

ATTACHMENT 3: Comments Received Since June 11, 2020

Anders Family, 6-11-20

Andy Leon Harney, Village Manager, Section 3 of the Village of Chevy Chase, 8-26-20

Audubon Naturalist Society, 8-4-20

Audubon Naturalist Society and Others-- Sign-on letter 8-12-20

Chevy Chase Village Letter, 9-15-20

Chevy Chase West Neighborhood Association Letter, 9-1-20

Darnestown Civic Association, 7-20-20

Darnestown Civic Association, 8-28-20

Devorah Stavisky, 6-23-20

Edgemoor Citizens Association Letter to County Council 9-19-20

Hannah Sholder, 9-10-20

Jane Burner, 6-15-20

Maureen Holohan, 9-2-20

Michael DeLong, 6-22-20

Montgomery Countryside Alliance, 8-5-20

Montgomery County Food Council, 8-28-20

Rock Creek Conservancy, 6-16-20

Suzan Jenkins of Arts & Humanities Council of Montgomery County, 8-31-20

TAME Coalition, 8-5-2020

County Executive Letter and County Agencies comments, 8-14-20

Anders Family, 6-11-20

From: Anna Anders <ama231@case.edu>

Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2020 11:44 AM

To: MCP-Chair@mncppc-mcp.org; Thrive2050 <Thrive2050@montgomeryplanning.org>

Subject: Thrive2050 Comments

We read with interest the Montgomery County “Thrive 2050” presentation-draft vision and goals regarding the Planning Board’s vision for the next 30 years. The document seems to be one that attempts to be all things to all people and does touch on most things important to Montgomery County, though seems to fall short in the area of protecting ecosystems, wildlife and the preservation and expansion of natural areas and parks. The vision itself should include the word sustainability and should mention protection of the agricultural reserve. It should also highlight the importance of green space, protecting ecosystems and sustainability.

The document states that the focus of parks should be surrounding neighborhoods. While we do want parks for surrounding neighborhoods, this statement is inadequate for protecting and expanding the county’s parks systems, including the ecosystems, plants & animals therein.

We note also that in terms of adding housing, nowhere does it spell out a vision for dealing with the infrastructure. What is our vision for updating old sewer lines, clogged roads, and crowded classrooms? The current model is to build more and have the developers pay for the new stuff. That model is broken because the county is already “developed out.” While the concept of “infill and redevelopment” (page 20) is a good one we are dubious that it can fully solve the infrastructure problems.

Page 16 mentions “new housing is located in places in the county that are near transit and high-capacity transit corridors.” This statement is misleading in a major way. Many of the county’s “high capacity transit corridors” such as I-270 are already choked full of traffic. It is not wise to plan more development along corridors that are already beyond capacity. The model of building first and then waiting for infrastructure to catch up is NOT working and the planning board needs to readjust their thinking to account for that.

In terms of the overall document, we were very disappointed that it did not include:

- The goal of expanding our parks and wildlife corridors
- More acreage devoted to habitat – for example – schools and public areas replace 25% of their lawns with native plant areas.
- Sustainability is mentioned, but not highlighted. I understand that in certain parts of the Hudson Valley all new construction has to be “net zero.” While net zero is mentioned once in the slide presentation, it is not given much focus. What is good enough for the Hudson Valley is good enough for MoCo.

Sincerely,

The Anders Family

From: Andy Leon Harney <villagemanager@chevychase3.org>

Sent: Wednesday, August 26, 2020 8:50 PM

To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov; Councilmember.Katz@montgomerycountymd.gov;
Councilmember.Hucker@montgomerycountymd.gov;
Councilmember.Friedson@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.navarro@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.rice@montgomerycountymd.gov;
Councilmember.glass@montgomerycountymd.gov;
Councilmember.jawando@montgomerycountymd.gov;
Councilmember.Albornoz@montgomerycountymd.gov

Cc: Susan Manning <Susan.Manning@gmail.com>; Carolyn Greis <CAGreis@msn.com>;
OCE@montgomerycountymd.gov; marc.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov; MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org>

Subject: Delay consideration of Thrive Montgomery 2050

Dear Council President Katz, Vice President Hucker, Councilmember Albornoz, Councilmember Friedson, Councilmember Glass, Councilmember Jawando, Councilmember Navarro, Councilmember Rice, and Councilmember Riemer:

On behalf of the Council of Section 3 of the Village of Chevy Chase, I am writing to ask that you direct the Planning Board to delay consideration of Thrive Montgomery 2050, the update of the General Plan, for at least a year. At the moment, it's scheduled for a Planning Board hearing in September and transmission to the Council by March of 2021.

The nation and the County have been faced with unprecedented economic and personal hardships brought on by the COVID-19 pandemic. It's not clear what the long-term impact on our lives will be. It's safe to say that the virus is not disappearing, that until we have a vaccine and a large number of Americans treated with the vaccine, that the ways in which we live will be impacted for an extended period of time. The balance of retail and commercial spaces may as a result, change. The strains on the County and its budget will be different.

The current draft relies on pre-pandemic data and assumptions, yet recent data suggest we may be facing permanent changes in how we live, spend, work, commute, study, and play:

- Metro weekday ridership overall has declined nearly 95% since March.
- Multiple businesses in the County have closed permanently and others have laid off thousands of employees.
- Weakness in high-cost rentals is not translating into lower rents, as indicated by the conversion of luxury rentals into more profitable short-term rentals.
- Many companies and employees have discovered that telecommuting works and saves time and money – cutting into the commercial real estate market and altering the residential market in favor of homes with work space and outdoor recreation space on site or nearby.
- Government funding for services and pressing capital needs will be severely circumscribed by both diminished revenues and meeting immediate needs precipitated by the pandemic.

County rainy day funds will doubtless be near depletion at the end of this and the County will have to find ways to make up that difference with a significant portion of our population struggling to pay rent and put food on the table.

It is impossible to know which changes will be lasting, but their potential to affect housing choices, education, commuting patterns, brick and mortar retail, recreation, and transportation demands and solutions, among many things, is indisputable. For the foreseeable future, people are focused on staying healthy, keeping their jobs, feeding and schooling their children, and paying for housing. While the plan acknowledges the likelihood of increased telecommuting, it seems to miss or ignore the effects that will have on where and how people will continue their lives once the pandemic fades. We will learn much more about that in the next 12-18 months, and a 30-year vision divorced from the reality of human behavior will not serve the county well.

In addition, this plan departs from prior plans in that the draft plan contains 46 goals, 154 policies, and 218 actions in areas that go far beyond the land use and natural resources addressed in previous general plans. These need informed, transparent, and diverse public debate (the implementation section has not yet been made public). These are big changes to entertain. And while it's clear that the planning staff has devoted a great deal of energy to this effort and it reflects the thinking of today's planners, those assumptions may prove faulty and the public may resent the fact that decisions were made at such a vulnerable and uncertain time without the usual public (not via zoom) opportunity to debate and discuss a plan which may not be relevant to our needs because it was rushed through in the middle of a pandemic. In short, people who would normally care, don't have the bandwidth to review and debate these larger issues...they are worried about how they are going to make it through today and tomorrow.

We urge you to reconsider and table discussion and plans to proceed for at least a year. Give us a chance to see where we stand in terms of the balance of retail, commercial and residential and all the attendant plans that accompany assumptions made in this plan. We want it to be visionary, but also in touch with our reality--and at this time, in the middle of a pandemic, I'm not certain we understand the ramifications for our future.

Thank you for your attention, and we hope you will agree with our position.

Sincerely,

Andy Leon Harney, Village Manager Section 3 of the Village of Chevy Chase



August 4th, 2020

Written Comments for Thrive Montgomery 2050 – General Master Plan - Final Staff Report Vision, Goals, Policies and Actions.

Submitted by Denisse Guitarra

Maryland Conservation Advocate, Audubon Naturalist Society (ANS)

Dear Montgomery County Planning Board,

For 123 years, the Audubon Naturalist Society has inspired people to enjoy, learn about, and protect nature. We thank Montgomery County's Planning Department for working to revise, develop and create a new, comprehensive, County General Master Plan. ANS sees this Plan revision as an opportunity to shape the future of the County where people can live, work, and play in an affordable, diverse, equitable, safe, healthy, and transit-oriented green county. We applaud the Planning Department's approach to have the core pillars of environmental resilience, community equity, and economic health be at the heart of the new general master plan. ANS provides the following comments on the *Final Staff Report Vision, Goals, Policies, and Actions*.¹ We have two types of comments labeled as "keep" or "change." The ones labeled "keep" are those we agree and support planning staff's recommendations. The ones labeled "change" are our recommended changes, comments, suggestions, or improvements. All of our recommendations are arranged following the same format as the planning staff's report. ANS thanks the Montgomery Planning Department for the opportunity to provide comments at this time.

¹ Montgomery Planning (June 11, 2020). *Final Staff Report Vision, Goals, Policies and Actions*. Available from: <https://montgomeryplanning.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/Final-staff-report-vision-goals-policies-and-actions-for-6-11-20-PB-.pdf>

Woodend Sanctuary | 8940 Jones Mill Road, Chevy Chase, Maryland 20815 | 301-652-9188

Rust Sanctuary | 802 Childrens Center Road, Leesburg, Virginia 20175 | 703-669-0000

anshome.org

1. Complete Communities

a. *Goal 1.1: Retrofit existing communities and create new communities where people can meet their daily needs without a car.*

i. Goal 1.1: Policy 1.1.1:

1. **Keep:** We agree with this policy item of creating 15-minute walking or biking living which follows sustainable, transit-oriented development principles and creates actions to revise master, sector, and corridor plans to accommodate this new policy item.

b. *Goal 1.2: Orient communities around convenient social gathering places accessible to neighborhood residents by walking and biking.*

i. Goal 1.2: Policy 1.2.1: Action 1.2.1:

1. **Change:** Add Department of Permitting Services (DPS) to the list of agencies that the Planning Department will work with in coordination when redesigning neighborhoods.

c. *Goal 1.3: Promote active lifestyles by making parks and open spaces a central element of the community.*

i. Goal 1.2: Policy 1.2.1: Action 1.2.1:

1. **Keep:** We agree with the staff's recommendation to employ the *Energized Public Spaces Functional Master Plan* in order to identify new opportunities for new parks or open spaces to create equitable nature access for all, specially to impacted communities.

d. *Goal 1.4: Equitably distribute the benefits of land use planning and public and private investment throughout the county. Ensure that no community is disadvantaged by a disproportionate share of adverse impacts from future land use decisions and investments.*

i. Goal 1.4:

1. **Change:** Add in a policy and action item that allocates more funds to racial and social justice trainings, staff, outreach, education, and investment on impacted communities in the County Executive's CIP and Operating Budgets.

2. Connectedness

a. *Goal 2.2: Build civic capacity within communities and make government planning and decision-making processes accessible, transparent and easy for everyone to participate in and understand.*

i. Goal 2.2: Policy 2.2.1: Action 2.2.1:

1. **Keep:** We applaud the staff's recommendation to create the Citizen's Planning Institute.

2. **Change:**

- a. Consider including in this action item that multi-language and multi-learning style options will be part of the Citizens Planning Institute.
- b. We suggest changing the name of the group to "County Residents Planning Institute" to make it more inviting and welcoming.

ii. Goal 2.2: Policy 2.2.2:

1. **Keep:** We agree with the staff's recommendation to eliminate and improve community engagement barriers with a diverse group of participants, including renters, people with disabilities, people of color, people with language barriers and others underrepresented in civic activities.
- iii. *Goal 2.2: Policy 2.2.2: Action 2.2.2.c:*
 1. **Keep:** We agree with the recommendation to require cultural competency training program for Montgomery Parks and Planning staff working on functional, master plans, or special projects to ensure departments engage in a culturally relevant way with residents from diverse communities, historically marginalized, and immigrant residents.
 2. **Change:** Create new programs that are cultural and linguistically relevant in addition to the new required training. Corazón Latino's transcreation methodology could be incorporated into this policy and action item.²
- b. *Goal 2.5: Assess racial equity and social justice impacts across all Montgomery Parks and Montgomery Planning initiatives.*
 - i. **Keep:** We agree with the staff's recommendation to employ strategies to assess racial equity and social justice impacts of master, functional, regulatory, and parks plans to inform improvements of planning, decision-making, and resource allocation to be more racially and socially equitable.
 - ii. **Change:**
 1. Include environmental justice definition and language as part of the racial and social justice section of this goal.
 2. Create a connection to Chapter 6: *Goal 6.2: Policy 6.2.8.* Make a connection between Goal 2.5 and the County Executive's Climate Action Plan.³

3. Diverse Economy

- a. *Goal 3.1: Sustain a cutting-edge economy supported by private, public and nonprofit employers in multiple industries, local and federal government, and small business entrepreneurship. This diverse economic base helps the county be resilient and respond to changes in business cycles, enhances our competitive attractiveness to new employers, and provides a path for growth for workers of all skill levels.*
 - i. *Goal 3.1: Policy 3.1.3:*
 1. **Change:** Include a recommendation that housing should be attainable and affordable to attract and keep talent in the county.
- b. *Goal 3.2: Grow vibrant employment centers that are attractive as headquarters locations for large, multinational corporations; major regional businesses; federal agencies; and small and locally owned businesses. These centers are accessible by multiple modes of transportation, balance a mix of commercial and residential uses and amenities, have a*

² May Conservation Café with Corazon Latino. Watch at min 54:51. (May 2020). Available from: <https://youtu.be/FOjvnWowdK8>

³ Montgomery County – Climate Action Plan. Available from: <https://www.montgomerycountymd.gov/green/climate/climate-action-planning.html>

distinctive look and feel through high-quality design, and include attractive and active parks and open spaces.

- i. **Keep:** We agree with the staff's recommendation to improve transportation between existing commercial and employment centers and transit hubs as means of achieving sustainable development and cut back on greenhouse gas emissions.
 - ii. **Keep:** We agree with expanding more non-auto transportation options between upper county and down county.
- c. *Goal 3.3: Increase the number of well-paying jobs for all education and skill levels. Encourage the expansion of job training and other professional development opportunities to encourage economic mobility.*
 - i. **Change:** Add in a policy action item to ensure that the increase of well-paying jobs also includes the expansion of green job fields.
 - ii. *Goal 3.3: Policy 3.3.2: Action 3.3.2.a:*
 - 1. **Keep:** We agree with the staff's recommendation to conduct an *Economic Equity* study to determine the baseline levels of and barriers to employment equity for people of color, those with disabilities, immigrants, people for whom English is a second language, and members of the LGBTQ+ community.
- d. *Goal 3.5: Lead nationally in innovation and entrepreneurship, building on existing assets and enhancing job and business growth for industries in which Montgomery County has a competitive advantage.*
 - i. *Goal 3.5: Policy 3.5.3: Action 3.5.3.b:*
 - 1. **Change:** Include in this action item coordination with other national and international online virtual education institutions and programs.

4. Safe and Efficient Travel

- a. *Goal 4.1: Get people out of their cars. Transform Montgomery County into a community of walkable, people-centric places where public transit, walking and bicycling are the preferred travel mode for daily trips and the need for personal vehicles is substantially reduced.*
 - i. **Keep:** We agree with the planning staff's recommendation to get people out of cars, prepare a Transportation Master Plan, revise the Countywide Transit Corridors Functional Master Plan to implement more BRT corridors, and extend BRT lines to nearby county jurisdictions in a safe, equitable, and low or net zero greenhouse gas emissions transportation options.
 - ii. **Change:** Require that no more highway expansions occur in the county as part of Goal 4.1 which focuses on getting people out of cars and creating people centric places.
- b. *Goal 4.4: Eliminate all transportation-related fatalities and severe injuries. Provide a transportation system that is safe for everyone.*
 - i. **Keep:** We agree with eliminating transportation related fatalities and redesigning the transportation infrastructure to focus on the safety of people.
- c. *Goal 4.5: Eradicate greenhouse gas emissions and dangerous pollutants from the transportation system.*

- i. **Keep:** Please keep all provisions under goal 4.5 by encouraging greenhouse gas reduction practices such as providing more transit alternatives.
 - ii. **Change:** Encourage more policies and action items focused towards transit instead of fostering zero emission vehicles, as these vehicles would not reduce the congestion, cars still take up space on roads.
- d. *Goal 4.6: Create an equitable transportation system that provides affordable and accessible travel options to jobs, education and services.*
 - i. **Keep:** Please keep all provisions under goal 4.6 including the action items that seek to understand and study the travel patterns and needs of vulnerable communities.
- e. *Goal 4.7: Convert auto-centric transportation corridors into safe, people-centric multimodal streets with rail and BRT. Most travel to, from and within transportation corridors will occur via walking, bicycling and transit.*
 - i. **Keep:** Continue to create and expand *safe and stress-free bicycle and pedestrian networks*.
 - ii. **Change:** Promote street closures, across the county to increase the number of places people can gather, socialize, dine, or enjoy the outdoors.
- f. *Goal 4.8: Create a seamlessly integrated regional transportation system by coordinating transportation planning within the region and between neighboring jurisdictions.*
 - i. **Keep:** Please keep all provisions under goal 4.8 especially continue working with Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments (MWCOC), disadvantaged populations, and the regional DMV transportation authorities.
- g. *Goal 4.9: Increase resilience of the transportation system to withstand future climate conditions and natural or human-made disasters.*
 - i. Goal 4.9: Policy 4.9.2: Action 4.9.2.a:
 - 1. **Keep:** We support the use of trees and green streets infrastructure to mitigate the effects of climate change and help reduce stormwater runoff and reduce urban heat island effects.
 - ii. Goal 4.9: Policy 4.9.2: Action 4.9.2.b:
 - 1. **Keep:** Support the implementation of climate resilient transportation systems in particularly support the action item that develops and prioritizes flood mitigation measures on flood-prone vulnerable facilities.

5. Affordability and Attainability

- a. *Goal 5.1: Provide and produce housing units that meet the diverse household sizes and needs of all Montgomery County residents in terms of type, size, accessibility, affordability and location.*
 - i. **Keep:** Continue to ensure and encourage the production of a broad variety of housing types.
- b. *Goal 5.2: Ensure that the majority of new housing is located near rail and BRT stations, employment centers and within complete communities that provide needed services and amenities for residents.*
 - i. **Change:** Ensure that housing is affordable and attainable near existing and new transit.

- c. *Goal 5.3: Continue to promote the policy of mixed income housing development through the implementation of county policies, programs, regulations and other tools and incentives.*
 - i. *Goal 5.3: Policy 5.3.1: Action 5.3.1.a:*
 - 1. **Keep:** We support the staff's recommendation to implement a lower Moderately Priced Dwelling Unit (MPDU) price point requirement for new residential development to increase economic accessibility and reduce the barriers to homeownership.
- d. *Goal 5.5: Minimize displacement of people, especially among low-income residents, people of color, people with disabilities and older adults.*
 - i. *Goal 5.5: Policy 5.5.1: Action 5.5.1:*
 - 1. **Change:** Ensure that "No net Loss" of affordable housing near the Purple Line corridor includes affordable and attainable, sustainable, mix-use housing. Assure that future transit projects also have a "No net loss" policy and actions set in place.
- e. *Goal 5.6: Expand housing access through elimination of fair housing barriers and enforcement of fair housing laws to protect residents from discrimination.*
 - i. *Goal 5.6: Policy 5.6.1:*
 - 1. **Change:**
 - a. Ensure that community outreach conducted to tenant and home buyers is done in a space and using techniques that are culturally and language relevant to the impacted communities.
 - b. Increase outreach that includes providing resources to organizations or agencies that can help new county residents know and learn the home buying processes.

6. Healthy and Sustainable Environment

- a. *Goal 6.1: Use a compact form of development to create and support a variety of urban, suburban and rural places that benefit human health through active lifestyles, reduction in carbon footprint, mitigation of climate change and protection of natural resources.*
 - i. **Keep:** Please keep all provisions under goal 6.1 which seeks to implement green urban development and healthy lifestyles for people and the environment.
- b. *Goal 6.2: Mitigate, reduce and adapt to climate change through land use and infrastructure that is more resilient to climate change and moves the county to a climate positive future.*
 - i. *Goal 6.2: Policy 6.2.2:*
 - 1. **Keep:** We appreciate the planning staff's recommendation to work with and coordinate with the ongoing parallel Climate Action Plan.⁴ ANS staff, members, and Board members served on the advisory committees for the Climate Action Plan and we are very supportive of its implementation.
 - 2. **Change:** Please incorporate an action item which helps impacted communities, low income, and marginalized communities to have access

⁴ Montgomery County Climate Action Plan. Available from:
<https://www.montgomerycountymd.gov/green/climate/climate-action-planning.html>

to greenhouse gas reduction incentives in their homes, schools and workplaces.

ii. Goal 6.2: Policy 6.2.3:

1. **Change:**

- a. We would like to see an action item to upgrade the county's water supply and distribution systems as well as making these systems climate resilient.
- b. We ask a commitment to clean streams and that the county's municipal separate stormwater, sewer, system (MS4) permits serve as a baseline, but that responding to clean water needs go above state requirements.
- c. Include more watershed protection action items. Specifically, highlight the need to protect Little Seneca reservoir and watershed as Montgomery and DC area residents' emergency backup drinking water source.
- d. Building on recent comments to the Planning Board by the Stormwater Partners Network of Montgomery County, revise the definition of ephemeral streams in the Guidelines for the Environmental Management of Development in Montgomery County, Maryland⁵ by extending the definition beyond just the Ten Mile Creek Watershed.⁶
- e. Revise the waivers provided to developers and fee in lieu requirements and price points to ensure conservation and protection of waterways and land.

iii. Goal 6.2: Policy 6.2.5: Actions 6.2.5.a and Action 6.2.5.b:

1. **Change:** We recommend that a cost benefit analysis is done as part of Actions 6.2.5.a and Action 6.2.5.b for correct solar siting in the county and that renewable energy sources are prioritized on existing infrastructure (on building and parking lots) before considering or creating conflict between solar siting and greenfields or agricultural lands.

iv. Goal 6.2: Policy 6.2.6:

1. **Change:** Create an action item to ensure that developers are not granted waivers for retrofits, if these do not follow existing environmental protection provisions enacted to protect waterways from stormwater or trees to reduce urban heat island effects.

v. Goal 6.2: Policy 6.2.8:

1. **Change:**

⁵ Guidelines for the Environmental Management of Development in Montgomery County (2000) Available from: <https://montgomeryplanning.org/planning/environment/environmental-guidelines-reports/environmental-guidelines/>

⁶Stormwater Partners Network Letter on Ephemeral Streams in Montgomery County's Forest Conservation Amendments. (July 2020). Available from : <http://cleanstreams.anshome.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/2020-06-FCL-SWPN-letter.pdf>

- a. Include the definition of environmental justice and more explicitly state environmental justice in this policy item. The EPA defines Environmental Justice as “The fair treatment and meaningful involvement of all people regardless of race, color, national origin, or income, with respect to the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies. This goal will be achieved when everyone enjoys a) the same degree of protection from environmental and health hazards, and b) equal access to the decision-making process to have a healthy environment in which to live, learn, and work.”⁷
 - b. We recommend that the Planning Board and staff in addition to finding Environmental barriers, include and prioritize impacted communities in all planning decision-making processes.
 - c. Create a connection to Draft Plan’s Chapter 2 and Goal 2.5 which also references racial and social justice.
 - d. Create a bridge with the County Executive’s Climate Action Plan also assessing the climate plan under the equity and justice lenses.
- c. *Goal 6.3: Improve health and well-being for all Montgomery County residents and address the health disparities that currently exist.*
 - i. **Change:** We suggest the following goal re-wording, in italics and underline, as follows: “Improve health and well-being for all Montgomery County residents and address the health disparities *and environmental inequities* that currently exist.”
 - ii. *Goal 6.3: Policy 6.3.3:*
 - 1. **Change:**
 - a. Include as part of Policy and Actions for section 6.3.3 the elimination of highway expansions to ensure clean air for all county residents.
 - b. Include in section 6.3.3c that owners, developers, and managers of buildings ban indoor smoking in commercial and multi-family residential housing units and require air ventilation systems that filter out particulate matter smaller than 10 micrometers.
 - iii. *Goal 6.3: Policy 6.3.4:*
 - 1. **Keep:** Please keep Policy 6.3.4 provisions which seek to implement, adapt, consult, and match health effects to climate change in with a special focus to vulnerable and impacted communities.
 - iv. *Goal 6.3: Policy 6.3.5:*
 - 1. **Keep:** Please keep all of Policy 6.3.4 provisions as it seeks to encourage healthy lifestyles, physical fitness, and foster human to human and human to nature connections.
 - v. *Goal 6.3: Policy 6.3.7: Action 6.3.7:*

⁷ EPA (2020) Environmental justice definition. Available from: <https://www.epa.gov/environmentaljustice>

1. **Change:** We recommend that as part of Action 6.3.7.a that the Planning Department works in coordination with the Department of Permitting Services specially under assessing and revising both residential and commercial building code standards.
 2. **Keep:** For Action 6.3.7.b please continue to look into how to implement *Dark Skies* initiative to reduce the number of bird collisions to buildings at night, especially during fall and spring migration periods.
- d. *Goal 6.4: Provide all residents with safe, convenient access to affordable, healthy foods.*
- i. *Goal 6.4: Policy 6.4.1:*
 1. **Keep:** Maintain a priority to increase the accessibility of healthy foods and reduction of unhealthy foods, particularly in communities with chronic illnesses.
 2. **Change:**
 - a. Decrease food deserts across the county, especially in east county, by increasing the access to fresh food produce from the Agricultural Reserve.
 - b. Create more farmers markets, increase food distribution sites, and create more pathways to distribute produce from the Agricultural Reserve to all county residents equally.
- e. *Goal 6.5: Preserve, restore, enhance, expand and sustainably manage natural and other green areas to support human, animal and plant life.*
Provide appropriate and accessible outdoor recreation opportunities for all.
- i. **Keep:** Minimize imperviousness by limiting and removing existing imperviousness. For example, keep and expand the impervious surface buffers around Ten Mile Creek Watershed.
 - ii. **Change:**
 1. Add in another policy and action line item which seeks to create an inventory of the biodiversity of the ecosystems and to learn, via existing or new research, the impact of climate change on the biodiversity of our county.
 2. Partner up with local education institutions, other local government agencies, and nonprofit organizations that already conduct research and citizen/community science projects to study, monitor, and analyze these long-term effects and relationships between climate change, the environment, and biodiversity.
 3. Create maps and materials easily accessible and attainable to anyone interested in learning more or participating in this countywide data collection and analysis.
 - iii. *Goal 6.5: Policy 6.5.2: Action 6.5.2.c:*
 1. **Change:**

- a. We propose that the existing Forest Conservation Law should have a net zero forest loss policy action item.⁸
- b. Increase the fee-in-lieu set in the Forest Conservation Law to increase the Reforest Montgomery Program and direct more funds toward forest protection and restoration projects.

7. Diverse and Adaptable Growth

- a. *Goal 7.1: Focus growth on infill development and redevelopment concentrated around rail and BRT (AND) Goal 7.2: Transform land uses surrounding rail and BRT corridors to accommodate future population growth and varied lifestyle preferences in attractive, walkable and mixed-use communities.*
 - i. **Keep:** Continue to keep a focus on sustainable and transit-oriented development by prioritizing development near transit, rail or BRT, and create or enhance green spaces or parks near transit.
- b. *Goal 7.3: Manage future growth and development as a mature, built-out county by maximizing use of constrained land and identifying innovative solutions to deliver public facilities and infrastructure*
 - i. *Goal 7.3: Policy 7.3.4:*
 - 1. **Change:** Ensure that if Public-Private Partnerships (P3s) are used for any project in the county, that they are used to follow the Goal 7.3 but are not used to expand highways, not used in any project that could pose a risk or degrade the quality of our local environment, raise greenhouse gas emissions, or increase stormwater runoff.
- c. *Goal 7.4: Strengthen Montgomery County's agricultural character to ensure the prosperity of the Agricultural Reserve into the future. Balance advancements in industry practices to enhance the Agricultural Reserve. Sustain farmland, rural open space and rural environmental resources to support the well-being of the entire county.*
 - i. **Keep:** Maintain Goal 7.4 provisions to ensure equitable access, while creating a resilient and adaptable Agricultural reserve.

8. Design, Arts and Culture

- a. *Goal 8.2: Create and preserve great places with attractive streets and public spaces, inspired urban design and high-quality architecture that delivers lasting beauty.*
 - i. **Keep:** We support the provisions of this goal to maintain a balance between affordability, sustainability, design, and creativity across all communities.
 - ii. *Goal 8.2: Policy 8.2.6:*
 - 1. **Keep:** Promote healthy lifestyles among county residents via walking and bicycling, adopting the Vision zero – no pedestrian fatalities approach, and the 8-80 principle – all buildings and public spaces are safe for anyone 8 to 80 years old.

⁸ ANS submits testimony on Montgomery County Forest Conservation Regulation Amendments (June 2020). Available from: http://conservationblog.anshome.org/blog/moco_forest_conservation/

- iii. Goal 8.2: Policy 8.2.6: Action 8.2.6.d:
 - 1. **Keep:** Promote street closures across the county to increase the number of places people can gather, socialize, dine, or enjoy the outdoors.
- b. Goal 8.3: Use design as a tool to avoid and mitigate the negative effects of climate change.
 - i. **Keep:** Maintain the approach of maximizing the environmental benefits of transit-oriented development around transit hubs and centers.
 - ii. Goal 8.3: Policy 8.3.1:
 - 1. **Change:** Apply the same policy of ensuring that upper county residents also have reliable, affordable, frequent, and efficient bus, and BRT services that also do not need parking requirements within one half mile.
 - iii. Goal 8.3: Policy 8.3:
 - 1. **Change:** Ensure that buildings are not only net zero but that they are net positive, biophilic structures, and that there is interagency coordination.
- c. Goal 8.5: Montgomery County is nationally recognized as a home to people of diverse cultures and a leader in new ideas and emerging trends in arts and entertainment.
 - i. **Keep:** Find new opportunities and showcase the wonderful diversity of people who now and in the future will make Montgomery County their home.

ANS is excited to continue working with Planning Board and Staff on the revision of the new General Master Plan. We applaud and have been supportive of planning staff's arduous work to conduct virtual outreach events, even during the pandemic. However, ANS has seen during these past few months that more time would be needed to obtain more comments that reflect and represent the county's diverse communities. In our Thrive comments from April, when the pandemic was just starting, we stated that it is important to continue the Thrive 2050 General Plan public outreach even during the critical public health crisis of COVID-19, while recognizing and making accommodations for the changed circumstances and recognizing that continued decision-making that requires public participation should likely be decided on a case-by-case basis.

We now think that it would be wise to make use of such a case-by-case accommodation. From our community members, leaders, organizers, and ANS staff working on conducting Thrive outreach, it has come clear to all that extending the Thrive commenting period would be greatly appreciated among many groups. With more time and a switch in outreach strategies, COVID-19 impacted communities can have more time to become involved. Furthermore, there is still a need to present Thrive materials, like quick factsheets, in multiple languages and use different outreach formats to reach more communities across the county. We think this is a much-needed step if we want to assure that more of the county's voices are heard in a plan that will impact everything in our day to day living for the next 30 years.

On behalf of ANS and our 28,000 members and supporters, we thank Montgomery Planning for considering our comments and suggestions.

