obtain and maintain lane closure permit.

Office of Materials Technology (OMT) Comments:

Pavement and Geotechnical Division (PAGD) Comments (Provided by Mr. Endri Mustafa):

1. For the proposed 6" water connection please refer to Standard No. MD 578.01
2. Please include the utility patch on the plans where the pavement structure should be as follows:
 - a. 10" Superpave Asphalt Mix 19.0mm for Base, PG 64S-22, Level 2 (One 4" Lift and two 3" Lifts) over;
 - b. 12" Graded Aggregate Base Course (Two 6" Lifts)

District 3 Traffic Comments (Provided by Mr. Alvin Powell):

1. We have no objections to the grant of Concept and Site Plan approval.
2. We defer further comments pending receipt of the traffic impact study and related mitigation offered by the developer.

Highway Hydraulics Comments (Provided by Mr. Jason Alwine):

1. Once obtained please provide documentation of the local agency's review and approval of both the stormwater management and erosion/sediment control plans. [*Green Roof facilities are proposed to meet on-site SWM needs. There are no SWM facilities proposed for improvements within SHA right-of-way.*]
2. We have received and reviewed your submission, which included Concept Site Plans. In order for the Highway Hydraulics Division to complete a detailed review of the project please submit a complete plan set, a hydrologic analysis of the existing and proposed site conditions, a hydraulic analysis and design of the existing and proposed storm drain system, and a narrative describing the proposed project.
3. With the next submittal, please identify all existing drainage structures and pipes including flow arrows. Please also identify all proposed drainage to the storm drain along Commerce Lane which ties into the SHA drainage system along MD 187. The existing system will need to be analyzed if there is any increase in flow to the system.
4. Although we defer to the **Local Agency** for Stormwater Management approval, due to the possible impacts to SHA right-of-way we have the following comments:
 - a. Please provide a complete SWM Report which should include a section identifying improvements within SHA's right-of-way including the following:
 - i. Amount of impervious area requiring treatment due to improvements in SHA right-of-way
 - ii. Amount of SWM treatment required by the proposed improvements
 - iii. How SHA improvements are being treated by proposed BMPs
 - b. Once finalized, please provide a copy of the signed 'recordable' plat as noted in the plans for right-of-way dedication to SHA. For any questions in regards to plat preparation please contact Mr. Matthew Bloedorn (mbloedorn@sha.state.md.us) of SHA's Office of Highway Development's Plats and Survey Division.

RECOMMENDATIONS FROM HHD

Further review of this project will be withheld until the above comments have been addressed. We may provide additional comments once all design data including calculations have been included in the next submittal. For clarification of any hydraulic comments, please contact Mr. Jason Alwine of at 443 348-2017 or jalwine@gfnet.com.

Innovative Contracting Division (ICD) Comments (Provided by Mr. John Vranish):

1. At this time, the referenced project does not meet SHA Accessibility Policy. Written responses to the comments below must be provided as part of your next submittal.

SHEET SP-1.0:

2. The existing curb line is being changed at the corner of Old Georgetown Road and Commerce Lane and the existing curb ramps will need to be reconstructed, provide a Maryland Standard for each curb ramp.
3. Must maintain a 5' wide and 2% cross slope pedestrian pathway with no throughout the frontage of the property along Old Georgetown Road.

Traffic Engineering Design Division Comments (Provided by Mr. Steve Renzi):

1. TEDD has reviewed the proposed improves and has no comments to offer at this time.

District 3 Access Management Comments (Provided by Mr. José R. González):

1. A Traffic Impact Study (TIS) is required for any proposed development or redevelopment that generates more than 50 peak hour trips, or that is expected to directly impact traffic operations on the State road(s). Please submit a TIS for SHA to review. No plan reviews should be submitted or reviewed until after SHA has provided a TIS approval. Comments provided on this letter may be used to help on further submittal.
2. These comments are preliminary, more comments may be provided on further future submittals. When the SHA access management plans are submitted in the future, we will include all divisions. Please note that SHA access management plans only requires the construction elements within the SHA Right of Way (ROW) of Old Georgetown Road (MD 187).
3. Please use SHA Tracking number 18APMO032XX on the cover sheet of the SHA Access Management plans and on all further transmittals for this project.

4. Submit a plan submittal checklist ensuring that all the checked items are clearly shown on the plans. The checklist can be found online at the following location:
<http://www.roads.maryland.gov/ohd2/Plan-check-list.pdf>
 - a. Please include all existing linetype at the intersection of Old Georgetown Road (MD 187) and Commerce Lane
 - i. Crosswalk pavement markings are not shown.
 - b. On road name label, please provide the Posted Speed limit and One-Way direction traffic.
5. Please place the following note on the first sheet of the plan set containing all the applicable SHA Maryland standards (including standards for MOT and those mentioned on comments above):

The following standards (construction and temporary traffic control) are required for this project (list them out as shown below):

- a. MD-xxx.xxx – Name of standard
- b. MD-xxx.xxx – Name of standard

For all standards referred to on the plans the contractor must go to the Book of Standards which will have the most current version. The Book of Standards can be accessed at:

<http://apps.roads.maryland.gov/businesswithsha/bizStdsSpecs/desManualStdPub/publicationonline/ohd/bookstd/index.asp>

All items are to be constructed in accordance with the current version of the referenced standard at the time of construction.

