RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, under Montgomery County Code Chapter 22A, the Montgomery County Planning Board is authorized to review forest conservation plan applications; and

WHEREAS, on October 13, 2019, Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission ("Applicant") filed an application for approval of a forest conservation plan on approximately 7.89 acres of land located adjacent to Beach Drive ("Subject Property") in the 1990 Kensington-Wheaton Master Plan ("Master Plan") area; and

WHEREAS, Applicant's forest conservation plan application was designated Forest Conservation Plan No. MR2020003 ("Forest Conservation Plan" or "Application"); and

WHEREAS, following review and analysis of the Application by Planning Board Staff ("Staff") and other governmental agencies, Staff issued a memorandum to the Planning Board dated July 13, 2020, setting forth its analysis and recommendation for approval of the Application, subject to certain conditions ("Staff Report"); and

WHEREAS, on July 23, 2020, the Planning Board held a public hearing on the Application at which it heard testimony and received evidence submitted for the record on the Application; and

WHEREAS, at the hearing, the Planning Board approved the Application subject to certain conditions, by the vote certified below.

1 Unless specifically indicated otherwise, the Board has reviewed the preliminary Forest Conservation Plan and set forth conditions under which the Staff can approve the final Forest Conservation Plan without further Board action. Therefore, for purposes of this Resolution, whether or not indicated, the Board's action is with regard to the preliminary Forest Conservation Plan.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Board APPROVES Forest Conservation Plan No. MR2020003 on the Subject Property, subject to the following conditions:\(^2\):

1. Prior to issuance of a Sediment Control Permit from the Department of Permitting Services, the Applicant must obtain approval of a Final Forest Conservation Plan from the Planning Department. The Final Forest Conservation Plan must be consistent with the approved Preliminary Forest Conservation Plan.

2. The Limits of Disturbance (LOD) on the Final Forest Conservation Plan must be consistent with the LOD on the Sediment and Erosion Control Plan.

3. No clearing, grading, or any demolition may occur prior to receiving approval of the Sediment and Erosion Control Plan.

4. The Applicant must plant 4.25 acres of forest as shown on the PFCP within six months of forest conservation inspector approval of the removal of the tree protection fencing.

5. The Applicant must plant mitigation for the removal of four (4) trees subject to the variance provision, in the form of planting native canopy trees totaling 135 caliper inches, with a minimum planting stock size of three (3) caliper inches. Adjustments to the planting locations of these trees is permitted with the approval of the M-NCPPC forest conservation inspector. The trees must be planted within six months of forest conservation inspector approval of the removal of tree protection fencing.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that having given full consideration to the recommendations and findings of its Staff as presented at the hearing and as set forth in the Staff Report, which the Board hereby adopts and incorporates by reference (except as modified herein), and upon consideration of the entire record, the Planning Board FINDS, with the conditions of approval, that:

1. The Application satisfies all the applicable requirements of the Forest Conservation Law, Montgomery County Code Chapter 22A, and ensures the protection of environmentally sensitive features.

A. Forest Conservation

The Board finds that as conditioned, the Forest Conservation Plan complies with the requirements of the Forest Conservation Law. The Applicant proposes to clear 0.84 acres of forest and plant 4.25 acres of forest on adjacent parkland. The forest planting includes invasive species

\(^2\) For the purpose of these conditions, the term “Applicant” shall also mean the developer, the owner, or any successor in interest to the terms of this approval.
removal and management and will help fill recently created voids in the Rock Creek canopy caused by Emerald Ash Borer Ash tree dieback. Filling in the gaps in the existing forest will also reduce opportunities for invasives to gain footholds in the forest.

B. Forest Conservation Variance

Section 22A-12(b)(3) of the Forest Conservation Law identifies certain individual trees as high priority for retention and protection ("Protected Trees"). Any impact to these Protected Trees, including removal or any disturbance within a Protected Tree's critical root zone ("CRZ"), requires a variance under Section 22A-12(b)(3) ("Variance"). Otherwise such resources must be left in an undisturbed condition.

This Application will require the removal or CRZ impact to 28 Protected Trees as identified in the Staff Report. In accordance with Section 22A-21(a), the Applicant requested a Variance, and the Board agrees that the Applicant would suffer unwarranted hardship by being denied reasonable and significant use of the Subject Property without the Variance.

The Board makes the following findings necessary to grant the Variance:

1. **Granting the Variance will not confer on the Applicant a special privilege that would be denied to other applicants.**

   Granting this variance will not confer a special privilege on the Applicant as disturbance of the specified trees is a result of the need to install a replacement 30" water main. The water main disturbance has been located to minimize environmental impacts and to retain trees where possible.

2. **The need for the Variance is not based on conditions or circumstances which are the result of the actions by the Applicant.**

   The requested variance is not based on conditions or circumstances that are the result of actions by the Applicant. The variance is necessary due to the need to replace the existing water main with a new 30" water main.

3. **The need for the Variance is not based on a condition related to land or building use, either permitted or non-conforming, on a neighboring property.**

   The requested variance is a result of the location of trees and the location of the necessary disturbance to replace the water main. The variance is not based on any conditions on neighboring properties.
4. Granting the Variance will not violate State water quality standards or cause measurable degradation in water quality.

While the Protected Trees are located in the environmental buffers associated with Rock Creek, the disturbance is based on the location where the water main needs to be located. This approval is conditioned on mitigation that approximates the form and function of the trees removed. Therefore, their removal will not violate State water quality standards or cause measurable degradation in water quality. The Protected Tree being impacted will remain to provide the same level of water quality protection as it currently provides.

Mitigation for the Variance is at a rate that approximates the form and function of the Protected Trees removed. The Board approves replacement of Protected Trees at a ratio of approximately 1 caliper inch replaced per 4" DBH removed. No mitigation is required for Protected Trees impacted but retained.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution constitutes the written opinion of the Planning Board in this matter, and the date of this Resolution is AUG 13 2020 (which is the date that this Resolution is mailed to all parties of record); and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that any party authorized by law to take an administrative appeal must initiate such an appeal within thirty days of the date of this Resolution, consistent with the procedural rules for the judicial review of administrative agency decisions in Circuit Court (Rule 7-203, Maryland Rules).

* * * * * * * * * * *

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of a resolution adopted by the Montgomery County Planning Board of the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission on motion of Vice Chair Fani-González, seconded by Commissioner Patterson, with Chair Anderson, Vice Chair Fani-González, and Commissioners Cichy, Patterson, and Verma voting in favor at its regular meeting held on Thursday, July 23, 2020, in Silver Spring, Maryland.

Casey Anderson, Chair
Montgomery County Planning Board