Sincerely,
 Denisse Guitarra
 Maryland Conservation Advocate
 Audubon Naturalist Society

August 12th, 2020

Dear Montgomery Planning Board,

The coalition of organizations and individuals undersigned request additional outreach activities for the upcoming release of the working draft plan of Thrive Montgomery 2050. Many of our organizations have worked with the planning staff and have seen their diligent and innovative ways of conducting outreach during the COVID-19 pandemic. However, community leaders and organizations working with highly impacted communities see an interest and a need to take additional action in order to fully engage all communities. The communities of color that have been the most affected by COVID-19 in our county are the same communities where more Thrive 2050 outreach is needed, and comments are missing.

It is our understanding that the Montgomery Planning Department intends to release the working draft plan of Thrive Montgomery 2050 on September 24th, hold a Planning Board review meeting on October 1st, hold a public hearing on November 19th, and maybe allow the public to submit comments until two weeks after the public hearing.¹ We propose the following extensions, recommendations, and additional outreach strategies.

- A working draft in both English and Spanish least 30 days before the November public hearing.
- We ask for a second public hearing that is scheduled 30 to 45 days after the working draft plan has been released in Spanish.
- Create more live multi-bilingual / bilingual outreach opportunities to have bilingual discussions between community members and planners on all sections of the working draft plan.
- Conduct in-person outreach that is safe, outdoors, and physically distant, such as at food distribution centers/ hubs and at parks across the county.
- Create and simultaneously release one-page multilingual informational fact sheets that highlight key sections and points of the working draft plan. Additionally work closely with community organizers to disseminate information and conduct community outreach on the working draft plan.

We appreciate and thank the Planning Board for the opportunity to provide feedback on the working draft plan. We look forward to continuing to be partners in the Thrive 2050 conversation and find new and innovative ways to conduct outreach.

¹ Thrive 2050 Public Comment Timeline. (Last Updated on August 4th, 2020). Available from: <https://montgomeryplanning.org/planning/master-plan-list/general-plans/thrive-montgomery-2050/>

Sincerely,

Denisse Guitarra
Maryland Conservation Advocate
Audubon Naturalist Society

Vanesa Pinto
Empowerment and Leadership Development Program Coordinator
Community Health and Empowerment through Education and Research
(CHEER)

Jane Lyons
Maryland Advocacy Manager
Coalition for Smarter Growth

Abel Olivo
Director, Outreach & Partnerships
Corazón Latino

Kit Gage
Advocacy Director
Friends of Sligo Creek

Lanita Whitehurst
Senior Organizer
IMPACT Silver Spring

Luis Villa
Executive Director
Latino Outdoors

Caroline Taylor
Executive Director
Montgomery countryside alliance

Lisa Büttner
Takoma Park, MD

CC: Montgomery County Council; Montgomery County Executive; Montgomery Planning Director



September 15, 2020

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL
Montgomery County Council

RE: Delay Thrive Montgomery 2050 at least one year

Dear Councilmembers:

On behalf of Chevy Chase Village Board of Managers, we urge you to delay consideration of Thrive Montgomery 2050 at both the Montgomery Planning Board and County Council levels for at least one year, pursuant to the concerns outlined below.

Residents of the county and surrounding region are facing unprecedented economic and personal hardships resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic, the long-term impacts from which are yet unknown. The draft Thrive Montgomery 2050 plan contains 46 goals, 154 policies, and 218 actions in areas that go far beyond the land use and natural resources addressed in previous general plans. This vital plan demands informed, transparent, and diverse public conversation, however, the implementation sections has not yet been publicized and the currently outlined schedule for public review and comment are insufficient. Given this document's importance to the county's framework for the next three decades, it is critical that county residents be provided more time to digest the proposed plan and provide informed and meaningful feedback.

The current draft relies on pre-pandemic data and assumptions, yet recent data suggest we may be facing permanent changes in how we live, spend, work, commute, study, and play:

- Metro weekday ridership overall has declined nearly 95% since March.
- Multiple businesses in the County have closed permanently and others have laid off thousands of employees.
- Weakness in high-cost rentals is not translating into lower rents, as indicated by the conversion of luxury rentals into more profitable short-term rentals.
- Many companies and employees have discovered that telecommuting works and saves time and money – cutting into the commercial real estate market and altering the residential market in favor of homes with work space and outdoor recreation space on site or nearby.
- Government funding for services and pressing capital needs will be severely circumscribed by both diminished revenues and meeting immediate needs precipitated by the pandemic.

CHEVY CHASE VILLAGE

5906 Connecticut Avenue
Chevy Chase, Maryland 20815

Phone (301) 654-7300

Fax (301) 907-9721

ccv@montgomerycountymd.gov
www.chevychasevillagemd.gov

BOARD OF MANAGERS

ELISSA A. LEONARD
Chair

ROBERT C. GOODWIN, JR.
Vice Chair

DAVID L. WINSTEAD
Secretary

RICHARD M. RUDA
Assistant Secretary

GARY CROCKETT
Treasurer

NANCY E. WATTERS
Assistant Treasurer

LINDA J. WILLARD
Board Member

VILLAGE MANAGER
SHANA R. DAVIS-COOK

LEGAL COUNSEL
SUELLEN M. FERGUSON

It is impossible to know which changes will be lasting, but their potential to affect housing choices, education, commuting patterns, brick and mortar retail, recreation, and transportation demands and solutions, among many things, is indisputable.

While the plan acknowledges the likelihood of increased telecommuting, the plan does not adequately address the effects that will have on where and how people will continue their lives once the pandemic fades. We will learn much more about that in the next 12-18 months, coupled with the results of the current census cycle, and a 30-year vision that does not reflect those changing realities will not serve the residents and business of Montgomery County well.

For the foreseeable future, people are focused on staying healthy, keeping their jobs, feeding and schooling their children, and paying for housing. Presently, many county residents simply don't have the bandwidth to focus on such a complex document. This is not a geographically circumscribed master or sector plan—it covers the entire county. Having adequate time and opportunity to comment once there is a full draft while dealing with more complex daily life is, for many residents, an equity issue.

In recognition of these factors, we urge you to delay consideration of the Thrive Montgomery 2050 plan. Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Sincerely,



Elissa A. Leonard
Chair, Chevy Chase Village Board of Managers

cc: Montgomery Planning Board, c/o Mr. Casey Anderson, Board Chair
Mr. Marc Elrich, Montgomery County Executive
Chevy Chase Village Board of Managers



September 1, 2020

Subject: Delay Thrive Montgomery 2050 at least one year due to Covid-19

Dear Council President Katz, Vice President Hucker, Councilmember Alborno, Councilmember Friedson, Councilmember Glass, Councilmember Jawando, Councilmember Navarro, Councilmember Rice, and Councilmember Riemer:

We are writing on behalf of the Chevy Chase West community to request that you direct the Planning Board to delay consideration of Thrive Montgomery 2050 for at least one year. We make this request in light of the unprecedented economic and personal hardships experienced by the County and its residents as a result of the Covid-19 pandemic, and the unknown transformative impact beyond immediate pressing needs. In addition, the draft plan contains 46 goals, 154 policies, and 218 actions in areas that go far beyond the land use and natural resources addressed in previous general plans. These initiatives need informed, transparent, and diverse public debate, as the implementation section has not yet been made public.

The current draft relies on pre-pandemic data and assumptions, yet recent data suggest we may be facing permanent changes in how we live, spend, work, commute, study, and play:



- Metro weekday ridership overall has declined nearly 95% since March.
- Multiple businesses in the County have closed permanently and others have laid off thousands of employees.
- Weakness in high-cost rentals is not translating into lower rents, as indicated by the conversion of luxury rentals into more profitable short-term rentals.
- Many companies and employees have discovered that telecommuting works and saves time and money – cutting into the commercial real estate market and altering the residential market in favor of homes with work space and outdoor recreation space on site or nearby.
- Government funding for services and pressing capital needs will be severely circumscribed by both diminished revenues and meeting immediate needs precipitated by the pandemic.

It is impossible to know which changes will be long lasting, but their potential to affect housing choices, education, commuting patterns, brick and mortar retail, recreation, and transportation demands and solutions, among many things, is indisputable. For the foreseeable future, people

are focused on staying healthy, keeping their jobs, feeding and schooling their children, and paying for housing. While the plan acknowledges the likelihood of increased telecommuting, it seems to miss the effects that shift will have once the pandemic fades. We will learn much more about the changes Covid-19 will bring during the next 12-18 months, and a 30-year vision divorced from the reality of potentially modified human behavior will not serve the county well.

Thank you for your attention, and we hope you agree with our position.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Shelley Yeutter". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large, stylized "S" and "Y".A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Joan Barron". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large, stylized "J" and "B".

Shelley Yeutter and Joan Barron
Co-Presidents, Chevy Chase West Neighborhood Association

DCA CSDG TESTIMONY 200723

Hello everyone. For the record my name is Scott Plumer. I am representing Vision Zero Darnestown, a project of the Darnestown Civic Association's Roads Task Force (DCA RTF). We are dedicated to eliminate vehicle involved death and severe injury while increasing safe, healthy, equitable mobility for all.

We wish to thank Chair Anderson, Vice Chair Fani-Gonzalez, and the rest of the board for allowing us to testify today. We also wish to thank Director Wright and the entire Montgomery Planning staff for their exceptional work which we enjoy every day as we live our lives in Montgomery County. Additionally, we would like to thank Montgomery County Department of Transportation Director Conklin and staff for their dedication in helping create a direct joint collaborative work product produced by Montgomery Planning and the Executive Branch. Bringing synchronized, consensus built solutions before the County Council will result in a better future. We are hopeful District 3 of the Maryland Department of Transportation's State Highway Administration will fully participate and support these efforts.

Longer term we hope to see all government entities, including MCPS doing more direct joint collaborative work products building on the current more distant method of coordination, inter-agency technical task forces, boards, committees, and commissions.

The challenge we face as a complete community is to corral disparate government entities, overcome their differing definitions of our place, and advocate for our community based cohesive vision. We still expect timely implementation and operational excellence even while clearly faced with a myriad of planning cycles and competition for scarce resources.

Overall Impressions

We understand the Complete Streets Design Guide (CSDG) is about the roads we want not the roads we have. Even so, as planners we know when a definition of a desired state is accepted and compared to the current state there is an implicit statement about what is needed now. Certain variances call for near term corrective action, especially in a Vision Zero environment. One is not zero. Perhaps, an apropos leadership quote is “the difference between vision and hallucination is execution”. Near term corrective actions pave the way for long term excellence. Deference to inaction on picking up the metaphorical banana peels littering our roads needs to end.

We believe the built environment can cause people to choose safer behaviors. We also believe continuous messaging and education is required to reinforce safer behaviors. Egregious behaviors must be met with powerful corrective measures.

Safer roads need to be a higher priority than throughput. Engineering and public policy measures related to capacity must be tempered with risk assessment.

Our focus is on Country Connectors, Country Roads and neighborhood street types. A vast majority of roads in our community do not have shoulders, curbs, or sidewalks.

We are honored to have three of our streets pictured in the Guide.

There is an addendum in our written testimony providing a bit of background on the DCA RTF.

We offer the following specific changes and observations to the current draft CSDG.

1. Country Roads and Country Connectors

It seems very few if any current Country Connectors are four lanes, yet the illustration shows four lanes with a wide right-of-way. A massive buildout is not feasible nor widely desired and we suggest a modified illustration with two lanes and Bikeable Shoulders be shown along with a modified discussion. Two lanes is a more achievable design.

Streetlights are an out of place element shown in the illustration. Per the specification on page 36 for Country Roads, streetlights would only be at intersections and pedestrian crossings. Country Connectors would likely have even less lighting. The illustration should drop the Street Lights.

We are confident in suggesting Seneca Road (112) should take Esworthy Road's place as a Country Road in the CSDG. Seneca Road is too tight with limited sight lines, too short at three miles, and loaded with approximately 45 driveways, a day camp, and is a recreational bicycle route with no shoulders making it unsuitable as a good example of a Country Connector but an excellent example of a Country Road. A lane width reduction, slower speed limit, and Bikeable Shoulders may make it safer, yet it would still be best considered as a County Road.

We think of Esworthy Road as a Neighborhood Street. The intersection of Seneca Road (112) and Esworthy at the state context driven level is currently a demarcation point between Suburban Zone D and Rural. We plan to advocate for some fine tuning of those boundaries as we learn more.

River Road seems like a wonderful Country Connector illustrative example and demonstration project. River Road has the right of way and heavy recreational bicycle traffic. Beyond the Seneca Road (112) junction River Road has enormous potential as it is a county road, goes through a wildlife management area and a historic district, has Poole's Store as a home base, and has Riley's Lock / Seneca Landing Park.

The changes we suggest above are consistent with the Bicycle Master Plan.

All three Country Connector examples in the CSDG are state roads and points to the importance of close collaboration with all levels of MDOT to continuously improve our roads.

“Figure 3-3. Priorities in constrained rights of way” for Country Roads and Country Connectors does not reflect our experience or understanding of the risk profile of these road types. We suggest revisiting the grid.

2. Speed Gradients and Design Changes along a single road

Numerous roads throughout the county see their character and associated Street Type change as the road traverses radically different land uses and other contextual changes. The criteria for a Street Type change is not yet detailed enough and the speed gradient changes are left open to broad interpretation.

Figure 2.1 shows how Georgia Avenue undergoes multiple street type changes along its path.

The examples at the end of Chapter 9 discuss the challenges of a road whose street type changes along the road’s route. We would like to see much more specificity around criteria for stepping down speeds including contextual changes such as bicycle usage, driveways, institutions, capacity for delivery vehicle stops, transit stops, and susceptibility to corridor overflow. These criteria have broad applicability and are more informed than a primary dependency on density and land use changes. These contextual criteria can apply for all situations requiring speed gradients and other calming measures. A few grids around Street Type transition criteria and priorities would be useful.

There is mention of keeping the federal classification system of Arterials, Collectors, and Local Streets as an overlay. A grid showing how the proposed street types map into the federal overlay would be useful. There is mention of arterials throughout the CSDG but they are not defined. We find it alarming to have arterials mentioned as a corridor. Perhaps a new street type or overlay of Corridor is emerging. Corridors without containment create bloat and overflow.

Section 9.4 talks about Retrofit but only in the context of arterials. We believe retrofitting requires greatly expanded coverage in the CSDG. Much of the work to achieve the proposed designs will be retrofit work.

Section 9.4 also touches on one of our highest priority items: corridor overflow. The problem for us is people bail out from lower throughput roads and overrun roads designed for lower capacities and single mode use. Lower speeds and flow control devices like roundabouts are some of the very few defenses we have against increased risk due to overflow volumes.

3. Roundabouts

Roundabouts can offer lifecycle cost efficiencies particularly if accident reduction is considered. They are an effective speed management measure and we believe they should be included in “Figure 9-3: Appropriate speed management measures by street type”. We believe roundabouts have broad applicability on Country Roads and Country Connectors.

4. Maintenance

Once we realize implemented designs we wonder whether maintenance upkeep will be programmed and verified or will require “pulling teeth” just to do simple “blocking and tackling” like upkeep of lane markings. We suggest street design is complete when it includes a build specification, an implementation path to materialize the design, and a maintenance regime to keep it complete. We believe maintenance deserves to have its own section in the Implementation chapter and be more than a few paragraphs in section “10.3 Project Development Process”.

Maintenance specifications need to address countywide monitoring, reporting, and all upkeep aspects in a greatly improved version of the already exceptional Traffic Engineering & Operations streetlight and traffic signal issues reporting systems. Maintenance issues reporting and resolution systems need to add capability for handling Traffic Signs and Markings. A maintenance item grid by

street type designed to prioritize the never-ending maintenance needs would be useful for setting, sharing and meeting expectations.

5. Rustic Roads

Given there is a Rustic Roads Master Plan update in process it is vital to have direct collaborative work between the Rustic Roads team and the CSDG team. The two teams need to work together to exchange and synchronize their evolving designs and descriptions.

Rustic Roads often initiate and / or terminate on Country Connectors and Country Roads. In some cases the speeds are currently one hundred percent apart. These intersections have special design guideline considerations requiring input from both teams.

We have around eleven rustic roads in Darnestown and they are precious. A member of the DCA RTF is a former Chair and Engineer of the RRAC.

We are challenged with safe bicycle usage on rustic roads and CSDG precepts need to be applied to rustic roads by the rustic roads team in consultation with the CSDG team. Pedestrians, bicycles, and vehicles all share the rustic roads in our community. The special character of rustic roads requires an interpretive implementation of behavioral cues for users.

We have recreational access issues along our rustic roads. We have dangerous and quite often illegal shoulder parking, overcrowding, and other issues related to overcapacity usage. Our watershed features and extensive trail network need more well controlled access and our rustic roads need to be safe for all users, with deference to resident's right to quite enjoyment.

6. Corridor Failure

Design aspects of corridor overflow prevention are recognized but not dealt with in the CSDG. Mitigation during incidents is not a primary design performance metric covered in any of the P3 or managed lanes engineering documents we have reviewed nor are they top of mind for the engineers we have met. The capability in road design and implementation to minimize the effects of an incident without inducing overflow is not properly incented.

Causing volumes to frequently inundate primary and secondary roads providing service to neighborhoods is not good design. Unintended road use obviates design, greatly reduces safer behavior and propagates incidents.

7. Speedy is Greedy

20 is plenty for neighborhoods

35 to survive and thrive everywhere else (except highways)

Thank you for your time. We are committed to work with you to continuously improve our roads.

Addendum

The DCA RTF spent two hours discussing the CSDG with Stephen and Andrew and are excited to be a small part of a herculean effort.

We have inventoried approximately 118 streets and divided them into 28 neighborhoods. We have approximately 11 rustic roads. Three of our roads are highlighted in the CSDG.

We have four state roads within Darnestown: MD-190, MD-112, MD-28, and MD-118. Three of those state roads terminate in Darnestown, each terminating at an intersection with another state road. Our village is at the termination of MD-112 on MD-28. Just outside of Darnestown on the eastern and western edges, four additional state roads, MD-107 and MD-117 to the west, and MD-124 and MD-119 to the east, all terminate on MD-28.

We are only sixteen square miles with approximately 6500 people. Within our community, we have two major watersheds and their confluence with the Potomac River, an adjoining very large historic district, local parks, a state park and a national park, and we border the Ag Reserve. The DCA is currently working to expand our community place description and look forward to sharing what we think is an exceptional collection of attributes.

Our intent is to “map” our current issues inventory for every street in our community to the new standards and begin to prioritize and advocate for changes including making Vision Zero changes. We also intend to help each neighborhood specifically detail what each street looks like in a fully implemented future.

Parking is an example of the many road issues we are cataloging in our small community. Recreational access issues and occasional heavy shoulder parking exists on our rustic roads and on 118 at Seneca Creek, 28 at Seneca Creek and on 28 at our local Park when sporting events are taking place. Proper protections for shoulder parking are not in place at any of these locations.

Sections

Overall

Precepts

Transportation

Darnestown – Our Place

Overall

Improving the Strength of the General Plan (GP)

Develop consistent cohesive threads in the language. Walk through the document as a whole, taking each of 400+ specific statements (outcomes, issues, goals, policies, and actions) and look to be sure there is a consistent set of threads. Then do the same for the supporting discussion and commentary. Cohesive threads should repeat and refine the Outcomes, Goals, and Design Precepts. Each Goal should be scored on its contribution to each of the three Outcomes. Each Goal should address one or more Issues. A chart with Goals as rows and Issues as columns might work. The chart would need to be in three parts, ten columns per set. In addition to strengthening the fabric of the GP these scoring and cohesion exercises might uncover imbalances.

Review word choices. For example, on Page 13 “Specifically, Montgomery County in 2050 is made up of a web of Complete Communities connected by vibrant corridors.” Not sure vibrant is a good word choice, it is pleasing but perhaps out of place and misused. We suggest “efficient, low cost, safe, environmentally sound corridors”. The current slide on themes picks up on the idea.

Are we really still separating corridors from communities? Are we not saying corridor cities / communities and the corridors are one and the same? Are complete corridors a thing?

Do we end up with a complete County or a collection of complete places? If the collection of very nice things we envision in the plan result in a hodgepodge quilted mosaic, we may well have missed the mark. The transitions between density gradients, glaring corridor deficiencies, pockets of neglect, improving the school's role in the community, and the elevation of the east are needed for balance and completeness at the county level.

Drop the second word in the outcomes. Go with: Healthy, Equitable, Resilient. A Healthy, Equitable, Resilient county. A place where Healthy, Equitable, Resilient behaviors are habitual and facilitated by the built environment.

Develop Expressive Graphics. Use pictures and graphics to convey Outcomes and Precepts. Provide clear understanding of plan intent without slogging through pages of dense non-plain English text. (The Securities and Exchange Commission provides a guide to the use of plain English.)


Make the concepts clear enough so people of all ages and backgrounds can comprehend the gist of the plan. Using pleasing artistic expressions should be supplemental not primary. The graphics should clearly convey the Outcomes and Precepts and match the threads in the narrative. The General Plan may have greater appeal if it can be expressed visually.

Outcome related graphics we cobbled together from browsing around the internet follow. They are cut and pasted parts and may have use restrictions. They are presented as examples of things we think might work well.

Equitable



Resilient



Healthy



The words in Healthy could be replaced with pictures and the word choices in Resilience could be changed.

Risks to the General Plan

Lack of bold public policy ideas reduces the GP's role as a guide for change. Ideas such as no-cost pervasive frequent transit and mobility, a super dense mega city, pre-K through 16 education, using school facilities for community activities as much as they are used for educational uses are some suggestions. Much of the advantage of education is lost without a supportive out of school environment. Schools serve us best when they do not stand alone. The decades long unconscionable achievement gap needs to end and the GP's role in helping communities rise is vital. These kinds of public policy ideas help inform and navigate the inherent tensions of progress.

The GP lacks a detailed analysis and historical perspective on coordination, contrasts, competition, and adversity stemming from the plans of our neighboring counties.

Solving for the past and longing to undo past missteps is not a plan nor does it adequately account for poor implementation. The current state must be addressed or the plan remains an unachievable island of ideas not an implementable vision. The difference between vision and hallucination is execution. A sample discussion of where we may be falling prey to these pitfalls and solution set bias is in the transportation section.

Given the projected less than one percent compound annual population growth rate it is hard to see where any momentum, funding, or other necessary preconditions for changes will exist. The same underfunding that turned wedges and corridors (with webs) into a series of alternating inverted wedges and a single failed corridor seem destined to continue.

By concentrating the meager growth in higher density areas there may be opportunity to realize some improvements in very small slices of the county. The rest of the county is destined to be overrun by the mass momentum of errors of the past. The more fortunate areas may be largely protected. Concentrating growth in the corridors does raise the risk of continuing the current pattern of a deficient bloated corridor development and increased corridor overflow.

Precepts

Design precepts are buried throughout the documents and especially in Chapter 8 of the Vision, Goals, Policies and Actions document. Precepts should be highlighted on a page or two. We think the precepts rank right up there with Outcomes as they are the principles in action that get you to the outcomes. Precepts are what lives between vision, outcomes, goals and actions. Enumerate the precepts along with Outcomes, Issues, Goals, Policies, and Actions. Precepts are applicable statements of vision.

Precepts found:

- Infill
- Completeness
- Focused Compaction
- Separation of People Spaces and Transportation Infrastructure
- Green Corridors
- Active Lifestyles
- Fifteen Minute Living

We think there are many more and they should be surfaced.

Precepts missing or needing more emphasis:

- Caring
- Collaboration
- Communicating
- Measuring / Instrumentation / Monitoring / Reporting
- Repair deficient implementation of previous plans

- Regionalism
- Crime Prevention

A discussion of the opposing yet complimentary nature of selected precepts would help inform decisions about proper balance. It is about coexistence and balance not tradeoffs.

Some examples of complimentary opposing precepts:

Equity versus Diversity

Cultural Communities versus Diversity

Fifteen Minute Living versus Slow Living

Locally Complete Communities versus Economies of Scale

Security versus Convenience

TRANSPORTATION

Places like Pike and Rose are nice but still maintain a heavy mixing of people and cars where large amounts of cars are traversing on the same plane as people with fast wheeled transportation and people all competing for the same ground. We should promote multiplanar places where the buildings are on “stilts” with main entrances and walk spaces on a mezzanine level and transportation access is at ground level or put the transportation layer underground.

Current draft GP language around cars may look misguided thirty years from now. Cars have been and continue to be polluters and killers, yet it seems likely in thirty years cars will be far less damaging. Not planning for cars in high density zones is fine, but broad generalized myopic statements are a disservice to the robustness of a plan. Especially true in our county where the density gradient is as granular and wide as it is anywhere else. Given we are not greenfield, have limited funds, and have many car centric places and car centric people **we should not stop planning for cars.**

Transportation technology and the essence of work are rapidly developing in transformative ways. Spending billions on multi decade mobility solutions is by

definition skating to where the puck has been. A concerted management approach to the pipeline of Science, Technology, and Public Policy around transportation would result in greater public benefit at lower cost.

Communities should not have to face the state alone. MCDOT should facilitate the interaction between communities and the state. Just because the state is “in charge” of certain roads does not mean MCDOT cannot provide direct meaningful oversight and overall management. MCDOT should consider using its request systems to accept and track work items related to state roads and provide liaison to citizens. The same principles apply to community’s advocating for a convergence of standards of design, construction, and maintenance of state and county roads.

The Darnestown Civic Association has given detailed testimony on the Growth Policy and CSDG related to our roads. To recap, one of the biggest challenges we have in Darnestown today is corridor overflow. The lack of adequate corridor capacity has dramatically increased traffic in our community. We are overrun with people cutting through our community on their long journey to and from places nowhere near us in the county, some originating as far away as Frederick County and beyond. Overflow traffic worsens pre-existing safety issues for vehicle occupants, bicyclists, and pedestrians.

Bike lanes in Travilah and on MD-28 in the 2002 Potomac Subregion Master Plan were never built although there are some bikeable shoulders on MD-28. Seneca Road and the county portion of River Road are in desperate need of bikeable shoulders with slower speed limits and would make an excellent demonstration project.

Darnestown - Our Place

Every place is unique for its natural features, built environment, heritage, and its social, economic, and political activities.

We are actively developing a comprehensive description of Darnestown. We hope it expands to include a complete vision of our future and a map to get there. We look forward to collaborating with Montgomery Planning as we develop our vision and hope to soon share an early draft.

Darnestown's sixteen square miles and its immediate surrounds are densely-packed with a high degree of natural variety, heritage and social proximity.

We don't envision a miniature city as our village. Too many village plans look like urban cores. We think bigger set-backs, lower densities, wider vistas, parks, micro green corridors, nature scaping with native plants, open spaces, less impervious surfaces.

Our idea is to live immersively and sustainably in a natural environment not escape to one nearby. Clustering and compaction defeats the relationship with nature. The land supports the people living on it and the people care for the land and are stewards of the natural environment.

Perhaps these words begin to define what we mean by rural residential.

Devorah Stavisky, 6-23-20

From: Devorah Stavisky <devorah.stavisky@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2020 7:57 PM
To: Thrive2050 <Thrive2050@montgomeryplanning.org>
Subject: Feedback on the Thrive 2050 Plan

Dear Montgomery County Planning Team,

My name is Devorah Stavisky, and I'm a resident of the Blairs in Downtown Silver Spring. I'm writing to share my appreciation for Thrive 2050, especially the focus on the interconnectivity on each of the pieces of Thrive 2050, as well as a major concern of mine regarding the plan.

As a young professional who is unable to drive for medical reasons, I am concerned that the lack of a goal against the expansion of highways will lead not only to a future with higher CO2 emissions, but also to a decreased ability for myself and other carless folks to travel throughout the county.

Montgomery County should not be building new highways in the midst of the current climate crisis. Highways cut through homes and neighborhoods, prioritize cars over community, and encourage more cars on the road which will lead to less happiness due to more traffic and more emissions.

Instead, the money that would have gone to extremely expensive highways should be allocated to expanding alternative transit options, like public transportation, sidewalks, and bike lanes.

I therefore ask that Thrive 2050 include a 'no new highways' goal.

Thank you kindly for your time and for your important work!

With much appreciation,

Devorah Stavisky
She/hers
301 919 2819
1401 Blair Mill Road #1007
Silver Spring, MD
20910

Edgemoor Citizens Association

P.O. Box 30459
Bethesda, MD 20824-0459
www.edgemoorcitizens.org

VIA EMAIL

September 19, 2020

Montgomery County Council
Council Office Building
100 Maryland Avenue
Rockville, MD 20850

Re: Request to Defer Consideration of Thrive Montgomery 2050

Dear Council President Katz and Members of the Montgomery County Council:

I am President of the Edgemoor Citizen's Association (ECA), a civic organization representing residents of the Bethesda neighborhood encompassing Edgemoor, Bradley Village and parts of Bradley Hills. I am writing on behalf of the ECA regarding Thrive Montgomery 2050, the update to the County's General Plan. The current schedule calls for publication of the Working Draft on September 24, a public Planning Board hearing on November 19, transmission to the Council in March 2021, and approval around April 2021.

The ECA respectfully requests that the County Council and the Planning Board defer consideration of Thrive Montgomery 2050 for at least a year. The County and its residents are experiencing unprecedented economic and social disruptions, and many residents are experiencing significant personal stress, as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. This deferral will allow sufficient opportunity for the impact of the pandemic to be carefully and fully considered as the plan goes forward, and give residents, many of whom are now of necessity focused on employment, health, and family concerns, an effective opportunity for review and comment.

The current draft of Thrive Montgomery 2050 contains 46 goals, more than 150 policies, and more than 210 actions, some that go significantly beyond the land use and natural resources addressed in previous General Plans. These goals, policies, and actions need informed, transparent, and diverse public debate. Additionally, although the draft plan is available online, the implementation section has not yet been made public. For such an important and comprehensive plan, which is intended to guide the County for the next 30 years, the present timeline is overly optimistic. In these days of social isolation and limited interpersonal interactions, many residents are not even aware of the plan or its significance. For those who are aware, the pandemic has severely limited their ability to fully participate in this planning project. We recognize that the Planning Department has made efforts to reach out to communities online, but for Thrive Montgomery 2050 online meetings are an inadequate substitute for the back-and-forth discussions that can take place in face-to-face community meetings.

The current Thrive Montgomery 2050 draft relies on pre-pandemic data and assumptions. The data need to be updated and the assumptions need to be reconsidered, which cannot be properly done on the current schedule. As the draft itself states, "While the full impact of this crisis is not yet known, it is certain that it will be a factor in how Montgomery County evolves in the future.

COVID-19 will have lasting impacts on how we interact with each other, how we do business and much more.” Recent data suggest we are facing significant changes in how we live, spend, work, commute, study, and play:

- Metro weekday ridership overall has declined more than 90% since March. Many former riders are working at home, and of those going to their place of employment, the ones who can drive or carpool are doing so. Whether, to what extent, and when Metro (and bus) ridership will return to pre-pandemic levels cannot be predicted with any level of certainty at this time.
- Multiple businesses in the County (and elsewhere in the area) have closed permanently and others have laid off thousands of employees.
- Weakness in high-cost rentals is apparently not translating into lower rents, with implications for housing policies.
- Companies and employees are learning from the pandemic experience that telecommuting can work well and saves time and money. This is likely to cut into the commercial real estate market and to alter the residential market in favor of homes with work space and outdoor recreation space on site or nearby. Fewer working in offices also means fewer services to those offices will be needed.
- Government funding for services and pressing capital needs will be severely circumscribed by both diminished revenues and costs of meeting immediate needs precipitated by the pandemic.