6. Please include Standard MD-578.01 - REPAIRING PAVEMENT OPENINGS FOR UTILITY TRENCHES for the proposed 6" water connection
7. Please include a cost estimate for all items within the SHA R/W when submitting the SHA Access Management Plans for Review.
 - a. Feel free to use the following SHA price index to properly price the items within the SHA ROW work. <https://www.roads.maryland.gov/Index.aspx?PageId=34>


8. The MDOT SHA Access Management Manual, Chapter 3 section 3.1.3.A for Intersection radius section states "At the intersection of a state highway with a minor road (county, municipal, etc.) the minimum radius shall be a 30' or equivalent 3-centered compound curve." Whereas this project proposes a 15' radius. Please feel free to use the following links as reference:

a. Referenced Street Connection Standards Chapter 3 can be found on the following link:
<https://www.roads.maryland.gov/Index.aspx?PageId=401>

b. Referenced SHA Standard Entrance and Street Connection Details can be found on the following link
http://www.roads.maryland.gov/ohd2/Std%20Entrance_Street%20Conn%20Details.pdf

Further plan submittals should reflect the above comments. Please submit a CD containing the plans and all supporting documentation in PDF format, including a point-by-point response to reflect the comments noted above directly to the Access Management Division at 9300 Kenilworth Avenue, Greenbelt, MD 20770, to the attention of Mr. Kwesi Woodroffe. For electronic submissions create an account with our new online system <https://mdotsha.force.com/accesspermit>. Please reference the SHA tracking number on future submissions. Please keep in mind that you can view the reviewer and project status via the SHA Access Management web page at <http://www.roads.maryland.gov/pages/amd.aspx>. If you have any questions or require additional information please contact Mr. Kwesi Woodroffe at 301-513-7347, by using our toll-free number (in Maryland only) at 1-800-749-0737 (x7347), or via email at kwoodroffe@sha.state.md.us or shaamdpermits@sha.state.md.us.

Sincerely,



Andre Futrell
District Engineer

AF/jrg

cc: Mr. Sigmund Ajegwu, SHA District 3 Utility
Mr. Jason Alwine, SHA – HHD
Mr. Montee Benjamin, SHA District 3 Utility
Mr. Mathew Bloedorn, SHA – PSD
Mr. Derek Gunn, SHA District 3 Traffic
Mr. Mark Etheridge, Montgomery County Department of Permitting Services
Mr. James Kramperth, SHA – HHD
Ms. Lisa Kraus, SHA – EPD

Mr. Andrew Bradshaw
SHA Tracking No.:18APMO032XX
Page 7 of 7
November 09, 2018

Ms. Janel Kausner, Old Georgetown Road Project, LLC
Mr. Aaron Levinthal, SHA – EPD
Mr. Mark Loeffler, SHA District 3 Utility
Ms. Shadija Maddox, SHA District 3 Traffic
Mr. Endri Mustafa, SHA – OMT
Mr. Robert Owolabi, SHA District 3 Traffic
Mr. Jared Paper-Evers, SHA – ICD
Ms. Deborah Pitts SHA – HHD
Mr. Alvin Powell, SHA District 3 Traffic
Mr. Steve Renzi, SHA – TEDD
Dr. Julie Schablitsky, SHA – EPD
Mr. John Vranish SHA – ICD
Mr. Kwesi Woodroffe, SHA District 3 Regional Engineer



DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

Marc Elrich
County Executive

December 10, 2018

Ms. Stephanie Dickel
Area 1 Division
Montgomery County Planning Department
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

Re: 7607 Old Georgetown Road
Preliminary Plan No. 120190050
Site Plan No. 820190030

Dear Ms. Dickel:

The Montgomery County Department of Housing and Community Affairs (DHCA) has reviewed the above referenced plans and recommends Approval. At certified site plan, the applicant will need to provide DHCA with schematic floor plans showing the locations and proposed layouts of the MPDUs.

Sincerely,

Lisa S. Schwartz
Senior Planning Specialist

cc: Andrew Bradshaw, JBA Inc.

S:\Files\recurring\Housing\MPDU\Developments\7607 Old Georgetown Road\7607 Old Georgetown DHCA Letter_12-10-2018.docx

Division of Housing

Affordable Housing Common Ownership Communities Landlord-Tenant Affairs Multifamily Housing

1401 Rockville Pike, 4th Floor • Rockville, Maryland 20852 • 240-777-0311 • 240-777-3691 FAX • www.montgomerycountymd.gov/dhca

