RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, under Montgomery County Code Chapter 22A, the Montgomery County Planning Board is authorized to review forest conservation plan applications; and

WHEREAS, on February 21, 2019, MHP Forest Glen, LLC ("Applicant") filed an application for approval of a forest conservation plan on approximately 2.63 acres of land located at 9920 Georgia Avenue/2106 Belvedere Boulevard ("Subject Property") in the 1996 Forest Glen Sector Plan ("Sector Plan") area; and

WHEREAS, Applicant's forest conservation plan application was designated Forest Conservation Plan No H-129, MHP Forest Glen ("Forest Conservation Plan" or "Application");¹ and

WHEREAS, following review and analysis of the Application by Planning Board Staff ("Staff") and other governmental agencies, Staff issued a memorandum to the Planning Board dated March 1, 2019, setting forth its analysis and recommendation for approval of the Application, subject to certain conditions ("Staff Report"); and

WHEREAS, on March 14, 2019, the Planning Board held a public hearing on the Application at which it heard testimony and received evidence submitted for the record on the Application; and

WHEREAS, at the hearing, the Planning Board approved the Application subject to certain conditions, by the vote certified below.

¹ Unless specifically indicated otherwise, the Board has reviewed the preliminary Forest Conservation Plan and set forth conditions under which the Staff can approve the final Forest Conservation Plan without further Board action. Therefore, for purposes of this Resolution, whether or not indicated, the Board's action is with regard to the preliminary Forest Conservation Plan.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Board APPROVES Forest Conservation Plan No. H-129 on the Subject Property, subject to the following conditions:

1. The Applicant must submit a Final Forest Conservation Plan with the subsequent Preliminary Plan and Site Plan submittals.

2. Prior to the start of any clearing, grading, or demolition occurring on the Property, the Applicant must receive approval from the M-NCPPC Office of the General Counsel of a Certificate of Compliance to use an off-site forest mitigation bank for 0.45 acres of mitigation credit.

3. The Applicant must plant twenty-two (22) three (3)-inch caliper native canopy trees within one year of construction completion as mitigation for tree loss.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that having given full consideration to the recommendations and findings of its Staff as presented at the hearing and as set forth in the Staff Report, which the Board hereby adopts and incorporates by reference (except as modified herein), and upon consideration of the entire record, the Planning Board FINDS, with the conditions of approval, that:

1. The Application satisfies all the applicable requirements of the Forest Conservation Law, Montgomery County Code Chapter 22A, and ensures the protection of environmentally sensitive features.

A. Forest Conservation

The Board finds that as conditioned, the Forest Conservation Plan complies with the requirements of the Forest Conservation Law. There is no forest on-site and the Applicant proposes to meet the 0.45-acre afforestation requirement in an off-site forest mitigation bank.

B. Forest Conservation Variance

Section 22A-12(b)(3) of the Forest Conservation Law identifies certain individual trees as high priority for retention and protection ("Protected Trees"). Any impact to these Protected Trees, including removal or any disturbance within a Protected Tree's critical root zone ("CRZ"), requires a variance under Section 22A-12(b)(3) ("Variance"). Otherwise such resources must be left in an undisturbed condition.

---

2 For the purpose of these conditions, the term "Applicant" shall also mean the developer, the owner, or any successor in interest to the terms of this approval.
This Application will require the removal or CRZ impact to eight (8) Protected Trees as identified in the Staff Report. In accordance with Section 22A-21(a), the Applicant requested a Variance, and the Board agrees that the Applicant would suffer unwarranted hardship by being denied reasonable and significant use of the Subject Property without the Variance.

The Board makes the following findings necessary to grant the Variance:

1. **Granting the Variance will not confer on the Applicant a special privilege that would be denied to other applicants.**

   Granting this variance will not confer a special privilege on the Applicant as disturbance of the specified trees is a result of demolishing the existing development, regardless of new development proposed. Any applicant would be allowed to demolish their existing development; therefore, this is not a special privilege.

2. **The need for the Variance is not based on conditions or circumstances which are the result of the actions by the Applicant.**

   The requested variance is not based on conditions or circumstances that are the result of actions by the Applicant. The variance is necessary due to the demolition of the existing development.

3. **The need for the Variance is not based on a condition related to land or building use, either permitted or non-conforming, on a neighboring property.**

   The requested variance is a result of the location of trees located adjacent to the existing development, not a condition related to either a land or building use on a neighboring property.

4. **Granting the Variance will not violate State water quality standards or cause measurable degradation in water quality.**

   The Protected Trees requested for removal are not located in an environmental buffer or special protection area. This approval is conditioned on mitigation that approximates the form and function of the trees removed. Therefore, their removal will not violate State water quality standards or cause measurable degradation in water quality. The Protected Tree being impacted will remain to provide the same level of water quality protection as it currently provides.
The eight (8) trees to be removed are being replaced according to a formula that requires one caliper-inch of replacement trees planted for every four inches diameter removed, using planting stock of no less than 3 inches caliper. This project will remove 259 diameter inches of specimen trees, which will be mitigated by planting twenty-two (22) three-inch caliper trees, for a total of 66 caliper-inches of new trees planted. The canopy produced by these trees will replace the form and function from the removed trees with a few years, thereby restoring the water quality functions formerly performed by the trees removed. Therefore, the project will not violate State water quality standards or cause a measurable degradation in water quality.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution constitutes the written opinion of the Planning Board in this matter, and the date of this Resolution is [MAR 29 2019] (which is the date that this Resolution is mailed to all parties of record); and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that any party authorized by law to take an administrative appeal must initiate such an appeal within thirty days of the date of this Resolution, consistent with the procedural rules for the judicial review of administrative agency decisions in Circuit Court (Rule 7-203, Maryland Rules).

* * * * * * * * * * *

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of a resolution adopted by the Montgomery County Planning Board of the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission on motion of Commissioner Fani-González, seconded by Commissioner Cichy, with Chair Anderson and Commissioners Fani-González and Cichy voting in favor, and Vice Chair Dreyfuss and Commissioner Patterson absent at its regular meeting held on Thursday, March 14, 2019, in Silver Spring, Maryland.

[Signature]
Casey Anderson, Chair
Montgomery County Planning Board