It is impossible to know which changes will be lasting, but their potential to affect housing choices, education, commuting patterns, brick and mortar retail, recreation, and transportation (among other things) must be recognized and taken into account. While the plan acknowledges the likelihood of increased telecommuting, it does not account for the pandemic and the resulting speed of the switch to telecommuting since March. It also does not consider the effect the pandemic will have on where and how people will continue their lives once the pandemic fades. We will learn much more about that in the next 12-18 months, and to serve the County well a 30-year vision must take into account today's changed reality and the likely effects of the pandemic changes on the future.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,



David Barnes
President, Edgemoor Citizens Association

cc: Marc Elrich, County Executive
Casey Anderson, Chair, Planning Board

Hannah Sholder, 9-10-20

From: Hannah Sholder <hannah@ckcfarming.org>

Sent: Thursday, September 10, 2020 5:59 PM

To: McVary, Jessica <Jessica.McVary@montgomeryplanning.org>

Cc: Kate Medina <kate@ckcfarming.org>; Afzal, Khalid <khalid.afzal@montgomeryplanning.org>; McCarthy, Caroline <caroline.mccarthy@montgomeryplanning.org>; Findley, Steve <steve.findley@montgomeryplanning.org>; Schneider, Tina <tina.schneider@montgomeryplanning.org>

Subject: Re: Thrive Montgomery 2050

Hi Jessica et al! :)

I hope you are all doing well, and sincere apologies for our belated reply! July-August is the heavy harvest season on the farm and things have been a bit crazier than usual due to the pandemic. In regards to your query, I reviewed the draft Goals and Policies and Actions document, and have a few additional suggestions (in blue) below- which we hope aren't too late to be considered. You'll see our comments are focused on the "Complete Communities" goal; while "urban ag" could also be incorporated into almost any/every goal, as a land trust our main vision most closely aligns with Thrive's first goal.

COMPLETE COMMUNITIES

Goal 1.1: Retrofit existing communities and create new communities where people can meet their daily needs without a car.

Policy 1.1.4: Encourage co-location of essential services such as schools, medical clinics, daycare centers, libraries, [community gardens and neighborhood farms, as well as](#) recreation centers within communities to make access to public services and amenities more convenient and accessible by walking and bicycling from home.

Goal 1.2: Orient communities around convenient social gathering places accessible to neighborhood residents by walking and biking. **Policy 1.2.1:** Locate and design public buildings, including parks, libraries, recreation centers, [community gardens and neighborhood farms, as well as](#) schools, as places where social gathering is encouraged. Promote walking and biking to gathering places. Assure that the architecture and the site design are civic in nature and promote social gathering.

Goal 1.3: Promote active lifestyles by making parks, [community gardens and neighborhood farms, as well as](#) open spaces a central element of the community.

Policy 1.3.1: Ensure all people in urban and suburban communities have access to parks or public spaces that provide opportunities for vigorous physical activity, social engagement and quiet contemplation or connections to nature within a comfortable 15-minute walk from their homes.

We love these goals and policies and are striving towards them as part of CKC Farming's mission, where we see neighborhood farms as additional "essential community services" and "social gathering places". We'd love to see this reflected in the "policy" text (see our suggestions above). In addition, at the Lunch and Learn a few months ago we brainstormed together various "actions" that could help make this happen:

- amend the [urban ag. tax credit](#) program to make it more accessible (can provide more specifics)
- provide incentives (as part of the zoning code?) to [commercial and multi-family housing](#) developers to "activate" their required open space

- identify public lands that would be appropriate sites for community gardens and/or urban farms (to this end we have talked with Parks staff about their own study of Parks lands, and are working with Chris McGovern on mapping other public sites in addition to private property)
- collaborate with Metro, WSSC and Pepco to get long-term leases for neighborhood farms (the win-win would be saving them money in sites they can't build on but have to maintain esp. with mowing; to this end we've had initial discussions with Pepco about some of their substations sites, but if there was a role for the county to encourage these partnerships this would be helpful).

We understand this is a long, ambitious and specific laundry list of actions, and they may not all belong in Thrive; but hoping that some of the "urban ag" language could be added to the policies if possible. Let us know what you think!

Thanks and best,
Hannah

--

Hannah Sholder

Founder & Director of Land Stewardship

CKC Farming

<https://www.ckcfarming.org/>

Jane Bruner, 6-15-20

Hello,

Thank you for the opportunity to share my vision to keep Montgomery county thriving and to maintain a county where people will still want to call their home in 2050.

Below are some items that I would like to emphasize be maintained or added to the plan:

1. Create a people focused approach to our transportation needs. For the health and sustainability of both people and the environmental we live in, move away from the car focused approach. One example- Fight to halt the proposed expansion of I-270/I-495 and M-93.
2. Preserve our natural resources:
 - A. As neighborhoods grow we must maintain a high standard for the creation/preservation of green space.
 - B. Protect the Agricultural Reserve from home/ building development in order to provide the county with a local food supply and resilience regarding climate change.
 - C. Protect all existing wetlands, streams, and parks.
 - D. Secure rigorous stormwater prevention/treatment standards to protect the county's streams.
3. The plan's affordability and attainability goals should include nearby access to natural green space in conjunction with housing and transportation access.
4. Protect small businesses by pursuing policies that ensure they are able to thrive.

Thank you!

Jane Burner
45 Bryants Nursery Road/ Silver Spring

Maureen Holohan, 9-2-20

From: maureen.h29@gmail.com <maureen.h29@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, September 2, 2020 4:59 PM

To: councilmember.friedson@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.glass@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.jawando@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.navarro@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.rice@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov;
county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.katz@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.hucker@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.albornoz@montgomerycountymd.gov

Cc: OCE@montgomerycountymd.gov; marc.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov; MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org>

Subject: Delay Thrive Montgomery 2050 at least one year/Covid-19

Dear Council President Katz, Vice President Hucker, Councilmember Albornoz, Councilmember Friedson, Councilmember Glass, Councilmember Jawando, Councilmember Navarro, Councilmember Rice, and Councilmember Riemer:

I am writing to request that you direct the Planning Board to delay consideration of Thrive Montgomery 2050, the update of the General Plan, for at least a year. It is currently scheduled for a Planning Board hearing in September and transmission to the Council in March 2021. I make this request in light of the unprecedented economic and personal hardships experienced by the County and its residents as a result of the Covid-19 pandemic, and the unknown transformative impact beyond immediate pressing needs.

The current draft relies on pre-pandemic data and assumptions, yet recent data suggest we may be facing permanent changes in how we live, spend, work, commute, study, and play:

- Metro weekday ridership overall has declined nearly 95% since March.
- Multiple businesses in the County have closed permanently and others have laid off thousands of employees.
- Weakness in high-cost rentals is not translating into lower rents, as indicated by the conversion of luxury rentals into more profitable short-term rentals.
- Many companies and employees have discovered that telecommuting works and saves time and money – cutting into the commercial real estate market and altering the residential market in favor of homes with work space and outdoor recreation space on site or nearby.

The telecommuting changes will be permanent and will impact needs for green space and recreation/exercise near homes. This is a huge problem in the dense areas near Bethesda/Chevy Chase where there are limited options.

- Government funding for services and pressing capital needs will be severely circumscribed by both diminished revenues and meeting immediate needs precipitated by the pandemic.

It is impossible to know which changes will be lasting, but their potential to affect housing choices, education, commuting patterns, brick and mortar retail, recreation, and transportation demands and solutions, among many things, is indisputable. For the foreseeable future, people are focused on staying healthy, keeping their jobs, feeding and schooling their children, and paying for housing. While the plan acknowledges the likelihood of increased telecommuting, it seems to miss or ignore the effects that will

have on where and how people will continue their lives once the pandemic fades. We will learn much more about that in the next 12-18 months, and a 30-year vision divorced from the reality of human behavior will not serve the county well.

Thank you for your attention, and for your service to the public.

Sincerely,
Maureen Holohan
4622 Langdrum Lane
Chevy Chase MD 20815

Michael DeLong, 6-22-20

To whom it may concern,

This is Michael DeLong from Silver Spring. I wanted to just mention a few policies I hope you will include in the Thrive 2050 plan:

- 1) Renters should be protected, and renters should be encouraged to get counsel and have access to it when necessary,
- 2) The emphasis on compact development and urbanism is great, and development should be promoted along the Purple Line and major bus lines (and near the Metro).

Thank you very much,

Michael DeLong
8918 Whitney Street
Silver Spring, MD 20901



Written comments from Montgomery Countryside Alliance

on Thrive 2050 – June 2020 working draft

**Regarding the role of the Agricultural Reserve in Montgomery County's
General Plan Update.**

August 5, 2020

Executive Summary

We appreciate the numerous meetings that planning staff have held with Agricultural Reserve advocates and producers. And we salute staff's efforts to appropriately elevate the role of the Ag Reserve in the Thrive 2050 documents, and by extension in Montgomery County's future. The positive profile of the Ag Reserve in Thrive 2050, for its continued provision of food, fiber, forests, clean air and water and open space, has increased over the past year. **The fact of rising food insecurity including here in Montgomery County, will elevate even more the Ag Reserve's role in supplying food to our region.**

Existing General Plan's Geographic Framing is Crucial. It's Largely Missing from Thrive 2050.

The existing General Plan's Wedges and Corridors geographic framing is fundamental to our ability to guide wise planning in Montgomery County, including for the prosperity of the Agricultural Reserve. We are concerned that the Thrive 2050 documents to date do not adequately describe, nor use as a basis, this geographic framing. The proposed "web and corridor" approach contradicts Wedges and Corridors, and creates a platform for urban sprawl. We urge that the concept of "web and corridor" be dropped, and that Wedges and Corridors be elevated for Thrive 2050, including its four geographic components, and used as the fundamental basis for the application of the priority Outcomes and overarching Themes.

Core Role of the Agricultural Reserve Must Be Highlighted Even More.

While it's important that the role of the Agricultural Reserve has been addressed as a Goal within Theme 7, Diverse and Adaptable Growth, we also see a crucial need for this document to highlight the Ag Reserve's role even further, for its original core purpose, as a balance for and complement to the urbanism that is a heavy focus of the current working draft. Similarly we see the need to elevate the role of the Park System in public health, active nature-based recreation like bird watching, hiking and picnicking, and in climate resilience.

Procedural Comments

- Separately from the good efforts of staff to incorporate our input, we continue to have serious concerns over a flawed process at the Planning Board, where an unnecessarily-

adversarial atmosphere has marginalized communities that otherwise would be full partners in the Thrive 2050 process.

- We will continue to contribute input to Thrive 2050, in a good-faith effort to participate in a county planning process whose hallmarks traditionally have been collegiality, and a respectful partnership of equals.

Contributors to these comments: Caroline Taylor, Lauren Greenberger, and Diane Cameron, with input from Lee Langstaff and Jim Brown.

***** End of Executive Summary *****

Substantive Comments on Specific Sections:

Here we provide detailed comments on the following Themes: Procedural Comments; Big-Picture Comment on Part 1 and the entire Thrive 2050 effort; 7. Diverse and Adaptable Growth (with comments centered on Goal 7.4 on the Agricultural Reserve); 4. Safe and Efficient Travel; 6. Healthy and Sustainable Environment.

Along the way we will share comments on the need for a holistic approach to land use planning in Montgomery County, that remains grounded in the geography and essential wisdom of our longstanding General Plan, embodying smart growth and the inter-dependency of urbanist and agrarian communities. This holistic approach highlights our agrarian heritage as the foundation for our future evolution.

Procedural Comments: Fostering Full Public Participation is Necessary to the Success of Thrive 2050.

- Separately from the good efforts of staff to incorporate our input, we continue to have serious concerns over the unnecessarily-adversarial process at the Planning Board, not only on Thrive 2050 but also on master plan and site plan issues. This flawed process has chilled full public participation on the part of key affected stakeholders, including communities in the Agricultural Reserve. This atmosphere has narrowed the scope of communities that otherwise would be partners in the Thrive 2050 process.
- The process flaws include the fast-tracked schedule for Thrive 2050 during a pandemic, compounded by the lack of broadband service in the Ag Reserve.
- Effective public participation requires that residents and community groups come into the process knowing that their role will be respected and their input valued.
- We will continue to communicate with planning staff and to seek to testify at Planning Commission hearings, including on Thrive 2050, in a good-faith effort to participate in a county planning process whose hallmarks traditionally have been collegiality, and a respectful partnership of equals between Planning Commissioners and staff, and community and neighborhood group leaders and civic activists.

Big-Picture Comment: The General Plan's Wedges and Corridors Framing and Basis Must Be Elevated and used as the basis for all of the Thrive 2050 documents; "web and corridor" must be dropped.

The existing General Plan's Wedges and Corridors geographic framing is fundamental to our ability to guide wise planning in Montgomery County, including for the prosperity of the Agricultural Reserve. While there are several positive mentions of Wedges and Corridors being a successful basis for our existing General Plan, we are concerned that the Thrive 2050 documents to date do not adequately use Wedges and Corridors as the basis for grounding the priority Outcomes and eight Themes in the geographic logic of land use and density. The proposed "web and corridor" approach contradicts Wedges and Corridors, and creates a platform for urban sprawl. We urge that the concept of "web and corridor" be dropped, and that Wedges and Corridors including its four

geographic components be elevated in Thrive 2050, and used as the fundamental basis for the application of the priority Outcomes and overarching Themes.

Part 1 of the Thrive 2050 document contains several references to the existing General Plan's Wedges and Corridors framing and basis. Among these references is this statement on page 5:


"The General Plan Update, Thrive Montgomery 2050, builds upon the foundation set by the 1964 General Plan and all subsequent plans and policies. It will modernize the original plan's Wedges and Corridors concept (self-contained corridor cities connected by a transportation network) and refine it for the next 30 years to ensure its relevance for tomorrow's challenges."

(Thrive 2050, June 2020, Draft Vision, Goals, Policies and Actions Document, page 5.)

Without more explanation of what is meant by the "modernization of Wedges and Corridors," we don't know exactly what this entails. But in some places in this Thrive document, it appears that the intent is to replace Wedges and Corridors with "Webs and Corridors;" we see this as damaging to all three of the priority Outcomes (Equity, Economic Health and Environmental Resilience). So, we ask that "webs and corridors" be dropped and that Wedges and Corridors be fully utilized as Thrive's framing and geographic basis.

Further discussion on why we need to base Thrive on Wedges and Corridors.

As Ag Reserve advocates have noted in meetings with Planning Director Wright and other planning staff over the past year, the existing General Plan's geographic framing is fundamental to our ability to guide wise planning, land use, and public investments in Montgomery County. By "geographic framing," we refer to the existing General Plan's basis and grounding in the physical landforms and the locations of urban, suburban, and rural places and regions. As noted in the [General Plan Refinement of 1993](#), **"The Refinement loosely describes the character and location of four geographic components and a regional transportation network."** (page 5). The four geographic components as described in the General Plan Refinement of 1993 are: the Urban Ring; the I-270 Corridor; Suburban Communities, and "the Wedge" which includes the Agricultural Reserve, and the Residential Wedge. The map below is from page 22 of the General Plan Refinement of Goals and Objectives for Montgomery County (1993).



A few places in the Thrive 2050, June 2020 working draft acknowledge the success of our Wedges and Corridors basis:

Issues and Challenges

The [1964 General Plan](#) laid out the broad planning principles based on the “Wedges and Corridors” concept. These principles generally worked, and the county grew in an orderly fashion into an economic engine for the state and a desirable home to more than a million people. However, the county’s current land use and development patterns need to be reimagined to deal with the current and emerging issues of technological innovation, traffic congestion, economic and equity issues, and the threats of climate change.

We also see text that indicates intent to retain this basis:

This vision of how we should grow over the next 30 years respects the original “Wedges and Corridors” plan, with a greater emphasis on a compact form of development and the role of corridors as places to grow. It continues to protect and honor the Agricultural Reserve—a nationally recognized planning landmark that provides economic and environmental benefits. It also involves making a series of adjustments and refinements in the way we live, work, relate and play. It will require changes in our laws and regulations that shape our built environment. We will need to make tradeoffs that may not be easy. Realizing our vision will require a sustained commitment over the next decades from residents, community groups, businesses, developers, nonprofits and government. Inaction is not an option. If we’re successful, we’ll have an equitable community in an economically healthy and environmentally resilient county.

Although it’s indeed important to see these positive mentions of Wedges and Corridors and the intent of Thrive 2050 to retain this basis, MCA and our partners are deeply concerned that the Thrive 2050 documents to date do not adequately base the Thrive 2050 General Plan update on Wedges and Corridors. In addition to this need to elevate the role of Wedges and Corridors, there is the need to avoid contradicting it – to avoid establishing a new system that fundamentally conflicts with Wedges and Corridors (especially with Wedges). The Thrive documents depict a land use pattern termed a “*web of complete communities connected by vibrant corridors.*” A “web” is different than a system of wedges, and it can be construed to promote the urbanization of now-rural and low-density areas – our Green Wedge.

What is the long-term vision for Montgomery County?



In 2050, Montgomery County is a web of complete communities connected by vibrant corridors.

Complete communities: Individual and unique centers of neighborhood activity and urban nodes optimize land use with a variety of housing types and price points are located close to transit, workplaces, needed goods and services, public amenities and active park spaces.

Vibrant corridors: comfortable, safe corridors of multimodal transportation and services; and corridors of green parks, stream valleys and trails.

Although not perfect, our existing geographic framing and basis has worked well in protecting rural farms and forests – and the clean streams and groundwater that they in turn protect -- while focusing urban and suburban growth along the corridors and in the existing cities. The Wedges and Corridors framing and basis has worked well and while it can be tweaked, we see no need to “fix what isn’t broken.” The Thrive documents themselves affirm the intention to retain the elements of our General Plan that are working and serving us well.

MCA and partners request that the existing geographic framing and basis of our General Plan (as of the 1993 Refinements) – our Wedges and Corridors -- be retained and featured prominently in Thrive 2050, and used as an organizing basis for the entire set of Thrive 2050 documents. This geographic framing and basis is in full harmony with, and supportive of, the three Thrive 2050 priorities of Equity, Economic Health and Environmental Resilience; and the eight overarching themes of Complete Communities; Connectedness; Diverse Economy; Safe and Reliable Travel; Affordability and Attainability; Healthy and Sustainable Environment; Diverse and Adaptable Growth; and Design, Arts and Culture.

Comments of the Montgomery Countryside Alliance

on Thrive 2050: Theme 7. Diverse and Adaptable Growth

Theme 7 of Thrive 2050, “Diverse and Adaptable Growth,” contains Goal 7.4 which is centered on the Ag Reserve:

“Goal 7.4: Strengthen Montgomery County’s agricultural character to ensure the prosperity of the Agricultural Reserve into the future. Balance advancements in industry practices to enhance the Agricultural Reserve. Sustain farmland, rural open space and rural environmental resources to support the well-being of the entire county.”

Overall, we strongly support the wording of this Goal 7.4 centered on the Ag Reserve, except for the second sentence, which we request be omitted; it’s vague and could be interpreted or misinterpreted to mean “bring various industries into the Ag Reserve to balance with agriculture.” As now worded it can be interpreted to give support to non-agricultural land uses in the Ag Reserve.

The remaining two sentences are strong and clear in their affirmation for sustaining the Ag Reserve for farming, rural open space and rural natural resource preservation and respectful uses in keeping with these rural landscapes. So, we ask that the problematic second sentence be deleted.

MCA Comments on specific policies and actions under Goal 7.4:

Overall comments: There are eight proposed Policies under this Goal:

Policy 7.4.1: Eliminate barriers and broaden access to healthy food, agritourism and agricultural employment through the support and diversification of the agricultural sector including urban and suburban farming. Identify opportunities for adaptive reuse of underutilized urban and suburban land for farming.

Policy 7.4.2: Maintain and enhance the Agricultural Reserve as a national model for supporting and protecting agriculture and rural open space that provides vital economic, environmental and health benefits in a major metropolitan area. Study, promote and monitor the economic, environmental and health benefits of the Agricultural Reserve.

Policy 7.4.3: Support and enhance policies that provide opportunities for new farmers who want to own and operate their own farms in the county.

Policy 7.4.4: Increase public awareness of the agricultural, environmental and economic benefits of the Agricultural Reserve and better connect communities throughout the county to this vital resource through public education and outreach, school programs, and fostering agritourism and ecotourism.

Policy 7.4.5: Provide residential communities in the Agricultural Reserve with adequate infrastructure and services in a way that preserves the agricultural heritage and the unique character of this resource and supports the needs of evolving agricultural practices.

Policy 7.4.6: Maintain agriculture as the primary land use in the Agricultural Reserve through policies, regulations, easements and incentives. Promote farming, support farmland and open space conservation, protect environmentally sensitive areas, respond to climate change and ensure that rural complete communities are compatible with the intent of the Reserve.

Policy 7.4.7: Balance support for an evolving agricultural industry with the conservation of farmland, rural open space and environmental resources in the Agricultural Reserve.

Policy 7.4.8: Establish food production and distribution infrastructure to enable county growers to process their products locally and to reach residents through culinary, grocery and wholesale outlets. This system will increase the competitiveness of farmers and reduce reliance on imported agricultural products and associated carbon emissions.

MCA's Comments on this proposed set of Policies regarding the Ag Reserve:

Overall comments on this proposed set of Policies:

- **MCA supports the thrust of Goal 7.4 which is to “*Strengthen Montgomery County’s agricultural character to ensure the prosperity of the Agricultural Reserve into the future.*”**
- **Each proposed Policy must be fully in support of the goal – strengthening our County’s agricultural character and ensuring the prosperity of the Agricultural Reserve into the future. Proposed policies, or clauses within them, that do not fully support this goal, but instead are in conflict with it, must be omitted.**
- **The ordering of the set of eight Policies must reflect and support Goal 7.4.**

MCA supports the thrust of Goal 7.4 which is to “*Strengthen Montgomery County’s agricultural character to ensure the prosperity of the Agricultural Reserve into the future.*” To the extent that each Policy fully supports this Goal, we support the policy. Conversely, to the extent that we see a conflict between a proposed Policy under this Goal, and the Goal itself, we request that that Policy be omitted entirely, or recast to fully support this goal.

We also suggest a slight re-ordering of the set of Policies under Goal 7.4, that we see as more-conducive to public understanding and support of this Goal and its Policies and Actions, and to the ultimate success of this Goal, Policies and Actions as they are implemented by Planners, Planning Commissioners, Councilmembers and County staff, Ag Reserve landowners, residents, farmers, and customers. We ask that proposed Policy 7.4.2, “Maintain and enhance the Agricultural Reserve as a national model for supporting and protecting agriculture and rural open space...” be made the new Policy 7.4.1. This is the first-and-foremost Policy guiding this entire Goal; so we ask that it be the first Policy in the set.

Below we provide Policy-by-Policy Comments for each of the eight proposed policies under Goal 7.4.:

Policy 7.4.1: Eliminate barriers and broaden access to healthy food, agritourism and agricultural employment through the support and diversification of the agricultural sector including urban and suburban farming. Identify opportunities for adaptive reuse of underutilized urban and suburban land for farming.

Comment: MCA supports this Policy, since we are active supporters of urban and suburban agriculture in Montgomery County and we believe that a rural-urban agricultural partnership holds promise for providing greater food security, public health, and a raft of other benefits.

Policy 7.4.2: Maintain and enhance the Agricultural Reserve as a national model for supporting and protecting agriculture and rural open space that provides vital economic, environmental and health benefits in a major metropolitan area. Study, promote and monitor the economic, environmental and health benefits of the Agricultural Reserve.

Comment: MCA supports this Policy, and requests that it be made the first Policy in this section. The basis for this entire set of Policies, under Goal 7.4, must be grounded in the Agricultural Reserve itself as the locus of rural agricultural production, including regenerative farming, forest protection, reforestation, tree and native plant nurseries, and other burgeoning agricultural and silvicultural sectors.

By centering this Policy as first-and-foremost in the set of eight, we are underscoring the central role of the Ag Reserve, enabling it to continue to provide food and water security to

the region. By centering the Ag Reserve, we spotlight its central role in the startup of new ventures in providing expertise, person-power, institutional partnerships, and materiel support for urban and suburban agriculture initiatives.

Policy 7.4.3: Support and enhance policies that provide opportunities for new farmers who want to own and operate their own farms in the county.

Comment: MCA strongly supports this policy. We offer to work more-closely with Planning staff to share our expertise in this field, since we have been helping and supporting new farmers, including immigrants and young farm families, for many years through Land Link and other programs.

Comment on Proposed Action 7.4.3.a.: Under this proposed Action, a clause at the end references the biosciences industry. In many agricultural regions of the county, including the Midwest, the biosciences industry has been problematic for farmers and the environment. One example of a bioscience problem: [Cancer incidence related to the weedkiller Roundup](#), has occurred among agricultural workers and gardeners exposed to this herbicide. Unless the term “biosciences industry” is defined in a narrow way here, to restrict it to ecologically-beneficial endeavors that aren’t related to seed patenting, pesticides, and other damaging outcomes, we request removal of this clause.

Policy 7.4.4: Increase public awareness of the agricultural, environmental and economic benefits of the Agricultural Reserve and better connect communities throughout the county to this vital resource through public education and outreach, school programs, and fostering agritourism and ecotourism.

Comment: MCA strongly supports this policy. We offer to work more-closely with Planning staff to share our expertise in this field, since we have been partners with the Poolesville High School Global Ecology program program, and presenters on Montgomery County agriculture to many other school and civic groups. Since its inception, MCA has constantly worked to educate the public and decisionmakers about the Agricultural Reserve, and to provide welcoming opportunities for people throughout the DMV to visit the Ag Reserve, tour farms, and purchase its products.

Policy 7.4.5: Provide residential communities in the Agricultural Reserve with adequate infrastructure and services in a way that preserves the agricultural heritage and the unique character of this resource and supports the needs of evolving agricultural practices.

Comment: MCA supports this basic goal of providing adequate infrastructure and services to residents of the Agricultural Reserve – *conditional upon clarification of the term “adequate infrastructure and services.”* We request clarification of this term, since we oppose inappropriate infrastructure that leads to urbanization of rural communities, farms and forests. A key example of this problem is sewer sprawl – the promotion, funding, and construction of sewer pipelines and other centralized sewage facilities into rural communities that are now served with septic systems. In 2017 and 2018, MCA worked closely with County councilmembers and partner groups to support increased landowner educational and technical supports for septic system maintenance and improvements, and to prevent the incursion of sewer pipes into rural and low-density neighborhoods. These commitments to

rural landowners were partially reflected in the update to the County's Ten Year Water and Sewer Plan.

Historic African American Communities in the Ag Reserve Need to be Highlighted.

Take note: There is an absence of recognition of the importance of the historic African American communities in the Reserve. Can staff give some thought as to their inclusion as important assets? See: <https://www.heritagemontgomery.org/moco-history/historic-african-american-communities/>Moreover,

We support the expansion of broadband services to the Reserve. The General Plan should acknowledge broadband as a essential utility for all residents, including those communities in the more urban and suburban zip codes that are under served,

Policy 7.4.6: Maintain agriculture as the primary land use in the Agricultural Reserve through policies, regulations, easements and incentives. Promote farming, support farmland and open space conservation, protect environmentally sensitive areas, respond to climate change and ensure that rural complete communities are compatible with the intent of the Reserve.

Comment: MCA strongly supports this as a core Policy under Goal 7.4. We request that it be placed closer to the top of this list of Policies.

Policy 7.4.7: Balance support for an evolving agricultural industry with the conservation of farmland, rural open space and environmental resources in the Agricultural Reserve.

Comment: MCA and its partners don't fully understand what this proposed Policy means, but we think it means that the Planning Board sees "an evolving agricultural industry" as being, or potentially being, at odds and in conflict with, "the conservation of farmland, rural open space and environmental resources in the Agricultural Reserve." Rather than casting the evolution of agriculture as needing to be balanced with farmland, open space, and the natural resources of the Ag Reserve, (since balancing two things usually implies that these things are disparate or in conflict), MCA requests that this proposed policy be reworded as follows:

Policy 7.4.7: Work with local, regional, national and international experts in regenerative agriculture in support of the robust contribution of the farmland, rural open space and environmental resources of the Agricultural Reserve to Montgomery's economic health, social and racial justice, food and water security and climate resilience. The term "regenerative agriculture" is defined as: "*Farming and forestry that regenerates the living soil community, sequesters carbon, and contributes to the health of local ecological and human communities.*"

If this revision is not adopted, then MCA requests that this entire proposed policy be omitted.

Comment on this requested replacement text for Policy 7.4.7: For the last few decades our Montgomery County Soil Conservation District has focused on 'protection of the soil'. In the last 7-8 years they have begun promoting 'improving soil health'- practices that make it more nutrient dense and increasing the water-holding capacity. As a stated goal, this

General Plan should direct our county Office of Agriculture to promote improving soil health. Better soil will dramatically help mitigate climate change by absorbing water during intense rain events, retaining water during drought, sequestering carbon and by providing better food and higher yields as food becomes scarcer. Subsidies, incentives, training and guidance for farmers in regenerative practices should be among the goals for the agricultural sector.

Policy 7.4.8: Establish food production and distribution infrastructure to enable county growers to process their products locally and to reach residents through culinary, grocery and wholesale outlets. This system will increase the competitiveness of farmers and reduce reliance on imported agricultural products and associated carbon emissions.

Comment: MCA supports this proposed Policy. We stand ready to step up our collaboration with Montgomery County planners, other county staff, and food system partners to support and help implement this policy based upon our decades of experience in establishing farmer's markets and other food distribution networks.

MCA comments on other text within Theme 7:

Goal 7. Diverse and Adaptable Growth - Page 54:

Under "Issues and Challenges," the third paragraph beginning, "On the positive side, the Agricultural Reserve has significant value as a tool to preserve farmland and rural open space..." is problematic and must be amended in order to avoid creating a conflict between the Agricultural Reserve and urban, industrial, and commercial land uses.

The sentence "*But there are competing demands for land and other purposes, such as solar energy production, which put pressure on the Agricultural Reserve*" and the following sentence about the challenges, are highly problematic. Of course there are competing demands for the land in the Agricultural Reserve; this is not a new challenge. What is new is the Planning Board's promotion of competing uses including solar. These sentences are thus misleading since the Planning Board is an active supporter of large-scale solar in the Ag Reserve, and in that sense not merely a receiver of others' demands. MCA asks that this sentence on "*competing demands*" be omitted from this text.

The text referring to: "*the loss of contiguous farmland*" and "*lack of funding to purchase preservation easements*" is listing problems facing the Ag Reserve that are within the realm of Montgomery County to solve through fiscal, regulatory, and legal mechanisms. A full section addressing these particular challenges and approaches to solving them is needed – again in full coordination with the Office of Agriculture and the County's Climate Action Planning group.

In addition, these sentences mis-direct the focus of this section, which needs to be on describing the positive functions of the Ag Reserve that both honors the present farming community, and anticipates how this community will evolve to 2050 and beyond. As Montgomery County continues to add population and to densify urban and suburban areas, the role of the Ag Reserve and our Park system in providing beauty, healthy recreation, food, water, and other essential ecosystem services that enable our city living – will grow in importance.

Under “Vision for Diverse and Adaptable Growth” (pg. 54): We strongly support the sentence, “Residents have access to healthy, local food provided through agriculture integrated into urban and suburban neighborhoods and increased local food production in the Agricultural Reserve.” To this we request adding: “Farms, native plant and tree nurseries in the Agricultural Reserve will supply plants and soil amendments for the growing organic lawn care, native-scaping, and urban community gardening movements in Montgomery County.”

Managing Growth as a Mature, Built-Out County – page 55.

In the paragraph that starts, “Since the future is unpredictable...” There’s a sentence that reads, “We must manage growth and development as a mature, built-out county by maximizing use of constrained land, and explore new mechanisms to evaluate and deliver public facilities and infrastructure improvements.” What does the phrase “constrained land” mean in this context? If it means “already-built land” e.g. grayfields and brownfields, then this sentence is in line with smart growth principles and we support it. If, on the other hand, this phrase “*maximizing use of constrained land*” means something else such as opening up parkland, conservation land, or forests and farmland to urban, suburban, industrial and commercial uses, then we strongly oppose it and request that it be deleted.

Goal 7.3 “Manage future growth and development...”

We repeat an earlier question: what does the phrase “Constrained land” mean?

Policy 7.3.2. This reads, “*Develop new methods of funding public infrastructure by capturing increases in land value due to growth, development, and increased density.*” In order for us to know how to respond to this, it first needs to be unpacked so we know what it means and what the cascading ripple effect will be if this policy is enacted. We don’t understand what this “land value capture” for “delivery of public facilities and infrastructure” will mean to County residents, farmers and all landowners in the Agricultural Reserve. Please explain and unpack it.

Policy 7.3.4. “Expand use of public-private partnerships...” we have the same request as above: please unpack and explain what this means and what it entails.

Goal 4. Safe and Efficient Travel

Comment: this section of the draft is silent on transportation goals for the Agricultural Reserve. We propose adding the following:

To Goal 4.7: “Convert auto-centric transportation corridors into safe, people-centric multimodal streets with rail and BRT. Most travel to, from and within transportation corridors will occur via walking, bicycling and transit.”

Add an additional sentence: “Avoid creating new auto-centric transportation corridors.” So the new Goal 4.7 text will read:

Goal 4.7: Convert auto-centric transportation corridors into safe, people-centric multimodal streets with rail and BRT. Most travel to, from and within transportation corridors will occur via walking, bicycling and transit. Avoid creating new auto-centric transportation corridors.

Include these Policies and Actions within revised Goal 4.7:

Policy 4.7.4: In support of the goal to avoid creating auto-centric transportation corridors, eliminate or reject historically drawn or future proposed highway/throughway/bridge projects in or adjacent to the Agricultural Reserve.

Action 4.7.4.a: : Explicitly withdraw Montgomery County's membership in, and support for, any regional and State of Maryland transportation planning and priority-setting efforts and intergovernmental consortiums that are promoting highway and bridge construction or expansion in or near the Agricultural Reserve, including a second bridge crossing over the Potomac.

Policy 4.7.5: Ensure that the system of designated Rustic Roads is protected and maintained to preserve important historic and natural features enabling a strong agritourism benefit to both farms and residents.

Action 4.7.5.a Montgomery County Planning Department in coordination with MC-DOT and Office of Agriculture, along with producers and advocates for the Agricultural Reserve, review and recommend safety measures, such as reduced speed limits, for Rustic Roads and all roadways in the Agricultural Reserve with the goal of increasing safe travel for bicyclists and pedestrians, while continuing to protect Rustic Roads.

6. Healthy and Sustainable Environment

Overall comments:

Much of this section is on climate change, which is appropriate given the ongoing climate disruptions we are already experiencing. The role of the Parks system in protecting our large network of natural green infrastructure areas needs to be prominently featured in this section. We provide comments below that place the Ag Reserve and our Parks System as the first and second priority Policy areas.

Detailed section-by-section comments:

Issues and Challenges: page 48 – In the paragraph starting, “Montgomery County is a leader...” the second sentence implies that our leadership status is in jeopardy due to the declining ecological indicators. While this may be true, a more positive way of stating this would be:

“Indicators such as stream water quality, forest loss, loss of plant and animal species, and increased imperviousness, heighten our challenges as stewards.”

Vision for Healthy and Sustainable Environment

After the sentence, “Reliance on cars is significantly reduced,” insert the sentence, “Planned and proposed highway projects, including M83, and the proposed expansion of I-270 and I-495, have all been cancelled and removed from master plans and County transportation priorities.”

Urbanism as the Key to True Sustainability: page 48 – We disagree with the assertion here that urbanism is *the* key to true sustainability. We would agree with a statement to the effect that “urbanism is *a* key to true sustainability”. So we suggest a new title for this section: Keys to Sustainability: Urbanism and [Agriculture] [Rural Preservation]

Comment: This section needs to be edited to reflect the fact that Urbanism – the promotion of city living and densely-built landscapes – can be a key to sustainability, when it’s not an isolated focus of planning, but rather combined with preservation and wise conservation of rural lands and waters. This is our life support system: the forests, farms, and wetlands that supply us with clean drinking water, food and fiber. In Montgomery County the foundation of our life support system is our Agricultural Reserve and our Parks System.

Paragraph starting at the bottom of page 48 – this important paragraph highlights the role of the Agricultural Reserve, and our Parks System, and needs to name the Piedmont Sole Source Aquifer as a crucial water resource in our Ag Reserve.

Page 49 –To the paragraph starting, “We must continue to address the threat of climate change...” add this sentence: “In 2019, six expert working groups, comprised of county residents with both knowledge and passion in the field of climate change, reported out hundreds of recommendations that form the raw material and basis for the forthcoming Climate Action Plan. It is the intent of Thrive 2050 to be closely integrated with the CAP.”

Page 49 – After the paragraph that starts, “Thrive Montgomery 2050...” add another paragraph that starts, “Thrive Montgomery 2050 is also proposing to embrace regenerative agriculture and forestry, in order to provide increased and reliable supplies of food, fiber, forest benefits, and clean water.

The food and water security, carbon capture/sequestration, and climate adaptation resulting from enhanced ecological landscape management practices are equally important alongside carbon emissions reduction.”

Goals, Policies and Actions

Goal 6.1: “Use a compact form of development...”

Policy 6.1.1.: “Accommodate future growth through a compact form of infill and redevelopment to create long-term sustainability for both human and environmental health.”

Actions 6.1.1.a. and 6.1.1.b. These two actions combine compact urban living with the provision of open spaces for active recreation. We note that “active recreation” includes non-consumptive, conserving uses such as hiking, bird-watching, forest air bathing, and nature photography.

Comment: Along with the well-known benefits of urban density increases, there are significant drawbacks to conurbations - canyons of concrete and glass. To be welcoming to humans, such densifying neighborhoods require increased public parklands with tree groves, and other types of open space and green public common space. Funding beyond developer dedications is needed to ensure adequate parkland in our urban areas. The wording of this Goals section needs to reflect that a “compact form of infill and redevelopment” will be balanced with stepped-up public funding for Legacy Open Space and other acquisition funds enabling new public parks in all of our urban areas.

Page 51: Policy 6.2.7.: “Expand the capacity of the Agricultural Reserve to provide essential contributions to the county’s efforts to reduce, mitigate and adapt to climate change.” As Lee Langstaff noted in our zoom meeting with planning staff on 7/29/20, this Policy section is a red flag for us. We are concerned that this wording can be read as intended, under the banner of climate change, to open up the Agricultural Reserve to non-agricultural uses.

Such a move would violate the purpose and intent of the Ag Reserve, which is to preserve farmland, open space and forests in order to enable local production of food, fiber, forest benefits and clean water supplies. Instead, we point to the fact that the original core role of the Ag Reserve – agriculture – is a large basket of climate solutions. We ask that Thrive 2050 adopt the principle of “First, do no harm to our existing, natural systems – our Ag Reserve, Parks System, and other natural areas.” These provide our life support system, including food, clean air, and clean water supplies, along with climate resilience and carbon sequestration.

We object to Policy 6.2.7. as worded. We suggest this text in substitution:

“Expand the capacity of regenerative agriculture in the Agricultural Reserve. Through building up soil carbon levels and greater use of multi-species communities of vegetation, farms and forests in the Ag Reserve will provide essential contributions to the county’s efforts to reduce, mitigate and adapt to climate change.”

Comment: Responding to the climate emergency, meeting carbon reduction goals, and climate resilience goals, requires a wholistic planning effort that is still going on. The proposed ZTA for large-scale solar in the Ag Reserve would short-circuit that process by enabling non-agricultural use. The

Comments of Montgomery Countryside Alliance on Thrive 2050 Working Draft of June 2020 – submitted August 5, 2020

short, mid-range, and long-term economic impact of the onset of large energy facilities in the Ag Reserve, including their ripple effect on land rents, taxes, infrastructure, land valuation and availability, has not been studied and is of grave concern to future food and water security related to the stability, diversity, and growth of farms and forestlands. Montgomery Countryside Alliance and allied groups, including and especially commercial agriculture are opposed to this ZTA and continue to ask the Council and Planning Board to oppose it.

Montgomery County Food Council, 8-28-20

From: Catherine Nardi <cnardi@mocofoodcouncil.org>

Sent: Friday, August 28, 2020 10:05 AM

To: Afzal, Khalid <khalid.afzal@montgomeryplanning.org>; McVary, Jessica <Jessica.McVary@montgomeryplanning.org>; McCarthy, Caroline <caroline.mccarthy@montgomeryplanning.org>; Stern, Tanya <tanya.stern@montgomeryplanning.org>; Heather Bruskin <hbruskin@mocofoodcouncil.org>; Wendy Bazil <healthierkitchen@gmail.com>; Mike Houston <mhouston@tpss.coop>; Daniel Zhou <daniel@mocofoodcouncil.org>

Subject: Thank you and following up

Good morning, Planning Department team!

Thank you all so much for taking the time to meet on Tuesday afternoon and discuss the most recent draft of the Thrive 2050 Visions, Goals, Policies, and Actions. We were excited to see many aspects of the plan that related to fostering a more sustainable, robust, equitable food system in Montgomery County. Please find attached the COVID-19 Food Security Response Strategy that was referenced during the call; as mentioned, we recommend incorporating long term food resilience/Food Emergency Response planning processes into the Master Plan. Our additional suggestions and questions, and some connections to existing plans/resources are included in the notes below. Please let me know if you have any questions, and we look forward to being in touch again soon!

○ Agriculture and Local Food Production:

- Action 7.4.1.a: Develop a food security plan with the Montgomery County Food Council and county agencies to include urban, suburban and rural farming that expands access to local foods.
 - Alignment with the recently launched [Farm to Food Bank program](#)
 - [Montgomery County Food Security Plan](#)-- possibility to incorporate a policy/action to revisit the original plan every 5 years
- Policy 7.4.2: Maintain and enhance the Agricultural Reserve as a national model for supporting and protecting agriculture and rural open space that provides vital economic, environmental and health benefits in a major metropolitan area.
- Alignment with the long term goals of [Farm to Food Bank program](#) to increase food system self-sufficiency
- Policy 7.4.8: Establish food production and distribution infrastructure to enable county growers to process their products locally and to reach residents through culinary, grocery and wholesale outlets. This system will increase the competitiveness of farmers and reduce reliance on imported agricultural products and associated carbon emissions.
 - Opportunity for collaboration with the efforts of the Food Council's Food Economy Working Group and MoCo Made program
 - Suggestion to include food production/infrastructure in section 3, Diverse Economies
 - Increase local food access by increasing opportunities for local procurement

○ Environment and Sustainability:

- Overlap with *Climate Action and Resilience Plan* recommendations:

- Policy 6.2.7: Expand the capacity of the Agricultural Reserve to provide essential contributions to the County's efforts to reduce, mitigate and adapt to climate change.
 - What strategies should be considered? Could this expanded "capacity" relate to food production capacity, carbon sequestration, and other benefits?
- Action 6.2.7.a: Create mechanisms to encourage and support sustainable agricultural practices that enhance soil and environmental benefits, provide greater resilience to climate change disruptions and promote healthier farming communities.
 - What might the suggested mechanisms include?
- Policy 6.2.9: Strive to eliminate municipal solid waste through reduced waste generation, resource reuse, recycling and composting.
 - Integrating the recommendations of the Montgomery County Department of Environmental Protection's [*Strategic Plan to Advance Composting, Compost Use, and Food Scraps Diversion in Montgomery County*](#)
- Food Council recommendation: Increasing local, sustainable procurement in County institutions
- Food Access:
 - Section 6:
 - Goal 6.4: Provide all residents with safe, convenient access to affordable, healthy foods.
 - Incorporating **Policies** related to food access and health to better support the goal as a whole
 - Consideration for culturally appropriate food access (defined by promoting availability of and access to diverse and culturally acceptable foods)
 - Policy 6.4.2: Suggestion to consider replicating successful "healthy corner stores" programs or similar mechanism to bring healthier options to under resourced communities
 - Incorporating food security more heavily into section 1 (Complete Communities) and 2 (Connectedness).
 - Alignment with the goals of the County's [*Food Security Plan*](#)
 - Action 2.3.1.a: Complete a countywide study mapping the distribution of public and private neighborhood-based services relative to underserved communities. Produce equity-access metrics at the local level to be evaluated and monitored in a timely manner.
 - Would this include food related services such as access to grocery stores, community gardens, restaurants, etc. that are culturally appropriate?
 - Support for community and urban gardens referenced in 2.1.2.d, 6.4.1.a, and 7.4.1.b
 - What is the vision for growth and development of community and urban gardens
 - Zoning:
 - Action 7.4.1.b: Update the Montgomery County Zoning Code to identify urban and suburban farming as permitted uses.

- Opportunity for collaboration with regional urban agriculture expansion efforts; the Food Council's Gardening Subcommittee
- Action 7.4.7.b: Analyze the Montgomery County Zoning Ordinance, including industrially zoned land, to support an evolving agricultural industry.
 - Opportunity for collaboration with the Food Council's Environmental Impact Working Group and DEP to address Zoning Ordinances that relate to backyard and community scale composting.

Catherine Nardi
Programs Manager, Montgomery County Food Council
Pronouns: she/her/hers

Tel: 301-664-4010
Web: www.mocofoodcouncil.org/donate/
Facebook: <https://www.facebook.com/mocofoodcouncil>
Twitter: MoCoFoodCouncil
Instagram: mocofoodcouncil

The Montgomery County Food Council is committed to building equity and racial justice in our local food system. Learn more [here](#).

Board of Directors

Gary Guzy
Chair

Jane Paul
Vice-chair

Greg Weingast
Treasurer

Kathy Byrd
Secretary

Karen James Cody

David DeSantis

Stephanie Flack

Alan Fleischmann

Ethan Landis

Brad McKee

Danielle M. Reyes

Sambhav Sankar

Sam Shelton

Scott Siff, *Emeritus*

Gwen Wright
Director, Department of
Planning
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

Dear Ms. Wright,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the County's General Plan, Thrive2050, which is currently under development, and for the information provided and input solicited by you and your staff. Before writing this letter, we have reviewed the Planning staff's issues paper; the strategic framework, which has three identified outcomes; the plan's vision and goals (contained in attachment 1); and the executive summary and discussion of eight major issues (contained in attachment 2). We have also participated in two of your chats. We understand that the Planning Department is currently working on an Implementation Plan that will include tools needed to accomplish Thrive 2050.

Rock Creek Conservancy (RCC) is a nonprofit organization founded in 2005 to protect and restore Rock Creek and its waterways, parks, and lands. Rock Creek is the second largest watershed in Montgomery County, spanning over 168 miles of waterways from its northernmost tributaries near Laytonsville to its outlet into the Potomac River across from Roosevelt Island in the District of Columbia. The watershed includes Matthew Henson State Park, Rock Creek Regional Park, Rock Creek Stream Valley Park, Rockville's Civic Center Park, and over 40 local parks. Rock Creek runs through some of the most densely commercial areas in Montgomery County. RCC has mobilized thousands of volunteers to protect Rock Creek's watershed and its 20 major tributaries. Our projects have included tree planting, storm drain marking, invasive plant removal, rain garden installations, and trash cleanups. We regularly advocate for environmentally sound laws, regulations, policies, and programs with elected and appointed County officials. And we work to support inclusion and environmental stewardship in diverse communities.

Overall, Thrive 2050 appropriately includes a significant focus on the environment—in the strategic framework, in the goals and vision, and as one of eight major issues. Recognition of environmental importance is also contained throughout many of the other major issues and sub-issues. We commend planners for this focus as it recognizes the economic health and well-being of County residents is contained within the natural environment. Climate change is highlighted within the plan; as the climate warms, storms intensify and exacerbate stormwater pollution to Rock Creek.


We also recognize that Thrive 2050 is meant to be a high-level and broad guiding document. Montgomery County has long been a leader in adopting laws, policies, and regulations that support a healthy environment. But too many are observed in the breach. And competing pressures, not to mention a short-term focus, enter into decisions made subsequent to long range plans that result in outcomes different than planners intended. We believe a strategic planning process that looks far into the future must recognize and take account of these facts. Without some mechanism for transparency and accountability for county officials as individual master plans are developed, individual zoning decisions are made, and resources are allocated, the plan's impact is minimal. Therefore, we strongly encourage a mechanism be created to identify when subsequent plans and other land use decisions deviate from the vision, goals, and objectives articulated in Thrive 2050. At the very least, transparency of the exemptions and exceptions will hold elected and appointed officials and other decision makers accountable.

As part of the planning process, we would also encourage the Planning Department to develop a roadmap for operationalizing its vision and goals as land use decisions are made and conservation and restoration programs are proposed and considered and present it to the County Council. The Implementation Plan currently under development may address this concern. We look forward to reviewing it.

Finally, we note and commend for your consideration a recent analysis conducted by the Department of Environmental Protection to evaluate programs for environmental justice considerations. In it, they overlay the Department's programs for stream restoration and for stormwater management with a demographic index. Such an assessment can ensure that all geographic areas in the county receive the support needed for environmental sustainability. Such an assessment would be appropriate for major issues in Thrive 2050.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to provide comments. We look forward to working with your Department, the Planning Board, and the County Council as the General Plan moves forward.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jeanne Braha".

Jeanne Braha
Executive Director

Suzan Jenkins, 8-31-20

From: Suzan Jenkins <suzan.jenkins@creativemoco.com>

Sent: Monday, August 31, 2020 5:32 PM

To: Wright, Gwen <gwen.wright@montgomeryplanning.org>; Afzal, Khalid <khalid.afzal@montgomeryplanning.org>; McCarthy, Caroline <caroline.mccarthy@montgomeryplanning.org>; Stern, Tanya <tanya.stern@montgomeryplanning.org>

Cc: Broullire, Bridget <Bridget.Broullire@montgomeryplanning.org>; Kronenberg, Robert <robert.kronenberg@montgomeryplanning.org>; Sharma, Atul <atul.sharma@montgomeryplanning.org>

Subject: Re: Meeting today for General Plan Discussion

Good Afternoon Colleagues. I hope this message finds you all well.

Gwen, Thank You for your response in June and for including us in this endeavor. We have enjoyed working with Atul and his team. I have now had an opportunity to review the attached Working Draft provided us earlier this month by Atul and we are delighted at its contents!

The draft accurately captures our input and edits and we thoroughly appreciate Atul's team's attentive responses which were both open to our input and inclusive throughout the process. We believe our comments were well integrated into the chapter, we fully support the edits to the narrative and Goal 8.5 and are hopeful that the integrity of our input will be retained throughout the remainder of the editing process.

Thank you very much for the opportunity to participate in this important step. We look forward to our continuing collaboration.

Sincerely,
Suzan

suzan jenkins
chief executive officer



801 ellsworth drive, silver spring, md 20910-4438
phone: 301.565.3805
fax: 301.565.3809
direct: 301. 565.3806

[Arts & Humanities Council](#) | [Blog](#) | [Twitter](#) | [CultureSpotMC](#) | [Facebook](#) | [MontgomeryTraditions](#)

Please consider the environment before printing this email

Comments on Thrive 2050

Document on Vision, Goals, Policies and Actions –June 2020 Working Draft

TAME Coalition (Transit Alternatives to Mid-County Highway Extended/M-83)

August 5, 2020

The TAME Coalition (Transit Alternatives to Mid-County Highway Extended/M-83) appreciates this opportunity to provide comments on this draft document for Thrive 2050, the renewal of Montgomery County's General Plan. Our comments center on the chapter titled "Vision for Safe and Efficient Travel" and the Environmental Chapter.

TAME Coalition's Asks for the Thrive 2050 Transportation chapter:

- (1) Give prominence to the commitment to cease building new roads and highways; this No-New-Highways Policy is there now, but is buried deep in the text.
- (2) As part of the transit update to the Master Plan of Highways and Transitways (MPOHT) that Thrive is proposing to undertake, remove the proposed M83 highway from the Master Plan of Highways and Transitways, and from all other Master and Sector Plans that include it.

TAME Coalition's Ask for the Thrive 2050 Environmental chapter:

- (1) Include within the Forest Protection Policy, an associated Action to protect the Climate Resilience Forest of the Upcounty, including the Biodiversity Areas of North Germantown Greenway, Great Seneca, and the floodplain forests of Whetstone Run, Wildcat Branch, and Great Seneca Creek in Montgomery Village and Gaithersburg.

Basis for our comments: The Transportation chapter charts a positive direction, away from the car-centric system that we have now, to a people-centric system based on transit, walking and cycling. **In order to give primacy to Upcounty transit, and safely-walkable and -bikeable streets, there's a necessary first step that enables a people-centric transportation system : canceling proposed M83 highway - and removing it from the MPOHT and other master plans.** Unless we take this necessary first step, resources and attention in all sectors, public and private, will be devoted (directly or indirectly) to planning and supporting M83, and needed resources will be withheld from Upcounty transit and other people-centric programs.

Canceling the proposed M-83 highway is also the necessary first step in protecting the Climate Resilience Forest of the Upcounty, of which over 100 acres are threatened with removal or damage by the proposed M83 Master Plan Alignment. Within this threatened forest, over 45 acres are in parkland.

Comments by the TAME Coalition on the *Safe and Efficient Travel* Chapter of the Thrive 2050 Vision, Goals, Policies and Actions Draft.

On June 11, 2020, TAME Coalition Director Diane Cameron presented testimony on the Thrive Transportation chapter, to the Montgomery County Planning Board; her presentation is appended to these comments. To paraphrase that prior testimony:

- Transit service has been part of Montgomery's General Plan since at least the 1960s, but its progress has been much slower compared with that of highways and roads.
- Our carbon footprint from transportation is very high. Clarksburg, Germantown, Montgomery Village and Gaithersburg residents, including low-income families, need more and better options. We must make big shifts rapidly – to provide improved Ride-On Service, Bus Rapid Transit on Route 355, and safely-walkable and bikeable streets for the Upcounty.
- It's not only about what you choose to build – it's also about what you choose *not* to build – and to remove from the Master Plans.
- To embody the shift from Car-Centric to People-Centric Transportation, Thrive 2050 needs to include an explicit Policy statement of "*no new highways.*"
- As part of its Transit Update to the Master Plan of Highways and Transitways (MPOHT), Thrive 2050 must include the Action of removing M-83 from the MPOHT and other Master Plans.

"A watershed moment isn't just an important moment. It's a moment that has a specific before and after. Before the watershed moment, things were one way, and after the watershed moment, they are different." ([Mignon Fogarty, the "Grammar Girl"](#)).

Thrive 2050 – the update to our General Plan - is a watershed moment for Montgomery County. It's the opportunity of a lifetime to chart a course of sanity in transportation and climate policy. Part of sanity is knowing when the time has come to make a major change – a huge shift – in how we do things, and also in what we value, demonstrated through our actions. The time has come to change our transportation system to being a people-centric, life-centric, system. We must make these changes because of climate, because of the COVID pandemic, because of the need to redress economic and racial injustice..

In this case, the watershed moment is about changing how we travel – and what we value in our communities related to transportation. If we value speed and motorized travel at all costs, we get more roads and more highways. If instead, we value knowing our neighbors, helping people from all walks of life have mobility, living in a healthy way and avoiding

worsening public health and climate crises, by avoiding, then we stop building new roads and expanding highways – and instead we build transit networks and safe, tree-lined, walkable and bikeable streets. We do this for all of our neighbors countywide.

TAME Coalition supports the Vision and Direction for People-centric, not Car-Centric Transportation; focused on Transit and Walkability, in this June 2020 Thrive Document.

- **Thrive 2050 contains the essential first step in a People-Centric Transportation element in our General Plan: A no-new-highways and no-highway expansion policy – but it's buried deep in the text.**
- **Thrive's no-new-highways and no-highway-expansion policy needs to be highlighted as a major new policy direction for Montgomery County.**

Here are two statements that express this no-new-highways and no-highway-expansion policy, at present buried deep in the Thrive text:

In the first section under "Focus on Transit and Walkability," we strongly support the statement, "We simply cannot solve traffic congestion, be equitable, address climate change and support a strong economy by building more roads." (page 32)

Page 33, first full paragraph: We strongly support the statement, "Since it is not desirable to expand existing roads or create major new roads, implementing new transit or improving transit capacity and the performance of the existing east-west corridors will be essential to address the east-west travel issue." (page 33)

TAME Coalition requests that these statements be combined into a new, highlighted paragraph under "Focus on Transit and Walkability," in order to give prominence and primacy to this new direction and basis for Montgomery's transportation system.

Thrive 2050 Transportation Goals, Policies and Actions

"Goal 4.1: Get people out of their cars. Transform Montgomery County into a community of walkable, people-centric places where public transit, walking and bicycling are the preferred travel mode for daily trips and the need for personal vehicles is substantially reduced."TAME strongly supports this Goal to get people out of their cars, and the other Goals in this Chapter. We also support the Policies and Actions under this Goal and the other goals.

Thrive 2050 charts a new course for people-centric transportation in Montgomery County. To activate this Policy and set forth on this course, Thrive 2050 must include the Action of removing the proposed M-83 highway from the Master Plan of Highways and Transitways.

TAME Coalition strongly supports the following Thrive 2050 Policy and associated Actions and in particular,

Policy 4.1.2: Plan and implement a high-quality transit network that seamlessly connects the county's activity centers and regional destinations. Make transit the fastest and most reliable way to get between downtowns, town centers and rail and BRT corridors.

Action 4.1.2.b “Update the Master Plan of Highways and Transitways to reexamine whether to create additional rail and BRT corridors and to upgrade all master-planned BRT corridors to run in the median by repurposing existing travel lanes.”

Transit experts have educated us to the fact that in order to succeed, Bus Rapid Transit systems must consist of dedicated lanes for the buses.

Re-opening the MPOHT provides the opportunity and framing for our request:

- **TAME Coalition requests that a new Action 4.1.2.c be added after the above Action 4.1.2.b, (and for the subsequent Actions to be re-numbered.):**

Action 4.1.2.c: “Update the Master Plan of Highways and Transitways to clarify that transit service and walkability depends upon a shift away from reliance upon highways, and towards transit funding, planning, and construction. Accordingly, the update will include removal of the proposed M83 highway (Mid-County Highway Extended) from the Master Plan of Highways and Transitways and from all master plans and sector plans in which it appears.”

Additional Comments on the Vision overview and Focus on Transit and Walkability. (Pages 31 and 32)

We support the overall Vision and its shift from car-centric to people-centric transportation.

In the first paragraph under “Vision for Safe and Efficient Travel,” include walking and cycling in addition to public transit as the new system that county residents have shifted to.

In the second paragraph under this heading, add walking and cycling in addition to transit, as preferred modes of travel.

Detailed comments by the TAME Coalition on the Healthy and Sustainable Environment Chapter of the Thrive 2050 Vision, Goals, Policies and Actions Draft.

Within the Healthy and Sustainable Environment Chapter, is Policy 6.5.2:


Policy 6.5.2: Protect, enhance and increase the coverage, connectivity and health of natural habitats such as forests, non-forest tree canopy, wetlands and meadows through land acquisition, easements, habitat restoration and ecosystem management.

TAME Coalition requests this Action to be included with this Policy:

Action 6.5.2.e: Conduct a study by Parks staff on ways to strengthen protection, public awareness, and non-consumptive enjoyment of, the Climate Resilience Forest of the Upcounty, including North Germantown Greenway Stream Valley Park, Great Seneca Creek Stream Valley Park, and the floodplain forests and parks of Montgomery Village and Gaithersburg, in Whetstone Run, Wildcat Branch, and Great Seneca Creek stream valleys.

The two maps below show this Climate Resilience Forest of the Upcounty, and a view of the two Biodiversity Areas that contain 80 acres of interior forest threatened by the proposed M83 highway.

**Map Showing the Climate Resilience Forest of the Upcounty
in Germantown, Gaithersburg, and Montgomery Village.**




Map source: <https://mcatlas.org/parks/?find=J10>

Map source for map on page below:

<https://www.montgomeryplanningboard.org/agenda/2013/documents/ITEM9AttachmentE-M83ParksMemoFINALDRAFT111413doc.pdf>

Figure 1: Biodiversity Areas Impacted by the Master Plan Alignments



Comment re: Autonomous Vehicles and 5G Networks

In the Vision for Safe and Efficient Travel, (and throughout this Transportation chapter): remove the references to autonomous vehicles. This technology relies upon a high density of radiofrequency radiation networks and transmissions. The health and ecological damages of “3G, 4G and 5G” have been well-documented and were the subject of a Montgomery County lawsuit against the Federal Communications Commission. The need for autonomous vehicles has not been demonstrated, while the health and ecological damages have been well-documented by the [Bioinitiative](#) project.

Conclusions**Thrive 2050 is a Watershed Moment for Montgomery County and our Entire Region.**

Thrive 2050 is the opportunity of a lifetime to put Montgomery County on a new course that is people-centric, life-centric, economically and environmentally just, and climate-resilient. Achieving this shift requires actions to match the good words expressed in the Thrive goals and policies.

To fail to remove M83 from the Master Plan of Highways and Transitways,, to keep it in the Montgomery Village and other Master Plans and Shady Grove Sector Plans, is to enable a future Planning Board, Council and County Executive to initiate the construction of a dinosaur that would damage public health, the climate, and the prospects for Upcounty transit.

Let’s use Thrive 2050 through the correct Policies and Actions, to prevent the unconscionable blunder of building M83 and in so doing, damaging and fragmenting the Climate Resilience Forest of the Upcounty.

Short of that unconscionable blunder, keeping M83 in the MPOHT and other master plans is also a major blunder, since it puts a drag on County decisionmakers in all branches of government, as they struggle to clarify their fiscal, planning, engineering, and other support for Upcounty transit, while others in their circles persist in devoting attention and resources, whether directly or indirectly, to the M83 highway instead of on transit and walkability.

As long as proposed and planned highways including the Mid-County Highway Extended, a.k.a. M83, remain in the Master Plan of Highways and Transitways, future decisionmakers are enabled to build them. By clinging to this obsolete highway project conceived in the 1950s, the presence of M83 in the MPOHT and in local master plans and sector plans, sends a mixed message to planners, residents and fiscal managers, thwarting the shift to a people-centric transportation system including for the residents of the Upcounty, that Thrive 2050 is intending to bring about.

Let's take the action required of us now to remove this obstacle to full-fledged provision of transit and walkability throughout the County, including in the Upcounty. In order to fulfill, and to embody the shift from Car-Centric to People-Centric Transportation, Thrive 2050 needs to include an explicit Policy of "*no new highways*" – and an explicit Action to remove the M83 proposed new highway from the MPOHT and the other master and sector plans in which it appears.

Diane Cameron, Director

Margaret Schoap, Organizer

TAME Coalition (Transit Alternatives to Mid-County Highway Extended)


People-Centric Transportation: Making the Hard Choice

Diane Cameron
Thrive 2050 presentation
Montgomery Planning Board
June 11, 2020






The TAME Coalition, in one form or another, is a group of citizens who've been advocating for the communities of the Upcounty for 40 years. We work closely with coalition partners, including the Coalition for Smarter Growth, Action Committee for Transit, and the Save Ten Mile Creek Coalition. We urge full funding of Ride-On Bus service in the upcounty, BRT including for 355, and MARC train service expansion.




The proposed M83 highway Right-of-Way would destroy or damage 80 acres of forest, which includes 40 acres of parkland. This forest now absorbs floodwaters, air pollution, and CO₂. It cools the air in the summer and moderates cold snaps in the winter. Removing and damaging this forest would increase flooding + CO₂ emissions, & expose thousands of people to exhaust and heat emergencies. **Will you the Planning Commission, permanently protect the forested floodplain of Great Seneca Creek in Germantown and Gaithersburg, and its tributaries including Dayspring Creek, as a Climate Resilience Forest that is critical to the health of local residents?**



MONTGOMERY’S CLIMATE - TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL WORKING GROUP

I headed the Evaluation Sub-Group and performed a spreadsheet analysis of the Sustainable Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Vision for 2035: we examined Baseline for 2019; TDM Vision 2035; and TDM Moderate Vision 2035. The shift to increased walking, biking, and telecommuting is accelerating, in part due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Transportation Decisions are: Climate, Equity, & Economic Decisions



www.350MoCo.org/moco-climate-scorecard

Transportation	
All electric school bus fleet	20
All electric vehicles for County government and RideOn system	35
Public charging stations for electric cars	30
Bus Rapid Transit development	60
Prevent highway and road expansion	50
Address personal consumption	20

Transit service has been part of Montgomery's General Plan since at least the 1960s , but its progress has been much slower compared with highways and roads. So our carbon footprint from transportation is very high. Clarksburg, Germantown, and Gaithersburg residents need more and better options. We must make a big shift rapidly – to provide improved Ride-On Service, Bus Rapid Transit and safely-walkable and bikeable streets for the Upcounty.

Conclusions

- **To embody the shift from car-oriented to transit-served and people-centric, Thrive 2050 needs to include an explicit statement of “*no new highways.*”**
- **It’s not only what you choose to plan and build –**
 - **it’s also what you choose to *not* build –**
 - **and to remove from the plans.**

Thrive 2050 is our once-in-a-lifetime chance to embrace and enhance Montgomery County’s role in natural resource stewardship. Will you – the Planning Commissioners - make the hard choices necessary to maintain our world-class Parks system, especially our Parks’ irreplaceable woodlands, fragile wetlands, and forested floodplains? Will you highlight your role as stewards of the legendary Agricultural Reserve and Upcounty watersheds, including Ten Mile Creek, Dayspring Creek, and the Piedmont Sole Source Aquifer, that are part of our region’s drinking water supply? We hope the answer is Yes.



OFFICE OF THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE

Rockville, Maryland 20850

Marc Elrich
County Executive

August 14, 2020

Dear Chair Anderson and members of the Planning Board,

The attached document presents the Executive branch's comments on the June 11, 2020 draft of the Thrive Montgomery 2050 proposed amendment to the General Plan. Also enclosed is my letter to the County Council asking for a six-month postponement in the General Plan review schedule.

I am requesting the postponement to allow the County to focus its resources in the immediate future on the public health challenges and economic ramifications of the pandemic. Simply put, the Executive departments are otherwise focused on dealing with Covid-19 and would welcome more time to collaborate with the Planning Department to resolve the many issues they identify in the draft plan. Here are my additional comments and questions on the draft plan:

I. The Process—The Purpose of the General Plan, renamed “Thrive Montgomery 2050.”

The General Plan and amendments (1964, 1969, 1993) tell a story of the County's history and growth, presenting a shared vision of the residents, Executive, and Council. The plan's vision is inspiring, concise, and written in everyday language for any resident or member of government to read casually. Until now.

Thrive Montgomery 2050 is a document for planners, weighed down by “planners’ speak,” with far too many goals, policies and actions intended for now and seemingly mandatory. It sets out what MNCPPC is going to do—not the County—with 72 references to “study, create, develop, prepare or initiate” something, all of which are initiated by MNCPPC. One of the Executive departments commented that “There are no actions to ensure collaboration between County departments, municipalities, and agencies that operate in the County.” I hope we can change that.

The draft is confusing - the reader doesn't know whether a recommendation is current policy or brand new. The Executive departments' comments identify the many recommendations that are already County policy, and already being implemented. Again, more cooperation and collaboration would clarify this.

The draft goes well beyond land use with comments unfriendly to community participation in land use matters – see page 39 which states that “Communities hostile to new development have become highly adept at using the public process to fight and block building.” It also makes a recommendation to chill public participation by asking participants to sign a pledge.

Action 2.2.1.d: Create a civic engagement Code of Conduct in partnership with community leaders and request that all Montgomery Planning staff, residents and partners sign and agree to uphold these standards.

A request from the government to sign a document is intimidating. It will chill efforts to seek input from immigrant residents and undermine all of the efforts the County has made over the years to receive input from a wide group of affected residents. And, it is an insult to the thousands of residents who are already participating. This kind of intimidation does not belong in any MNCPPC document, much less the General Plan. Councilmember Navarro showed the County the way to a meaningful public dialogue with the participation she fostered in the Veirs Mill Sector Plan – we should follow her lead.

II. The Substance of the Plan

The essence of this plan is that over the next 30 years the County will achieve Economic Health, Community Equity, and Environmental Resilience if the County commits to more housing in compact dense development in Complete Communities with 15-minute living where lots of services are available within a 15-minute walk or bike ride. The plan focuses on walking and biking as priorities in the County’s Capital Improvements Plan (CIP). It also focuses on adding attainable (market rate) Missing Middle^[1], housing types, with a belief that if enough compact, market rate housing is built, there will be Equity in housing throughout the County. Jobs and economic development seem to be more of an afterthought – page 27 simply states that “the entire Thrive Montgomery 2050 Plan is an economic development strategy.”

There is little discussion of prioritization of resources – something that may come in September when the Implementation Section is added. Resource allocation is a critical concern for me. We will be sending the required Financial Impact Statement to the District Council within 60 days after the Planning Board transmits its Public Hearing Draft to the Council. OMB requests a meeting with MNCPPC and other agencies in order to start this process.

As County Executive, my top priorities for the CIP are transit and housing for the lowest range of incomes. Because transit confers the greater benefit to the greatest number of residents, transit brings residents closer to jobs and increases opportunities for housing that is less dependent on cars. Walking and bicycling are important, too, but they cannot supersede public transportation.

Housing is my other highest priority, as it is in MNCPPC's summary of community outreach for Thrive Montgomery. I am open to all housing types, market rate or otherwise, but the focus should be on housing for those with the greatest need. I do not agree with MNCPPC that merely building more market rate housing will let the County achieve its Equity goals. I am committed to achieving Equity in housing, education, and jobs through a variety of funding and planning tools. These goals have been at the core of my public service.

In order to add Missing Middle Housing, the plan recommends rezoning parts of the single family neighborhoods without being clear about the location, other than a preference for being near transit. The plan is vague, too vague, not only on location, but also on specifics on how the County resolves the stubborn issue of Equity. There needs to be a bigger conversation than the narrow focus presented in the plan. The plan needs to identify generally where this new housing will be located, and then the County needs to come together to put its resources toward making the Equity goal a reality over the next 30 years.

Thrive advocates not just for housing, but housing in Complete Communities, 15-minute living with lots of services within a 15-minute walk or bike ride. The cost of transforming—how many of our communities?—into 15-minute living is not mentioned, nor are the trade-offs. For Equity, are we better off with 15-minute living or investing in early childhood education and schools? This plan is silent on education and on how to assure that there is complete Equity in education, even though that's where the multi-generational benefit is.

There's an underlying assumption that "Complete Communities" are the answer to economic development, but that is not clear, demonstrated or proven. People want to work near where they live if possible, but they also want to live in areas/neighborhoods with good schools, recreation, parks and other amenities. The plan spends lots of time on Complete Communities without explaining where jobs are located, and how jobs are part of the 15-minute living. The plan needs to better explain how Complete Communities fit with our residents' employment.

With regard to the environment, the plan needs to address the full range of environmental concerns—not just climate change—including the environmental impacts of density, even compact density. In particular, there needs to be a more thorough recognition of the need to replace old infrastructure, including stormwater management, sewer, water, roads, bridges and culverts, as well as the infrastructure requirements for the compact, dense housing. And as DEP pointed out in its comments, there must be a much greater emphasis throughout the plan on restoring the tree canopy. There also must be a recognition of the environmental toll of tearing down existing buildings (often mis-labelled "beyond their useful life") to build new buildings.

I am grateful to my Executive Departments for their many thoughtful comments even as they struggle with added responsibilities for managing and defeating Covid-19. I hope you will engage them in discussions of the many issues they raise. I also extend my thanks to the Planning Board and Planning staff who have worked on this plan. With greater cooperation and

August 14, 2020

Page 4 of 4

mutual understanding—and with undivided time for full discussion with the community—I believe we will define a better, more equitable future for all County residents.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Marc Elrich". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Marc" written in a larger, more prominent script than the last name "Elrich".

Marc Elrich
County Executive

ME/mw/ci

c: Gwen Wright, Director of Planning
Khalid Afzal, Special Projects Manager
Tanya Stern, Deputy Planning Director
Caroline McCarthy, Division Chief for Research and Strategic Projects

^[1][Missing Middle is defined as 2-6 unit housing.](#)



Thrive Montgomery 2050 – Briefing on Draft Vision, Goals, Policies and Actions

[KA] Khalid Afzal, Special Projects Coordinator, Director's Office Khalid.Afzal@montgomeryplanning.org, 301.495.4650
[CM] Caroline McCarthy, Chief, Research & Special Projects, Caroline.Mccarthy@montgomeryplanning.org, 301.495.4506
[] Tanya Stern, Deputy Director, Montgomery County Planning Department, Tanya.stern@montgomeryplanning.org
[] Gwen Wright, Director, Montgomery County Planning Department, gwen.wright@montgomeryplanning.org

Completed: 6/4/2020

Introduction:

Montgomery Planning staff presented the draft Thrive Montgomery 2050 Vision and Goals to the Planning Board on April 16, 2020. The Board asked the staff to be bold and more clearly state the hard choices we will need to make if want to achieve the desired outcomes of a thriving county with strong economy, equitable growth and a healthy and sustainable environment. The Board emphasized the need to shift from a car-oriented suburban development pattern to a more compact, transit-oriented, urban form that will give us a wider choice of travel options, encourage a more active lifestyle for all residents, and be resilient in the face of climate change. Staff also received feedback from community and the inter-agency Technical Advisory Group.

The attached draft Thrive Montgomery 2050 Vision, Goals, Policies and Actions report has two parts:

- Part 1 sets the stage for and provides a conceptual framework to the Thrive Montgomery 2050 Plan's draft recommendations. It starts with explaining what Thrive Montgomery 2050 is all about, provides context and background for where we are today, states why the changes proposed in this Plan are the right approaches for the county in the next 30 years, and delineates an overall vision for the county.
- Part 2 contains detailed information about each of the draft recommendations of the Plan organized in chapters. Each chapter includes a summary of issues and challenges; the Plan's vision for the given topic area and pertinent ideas to the issue; and a draft list of goals, policies and actions. Part 2 also includes a brief outline of the implementation chapter to be included in the Thrive Montgomery 2050 Working Draft (September 2020).

Staff is looking for guidance and comments from the Board about both the main ideas and rationale in Part 1 that provide the basis for the detailed set of goals, policies and actions in Part 2 and for the actual goals, policies, and actions. The goals, policies and actions are the first full draft of specific proposals to be included in the Plan. They will evolve over the next couple of months through feedback from the Planning Board, the community, the Technical Advisory Group and other stakeholders.

Next Steps

Montgomery Planning staff will continue collaborating with the community for ideas and suggestions on the next phase of Plan development: revising the vision, goals, policies and actions based on Planning Board and community feedback.

- In September 2020, Montgomery Planning will publish the first full draft of the Thrive Montgomery 2050 Plan, including an implementation chapter, for public review and feedback.
- In November 2020, the Planning Board will hold a public hearing on the draft Plan, followed by Planning Board work sessions through February 2021 to review public testimony and finalize the draft Plan.
- In March 2021, the Planning Board will finalize the draft Plan and transmit it to the County Executive and the County Council for their review and approval.

Thrive Montgomery 2050

Draft Vision, Goals, Policies and Actions

Table of Contents:

Thrive Montgomery 2050 Draft Vision, Goals, Policies and Actions	3
Part 1	5
Introduction and Argument for Change	5
What is Thrive Montgomery 2050?	5
Trends and Challenges	5
Three Major Outcomes	9
A Plan to Thrive - why Thrive Montgomery is the right direction	10
COVID-19 and the Context for Change	12
Overall Vision for Thrive Montgomery 2050	12
Part 2	15
Recommendations—Goals, Policies and Actions	15
1. Complete Communities	16
Issues and Challenges	16
Vision for Complete Communities	16
Complete Communities Achieve Multiple Goals	17
Goals, Policies and Actions	18
2. Connectedness	20
Issues and Challenges	20
Vision for Connectedness	20
Social Interaction and Connectedness	21
Goals, Policies and Actions	21
3. Diverse Economy	26
Issues and Challenges	26
Vision for a Diverse, Robust, and Equitable Economy	26
A Diverse Economy is a Resilient Economy	27
Goals, Policies and Actions	27
4. Safe and Efficient Travel	31
Issues and Challenges	31
Vision for Safe and Efficient Travel	32
Focus on Transit and Walkability	32
Goals, Policies and Actions	33
5. Affordability and Attainability	38
Issues and Challenges	38

Vision for Affordability and Attainability	39
Build More Housing, of More Types, in More Ways	39
Goals, Policies and Actions	40
6. Healthy and Sustainable Environment	47
Issues and Challenges	47
Vision for Healthy and Sustainable Environment	48
Urbanism as the Key to True Sustainability	48
Goals, Policies and Actions	49
7. Diverse and Adaptable Growth	54
Issues and Challenges	54
Vision for Diverse and Adaptable Growth	54
Diverse and Compact Growth	54
Goals, Policies and Actions	56
8. Design, Arts and Culture	59
Issues and Challenges	59
Vision for Design, Arts and Culture	59
Good Design, Art & Culture Build Resilient Places	60
Goals, Policies and Actions	61
Implementation Chapter	66
Moving from Vision and Goals to Implementation	66
ATTACHMENT 1: Predominant Race or Ethnic Group, 1990-2016	68
ATTACHMENT 2: Household Family Types 1960-2018	69
ATTACHMENT 3: The County is Mostly Built-Out	70
ATTACHMENT 4: Land Use Distribution	71

**COMMENTS FROM THE EXECUTIVE
OMB, DHCA, MCDOT, MCPL, OAS, DGS, MCFRS, DEP, MCPD, DTS, DHHS**

AUGUST 13, 2020

Part 1

The County Executive recommends that the Montgomery County Council postpone its consideration of the General Plan for at least six months because of the County government's need to continue its focus on the pandemic and economic turndown; the lack of urgency to enact a 30-year plan; and the difficulty in getting true public input. While Zoom meetings have been used with some success, they do not allow the kind of interaction and exchange of ideas that occurs during in-person meetings. They are also inaccessible to some people (for example, in the rural parts of the county with limited broadband service) and have been subject to technical difficulties, including hacking. Furthermore, as you will see below, the Executive departments have raised many serious concerns about the proposals in the draft plan. An extension of time would allow for greater collaboration between the Executive departments and MNCPPC to address these very consequential issues.

Introduction and Argument for Change

The Argument for Change section characterizes the General Plan update as residential and transit environmental oriented, without adequately addressing the critical need to integrate employment planning in order to address the income side of the housing cost/burden challenge. The focus on residential identifies the challenges and solutions as: i) limited land available for production due to current zoning; ii) cost burdens and equitable access to ownership and amenities as a function of supply limitations, and iii) increasing the younger population for economic vibrancy.

The discussion on page 5 of stagnant wages is the only reference in this Introduction to the Diverse Economy goals and policies addressed later in the document. This section should discuss in greater detail the Diverse Economy goals and policies to clearly establish that wage and employment growth have equal priority with the other goals of this Plan.

Thrive Montgomery 2050 is in essence a plan for more market rate housing, more specifically Missing Middle housing. Because there are no prerequisites for this additional housing, the rezoning and building of compact dense housing could occur much before schools and transportation are built. And it is unlikely the housing will be affordable to the residents who need it most—those in the lowest income ranges. Furthermore, the housing may not be affordable for those with moderate incomes in the areas of the County where land is the most expensive, thus negating the equity goals that are a central tenet of this plan. This plan needs to explain how it will achieve the admirable goals it strives for, and focus more attention on the housing needs of our most vulnerable residents.

What is Thrive Montgomery 2050?

Montgomery County is a great place to live, work and play. But it didn't happen by accident. We planned for that! The great communities, places and spaces of Montgomery County were shaped by planners and community members decades ago through the [1964 General Plan](#). They include our vibrant parks; quality schools; desirable urban, suburban and rural communities; and the Agricultural Reserve.

For Montgomery County to continue to thrive—and become an even better place and community for all—we must make those big decisions again and take bold steps for the future. Rapid social, environmental, technological, demographic and economic shifts over the next few decades necessitate revisions to Montgomery County’s guiding framework for growth, called the General Plan.

The General Plan update, Thrive Montgomery 2050, builds upon the foundation set by the 1964 General Plan **[as amended by the 1969 and 1993 Plans]** and all subsequent plans and policies. It will modernize the original plan’s [Wedges and Corridors](#) concept (self-contained corridor cities connected by a transportation network) and refine it for the next 30 years to ensure its relevance for tomorrow’s challenges. It will need to accommodate new growth in a highly developed county and harness technological innovations for the county to thrive in the future.

In the past, Montgomery County planning has been based on offering land use choices over its 507 square miles. See the 1993 Plan—Geographic Components of the General Plan Refinement. “The General Plan Refinement divides Montgomery County into four geographic components: the Urban Ring, the Corridors, the Suburban Communities, and the Wedges...The geographic components envisioned in this Refinement effort have their genesis in the 1964 General Plan.” P. 20, see also Figure 7 on p. 22.

The draft does not explain why this plan omits any discussion of the suburbs or rural areas. Will suburban and large lot rural areas still exist in 30 years, or is this plan proposing that for the next 30 years all development will be compact and dense?

In the next draft, please clarify whether this plan still supports the geographic areas of Suburban Communities and the Rural Wedge as part of the future of this County. If not, what does the plan support for those geographic areas? The plan should include a schematic map.

Thrive Montgomery 2050 isn’t about reinvention. It’s about adapting to new realities and shifting the way we think about how the county should grow. Change is inevitable. What is not inevitable is how a community reacts to change. Thrive Montgomery 2050 envisions a county that is more urban, more diverse, more connected. This vision includes a high quality of life for existing residents while also welcoming new residents and new ideas.

Trends and Challenges

In the discussion that follows, the portrait of Montgomery County is not always complete, and the identified problems are without context, even though these problems are found throughout the country. It would be helpful to know whether the County is doing better or worse than the rest of Maryland, the region, and/or comparable counties throughout the country. This introduction needs more context and more facts, so that the County can more easily assess its weaknesses and strengths, and then address them.

Today, Montgomery County faces economic, demographic, climate and technological changes, among other trends, and related challenges that we should prepare to address:

Recent sluggish economic growth requires that the county strengthen its competitive advantages in the global economy. Chief among the challenges facing the county is economic competitiveness. While assets like the federal sector and a strong life sciences industry have helped the county build a solid economic foundation, those dynamics are changing. Federal spending slowed since the 2010 spending sequester. Competition is greater for well-paying jobs nationally and internationally. The county’s job growth in the past few years has been sluggish. The overall number of jobs in the county increased since the Great Recession of 2008, and finally

returned to the pre-recession peak by 2017. Continuation of this trend threatens greater fiscal burdens that will likely constrain the county's ability to continue to provide its high level of services.

It is essential that Thrive Montgomery 2050 identify the financial challenges posed by the recommendations of this Plan. During the last 12 years, growth was supposed to accompany the massive up-zoning of the County – and nothing happened. There is no analysis here of what the impediments are to businesses locating here. We are zoned for millions of square feet of new office, we have at least 10 million square feet of vacant commercial that's been sitting here since the onset of the last recession so space is not the problem, rents are not out of line regionally, and taxes on new development are possibly the lowest in the region.

All prior plans have recognized that their recommendations should be considered within the County's ability to provide the necessary funding, albeit over a long period of time—30 years. Funding will be addressed in the financial impact statement that the County Executive is required to prepare within 60 days of the Planning Board's transmittal of its draft to the District Council.

It is difficult for OMB to quantify the fiscal impact of this General Plan. It is not clear if the implementation plan will have more detail. The fiscal analysis of this draft appears to involve both additional costs as well as some possible revenue impacts. It is recommended that MNCPPC staff meet with Finance and OMB staff to begin conversations about how a fiscal analysis will be approached.

In addition to slow job growth, Montgomery County hasn't escaped the wage stagnation that has affected the national economy. Locally, only two industry groups—education, health and social services; and professional, scientific and management services—experienced growth in wages (2% annually between 1990 and 2016). The county's median household income peaked at \$111,492 (inflation-adjusted 2018 dollars) in 2007 and has not fully recovered from the Great Recession. In

2018, median household income was \$108,188. For an economy to thrive, an adequate supply of attainable housing must be supported by the earning potential of available jobs.

It's unclear why the median household income declined (e.g. are more people retiring, are more lower income households moving into the County, has household size declined?). Understanding the answers to these questions might lead to different definitions of problems to be solved. Have the incomes of County residents over the last 10-20 years skewed in a different direction from incomes in surrounding counties? What is the mismatch between jobs available and the skill level of residents?

As the county's population grows significantly more diverse, new approaches are needed to meet people's unique needs, ensure equitable economic opportunities, and facilitate broad civic engagement. In 2018, people of color comprised 57% of the total population making Montgomery County more diverse than the nation (39.8%), Maryland (49.8%), and the Washington, D.C. region (55.1%). The proportion of people of color is projected to steadily increase to 73% in 2045.¹

There are significant gaps in the quality of life of various racial and ethnic groups in the county. For example: according to a Montgomery County Office of Legislative Oversight (OLO) report 2018-8, *Racial Equity in Government Decision-Making: Lessons from the Field*², "despite high rates of high school completion (70%-98%) and employment (73%-78%) among all groups, Blacks and Latinos were more than twice as likely as Whites to be unemployed and have household incomes below the federal poverty level. Blacks and Latinos were also 33%-41% less likely to own their homes and Black and Latino children were 6-7 times more likely to live in poverty."

High school completion doesn't necessarily mean that students have acquired the competencies necessary to compete effectively in the evolving economy.

The draft needs to clearly identify new policies originating in this draft. Right now, it is difficult to tell whether a policy recommendation is brand new or already being implemented. In the later section of recommendations, Executive departments have identified many action items that are already underway.

The county's overall diversity is not reflected at the neighborhood level. Our neighborhoods are largely separated along income (OMB: It would be useful to have maps that show income distributions) and racial lines ([ATTACHMENT 1](#)), which has far-reaching implications for people of color at the lower-income levels as well as the county as a whole. This geographic separation also keeps people of different ethnic/racial and economic backgrounds from interacting with each other as neighbors on a daily basis outside of work.

Is this different from any place else in the region? How do we compare? How do Park and Planning and zoning policies which focus on expensive high-rise development around metros continue to foster economic and racial segregation?

Diversity is a great asset that furthers the county's economic competitiveness, attracting businesses and residents that prefer diverse, inclusive communities. While the county contends with constrained fiscal resources, we must provide equitable access to high-quality education, job training and other opportunities and services. These resources will ensure that existing and new immigrants and residents of color are not disproportionately impacted by economic hardships and can participate in, contribute to and benefit fully from future vibrancy in the county's economy.

The county's demographics are changing in other ways as well. For example, the percentage of householders living alone has increased from 7% in 1960 to 25% in 2018 ([ATTACHMENT 2](#)). This

trend has huge implications for the kind of housing that is needed in the future as well as services and amenities to support a different mix of household types in the past.

How much of this is rooted in the millennial wave having hit strong economic headwinds that studies show have delayed marriage or other committed relationships and child-bearing? It's not safe to extrapolate the increased percentage of people living alone as a permanent condition, when it may only reflect a delay in family formation.

Housing costs and other living expenses have become increasingly unaffordable due to lack of supply growth and flat wages, which poses a threat to economic growth and competitiveness. The Washington, D.C., region is an expensive place to live. Montgomery County's reputation for a high quality of life with great amenities has made it an attractive place to live, but its affordability issues and housing shortages make it a challenging environment for living and doing business, which threatens our economic health.

Housing affordability is one of the biggest issues facing Montgomery County. As previously mentioned, median household income is slowly recovering from the Great Recession of 2008. Annual growth in construction costs increased in the range of 3% to 4% per year during the past five years. Annual building permits issued since 2015 continue to drop below 4,200 permitted units,

¹ 2018 American Community Survey, 1-year estimate; 2017 Age, Sex, and Race Projections, State Data Center, Maryland Department of Planning.

² Racial Equity in Government Decision Making: Lessons from the Field, Office of Legislative Oversight, 2018.
https://www.montgomerycountymd.gov/OLO/Resources/Files/2018%20Reports/OLORReport2018_8.pdf

approaching recession levels. This combination of stagnant income growth, high construction costs, lack of new housing supply, and the high cost of housing has impacted cost burden and homeownership rates.

What is driving the high construction costs, and how feasible is it to help bring these costs down? Are costs tied into materials transport, and expanding local production sources might assist? Or are costs a result of fitting within limited right-of-way in dense areas, or a lack of staging areas? How do regulations and the time from submittal to approval affect costs? How much has zoning contributed to rising construction costs, by encouraging developers to defer utilization of land until prices support this more expensive development model. The increase in land prices that flows from increasing the development potential of land, leads to more expensive construction techniques and ultimately higher consumer prices, which in turn fosters economic segregation. There is no balance in the kind of housing stock we try to create in urban areas when the singular focus is on density.

Cost-burden is rising in Montgomery County, especially along transit corridors. Nearly one in every two Montgomery County renters is cost-burdened, spending more than 30% of income on housing. The net number of cost-burdened households also increased by nearly 10,000 households since 2010.³

Homeownership is important to wealth building and combating housing instability, but many of our residents cannot access these benefits primarily due to the increasing gap between incomes and housing costs. The household income required to afford the median home value in Montgomery County is nearly \$20,000 more than the actual median household income in Montgomery County.⁴ Homeownership rates have declined for all age groups since 1990, except for those over age 65. The decline of homeownership is most dramatic for households under age 35. Single family housing uses approximately one-third of the county's land area and provides a great quality of life that many of our residents cannot access because housing costs too much. Many of our single-family homes are underutilized (32% of households are "over-housed"⁵) and few homes in transit accessible locations are attainable for middle income residents who want to purchase their first home.

This ignores that many people prefer to age in place, in their neighborhoods, and that many are unwilling to take on new debt when they may already own their homes or still have very low mortgage payments dating to the cost of housing when they bought it.

Housing affordability is as much an economic issue as it is a housing issue. A lack of affordable housing for a younger generation of skilled workers can make it harder to attract high-paying jobs to the county.

A zoning policy that prefers the creation of small units unsuitable for raising children exacerbates pressure on the existing housing stock if young families seek larger homes as their family grows. Simultaneously acting to reduce the supply of single-family homes only further adds to the competition for what remains in that portion of the housing stock. Evidence is that families leave the County to find single-family homes and townhouses in more affordable areas and if there is market demand for 3 or 4 bedroom apartment units it is not evident given the lack of new supply. In fact, 3 and 4 bedroom apartments in new development are more expensive than buying a house with a yard in most of the County.

An aging population, without a commensurate increase in younger workers, means lower average household incomes and changing needs for social services. The county's 65-plus population, including a large generation of baby boomers, is expected to increase from 10% of the total population in 1990 to 21% in 2045. The aging population may put downward pressure on household incomes. Over the next 10 years, the baby boom generation—ages 66 to 84 by 2030—

Draft Work Product for Planning Board Review on June 11, 2020

will enter their retirement years, most likely with lower household incomes after leaving the workforce. In 2018, about 18% of the county's households had retirement income averaging \$46,745—one-third of the county's average income of \$147,917. With the movement of the baby boomers out of the workforce, the number of adults age 65 and older as a share of those of working age is expected to increase from 24.2 in 2020 to 31.2 in 2030. According to the International Monetary Fund, "An aging population and slower labor force growth affect economies in many ways—the growth of GDP slows, working-age people pay more to support the elderly, and public budgets strain under the burden of the higher total cost of health and retirement programs for old people."⁶ As this senior dependency ratio rises, additional financial pressure is placed on employed residents to support the dependent older population.

³ *Housing Needs Assessment*, Montgomery Planning, 2020. <https://montgomeryplanning.org/planning/housing/housing-needs-assessment/>

⁴ *Housing Needs Assessment*, Montgomery Planning, 2020. <https://montgomeryplanning.org/planning/housing/housing-needs-assessment/>

⁵ Defined as householders that live free and clear in homes with more than two excess bedrooms. *Meeting the Housing Needs of Older Adults in Montgomery County*, 2018. <https://montgomeryplanning.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/Meeting-the-Housing-Needs-of-Older-Adults-in-Montgomery-County-Final-5-18-18.pdf>

⁶ *Cost of Aging*, International Monetary Fund, 2017. <https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/fandd/2017/03/lee.htm>

At the same time, the high cost of housing makes it challenging to attract younger workers to replace the baby boomers who are leaving the workforce. Workers and companies with choices to go elsewhere may look to more affordable cities that offer a similar quality of life. We need to maintain strong economic growth with a more productive and well-paid workforce to support an aging population.

Our zoning policies preclude affordable housing for young workers, particularly those who are not in the higher paid professions, and we require only MPDUs which don't address the most pressing housing needs. As a result, households with incomes below 65% of AMI wind up occupying the lowest priced housing available, often the MPDU priced housing (though most is market affordable rather than controlled). They compete for these units, often spending 50% or more of their income, with households that can afford that price range.

A combination of factors including the size of the county and the splintered, car-oriented land use pattern have made social connectedness and cohesion challenging both countywide and within smaller local areas. Montgomery County is large with many different types of communities. People have very different experiences in the county based on where they live and work. The car-oriented land use pattern of the past few decades reinforced the suburban pattern of neighborhoods separated and defined by income, limiting diversity in our interactions. Knowing your neighbors and having a diverse and robust social network with opportunity for interaction contribute to a feeling of welcome, safety and support. Polarization in today's society—characterized by a lack of trust in government, civic institutions, and other social and ethnic groups; and fear of change—can be linked to how we conceive of “us” and build our communities. According to *Design for Health* by the University of Minnesota, “Studies show that different measures of social capital (e.g., increased levels of trust, political participation, knowing one's neighborhoods, participating in a protest, voting in elections, etc.) are supported by different built environments.”⁷

This is confusing. What should the reader conclude about Montgomery County? From our viewpoint, Montgomery County seems like every other area in the region (if not the country). The problems identified with “built environments” exist in both DC and Baltimore, true cities, despite being far more urban and less car-oriented than we are. Home prices and rental costs remain the great dividers today, long after we've eliminated the discriminatory housing practices of the past. That said, we have many diverse mixed income single-family neighborhoods, both in areas built in the 1950s and since then. They should be valued not only for their diversity but also for their relative affordability.

If we continue to build as we have in the past, we will become more separated along socioeconomic lines leading to a lower quality of life for all parts of our society. We need to make our neighborhoods more diverse so there is greater daily interaction among people of all backgrounds, incomes and races/ethnicities. And we need to cultivate a greater understanding of the need for social cohesion as the foundation on which we build respect for diversity.

Recent Planning Board master plans and Council's zoning decisions in urbanized areas of the County have not addressed the issues you raise. We need an analysis of which neighborhoods have what degree diversity and affordability. This will most likely reveal that planning decisions on the west side of the County only reinforced the lack of diversity through land use decisions that have driven housing costs out of reach for many. Through judicious use of its planning powers, the Planning Board has the ability to shape the cost of residential development and the degree of affordability. That should be the first step taken to address the stated problems.

Montgomery County will benefit from greater diversity in its neighborhoods. In order to achieve that objective, we need to have a better understanding of why it has not happened already. We do not want to repeat the mistakes of the past.

Trends in public health and well-being indicate a growing need for a healthier lifestyle.

Economic factors and the physical environment greatly contribute to length and quality of life. In 2018, 61.3% of adults in Montgomery County were overweight or obese and that figure had increased 7.9 percentage points since 2015. Analysis of data by zip code in Montgomery County shows that five of seven zip codes with incomes in the lowest quartile also have the lowest average life spans. Opportunities to eat well and be active are constrained by the quality of neighborhoods and the availability of services and opportunities. Although low-income residents are more likely to suffer from negative health outcomes for several reasons, all residents can benefit from an active lifestyle to improve their health. Parks and open spaces provide opportunities for active recreation and encourage physical activity. But our increasingly sedentary lifestyle is also caused by using cars for every trip no matter the distance in our suburban neighborhoods. A renewed emphasis on transit and walking and biking in compact communities with more parks and open spaces are needed to encourage a more active lifestyle.

Better health and well-being are worthy goals, but it isn't clear how this plan proposes to change what is already on the ground in a county that is mostly built out. We should take a realistic look at how we can provide additional transit, biking, and walking opportunities in areas where it makes sense without conveying the idea that residents living in areas that require trips by car are somehow problematic.

With limited undeveloped land available to accommodate new growth, the county must shift its focus to redevelopment and reuse, which requires a different set of public policies and approaches to implement. Today, approximately 85% of the county's land area is constrained by environmental and human-made factors leaving only about 15% of land available to accommodate future growth ([ATTACHMENT 3](#)). (**OMB: Attachment 3 is hard to understand. There is no labelled key to the map.**) The 85% figure includes a little more than 36% of the land area being used by single family homes (single-family attached and detached, town houses and the roads serving these homes) ([ATTACHMENT 4](#))

There is no analysis of the scope of master planned development in the County, where it's located, its proximity to transit and to what extent it should/could meet future demand. All of the projected growth is rooted in analysis of the capacity of our master plans and timing assumptions that Planning makes in terms of when they anticipate development to happen. The housing in these plans exceeds the projected housing growth and the same is true for commercial development – so what does this plan have to do with our Master Plans?

The statistic that 85% of the County's land area is constrained by environmental and human-made factors is confusing. What are human-made factors? It appears to be single family homes, attached and detached, town houses, and the roads that serve them. This land is not constrained in the true sense. In fact, much of it is being redeveloped with new single family homes—at least 848 new homes have been built to replace teardowns in the down county.

⁷ Design for Health, Building Social Capital with Comprehensive Planning and Ordinances, University of Minnesota, 2008. http://designforhealth.net/wp-content/uploads/2012/12/BCBS_SocCap_0408.pdf

At the same time, our housing needs continue to grow. Montgomery County currently has 1.05 million residents. The county's population growth rate averaged below 1% this decade and is expected to decline even further over the next 30 years. However, the population is still projected to grow to 1.2 million by 2045, an increase of just under 200,000 people from today. Unless we grow our housing supply to make room for these new residents, our existing communities will become more expensive, less diverse and integrated, and it will be difficult to attract and retain a skilled workforce.

No analysis of existing zoning. The capacity to house people already exists and is primarily along existing and planned transit lines – both rail and BRT.

Even if we had more space to expand, continuing the current pattern of development is not desirable as it will lead to more time spent traveling in cars generating more greenhouse gas emissions, increasing our cost of public services, decreasing access to services and social interaction for elderly and the poor, and segregating more neighborhoods.

Climate change threatens all aspects of life.

As explained in the section on the Environment, climate change is only one of several serious environmental challenges.

Climate change caused by greenhouse gas emissions has emerged as a major issue in the last few decades. It threatens human and environmental health. Impacts of climate change will imperil food systems, property and infrastructure, with ripple effects hampering commerce and imposing extra costs on businesses and residents. Disadvantaged communities and vulnerable populations are disproportionately harmed by these impacts. Public health issues are intertwined with the quality of the environment and will be exacerbated by climate change. Higher temperatures have health implications that are already evident in cities. Climate change will affect the occurrence of infectious diseases. And it may impact our housing and infrastructure as well as restrict access to care.⁸

Climate change will require that we make significant investments in upgrading our infrastructure to withstand the threats of extreme weather and other disruptions. These improvements will put extra burden on the county's financial resources. However, proactive climate adaptation and mitigation are necessary to avoid even greater damage and higher costs to repair and restore.

Three Major Outcomes

The Thrive Montgomery 2050 update we plan with the community will guide policy and investment decisions in the decades to come. Three broad outcomes form a [strategic framework](#) for this Plan. These outcomes are also the three main challenges and are impacted by current and future trends, such as demographic shifts, economic forces, technological innovations and climate change. Taken together, these outcomes embody a long-term vision for the county that Thrive Montgomery 2050 will help to achieve. They are intertwined and will affect all aspects of life in the next 30 years and shape our conversations and analysis:

Economic Health: We want to ensure a vibrant, strong and competitive economy by attracting and maintaining major employers, continuing to enhance our federal campuses, supporting small businesses and innovation, and attracting and retaining a high-quality, diverse workforce. A strong economy is essential to provide financial support for rebuilding our infrastructure, providing services needed for an aging and diverse population, and be able to address the issues of inequality and the threats of climate change.

What economic analysis has been done to assure these outcomes?

⁸ "Health impacts of climate change," Rudolph, L., Harrison, C., Buckley, L. & North, S. (2018). Climate Change, Health, and Equity: A Guide for Local Health Departments. Oakland, CA and Washington D.C., Public Health Institute and American Public Health Association., 2018 https://www.apha.org/-/media/files/pdf/topics/climate/guide_section4.ashx?la=en&hash=118F4FD2E4719EF51A76C0B0865BAEF57BEB7EDB

Equity: We want to create a place where all residents have equal access to attainable housing, healthy foods, employment, transportation, education and more. racial and ethnic diversity has outpaced the county's overall population growth since the 1990s, steadily increasing the percentage of people of color in the total population, projected to 73% in 2045. This increasing racial and ethnic diversity is an opportunity to turn this demographic shift into our social and economic strength. It also means that as we become more diverse, we do not become separated into our safe ethnic enclaves and avoid contact with the "others".

Nothing is proposed to deal with the specific shortfalls in affordable housing, instead it's a version of the market will solve this – the DC region has been talking about a crisis in affordable housing since the 1980s, and no amount of building in any or all jurisdictions has succeeded in stemming the loss of affordable housing.

Environmental Resilience: We want to use the best strategies to fight climate change and mitigate the impact of both planned changes and unexpected events and continue to preserve our natural resources. Climate change is major threat to both humans and environmental resources. Impacts of climate change imperil food systems, property and infrastructure, with ripple effects hampering commerce and imposing extra costs on businesses and residents, as well as loss of special and disruption of natural ecosystems. Disadvantaged communities and vulnerable populations are disproportionately harmed by these impacts.

A Plan to Thrive - why Thrive Montgomery is the right direction

Thrive Montgomery 2050 believes that the way to address these challenges and to plan for growth is to embrace smart urbanism, encourage social connectedness, provide for great open spaces and active lifestyles, create "Complete Communities" and connect these communities with dynamic corridors that are safe, functional, beautiful and have a mix of uses and housing types.

It is not clear what "Complete Communities" means. See general comments below.

When the [1964 General Plan](#) was written, much of Montgomery County was undeveloped. It made sense to lay out broad planning principles based on the "Wedges and Corridors" concept of self-contained corridor cities connected by transportation networks to guide the growth of the county over the ensuing 50 years. The county is entering the next phase of its evolution from a bedroom community to a complex jurisdiction with major employment centers, urban hubs, stable single-family neighborhoods, rural landscapes and a diverse population of more than a million people.

Growing over the next 30 years while keeping our focus on the three major outcomes requires us to refine our current planning framework, not abandon it. We must build upon our strengths and experience of creating mixed-use neighborhoods around our Metro stations. We must learn from what has proven to work over time and apply these principles of smart growth--a compact form, a mix and diversity of uses, walkability, and a focus on good design-- to create great places across the county.

Because Montgomery County is mostly built out in terms of available land—and we need to accommodate the projected new population growth—the way we think about growth needs to change. We need to think about growth happening on sites that we never thought of as possible for development. "Parking lots to places" is one important way of thinking about accommodating this growth, but we must also reexamine our other existing developments.

Draft Work Product for Planning Board Review on June 11, 2020

There are a number of critical themes of the change that is needed and recommended in Thrive Montgomery 2050, which are worth highlighting and explaining.

An emphasis on **compact development and urbanism** is essential. First, we don't have the land available for sprawl. Second, more compact development—along with the mix of uses that make Complete Communities—will allow for transit, walking and biking as the primary modes of travel. Third, this will enhance efforts for active lifestyles and social connectedness. Fourth, this form of development—coupled with green roofs and other stormwater management techniques—will help to de-pave the county and provide more environmental resilience.

How would the County be de-paved by this plan? More specifics would help make this clear.

What is meant by “de-pave”? We suggest adding conservation of existing natural resources as an additional goal. De-pave seems to imply removing impervious surfaces. There is no way to convert suburbs into this type of community without a total restructuring of the whole County, and doing so assumes that this is what our residents want.

Corridors are the place for new growth and by concentrating all new growth along existing and planned rail and Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) corridors these areas can change from places that separate communities into destinations that connect neighborhoods and destinations and have a mix of housing and commercial building types. This additional density will require change in existing single-family neighborhoods, but will also provide more neighborhood services to those single-family neighborhoods **(It would help if there were more specifics regarding what is meant by increased density and what are considered neighborhood services.)** and will provide the density needed to allow for the expansion of transit options. Equally useful as opportunities for connection, it is important for green corridors to be enhanced and expanded to connect the county via trails for transportation in the form of walking and cycling.

The local shopping centers, not the big malls, provided close by shopping for basics to most communities built in the County. It meant a stop on the way home, or a short-trip when necessary for food and other convenience shopping. The design helped minimize trips and any addition of retail to the interior of suburban neighborhoods will require drive in customers because the low-density in the walkable area will not support commercial development. It is very different than in the urban areas, say Van Ness in DC where retail along Connecticut is supported by apartments and then single-family neighborhoods behind them – something easy to accomplish in a grid-system of streets but very difficult to accomplish in the suburbs.

Transit, walking and biking are key to achieving the three main outcomes of strong economy, equity and environmental resilience. **We must stop planning for cars. This is overstated. As noted before, there are times when cars are more practical. In addition, transit will also be using roads as well as some microtransit options (e.g. Uber, neighborhood transit) and mail order delivery trucks.** We need to focus on safely moving people, not automobiles, while prioritizing walking and cycling as both transportation and exercise. **(Public transit should be prioritized over walking and biking for the non-15 minute travel.)** It goes hand-in-hand with the idea of Complete Communities and a compact form of development to have more of our destinations nearby and reduce our reliance on automobiles as the main mode of travel. In addition to moving more people with a smaller footprint, transit use also encourages more walking and greater social interaction.

In the COG conversation today, it's a 10 minute walkshed of a half-mile or less, not 15 minutes. There is nothing complete about a community that doesn't house its residents or that looks at a present crisis and thinks the market will solve it.

Public transit is also the best way to reduce our per capita and overall Vehicle Miles Travelled (VMT), which will help **reduce our greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions**. In Montgomery County in 2015, transportation accounted for 41% of GHG emissions, after residential and commercial buildings combined at 51%.⁹

Montgomery County needs **more housing attainable for residents at all income levels**.

Attainable housing is market rate housing. This is needed to fulfill not only our goal of economic health, but also our efforts towards community equity. The county will need a multi-prong strategy to increase the supply of all types of housing in order to put downward pressure on housing prices and rents. **(The County has tried the “trickle down” approach to housing supply, and that has not worked.)** This will require taking a comprehensive look at impediments to increasing the housing supply, a will to change current policies when necessary, and an acceptance by all that more housing and new residents are a benefit to the county and the region. It will also require reexamining our zoning and other controls to create more mixed income and diverse housing in existing communities, most of them dominated by often expensive single- family housing.

One ought to look at the market and what it’s telling you. First zoning was the problem so we up-zoned. Up-zoning drove up land costs, higher land costs drove the need for higher yields and made building smaller, less expensive units undesirable given that the land cost is based on the zoned potential and won’t get adjusted if one decides to build less than the maximum.

We need to allow **some single-family neighborhoods to evolve into communities with a greater mix of housing types** that provide less expensive options for our growing population and for existing residents—making our communities more equitable for all.

There needs to be more specificity when suggesting a transformation of single-family neighborhoods. What different housing types, and where? Are the housing types compatible with existing homes? A duplex, for example, might be while a high-rise would not. Have you assessed the potential impacts of changes on homeowners who choose to retain their property as is? Has this been done in other jurisdictions similar to Montgomery County? If yes, with what results? There are many single-family neighborhoods in the County – which should be changed? . Why is this approach more effective than using land use and zoning powers to provide more – and more affordable – housing near already existing infrastructure?

⁹ County Greenhouse Gas Inventory, Montgomery County Department of Environmental Protection, 2018.
<https://www.montgomerycountymd.gov/green/climate/ghg-inventory.html>

the county and the region includes a variety of cuisines, arts, music and performance venues, nightlife, art galleries and museums, cultural institutions and nonprofits, and numerous other entities. These make the county and the region attractive to large employers and industries who want to recruit a younger, professional workforce. Foreign-born residents also have created businesses that contribute to the county's economic base and create jobs for county residents.

We need to **champion the importance of place**. As the county moves to more infill growth and as we emphasize Complete Communities, we must remember that planning is about creating and enhancing great places – both in the public realm and in new private development. We must use good design to create communities, buildings and open spaces of lasting beauty that encourage imagination, foster social engagement, build a stronger sense of community, and create economic value.

Montgomery County is part of two larger regions—the Washington, D.C., and Baltimore metro areas—but we are not as physically or institutionally connected within them as we should be. Close to 40% of county residents have jobs in some of the major employment centers nearby in Washington, D.C.; Northern Virginia; and Fort Detrick, Fort Meade, Columbia, Frederick, College Park and Baltimore in Maryland. Issues such as lack of housing supply, affordability, economic competitiveness, transportation and climate change **require regional solutions and strategies**. We share these issues with our neighbors throughout the region so we should address them together.

COVID-19 and the Context for Change

The global coronavirus pandemic has caused a radical shift in the life and work of communities nationwide. While the full impact of this crisis is not yet known, it is certain that it will be a factor in how Montgomery County evolves in the future. COVID-19 will have lasting impacts on how we interact with one another, how we do business and much more. This crisis underscores the importance of an updated General Plan as it highlights how unpredictable the future is. It has forced us to adapt, even if temporarily, to new realities unthinkable a short time ago. For example, before the coronavirus crisis, only about 6% to 7% of the workforce worked remotely full-time. What if in the post-COVID-19 era the share of people working remotely goes up 20% or 30% or more? OMB: How would that circumstance inform our assumptions about the need for commercial real estate and the amount of transportation capacity needed to employment centers? What kind of impact will it have on traffic congestion? How much will greenhouse gas emissions decline? It may be unthinkable today but COVID-19 has demonstrated that this kind of change is not only possible but quite feasible. Since it is futile to predict the future, we need to be flexible and nimble and be prepared to work with multiple possibilities and try to influence the outcomes in our favor.

The COVID-19 crisis, still unfolding as we write this plan, places new emphasis on two concepts that we have included from the beginning: equity and resilience. Related to equity, the pandemic exacerbates social and economic disparities that existed prior to the crisis. The concept of resilience, while frequently used in the environmental context as a response to climate change, is also about developing policies that help communities withstand economic and social challenges.

Overall Vision for Thrive Montgomery 2050

The objectives of ensuring available housing in walkable compact development for our full range of incomes (price points) is important. The challenge of ensuring that availability is how to ensure our full range of incomes and workers will be accommodated. The developments will need more than current MPDU level incomes and required distribution of 12.5-15%. The development of market rate mid-rise and smaller multi-unit buildings will be lower

cost development and provides the potential for a lower starting point for the subsidies that are needed to address the full range of incomes represented by our employment distribution. The goal of ensuring a full range of incomes having access and opportunity in these compact developments needs affirmation.

CE: Market rate “missing middle” housing is not affordable to the lowest range of incomes in Montgomery County. The plan needs to emphasize the County’s commitment to providing housing for those most in need throughout the County, rather than relying on market rate housing to produce equity.

In 2050, Montgomery County is a vibrant and welcoming place where all people thrive with equitable access to attainable housing, healthy food, opportunities for physical activity, parks and open space, employment, education, services and a variety of travel options. No longer a suburban bedroom community, Montgomery County has diversity in population, in living and working experiences, in modes of travel, and in natural and built resources. People from all over the world choose to live, work, grow and age here.

Specifically, Montgomery County in 2050 is made up of a web of Complete Communities connected by vibrant corridors. Individual and unique centers of neighborhood activity and urban nodes optimize land use with a variety of housing types and price points. Housing is located close to transit, workplaces, needed goods and services, public amenities and active park spaces. These Complete Communities are connected to the rest of the county by two types of corridors: multimodal transportation and services; and green parks, stream valleys and trails.

This pattern of sustainable growth and development creates multiple benefits for Montgomery County and results in a county that is:

- **Urban.** Much of the county has grown in the 21st century with a compact form of development. Some buildings are mid- and high-rise, but there is also a large number of other housing types such as duplexes, triplexes, small apartment buildings and courtyard housing. Most people can live without a car and meet daily needs within a short walk, bike ride or transit trip. Residents have easy access to retail, schools, libraries, community centers, parks and trails. Various housing types in each neighborhood offer the convenience of aging in place. Compact form of development has proven to be better for the environment resulting in improved stream water quality. Community members have easy access to healthy, locally grown food.
- **Attainable, affordable and suitable.** Access to affordable housing that aligns with every stage of life is a necessity, not a luxury. Increased housing density leads to social, economic and racial integration of neighborhoods in every part of the county. Households have fewer or no cars and reduce their miles driven, thereby cutting expenses and benefiting the environment. Housing closer to workplaces and safe, affordable transit options save time and money and reduce greenhouse gases.
- **Socially connected.** People feel a sense of shared values and a stake in each other's well-being. Residents feel welcome in public places to meet neighbors or spend time with family and friends. More gathering places and connections to nearby communities reduce social isolation and form stronger communities. Less time commuting and traveling for basic necessities provides more time for connecting with family and community.
- **Active.** County residents enjoy an active, healthy lifestyle. Feeling connected to the outdoors and their neighbors boosts their physical and mental health. Every resident has walkable access to opportunities for social engagement, physical activity and quiet contemplation, whether in parks or other public spaces. The county's built and natural resources are designed to encourage vigorous physical activity. Fewer cars, using clean energy, have resulted in drastically reduced greenhouse gas emissions.
- **Safe.** More options for walking, cycling and transit reduce the need for a car. Pedestrian lives are prioritized over speed. Neighbors know each other and look out for each other. A mix of populations of different ages, household types cultures, and a mix of uses has contributed to reduced crime and an increased sense of security both day and night.
- **Inclusive.** Various housing types at a mix of price points in Complete Communities and along rail and BRT corridors accommodate diverse populations and help achieve diversity and integration on a neighborhood scale. Residents have a say in how their neighborhoods look and feel. Planners engage everyone in decision-making about the future of communities.
- **Flexible.** Residents have a variety of choices when selecting their preferred community setting

and housing type. The bulk of new residents live in more dense, urban areas. Concentrating new growth in already developed areas makes the best use of the county's available land and infrastructure, and helps to protect the environment. Flexible regulations and zoning controls result in a vibrant mix of residential and commercial uses.

- **Resilient.** Our community embraces the need for flexibility and adaptability to channel change in ways that strengthen us instead of overwhelming us. Our social and political institutions help build consensus in our decisions. We combat climate change by creating denser developments near transit resulting in reduced vehicle miles travelled; expanded use of transit services; and adoption of innovative and cost-effective environmental policies and technologies. Our actions reduce greenhouse gas emissions and air and water pollution. We seize opportunities in technological advancement. Multimodal transportation options lead to a more resilient transportation system by creating redundancies during disruptions.
- **Competitive.** The county retains and attracts large companies, small businesses and high-quality educational institutions. We embrace the cultural, racial/ethnic and linguistic diversity of our community as a competitive advantage, particularly in attracting employers recruiting staff who want to live and work in a diverse community. Montgomery County's forward-looking economy offers a variety of stable and well-paying jobs. All residents have the education and economic opportunities to develop skills and obtain work that sustains them and their families.
- **Inspiring.** Emphasis on design, arts and culture enhance appreciation of the county's diverse residents, workers and visitors. The county is an exciting and fun place that offers multiple choices for leisure and entertainment. Its vibrant arts and entertainment industry is a major contributor to economic development. **CE: Where does this come from?** Our parks, trails and open spaces are focal points for community placemaking and cultural identity and allow for a mix of physical activity and contemplative experiences.

This vision of how we should grow over the next 30 years respects the original "Wedges and Corridors" plan, with a greater emphasis on a compact form of development and the role of corridors as places to grow. It continues to protect and honor the Agricultural Reserve—a nationally recognized planning landmark that provides economic and environmental benefits. It also involves making a series of adjustments and refinements in the way we live, work, relate and play. It will require changes in our laws and regulations that shape our built environment. We will need to make tradeoffs that may not be easy. Realizing our vision will require a sustained commitment over the next decades from residents, community groups, businesses, developers, nonprofits and government. Inaction is not an option. If we're successful, we'll have an equitable community in an economically healthy and environmentally resilient county.


Part 2

Recommendations—Goals, Policies and Actions

The Thrive Montgomery 2050 Plan is organized into eight themes that relate to the three primary outcomes for the Plan: Economic Health, Equity and Environmental Resilience. These eight themes are the foundation for Thrive Montgomery 2050's Goals, Policies and Actions that will guide the county over the coming decades:

- Complete Communities
- Connectedness
- Diverse Economy
- Safe and Efficient Travel
- Affordability and Attainability
- Healthy and Sustainable Environment
- Diverse and Adaptable Growth
- Culture and Design

The issues are intertwined, impacting each other in complex ways, so they must be addressed together, not independently. Each relates to the three major outcomes noted above—Economic Health, Equity and Environmental Resilience. Their relationships are illustrated in the following graphic.



1. Complete Communities

Issues and Challenges

The county's current development pattern of separation of land uses grew out of the dominance of the automobile as the primary mode of travel. Starting after World War II, separating residential areas from retail, community services, office districts, and even parks became a popular planning practice in suburban development.

Separation of land uses left us disconnected and dependent on our cars. Our car-oriented culture contributes significantly to greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Impervious surfaces of roads and parking lots pollute our streams and increase flood risk. For many in our county, commutes are long and hard due to lack of affordable housing near transit and because jobs are concentrated in certain areas of the county. Our single-family neighborhoods are frequently not walkable, bikeable or accessible, particularly to people with disabilities partly because they don't have connected sidewalks, bike paths and trails, but also because generally there are no corner stores, services or places to walk to nearby.

Decades of planning, zoning and market preferences for suburban living had profound effects. Today there are few remaining vacant or greenfield properties. Approximately 85% of the county's land area is constrained by environmental and other factors leaving only about 15% of land available to accommodate future growth. County zoning and subdivision regulations—as well as restrictive covenants—have created neighborhoods defined by one housing type or another: single-family detached, townhouses, garden apartments, and mid- or high-rise rental apartments. Zoning techniques like minimum lot size requirements in single-family neighborhoods created uniform housing, which resulted in neighborhoods defined primarily by income.

Though Montgomery County is among the most diverse counties nationwide, the overall racial and ethnic diversity of the county is not reflected at the neighborhood level in many parts of the county. Access to better-paying jobs, high-quality housing and education, healthy foods, parks, recreation and open spaces, and high-quality healthcare and other vital services also varies dramatically by geography and demographics.

The lack of housing diversity by unit type and size is also a significant burden for the county's older adults. Most would continue to live in the same neighborhood where they raised their families if there were options to downsize. However, the current pattern of development forces them to continue to stay in larger houses they don't need or move to locations away from their families and social support network.

Vision for Complete Communities

By 2050, Montgomery County is no longer developed around automobile usage. Instead, the county is made up of a series of people-focused complete communities, each designed to reflect their urban, suburban or rural context. While complete communities in urban centers look and feel different from those in suburban neighborhoods or rural villages, each complete community shares defined features that contribute to a high quality of daily life, regardless of location. Complete communities include a built environment with a mixture of uses; diversity of housing for all ages and abilities; and parks, trails and open spaces where people from different backgrounds can gather, be active and live healthy lifestyles. Each complete community allows safe and comfortable walking, rolling and biking access to destinations and integrates nature, arts and culture into its streets,

buildings and infrastructure. Complete communities also provide access to food, healthcare, education and transit. Complete communities are equitable, anchor a strong economy and function sustainably to mitigate the impacts of climate change. Complete communities are loved by all residents and are places where the people of Montgomery County can thrive for generations to come.

Complete Communities Achieve Multiple Goals

The concept of Complete Communities is a critical component of Thrive Montgomery 2050. It permeates all other General Plan themes and sets the foundation for a more sustainable and equitable future. A compact form of development with a variety of uses and housing types affordable to a range of incomes is needed to address increasing inequality in our society. It will also encourage more walking and biking and address climate change at the neighborhood level. (See more on the compact form of development in the Diverse and Adaptable Growth chapter). Creating complete communities with more people near parks, supermarkets, cafes, schools, libraries or other local services and amenities will not only provide more vibrant and lively places, it will also encourage a more active lifestyle, increase social interaction and reduce our carbon footprint.

Is the plan proposing to build more amenities (e.g. to existing neighborhoods) or cluster housing near the amenities we have? One problem with decentralizing services is that your costs increase and likely you would have smaller scale facilities. (The PROS Plan is a clear example of an instance where the County was moving in a direction of having larger, nicer recreation facilities with more on-site offerings vs. increasing the number of smaller neighborhood centers.) While it would be preferable for everyone to have a recreation center or library within easy walking distance, it would be cost prohibitive to construct and operate that many facilities. Again, it's not clear what's intended or whether the private or public sector is anticipated to provide these amenities.

At its core, a complete community will be ethnically, racially and economically diverse as well as include a mixture of housing types, uses, amenities and services accessible by walking, biking and transit. Complete communities in urban, suburban, and rural areas will have distinguishing characteristics unique to each community and be based on factors such as the size of the community, proximity to transit, parks and public facilities, variation in physical features such as topography and environmental resources and the unique history and building form of each neighborhood.

Complete communities in urban areas will include every element necessary to support daily life within a 15-minute walk, with a mix of uses and high-density commercial and residential development near Metrorail and Purple Line stations. Complete communities in suburban areas will be located around and near MARC and Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) stations and will support a mix of uses as well as moderate density housing, including types such as duplexes, triplexes, and low-rise multifamily buildings. Complete communities in suburban and rural communities will be supported by microtransit and characterized by a variety of uses, amenities and housing types to meet daily needs.

Currently, many places in the county have elements of complete communities. The Town of Kensington, for example, is a good example of a complete community in a suburban area. It has a diversity of housing types ranging from single-family to multifamily and senior housing, a diversity of services and amenities including offices, neighborhood serving retail and services, parks and a train station all within walking distance. Its main challenge, however, is that it is currently divided by major streets which are not walkable and safe for pedestrians and bicyclists. And its housing is very expensive. Lyttonsville is another neighborhood with some elements of a complete community, including a shopping center, restaurant, park and elementary school. The town of Damascus, on the other hand, is a good example of a rural community with some of the features of a complete

Since most of the county is already built out, creating complete communities means retrofitting our existing neighborhoods to accommodate new uses, housing types, services and amenities, and creating walkable and bikeable connections where none exist today. This will take a long time. And it will require changing some of our long-held practices and values about what is important to us,

including decades of preservation and protection of single-family zones, and what kind of change we would be willing to accept. We should also be open to future opportunities for making our communities complete that are not currently feasible or available. Today's market dynamics, for example, do not support corner stores and coffee shops in smaller, off the main road locations. But as more and more people work remotely, there may be more demand for neighborhood coffee shops and even local work sites (such as shared workspaces in more urban locations) that people can walk or bike to. At the same time, these neighborhood places of gathering could also address the need for interaction and socializing with others.

Goals, Policies and Actions

Goal 1.1: Retrofit existing communities and create new communities where people can meet their daily needs without a car.

Policy 1.1.1: Allow and encourage a variety of uses within communities, with sufficient density to make these uses viable, so that people can experience 15-minute living. Every resident should have the opportunity to live, work, play, exercise, shop, learn and make use of public amenities and services within a 15-minute walk or bike ride.

Need more explanation about Complete Communities and how they (1) measure 15 minutes, and (2) what the target needs might include.

The 15-minute communities will require decentralization and duplication of County services, which is, for fiscal reasons, contrary to County policy for Recreation Centers and Swim Centers.

There is a significant implied assumption that most people will live and work within this 15-minute radius to make these transportation ideas fully realizable. That may not be realistic – particularly for multi-earner households. Perhaps if telework increases this will work but the plan appears to ignore this reality.

Action 1.1.1.a: Initiate a Complete Communities Functional Master Plan to assess neighborhood needs and gaps in the uses/services that would result in a broad array of complete communities throughout the county within the urban, suburban and rural context.

More detail about what's to be included would be helpful. When assessing needs for County services in individual master plans, MNCPPC should consult with the relevant County departments and agencies to understand what they perceive the needs to be.

Action 1.1.1.b: Initiate master, sector and corridor plans to analyze and apply complete communities on a neighborhood-scale. Identify strategies to increase overall neighborhood diversity and enhance single use areas through land use, transportation, public space and urban design improvements. Integrate the natural and built systems that are necessary to achieve complete communities.

Action 1.1.1.c: Review and revise the zoning categories and requirements in the Montgomery County Zoning Code as needed to accommodate a variety of uses and densities within complete communities.

Policy 1.1.2: Prioritize walking and bicycling as the highest priority mode of transportation in communities and the most critical infrastructure needs through master plans, future development and county funding of Capital Improvements Program (CIP) infrastructure projects. Mass Transit should be given the highest priority because of its ability to facilitate more extensive travel that will be necessary for a significant part of the population and because it is the most

efficient in transporting more people. When prioritizing CIP projects, a high priority also must be given to preserving the existing infrastructure.

Public transportation is the highest priority. The plan should always list transit first. If the Bicycle Master Plan, estimated to cost \$3B, is given priority, then public transportation will probably not be completed during the life of this Plan.

Policy 1.1.3: Create diversity in housing types by allowing residential buildings of various densities and types suitable for their urban, suburban and rural context for people at every phase of life.

Action 1.1.3.a: Further the [Missing Middle Housing Study](#) by identifying options and implementation strategies to increase the variety and density of housing types in areas zoned for single-family detached and semi-detached housing, particularly in areas located within a 15-minute walk or bike ride of rail¹⁰ and Bus Rapid Transit (BRT).

Is there any geographic/policy limitation for the proposed rezoning of single-family detached and semi-detached housing in this Plan? The description in the plan suggests a hierarchy of the locations suitable for rezoning, but not a clear boundary. There is a significant distance variation between a 15-minute walk and a 15-minute bike ride.

Policy 1.1.4: Encourage co-location of essential services such as schools, medical clinics, daycare centers, libraries and recreation centers within communities to make access to public services and amenities more convenient and accessible by walking and bicycling from home.

The County already has a systematic policy of co-location. The change here is to decentralize essential services.

The Executive looks forward to continuing this work and the work from the 2018 Colocation of Public Facilities study with partner agencies.

The Wheaton Library is co-located with the Wheaton Recreation Center, as is the Damascus Library and the Damascus Senior Center.

Co-location offers many benefits – cost savings for land, programmatic synergies, and time efficiency for residents. Existing programs already routinely look at integrating housing, childcare, health facilities, and other shared uses. Parks property has been and should continue to be an important resource for co-locating facilities – e.g. recreational facilities near schools.

There is a direct correlation of calls for police services and development/population density. Going with a more densely developed community which focuses more on public transportation and less on the automobile will result in MCPD making adjustments in how it configures its resources to provide an appropriate level of service. For example, police facilities may need to be more decentralized so that they are embedded within the community to foster better engagement with the citizens. However, staffing these police facilities with a smaller service area may create fiscal challenges.

The policy recommendations to co-locate services to create “complete communities” is an important consideration. Of the 37 existing fire stations, only 14 are county- owned. Redevelopment of any of the existing station properties could have a negative impact on the LFRD-owned stations. The County has previously identified the need for new stations and we constantly review and analyze operational response and the magnitude of risk that exists within any given part of the county. The availability of land to build on impacts the cost and the size of a new station, which can also impact the types of apparatus that can be located at that station. The County is open to design ideas, contests, and incentives for co-location, but cannot afford to overlook the many factors that determine location and placement of stations and resources.

Action 1.1.4.a: Implement innovative school design on more compact parcels of land and in adaptively reused buildings to promote walkable schools.

Support these efforts.

Goal 1.2: Orient communities around convenient social gathering places accessible to neighborhood residents by walking and biking.

Policy 1.2.1: Locate and design public buildings, including parks, libraries, recreation centers and schools, as places where social gathering is encouraged. Promote walking and biking to gathering places. Assure that the architecture and the site design are civic in nature and promote social gathering.

Action 1.2.1.a: Work with county agencies including Montgomery Parks, Montgomery County Department of General Services (MCDGS), Montgomery County Department of Transportation (MCDOT) and Montgomery County Public Schools (MCPS) to develop best practices manuals that maximize the public benefits of existing and new assets through co-location, redevelopment, consolidation, redistribution, partnerships and a focus on design excellence.

The Executive looks forward to continuing this work and the work from the 2018 Colocation

Action 1.2.1.b: Promote design excellence in public buildings through programs like design competitions and priority funding in the CIP budget.

While the Executive supports the idea of design excellence, we have questions about the reality of design competitions and how they would work with County regulations, notably procurement. We also recognize that financial resources will be particularly constrained for the foreseeable future and would like more information about “priority funding” for design excellence.

OMB concurs that design should be considered but given the extensive needs, a desire to rectify past equity problems, and limited resources, design elements should not be used as an excuse to overly inflate project costs.

Policy 1.2.2: Preserve and support unique gathering places and sites that enhance neighborhood character and reflect local culture. Acknowledge and physically connect complete communities to their past through preservation and placemaking in historic and cultural sites, especially in locations where the past intersects with the experience of historically marginalized communities.

Goal 1.3: Promote active lifestyles by making parks and open spaces a central element of the community.

Policy 1.3.1: Ensure all people in urban and suburban communities have access to parks or public spaces that provide opportunities for vigorous physical activity, social engagement and quiet contemplation or connections to nature within a comfortable 15-minute walk from their homes.

Action 1.3.1.a: Employ the [Energized Public Spaces Functional Master Plan](#) to identify opportunities for new parks or open spaces, such as publicly owned land, property acquisition or public-private partnerships to more equitably serve communities with limited access to parks and public spaces.

Goal 1.4: Equitably distribute the benefits of land use planning and public and private investment throughout the county. Ensure that no community is disadvantaged by a disproportionate share of adverse impacts from future land use decisions and investments.

Policy 1.4.1: Eliminate disproportionate adverse impacts affecting communities and avoid creating new adverse impacts from future land use policies and capital investments.

Action 1.4.1.a: Conduct a racial equity and social justice impact analysis when planning, designing and budgeting for new community facilities such as libraries, recreation centers, schools, parks and public infrastructure to determine whether the new amenity will be accessible to nearby residents of all backgrounds and will reduce any existing inequities in access.

Action 1.4.1.b: Advance racial equity and social justice in master plans, studies and projects. Develop metrics to monitor implementation of recommendations that advance racial equity and social justice and measure outcomes.

2. Connectedness

Issues and Challenges

Humans innately desire connection with other people. Social engagement and participation in community and civic life is critical to our well-being. However, land use patterns; lifestyles and work styles; automobile dependence; isolation caused by poverty, age and linguistic differences; and fewer traditional public gathering spaces all limit our ability to connect with each other.

Our sense of connectedness and participation in real-life communities are at all-time lows, as is trust in each other and in government and other institutions. The places where we live, work, connect and play don't always facilitate and encourage social interaction and acceptance of all community members. We are losing our traditional gathering places such as local hardware stores, bookstores, places of worship and other places of social interaction. Even when there are such destinations nearby, they are not easily accessible except by driving. Both the private and the public sectors are moving toward consolidation of private places into larger facilities in fewer locations. This includes retail centers and public facilities like recreation centers, libraries and other amenities. It is done primarily to save costs but at the expense of reduced opportunities for connectedness. This trend reinforces the already dispersed development pattern with significantly greater impact on lower-income populations and the elderly who don't own cars or are unable to drive anymore.

As previously noted, it may be necessary to have more scaled back facilities (i.e. not as nice), in order for it be possible to afford to build and operate more facilities if we are to contemplate significantly ramping up the number of local amenities. Alternatively, perhaps the private sector can provide some of these amenities.

Additionally, it is not convenient or accessible for everyone to participate in planning processes. Historically, inherently complex development processes have favored those with power and influence. The causes of this disparity are many: decision making that focuses on land acquisition cost without weighing the unseen costs to low-income communities, language barriers, lack of time and access to transportation to attend meetings, distrust of government institutions, and lack of provision for residents with disabilities.

Vision for Connectedness

Montgomery County is a place where every resident feels a sense of shared interests and values as well as a sense of belonging. It is a place where people of all backgrounds, cultures, ages, abilities, incomes and interests easily cross paths, exchange ideas and work together to improve their communities. Montgomery County builds on the importance of people, places and communities by strengthening the role of the public sector, in particular through its role in shaping the built environment, in promoting social interactions that increase trust, cooperation and belonging. Our built environment creates an atmosphere of acceptance of all people. Public spaces are community social centers where residents interact, enjoy each other's company, exchange ideas and collaborate to improve the community with a strengthened sense of connectedness and

social capital.¹¹ Our communities are safe and inclusive, empowering all residents to fully participate and benefit from living in Montgomery County.

Opportunities to unite the community and build social capital expand beyond land and building design. Montgomery County seeks social, environmental and racial justice by strengthening relationships that bind our communities together rooted in the values of dignity, civility, mutual respect and inclusive democracy. Essential technology that connects us, like internet networks, are accessible to all, instead of only the privileged. Community capacity-building and civic engagement takes place through deliberate, sustained outreach to a diverse body of stakeholders and shared leadership. This ensures that all residents have a voice and influence in planning and policy processes and in decisions to create an equitable future.

Social Interaction and Connectedness

The design of our communities can greatly influence whether and to what degree community cohesion and social interaction take place. Creating a higher degree of connectedness and social interaction, however, is both a physical and operational endeavor—we need both the hardware and software to complete this task. We need the built environment to be able to not only accommodate, but also encourage and make it easier for people to meet others and engage in social activities. For that, we need the right mix of housing types, nonresidential uses and places of gathering connected through easy and convenient walkable and bikeable paths and trails. This kind of physical layout is embodied in the Complete Communities idea described in the previous chapter. And as highlighted in that chapter, creating places that encourage social interaction will require retrofitting our existing neighborhoods through a sustained effort over a long time and constant attention to replacing archaic planning and zoning processes with a new and flexible regimen of land use and development decision making.

The second part of this endeavor—entities and processes that will create and support social activities and programs—is also critical to achieving social cohesion and interaction. It will require a cultural mind shift by all parts of the society to accept and support the idea of more diverse and cohesive neighborhoods. And it will require both the public and private sectors to contribute technical, financial and human resources to achieve the Plan’s vision. It will also require strong participation by residents in the community building process. The current land use and development decision making process needs to be improved to make it more accessible and inviting to all residents. **(Unfortunately, trying to develop a General Plan during a pandemic does not provide the best opportunity for this community input.)** Overcoming the barriers to greater participation will require collaborating with neighborhood organizations, cultural groups, religious institutions and other organizations with deep roots in the community.

Goals, Policies and Actions

Goal 2.1: Create strong neighborhoods and communities that foster communication and interaction among residents and create a culture of inclusion.

Policy 2.1.1: Use public space to facilitate active lifestyles, physical connections and interactions among diverse populations. Ensure each neighborhood has public spaces that establish a culture of inclusion and that encourage people to linger.

Again, hard to know what is envisioned. Would a neighborhood playground built by a private developer provide this type of gathering space – or is a full blown community center envisioned?

¹¹ Social capital refers to the institutions and mechanisms whereby residents relate to and interact with each other to solve problems for the common good, and have a feeling of safety and well-being supported by ample opportunities for social interactions. Social Capital Formation in Rural, Urban and Suburban Communities, University of Kentucky, 2013. <https://ageconsearch.umn.edu/bitstream/159102/2/s474%20Social%20Capital%20Debertin%20complete.pdf>

Action 2.1.1.a: Develop an index and metrics to measure the availability and performance of places that support inclusive social and civic networks. Emphasize the principles of universal design in the criteria for this index.

Action 2.1.1.b: Amend appropriate zones in the Montgomery County Zoning Ordinance to incentivize and prioritize design features in private development projects that facilitate day- to-day interactions. These features could include wide sidewalks, inviting and well-designed public gathering spaces, outdoor seating and lawn areas, and pathways and trails.

Much of our recent development has seemed to focus more on creating small pocket public spaces. This may be fine for office break areas, but small pocket public spaces do not meet the vision of civic gathering spaces defined in this section.

Action 2.1.1.c: Develop incentives to promote designated heritage areas and historic sites and other properties with historical and cultural significance. Connect these sites with bike and pedestrian paths.

Policy 2.1.2: Ensure that every community has a network of inclusive, safe and accessible public parks, trails and other recreational spaces that connect neighborhoods, increase opportunities for social interaction, encourage active lifestyles, and connect residents to nature.

Is this envisioned to be significantly more than the Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plans currently anticipate? (The Bicycle Master plan in particular was quite extensive and many of the bicycle facilities are shared use.)

Assure that paved trails and bicycle paths, as impervious surfaces, have the appropriate stormwater management, and tree canopy.

This policy needs a definition of safe and accessible public parks, and also recreational spaces. In many communities there is little land available for parks or recreational spaces.

Action 2.1.2.a: Study and identify all publicly owned underutilized spaces (e.g., buildings, rights-of-way, parking lots, garages, etc.) throughout the county that have a high potential for being transformed into parks and public spaces.

The Executive regularly reviews County assets to identify underutilized spaces for a variety of public needs. If this is intended for all public agencies then the document should specifically cite the agencies (Parks, MCPs, WSSC, HOC, etc.)

What is meant by public spaces? Could this be for non-parks uses such as libraries, schools, recreation centers, childcare, etc.? I recognize the benefits of parks and trails but there are also other public needs that don't seem to be getting much of a mention. These types of facilities also increase social connections.

Action 2.1.2.b: Study the walking and transit accessibility of the existing network of parks and trails to identify opportunities to improve accessibility to parks and trails for all residents.

Action 2.1.2.c: Update the park classification system in the state-mandated strategic plan for parks, recreation and open spaces to reflect the evolving roles of parks in urban and urbanizing areas as platforms for social gathering, active and healthy living, and connection to nature. Utilize principles of universal design so that a wide range of ages, abilities, and cultures feel welcome and included in parks and public spaces.

Action 2.1.2.d: Create new design typologies for park facilities in urban areas such as community gardens, dog parks, skate parks, playgrounds, community open space, and picnic

and grill spaces. Include guidance in the new typologies that the designs should reflect the culture and traditions of the communities where they are located.

Action 2.1.2.e: Create a “Nature Access Action Plan” that enables all residents to live within a 15-minute walk from a park or green space by 2035.

Policy 2.1.3: Design public infrastructure (e.g., streets, pathways and trails) such that social gathering, recreation and active living are major priorities. Use public art, seating, historic preservation and other placemaking techniques to create distinctive community-based places. Make these spaces safe, attractive, informative, convenient and easy to access for all ages and abilities. Promote active lifestyles and social interaction among diverse community members.

Action 2.1.3.a: Create a pedestrian infrastructure improvements priority list in coordination with county and state transportation agencies in order to implement walkability standards in communities underserved by safe, walkable infrastructure. Use the Pedestrian Master Plan and the mapping analysis of community equity emphasis areas to inform this list.

Action 2.1.3.b: Inventory and map opportunities along streets, paths and trails for neighborhood storytelling that celebrates underrepresented communities. Create tools to visualize, showcase and share these stories. Erect interpretive signs and create new heritage walking or bike trails that celebrate local culture and history.

Goal 2.2: Build civic capacity within communities and make government planning and decision-making processes accessible, transparent and easy for everyone to participate in and understand.

Policy 2.2.1: Build relationships and foster trust with community leaders, recognizing their knowledge of community dynamics. Develop protocols in consultation with community leaders for regularly engaging community members, even when master plans or projects are not underway in their neighborhoods. Help to build civic capacity to ensure true neighborhood representation.

Action 2.2.1.a: Launch an educational program, such as the Citizen's Planning Institute that includes basic courses for residents and all stakeholders to increase understanding, accessibility, and participation in planning, regulatory, and zoning processes.

Action 2.2.1.b: Conduct a review of neighborhood-level civic representation organizations with effective power-sharing structures—drawing from national and local examples—to inform the creation of a new neighborhood-scale civic framework in Montgomery County.

It is not appropriate for either MNCPPC or the County to “review” civic organizations in order to “inform the creation” of new neighborhood-scale civic organizations. This idea is reminiscent of employer-dominated “shop committees” that employers offer as substitutes for unions.

Action 2.2.1.c: Develop a placemaking toolkit to continue and enhance community-led initiatives for placemaking, neighborhood identity and social enrichment. Periodically update the toolkit in close consultation with community leaders.

Would this be like the charrettes that were used for the Bethesda Downtown Plan? Those were a failure because the organizers tried too hard to influence the recommendations of the residents. It is better to talk freely with and listen to residents to learn what they need to improve their daily lives.

Action 2.2.1.d: Create a civic engagement Code of Conduct in partnership with community leaders and request that all Montgomery Planning staff, residents and partners sign and agree to uphold these standards.

Remove this recommendation. Under no circumstances should MNCPPC ask residents to sign a civic engagement Code of Conduct. This is an intimidating, Big Brother idea that will discourage participation by our immigrant residents and by others who do not normally participate in government sponsored events for whatever reason. This shows poor judgment. It should not be part of a Montgomery County General Plan, or in any government policy document.

Policy 2.2.2: Remove barriers to community engagement and improve engagement of diverse participants including renters, people with disabilities, people of color, people experiencing language barriers and others who are underrepresented in civic life.

Consideration must be given toward remote access to public information: ensuring that materials are available, accessible, convenient, and understandable. Using online means of seeking input, or holding online public meetings. This can enable more people to attend, but must be mindful of the Digital Divide.

Action 2.2.2.a: Conduct a biennial community outreach and engagement survey about awareness of, participation in and satisfaction with Montgomery Planning's outreach efforts. Set a baseline to track data over time and determine whether strategies to engage communities improved and if so, the extent of improvement.

Action 2.2.2.b: Develop a civic engagement toolkit to guide each Master Plan's Civic Engagement Plan. Include guidance on the development of demographic-based outreach strategies, equitable civic engagement, guidelines for accessibility (including scheduling and location of activities, translation and childcare), and methods for evaluating and adjusting engagement practices.

Action 2.2.2.c: Launch a required cultural competency training program for Montgomery Parks and Planning staff working on functional plans, master plans and special projects to ensure the departments effectively engage residents from diverse communities, especially historically marginalized and immigrant residents.

Policy 2.2.3: Share information and increase transparency with residents, businesses and community organizations.

Action 2.2.3.a: Use technology to gather public comments and feedback during the development of master, functional and park plans, and the review of regulatory cases. Use technology to inform the public about the status of recommendations in approved and adopted plans, and to track the efficacy of implementation.

Action 2.2.3.b: Develop strategies and tools to meet people where they are and to exchange information effectively. Employ tools such as digital technology/media protocols and an assessment of technology penetration within different neighborhoods and communities.

Action 2.2.3.c: Continue branding and marketing campaigns to increase public awareness of the role of Montgomery Planning and Montgomery Parks in the county and the impact on residents' lives.

Montgomery Planning and Parks do not need branding. Residents need to be informed about how all of their government works, including the Executive Branch and the County Council. The General Plan is for the County, not MNCPPC.

Goal 2.3: Improve access to neighborhood-based services such as housing, jobs, professional and government services, educational opportunities, and parks and open spaces at the local, countywide and regional level. Focus on county residents with the greatest socioeconomic needs and for those who are geographically isolated from these services.

Policy 2.3.1. Ensure a network of equitably distributed, easily accessible neighborhood-based services, ideally within walking or biking distance of residents' homes to allow for increased social connections.

It's really unclear what types of services are being proposed. To the extent this requires additional County facilities as previously noted, there are costs to decentralizing service delivery. With that said, during the pandemic there has been a groundswell of remote service delivery that is good for the environment, convenient for residents, and still provides needed services. More remote access services might decrease the need for some local services delivery.

Action 2.3.1.a: Complete a countywide study mapping the distribution of public and private neighborhood-based services relative to underserved communities. Produce equity-access metrics at the local level to be evaluated and monitored in a timely manner.

The Executive supports these efforts.

Action 2.3.1.b: Evaluate the equitable distribution of public services and new development across the county and make recommendations for retrofitting or investing in underserved communities. Emphasize decentralized, neighborhood-based facilities for services.

The Executive supports equitable distribution of public services and new development across the County. Decentralized, neighborhood-based facilities run contrary to other public documents i.e. Recreation Facility Development Plan 2010-2030. Identifying resources to retrofit and invest in more neighborhood-

Action 2.3.1.c: Survey county residents to identify disparities in access to quality services by area or region. Consider adding questions to surveys conducted by other government agencies such as the biennial Community Livability Survey of residents conducted by the Montgomery County Executive Office.

We are now required to do some of this by the Racial Equity and Social Justice Act.

Goal 2.4: Use technological innovation to increase community connectedness and resilience for all residents. The Executive **is already doing the items described in the Actions under 2.4.**

Policy 2.4.1: Equitably distribute new and existing communication and information technology to better connect areas of the county that have limited access. Technology may include hardware, software and network infrastructure.

Action 2.4.1.a: Inventory the use and availability of existing and emerging technology within disconnected communities to ensure that all residents have equal access to information infrastructure. Use the analysis of community equity emphasis areas to create a map of technology access deserts and a list of priority areas for bridging the digital divide.

1. The Executive has created a mapping tool initially to track households taking a broadband speed test and has added 2013-2018 American Community Survey data information to display Census Block Groups identifying areas with the largest number of households without home broadband or computers. Additional filters are available to layer CBG with lowest per capita income and largest number of Black and Latino population, most adults over age 64, persons under age 18, and persons over 25 without a high school degree.
 - a. The Executive estimates there are 39,650 households in the County without broadband (10.7%) and 18,188 households without a computer (4.9%)
 - b. Areas with the largest number of households without broadband service are largely in Gaithersburg, Wheaton and Silver Spring, but there are small pockets scatter in Aspen Hill and East County as well. However, broadband service is available in these areas.
 - c. More resources to make people aware of low cost broadband programs (\$9.99/month), training to help identify ways that savings generated by home broadband use could offer the cost of service, and subsidies for access and devices for a small percentage of underserved communities is needed.
2. The Executive has mapped deployment of broadband in rural areas. In addition, Verizon has been awarded a \$3 million grant to provide broadband in the wealthiest and most densely populated areas of the Agricultural Reserve. The Executive estimates that there are 475 properties without access to wireline broadband service in the Agricultural Reserve outside of the Verizon grant area. Most of these areas are within 1 to 2 miles of existing Comcast and Verizon networks.

Action 2.4.1.b Identify poor coverage area “dead spots” in rural areas that are suitable for network expansion and information technology installation.

The Executive has developed a mapping tool enable the public to self-report dead spots. The County has consistent argued to the Federal Communications Commission that wireless providers should be required to address underserved rural areas if community are required to grant access to urban areas for new small cell deployments, but the federal government has largely ignored this request. Wireless service providers remain opposed to investment in rural areas. However, the federal government has awarded a contract to AT&T to provide a public safety network and this has resulted in additional deployment in the rural areas of the County to address 911 response times.

Policy 2.4.2: Meet the diverse technology needs of people in typically disconnected groups such as seniors, lower-income households, people with disabilities and people with cultural and/or language barriers.

Action 2.4.2.a: Facilitate digital literacy programs that enable people to find, evaluate, utilize, share and create content using information technologies to increase resident confidence and efficiency of use. Consider employing multigenerational approaches and informal community-based programs such as student community service hours to facilitate improving digital competency.

The Executive launched the Senior Planet Montgomery Program to provide technology training for older adults, and partners with the Department of Recreation’s TeenWorks Tech Connect to provide youth employment and intergenerational training.

- Over 3 years, Senior Planet Montgomery has provided training to enable older adults to use the Internet for daily living, to open small businesses, and to fully participate in the digital economy. The program has service 1,418 unique participants, 715 have graduated from multi-week courses, 1,684 have taken lectures and workshops (2,399 total). In response to Covid-19, Senior Planet Montgomery has provided 124 Zoom classes to 4,776 participants in one quarter.
- The Executive has reallocated funding to grow support from \$120,000 in FY17 to \$180,000 in FY21. However, the Executive estimates that a blend of government and corporate philanthropic support is to fund: \$1.7 million for devices; \$420,000 per year for home Internet access; and \$400,000 for training and programming support in English, Spanish and Chinese.

Policy 2.4.3: Expand communication and information technology infrastructure and equitably implement existing and emerging technologies.

Action 2.4.3.a: Identify locations in the county for free public network access to information technology services, such as public kiosks, Wi-Fi connected street furniture and other future means. Use public-private partnerships to provide network access in privately-owned public spaces and in parks.

The Executive has partnered with Small Media to create a public private partnership to deploy WiFi enable kiosks along the Route 29 Flash transit route. These kiosk leverage fiber in the ultraMontgomery East County Fiber Highway and FiberNet network connectivity to offer free public WiFi at transit stops, ad revenues, access to County services and neighborhood information, and Internet of Things (IoT) opportunities. The planned May 2020 launch was delayed due to COVID-19 and the next phase to install similar kiosks in Bethesda has be place on temporary hold.

Action 2.4.3.b: Review current zoning and land use standards, permitting processes, and

development standards to identify barriers and opportunities for locating existing and emerging technology infrastructure.

Small cell deployments present an opportunity for additional public private partnerships. Some underlying work has been delayed due to staff resources being redirected to address COVID-19 digital equity initiatives and grant opportunities, but the Executive working to relaunch efforts in August 2020.

Action 2.4.3.c: Establish a new program in which the county is a public technology provider to create the network infrastructure needed for all citizens to access affordable and high-quality services.

The Executive launched MoCoNet to provide free wireless service to residents of the Main Street Apartments who qualify for affordable housing or who have special needs. The project was accomplished in partnership with Plume, Telegia and Cisco who donated equipment for this project. DTS also prepared a federal grant to provide broadband service to the Agriculture Reserve and has discussion wireless broadband deployments with the Housing Opportunities Commission at their properties.

Action 2.4.3.d: Develop a countywide technology strategy to anticipate and plan for emerging technology transforming the use of public infrastructure, including but not limited to autonomous vehicles, delivery robots and drones, and the supporting technology such as networked urban sensors, wireless mesh networks and energy microgrids. The strategy will emphasize that municipal technology is a public good, does not compromise privacy or security and narrows equity gaps.

Gail M. Roper became the new County Chief Information Officer and DTS Director February 3, 2020. Director Roper has significant public and private experience in these new technology areas and is leading effort to develop strategic planning in these areas aided by the Chief Broadband Officer and the ultraMontgomery program.

The Executive has supported development of energy microgrid proposals as part of the PEPCO-Exelon merger settlement, deployed wireless mesh networks within the County, is working to leverage the Smart Media kiosk and small cell deployments for urban sensor development, has provided AI pilots for delivery of County services, and considered how to support autonomous vehicles along the Route 29 East County Fiber Highway and support local companies developing cybersecurity protections for autonomous vehicles.

Goal 2.5: Assess racial equity and social justice impacts across all Montgomery Parks and Montgomery Planning initiatives.

Policy 2.5.1: Employ strategies to assess racial equity and social justice impacts of master, functional, regulatory and parks plans to inform improvement of planning, decision-making and resource allocation for more racially and socially equitable policies, programs and plans.

Action 2.5.1.a: Develop a model using socioeconomic criteria to identify community equity emphasis areas¹² within the county. Use the results of this model to analyze racial equity

¹² The Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments (COG) and the National Capital Region Transportation Planning Board (TPB) define Equity Emphasis Areas which are small geographic areas as those that have significant concentrations of low-income, minority populations, or

and social justice issues for community and park planning and as a guide for Montgomery Parks to prioritize Capital Improvement Projects.

Question: Is this referring to Montgomery Parks prioritizing their own CIP projects or the CIP projects of other agencies as well? The County is interested in accessing whatever equity data MNCPPC has to help address equity issues related to County programs and budgets.

Action 2.5.1.b: Develop composite displacement risk and opportunity access indices.

(Would be helpful to restate this in plain English.) Use them to assist in making decisions about growth, assess investment strategies to maximize equitable development, and bring racial equity and social justice considerations into the master planning process.

The County can already assess the risk of lower income residents being displaced from their homes due to redevelopment.

3. Diverse Economy

The Goals of the Diverse Economies section introduces the objectives of providing opportunity and support for locating employment of good wage jobs but does not give those objectives the level of analysis and weight given to residential development challenges. The reference to making housing affordable to support business development is appropriately included as a reason for supporting lower housing costs and subsidies.

The section on encouraging business location and real estate development includes goals and policies recommended for encouraging residential development, focused on transit access to infill locations, along with reduction of regulatory and tax barriers.

The Thrive plan does not fully integrate the Diverse Economy vision and residential vision. Framing each as a stand-alone set of goals and policies puts employment development in competition with residential development. The draft needs more structure as to how to ensure that the employment location vision and opportunities will support the transit and walkability vision for housing. This is also a challenge, unaddressed, of how to balance land uses, jobs to housing, close to transit development.

This section offers no new ideas for economic development. The action items in this section are already underway. This section needs to be expanded.

Issues and Challenges

Montgomery County has a large, robust and competitive economy: well-paying jobs, a highly educated workforce, a world-class life sciences and biotechnology industry, and a number of major federal government agency headquarters plus many satellite agency locations. These qualities helped the county to weather past economic downturns better than other locales around the country. Even with strong fundamentals, however, the county faces many economic challenges and must not rest on its laurels if it wants to remain competitive through the 21st century. Slow job growth, limited new business formation, wage stagnation, rising economic and social inequality, a high cost of living and doing business, and increasing traffic congestion negatively affect economic activity. These issues are barriers for companies trying to innovate and grow within the county, and also deter global businesses from opening offices in the county.

The affordability challenges to businesses cross all sectors and business types. The county's small,

local retail and service businesses sustain neighborhoods and enhance resident and worker quality of life. In certain parts of the county redevelopment of commercial centers near larger nonwhite populations are an economic opportunity but could also threaten displacement of small neighborhood businesses. Making sure these businesses can afford to operate and remain in Montgomery County—and that their workers can afford to live here—is necessary to continue the county’s economic and social vibrancy.

Vision for a Diverse, Robust, and Equitable Economy

In 2050, Montgomery County’s economy is robust, adaptable and resilient. These capabilities are propelled and sustained by a diverse base of industries and workers. This diversity enables the county to absorb and adjust to unpredictable technological, environmental and societal disruptions that inevitably emerge. This diverse economic base includes current strengths, such as biotechnology research and manufacturing, scientific and technical services, public administration, and corporate management, as well as new and emerging industries. Montgomery County is a leader in creating the technologies that drive the U.S. and global economy forward in uncertain times. Entrepreneurship and a diverse economy offer new opportunities for economic prosperity for residents, but workers must also be able to afford to live in the county for this prosperity to be realized.

A strong economic ecosystem requires connections between businesses, workers and related public and private support agencies. These connections are encouraged physically through bustling, walkable and transit-rich central business districts, as well as “virtually” through world-class communications technology infrastructure to link businesses to each other and to the rest of the world and to accommodate different working arrangements. Montgomery County plays a lead

both. <https://www.mwcog.org/transportation/planning-areas/fairness-and-accessibility/environmental-justice/equity-emphasis-areas/>

role in creating and fostering such connections throughout the Baltimore-Washington, D.C., region because a strong and cooperative region is more innovative and grows more efficiently.

A Diverse Economy is a Resilient Economy

A strong economy is essential to achieving the vision of Montgomery County as a thriving, equitable and sustainable place. A more diversified economy is also more resilient and able to withstand economic disruptions and increasing competition. Fostering interaction between established and potential innovators, and collaboration between the private sector, university researchers, and federal resources through easy access between employment centers will be critical. All these factors are appealing to firms and employees looking to start a business, expand, or relocate.

Diversity in size of businesses is also important to economic resilience. The county must continue to support small businesses by ensuring that they can afford to operate and that their workers can afford to live here. Montgomery County should create and implement targeted entrepreneurship and business retention programs to assist with development and permitting, loan applications and other issues and obstacles small businesses face, particularly minority-owned and mom and pop stores.

In the long term, equitable places are more prosperous places. Promoting the equitable distribution of prosperity within Montgomery County is consistent with county values and the recently passed [Racial Equity and Social Justice Act of 2019](#). It sets the stage for including all communities in sharing prosperity and employment growth. Increased levels of income equality and social cohesion are shown to predict longer and more sustainable growth spells for metropolitan regions in the United States.

Innovation and economic prosperity—like traffic and pollution—do not stop at county borders. Strong jurisdictions reinforce each other and create a strong region. While Washington, DC was historically the economic center, today we have a regional economy with multiple centers, including Tysons, Baltimore, Fort Meade, Bethesda, among others. Rather than competing with neighbors for large corporate investments, all jurisdictions in the Washington, D.C. region should make it a more equitable, affordable, livable, innovative and prosperous place by cooperating to solve problems and grow. Montgomery County will be a leader in this effort in the years to come.

While this section contains specific policies related to economic development, the entire Thrive Montgomery 2050 Plan is an economic development strategy. Achieving the other goals in the Plan will significantly increase the quality of life in the county, which will in turn create economic opportunities for residents and attract new residents and employers. It will also ensure that legacies of inequity are corrected, so that factors like race, ethnicity and geography will no longer impact the economic opportunity of individuals in the county.

The entire plan is not an economic strategy. While there are few details, this seems very similar to the town centers idea that we see around Montgomery County. Some of these town centers are economic successes, while others are not. The key is the concentration of employers, not the speed with which one can run errands.

Goals, Policies and Actions

Goal 3.1: Sustain a cutting-edge economy supported by private, public and nonprofit employers in multiple industries, local and federal government, and small business entrepreneurship. This diverse economic base helps the county be resilient and respond to changes in business cycles, enhances our competitive attractiveness to new employers, and provides a path for growth for workers of all skill levels.

Policy 3.1.1: Support the efforts of the county's economic development agencies to retain and grow existing businesses and attract new businesses.

Action 3.1.1.a: Conduct space and infrastructure assessments for industries and industry clusters targeted by economic development agencies as part of master plans and ensure that land use planning efforts address deficiencies.

Policy 3.1.2: Improve physical and technological infrastructure to support existing businesses and attract new businesses. Study and keep current on emerging technologies such as networked urban sensors. OMB: What are networked urban sensors – not clear on what they do and how they relate.

Policy 3.1.3. Make housing more affordable so that talented workers in all industries and occupations can afford and choose to live in Montgomery County.

Today we have situations where developers are sitting on property waiting for "Bethesda-style rents". Of course, with the County's need for affordable housing, it is in the public interest that they not wait; that they develop sooner at lower rents. What tools are needed to get developers to build at these lower rents?

Policy 3.1.4. Emphasize and reinforce a welcoming and inclusive culture and highlight this quality in communications and marketing material so that people and organizations from around the nation and the world find the county a welcoming place to relocate.

Goal 3.2: Grow vibrant employment centers that are attractive as headquarters locations for large, multinational corporations; major regional businesses; federal agencies; and small and locally owned businesses. These centers are accessible by multiple modes of transportation, balance a mix of commercial and residential uses and amenities, have a distinctive look and feel through high-quality design, and include attractive and active parks and open spaces.

Policy 3.2.1: Encourage high densities, a compact form of development, a diverse range of activities and urban amenities in existing and emerging commercial districts located near transit to increase economic competitiveness.

While this is not to say that we should not provide urban amenities, we should acknowledge that they can be quite expensive – particularly when purchases of right of way and land and utility relocation costs are considered.

Action 3.2.1.a: Study the potential of progressive property tax structures such as a land value capture to encourage dense development of existing commercial centers and to discourage vacant and underutilized properties.

There is no need for this study. The Executive is well-versed on the land value capture. Land value taxation (which is not how property is assessed in Maryland) is a radical change in the way assessments are done, and would require State legislation.

Action 3.2.1.b: Study the long-term fiscal benefits of compact development to holistically view and evaluate potential up-front costs of densification.

Action 3.2.1.c: Study the potential to establish urban innovation districts. Offer financial and regulatory support to organizations engaged in innovative building, infrastructure, and social initiatives in areas such as sustainable building construction (e.g., net-zero and net-negative buildings), green infrastructure, smart electric grids and state-of-the-art affordable housing.

It's hard to know what's intended here. Without more clarity, it will be difficult to

provide a cost analysis. While we want to remain flexible, if there are particular ideas they should be shared.

Policy 3.2.2: Improve transportation connections between existing commercial and employment centers and transit hubs to connect Montgomery County businesses to workers and the rest of the region, nation and world.

Action 3.2.2.a: Establish a one-seat transit service from major employment centers to at least one of the three international airports in the region (Baltimore-Washington International, Dulles International, or Reagan National Airport).

Consider removing National Airport from this list. It is accessible via MetroRail with a transfer at Gallery Place. Considering the frequency & capacity intended by MetroRail: this should probably be considered adequate for most employment centers. It wouldn't make sense to setup a new 1-seat ride to DCA as few things would be better than MetroRail is today.

(While Dulles will soon be connected to MetroRail, it should stay on this list considering that the length & travel time taking the Red Line to Farragut or Metro Center, transferring, and then riding the Silver Line is so substantial as compared to a potential direct link.)

Action 3.2.2.b: Expand non-auto transportation options between and within the county's major employment centers such as Bethesda, the National Institutes of Health/Walter Reed campus, Silver Spring, Rockville and the Great Seneca Science Corridor.

The CCT, Purple Line and BRT seem poised to provide these options. Are there more options that are envisioned?

Policy 3.2.3: Preserve and expand minority-owned small businesses, and international¹³ and neighborhood retail. Enhance efforts to understand and address barriers to business ownership and expansion of these types of businesses.

Goal 3.3.: Increase the number of well-paying jobs for all education and skill levels. Encourage the expansion of job training and other professional development opportunities to encourage economic mobility.

Policy 3.3.1: Prioritize job access and job generation in land use planning, including development review processes, master planning and functional plans.

Action 3.3.1.a: Update the master planning process to include the development of employment objectives and assess the employment impacts of plan scenarios.

Is this not being done now?

Action 3.3.1.b: Complete an Employment Growth and Access Functional Plan to determine if and where land use policies limit growth of and access to a variety of job types. Recommend strategies for addressing these limits.

Policy 3.3.2: Reinforce county policies and investments that improve long-term and equitable employment outcomes in coordination with public schools, workforce development, Montgomery College and other agencies

Should include agencies that serve other adult learners beyond just the College.

Action 3.3.2.a: Conduct an Economic Equity Study to determine baseline levels of and barriers to employment equity for people of color, people with disabilities, immigrants, people for whom English is not a primary language, and LGBTQ people. Set measurable targets and timelines to increase inclusion and equity.

Action 3.3.2.b: Develop an inclusive employment and innovation strategy that identifies occupations in competitive and emerging industries that do not require a bachelor's degree such as pharmaceutical manufacturing technicians or biotechnology laboratory technicians. Align training, mentorship and real estate considerations to expand these opportunities in the county. Goal 3.4: Preserve land for Production, Distribution, and Repair (PDR) activities that provide well-paying jobs to those without advanced degrees, provide essential goods and services, and propel economic competitiveness for the county.

Policy 3.4.1: Determine whether existing regulations and policies support current and emerging Montgomery County PDR businesses that fit criteria listed in this goal, and design new regulations where necessary.

Action 3.4.1.a: Create a countywide freight plan in partnership with MCDOT to ensure the efficient movement of goods over road, rail, and water while eliminating environmental and equity impacts associated with freight logistics.

Action 3.4.1.b: Develop an urban logistics strategy to ensure that the increasing volume of e-commerce-related deliveries are accommodated without disrupting quality of life.

¹³ International retail refers to stores and restaurants that provide traditional products and services from countries outside of the United States, often catering to immigrant communities.

Action 3.4.1.c: Conduct a refined study of types and locations of space needed by PDR businesses to help the county make informed decisions about redevelopment and ensure adequate industrial land for the modern economy.

It is important to note that the County and other governmental agencies also have needs for industrial uses and that space should be identified for these purposes – particularly uses that support transportation operations.

Goal 3.5: Lead nationally in innovation and entrepreneurship, building on existing assets and enhancing job and business growth for industries in which Montgomery County has a competitive advantage

Policy 3.5.1: Strengthen the county's innovation and entrepreneurship ecosystem.

Action 3.5.1.a: Conduct a Regional Innovation Capacity and Connectivity study to identify innovation assets in the region such as federal, private, and university research labs; assess the type and quantity of innovations they produce; assess their physical proximity and accessibility to one another; and compare these factors to peer regions.

Policy 3.5.2: Strengthen partnerships between existing federal agencies with a Montgomery County presence and county businesses to promote innovation, entrepreneurship and growth.

Action 3.5.2.a: Advocate for the restructuring of federal technology transfer policies to better facilitate new entrepreneurial spinoffs from federal labs in the county.

Is this a proper MNCPPC role?

Action 3.5.2.b: Develop and promote a guide on federal procurement processes and support opportunities for Montgomery County businesses.

This is not necessary. Guides to federal procurement processes already exist.

Policy 3.5.3: Build connections between the private sector, the community, and higher education and research organizations, including the University of Maryland's flagship campus in Prince George's County, to enhance the innovation economy.

Action 3.5.3.a: Consider establishing or recruiting a major non-federal research institute or branch campus to expand research, innovation and entrepreneurship capacity in the county.

The County Executive is in whole hearted agreement with this goal as a stimulus for economic development, and is already pursuing it.

Action 3.5.3.b: Encourage and facilitate the physical integration of higher education campuses such as Montgomery College and the Universities at Shady Grove into their urban surroundings.

Goal 3.6: Identify and remove regulatory and other barriers to encourage real estate development and business establishment and expansion.

Policy 3.6.1: Continue to improve interagency collaboration to streamline review of development projects.

Policy 3.6.2: Encourage infill development by making the development processes accessible to smaller or newer developers that want to take advantage of a diverse range of development opportunities such as Missing Middle Housing development.

Policy 3.6.3: Continue to use innovative technology to improve the development process internally and for the public, including routinely evaluating existing development review software and updating to suit requirements and processes.

Goal 3.7: Play a prominent role in creating a culture of regionalism and in making the Baltimore-Washington region a global leader in economic innovation and sustainable development practices.

Policy 3.7.1: Work with municipalities across the region to promote regional cooperation in economic development.

Action 3.7.1.a: Develop a Regional Growth and Convergence Plan to chart a path and set targets for cooperative growth of the combined Baltimore-Washington region.

Action 3.7.1.b: Study development regulations of neighboring municipalities to identify opportunities to increase consistency, predictability and costs across Washington, D.C., and its core suburbs to promote fair competition for business.

DPS is already working on this.

Action 3.7.1.c: Add rail and/or BRT connections to key regional business hubs outside of Montgomery County including Tysons and Arlington in Virginia, and Frederick, Columbia and Downtown Baltimore in Maryland.

The priority is for better transit connections within Montgomery County that efficiently take riders to existing transportation options for regional travel.

Policy 3.7.2: Consider regional impacts of major land use decisions and infrastructure investments.

What does this mean? This is already part of decision making within the Executive, and hopefully at MNCPPC.

This plan should establish a vision for air transport within Montgomery County. We have one public airport (Airpark) and one private airport (Davis). There is no reference to these facilities at all. What does the General Plan foresee these facilities serving & becoming?

What infrastructure, regulations, etc. are needed to accommodate air travel needs and automated air transport? What sustainability needs do they prompt?

4. Safe and Efficient Travel

Issues and Challenges

The main structure of Montgomery County's and the region's transportation network was created in the early 20th century primarily for automobile travel with all major roads leading to Washington, D.C., as the main employment center. Over time, the county and the region created many employment centers served mainly by car and a land use pattern defined by sprawl. Each subsequent residential subdivision, office park, shopping center, public service and amenity was designed to connect with the nearest major road with little or no consideration given to transit, walking or biking. Today, traffic congestion in the Washington metro area is one of the worst in the country. In addition to the economic drag and lost personal time, transportation has a huge environmental impact in the form of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. In 2015, 41% of the county's GHG emissions were generated by transportation.¹⁴

Although the county has made significant investments in its transit network, the basic underlying

land-use pattern of low-density sprawl continues to pose challenges for the creation of a fast and reliable transit system that will meet the needs of a growing population in the next 30 years and beyond. Low-income communities, people with disabilities, young adults and others who can't afford to own cars must rely on public transit for reliable access to regular and late-night jobs, education, health care, food, and entertainment options within the county and the region. They are disproportionately affected by the current transportation network. Places that have good access to transit or are located near employment centers are generally too expensive for low-income families, forcing them to live farther from job centers and other destinations, limiting their access to job opportunities and better resources. While owning and operating a vehicle is expensive, transit can also be expensive and, for many, difficult to access.

¹⁴ County Greenhouse Gas Inventory, Montgomery County Department of Environmental Protection, 2018.
<https://www.montgomerycountymd.gov/green/climate/ghg-inventory.html>

Additionally, many of our major roads and neighborhood streets are unsafe for pedestrians, cyclists and people with disabilities, therefore discouraging walking and cycling as transportation and exercise. Major roadways, especially in down-county areas, have become barriers dividing adjacent neighborhoods. Low-income people living along these corridors rely heavily on transit access and are disproportionately impacted by these unsafe conditions.

Vision for Safe and Efficient Travel

In 2050, Montgomery County's high-quality transportation system plays a critical role in supporting the county's economic health, environmental resilience and equity. County residents shifted from heavy reliance on private vehicles to public transit, the backbone of the county's transportation network. This safe, reliable and efficient transit network is composed of Metrorail, Purple Line, commuter rail, bus rapid transit (BRT) and regional and local buses, and a robust network of sidewalks, bikeways and trails. A large majority of people use the system to connect to their destinations within the county and the region. Technologies such as micromobility, autonomous vehicles and ridesharing offer new options for transportation. Some of this technology increases transit ridership by making it easier for people to connect to rail or BRT. Major roadways are transformed into safe and attractive boulevards with reduced speeds, trees and dedicated lanes for transit. Walkable and bikeable paths and crosswalks are safe enough to allow children to walk and bike to nearby schools. In Central Business Districts (CBDs) and town centers, a dense mix of land uses and high-quality walkways and bikeways make walking, bicycling, rolling and micromobility the preferred modes of travel. All greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions are eliminated from the transportation system thanks to the significant reduction in vehicle miles traveled (VMT) and the conversion of all motorized vehicles to renewable energy.

Focus on Transit and Walkability

To achieve our GHG emissions reduction, economic competitiveness and equity goals, we need to shift our transportation focus from automobiles to public transit, walking, biking and micromobility. We simply cannot solve traffic congestion, be equitable, address climate change and support a strong economy by building more roads. And we are not yet benefiting fully from the promise of technological advances such as telework, drone deliveries and autonomous vehicles that could revolutionize how we travel and transport goods and services. Until all our energy is generated from renewable sources so that we are truly net-zero energy consumers, public transit is the best way to reduce our transportation related GHG emissions.

To make transit the preferred mode of travel will require making it competitive and even superior to car travel. This will require a cultural shift. For example, Metrorail can be too expensive for low-income residents or not close to their homes, so they use buses instead. But bus service can be slow, less frequent, and not considered high quality (bus stops are exposed to the elements), so more affluent residents who can afford to drive may choose to not take buses. Therefore, Montgomery County should continue to enhance the desirability and ridership experience of bus travel.

We also need to reorient the underlying land use pattern into walkable, bikeable complete communities and make transit more accessible to a greater number of people by concentrating all future development in transit-oriented places. The Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, working with Montgomery County and other jurisdictions across the DC region, set a target of putting at least 75% of new housing in mixed-use centers near high-capacity transit (rail and BRT). We must also make sure that our employment centers that are currently not accessible by transit have transit access. New micromobility solutions such as e-scooters and rideshare

bicycles will provide new capabilities to solve the first-mile/last-mile¹⁵ problem to bring reliable daily access to high speed transit.

There needs to be urgency in the recommendations for transit, not resignation. Work with the County Executive to establish a timetable for transit projects.

The county's major roadways (or corridors--University Boulevard, Randolph Road, Georgia Avenue, MD 355, New Hampshire Avenue, Veirs Mill Road, Route 29, Corridor Cities Transitway and the North Bethesda Transitway) with existing and planned rail and BRT have great potential to become more transit-oriented places with safe multimodal travel options. They can accommodate a larger portion of the future growth through infill and redevelopment to create vibrant mixed-use nodes around transit stations and bus stops. Some of these corridors provide the critical east-west connections in the county. Since it is not desirable to expand existing roads or create major new roads, implementing new transit or improving transit capacity and the performance of the existing east-west corridors will be essential to address the east-west travel issue. These existing corridors include the new Purple Line or the planned BRT along Randolph Road.

Transportation is as much a regional issue as it is a local one. The regional network is composed of various pieces under the control of various jurisdictions but acts as one system and impacts the ability of all residents and workers to travel throughout the region. Montgomery County will need to increase coordination with our regional partners to improve accessibility to employment, education and other opportunities. We should also be cognizant of challenges and opportunities that may arise from improvements in the regional travel network. We need to consider how to adapt and keep pace with them to make sure that Montgomery County residents and workers benefit from them. Some of the far-reaching and visionary ideas for regional connection, if realized, may have significant consequences for Montgomery County. For example, the proposed high-speed superconducting magnetic levitation (maglev) system between Washington, D.C., and Baltimore, and a proposed 35-mile underground tunnel/loop to move electric vehicles between Washington and Baltimore in 15 minutes are in very early conceptual planning and regulatory review stages. And there have been discussions among the supporters of regional connectivity about a Purple Line extension to create suburb-to-suburb connection between Tysons in Fairfax County, Va., and Largo in Prince George's County.

This plan should define the County's transit choices, and not merely be a laundry list of possible projects.

Goals, Policies and Actions

Goal 4.1: Get people out of their cars. Transform Montgomery County into a community of walkable, people-centric places where public transit, walking and bicycling are the preferred travel mode for daily trips and the need for personal vehicles is substantially reduced.

The policy recommendations for realignment and reconfiguration of roadways to accommodate increased pedestrian and bicycle traffic may negatively impact fire-rescue response times by inadvertently increasing vehicular traffic and congestion, while the narrowing of roadways will make turns more difficult for large fire-rescue apparatus. Similarly, the proposal for "a grid of streets and alleys" and smaller blocks with more crosswalks could also hinder response times.

The priority goal of the public transportation system is to get residents to their jobs and schools. There is interest in including schools in the Complete Communities by repurposing office buildings, but no clear plans for jobs.

Policy 4.1.1: Change the primary function of streets from moving automobiles to moving people and creating great places that are accessible and safe for all roadway users, environmentally sustainable and economically competitive. All rules and regulations regarding how we approve growth should support this approach.

Action 4.1.1.a: Prepare a Transportation Master Plan that enables safe, convenient and

comfortable travel and access for people of all ages and mobility abilities regardless of their mode of transportation.

¹⁵ Traveling by public transit, typically done by rail or bus, starts and ends with walking, biking or getting a ride to the transit station. Lack of easy options to cover this first and last part of the overall trip can discourage people from taking transit. In transportation terms this is referred to as the first-mile/last-mile problem.

Action 4.1.1.b: Implement safe, connected, low-stress bicycle and pedestrian networks in downtowns, town centers, rail and BRT corridors and community equity emphasis areas over projects that increase traffic capacity.

This only makes sense if enough people can live near their jobs – which is not feasible for at least some significant subset of the population. Mass Transit projects require viable roads and are most efficient in transporting more people.

Policy 4.1.2: Plan and implement a high-quality transit network that seamlessly connects the county's activity centers and regional destinations. Make transit the fastest and most reliable way to get between downtowns, town centers and rail and BRT corridors.

Should we be capitalizing on our Amtrak access more? Do our Amtrak stations have significant needs? Are there positions we can advocate for toward improving the Capitol Limited service? Even if the plan ultimately doesn't suggest significant changes to the County's Amtrak access, it should at least acknowledge that it exists.

Need to ensure this recognizes the need for bus depots & transit centers (particularly in locating & acquiring ROW). This should establish that a future Transit Functional Plan will identify these locations, for inclusion into future area master plans.

MARC needs to also be considered.

Young millennials – due to the WMATA struggles and the advent of Uber and other transportation options – have largely abandoned the use of metro. This has significant implications for our future use of mass transit. This should be addressed.

Action 4.1.2.a: Implement the network of BRT corridors identified in the 2013 [Countywide Transit Corridors Functional Master Plan](#).

Action 4.1.2.b: Update the [Master Plan of Highways and Transitways](#) to reexamine whether to create additional rail and BRT corridors and to upgrade all master-planned BRT corridors to run in the median by repurposing existing travel lanes.

IMPORTANT--Should not specify median. Policy 4.7.2 reads *preferably* in the median. This would be better language here.

Action 4.1.2.c: Extend rail and/or BRT directly to Tysons and Arlington in Virginia; and Frederick, Columbia and Downtown Baltimore in Maryland.

What is the expectation of connections to Baltimore? Would this be more along the lines of Express Bus via I-95? It would be difficult to implement BRT (and especially rail) unless managed lanes are included along I-95, which this phrasing might imply support for. Consider rephrasing if that is not the intent, or clarifying how else this might be achieved.

Action 4.1.2.d: Develop a strategy to expand commuter rail capacity on the MARC Brunswick Line to provide additional station locations in Montgomery County and provide bidirectional all-day service, seven days a week.

Consider expanding this action item with a suggestion that the Brunswick

Line be considered for through-running into Virginia.

Action 4.1.2.e: Develop short-term and long-term transit plans to restructure local and regional bus service to integrate with existing and planned rail and BRT.

Action 4.1.2.f: Ensure safe and comfortable access to transit stations.

Policy 4.1.3: Reduce single-occupancy auto use and incentivize non-auto travel modes by locating most new growth near rail and BRT.

Policy 4.1.4: Strengthen access from low-density areas to rail and BRT stations, commercial areas and other services by providing flexible transportation services, including microtransit and micromobility.

This needs to establish a clearer implementation vision for low-density areas. I suggest defining the intent of shifting vehicle trips to transit as far upstream in a trip as able. As discussed w/ MNCPPC on 6/4/2020, consider a vision that supports the bullets below. The 2nd and 3rd bullets may affect the phrasing of Policy 4.1.4.

- **Serve high-density areas (Red/Orange Policy Areas) with high-intensity transit & walking/biking access. Strengthened public parking programs (PLDs) in Downtowns and Town Centers should focus on catching auto users at the periphery.**

- **Serve lower-density areas (Orange/Yellow Policy Areas) with micro-transit, bikeways, &/or Bike & Ride facilities to concentrate these areas' ridership into the higher-intensity stops along a high-intensity transit network.**

- **Serve lowest-density areas (Green Policy Areas) with Park & Ride facilities at regional upstream points: likely focusing on in-line facilities along interstates / major highways, but not excluding the potential for neighborhood / area-focused P&Rs. Should encourage out-of-County P&Rs.**

Goal 4.2: Redesign street systems in towns and town centers to be active, people- centric places. Most travel to, from and within these areas will occur via walking, bicycling and transit.

Transit should be the highest priority since it has the potential to move the most people the most distance most cost-effectively. This order should be changed.

Policy 4.2.1: Expand the street grid in downtowns, town centers, rail and BRT corridors and suburban communities to create shorter blocks, improve access and transportation system redundancy and slow the speed of traffic. Use new development projects and roadway modifications to provide new street connections. It's unclear why short blocks are better – especially if it requires more pedestrian street crossings and requires expensive land purchases.

Policy 4.2.2: Redesign downtowns and town centers so that walking and bicycling are the preferred modes of transportation by breaking up large blocks, adding more frequent safe crossings, creating space for wide sidewalks, bikeways, trees and adequate lighting and providing accessibility to the transportation system.

Action 4.2.2.a: Limit the number of through-lanes in downtowns and town centers to a maximum of four general purpose lanes and repurpose space for transit lanes, wider sidewalks, bikeways, trees and stormwater management.

NOTE – prior comment down from Fire re: ability to turn vehicles.

Action 4.2.2.b: Reallocate some street space dedicated to motor vehicle travel to other uses such as walking, bicycling and transit; environmental features such as stormwater management and street trees; and placemaking opportunities to align with the economic, environmental and equity values in this plan.

Action 4.2.2.c: Develop curbside management strategies and regulations to provide reliable access to homes, jobs, retail opportunities and public facilities and amenities. This should include a logistics plan to facilitate increasing volumes of e-commerce-related deliveries.

Goal 4.3: Have fewer parking spaces and manage the spaces that remain effectively.

Policy 4.3.1: Reduce public and private space dedicated to motor vehicle storage.

Action 4.3.1.a: Repurpose on-street parking and public parking facilities to other uses such as space for walking, bicycling and transit, stormwater management and street trees.

To the extent that utility relocations are required, costs could be significant.

Action 4.3.1.b: Eliminate parking requirements for new development projects in downtowns, town centers and rail and BRT corridors to encourage travel by walking, bicycling and transit.

If this is done, negative neighborhood impacts need to be mitigated.

Policy 4.3.2: Manage parking effectively.

Action 4.3.2.a: Update public parking rates to increase to market rates.

Goal 4.4: Eliminate all transportation-related fatalities and severe injuries. Provide a transportation system that is safe for everyone.

Policy 4.4.1: Prioritize eliminating transportation-related fatalities and severe injuries in public and private planning and development initiatives and programs, including master plans, capital projects and development projects. Area master plans and transportation capital projects must include safety analyses to inform plan recommendations and project design.

Action 4.4.1.a: Create guidelines for developing a safety component for master plans focused on eliminating transportation-related fatalities and severe injuries. Create metrics and other components for a safety analysis for proposed transportation capital projects and capital budgeting decision-making. There are already safety analyses that are done before capital projects are proposed.

Action 4.4.1.b: Require the design of public and private development transportation projects to focus on the transportation and personal safety needs of the most vulnerable users of the transportation system. All projects should strive to eliminate the risk factors associated with transportation-related severe injuries and fatalities.

Action 4.4.1.c: Reduce conflict points and increase road safety by managing access location and spacing systematically on all county roads. Develop an Access Management Plan to enhance the Complete Streets Design Guide.

Policy 4.4.2: Prioritize changes to the transportation system at locations with a history of high rates of crashes and address safety issues in areas with little or no crash history. Base priorities

Draft Work Product for Planning Board Review on June 11, 2020
on an analysis of locations where future crashes are likely to occur.

Policy 4.4.3: Assess and harness technology to improve transportation safety, such as innovative roadway designs, safety enforcement, autonomous vehicles, and new vehicle technology.

Goal 4.5: Eradicate greenhouse gas emissions and dangerous pollutants from the transportation system.

There are no policies or action items that address non-vehicular contributors, such as construction (particularly the production of concrete/cement and asphalt) or the waste and recycling of vehicles, tires, batteries, etc. Without these actions: we will fail to eradicate GHGs & dangerous pollutants from the transportation system.

Policy 4.5.1: Incentivize the use of modes other than single-occupant vehicles by providing high-quality transit, walking and bicycling networks.

Policy 4.5.2: Use pricing mechanisms to deter the use of single-occupant vehicles and encourage more walking, bicycling and transit use.

Action 4.5.2.a: Conduct a study to determine how to apply congestion pricing in Montgomery County, including how to foster equity and distribute the revenue to promote walking, bicycling and transit.

Policy 4.5.3: Facilitate the mass adoption of zero emission vehicles.

Should include an action item for electric charging infrastructure.

Action 4.5.3.a: Develop an implementation plan for transitioning to zero emission fueling in residential and commercial development and public facilities and spaces.

Action 4.5.3.b: Update all county fleets and contracted fleet providers to zero emission vehicles.

(3 above):The Executive supports the goals of reducing emissions and currently has several initiatives underway including EV vehicles towards meeting 2040 climate goals. Updating public and private fleets to zero emission vehicles is an aggressive objective that may not be feasible.

Action 4.5.3.c: Develop county incentives to accelerate conversion of privately-owned fleets to sustainable, zero emission vehicles.

It is unclear what is intended here so it would be hard to develop cost estimates.

Goal 4.6: Create an equitable transportation system that provides affordable and accessible travel options to jobs, education and services.

Policy 4.6.1: Prioritize projects that provide safe, comfortable, reliable and affordable transit for disadvantaged and physically challenged residents.

Action 4.6.1.a: Prepare an Aging Readiness Master Plan to support safe transportation options for the county's aging population. The plan should address transportation topics such as transit use, curbside management, and street infrastructure.

Action 4.6.1.b: Incorporate universal design principles into the county code, regulations, policies and guidelines to improve access for seniors and persons with disabilities.

Action 4.6.1.c: Conduct a study to determine the travel needs of vulnerable users of the transportation system and create strategies to improve access. Examine the impacts faced by underserved populations. Evaluate vulnerable transit users' access to employment centers, food centers, age-restricted housing, health centers and other services.

Action 4.6.1.d: Develop an approach to prioritize transportation projects to improve walking, bicycling and transit in community equity emphasis areas.

Action 4.6.1.e: Provide low-cost transportation for low-income populations through transit fare and toll policies.

Unclear what level of subsidy is to be considered. Would need to know that to adequately provide fiscal information.

Goal 4.7: Convert auto-centric transportation corridors into safe, people-centric multimodal streets with rail and BRT. Most travel to, from and within transportation corridors will occur via walking, bicycling and transit.

NOTE: Rail lines are very expensive and less flexible than other transit options as commuting patterns may change over time.

Policy 4.7.1: Prioritize implementing safe and connected low-stress bicycle and pedestrian networks in rail and BRT corridors over projects that increase traffic capacity. Same comment as before.

Policy 4.7.2: Build out a BRT network with exclusive transit lanes preferably located in the median to ensure travel time reliability.

Action 4.7.2.a: Limit the number of through-lanes on rail and BRT corridors to four general purpose lanes and repurpose excess lanes to implement transitways. Reallocate excess space to other uses, such as for walking, bicycling and transit; stormwater management; and street trees.

Policy 4.7.3: Plan and implement a grid of streets and alleys to reduce roadway speeds, provide frequent safe crossings and eliminate driveways along rail and BRT corridors. Eliminating driveways will likely be costly and could provide hardships to residents and businesses.

Goal 4.8: Create a seamlessly integrated regional transportation system by coordinating transportation planning within the region and between neighboring jurisdictions.

Policy 4.8.1: Coordinate transportation policy with jurisdictions throughout the region (including the county's 21 municipalities) to improve economic vitality, environmental sustainability and equity.

Action 4.8.1.a: Work with the Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments (MWCOC) and other jurisdictions to create a regional active transportation plan and prioritize walking, cycling and transit in interjurisdictional infrastructure projects.

Action 4.8.1.b: Assemble a regional coalition of jurisdictions and other stakeholders to guide transportation technological change related to connected and autonomous vehicles in a way that preserves our values and that addresses the needs of disadvantaged populations.

What does “preserves our values” mean?

Action 4.8.1.c: Coordinate policies to discourage the use of single-occupant vehicles to improve air quality regionwide and explore ways to raise revenue and better coordinate transportation policy and projects in Maryland counties surrounding Washington, D.C. For example, consider forming a subregional transportation or transit authority such as the Northern Virginia Transportation Authority.

Goal 4.9: Increase resilience of the transportation system to withstand future climate conditions and natural or human-made disasters.

Policy 4.9.1: Create and enhance redundancy in the transportation system to ensure the continued flow of people, goods and services in the region in the event that segments of the system become constrained or unusable for extended periods of time.

Policy 4.9.2: Promote the integration of climate-adaptive, resilient design into transportation

Action 4.9.2.a: Design streetscapes to mitigate disruption from climate change, manage stormwater effectively and provide tree canopy for shade and habitat.

Action 4.9.2.b: Develop, prioritize and implement flood mitigation measures for existing flood-prone transportation facilities based on vulnerability assessments and consideration of extreme precipitation events and sea level rise.

Policy 4.9.3: Ensure that government can provide quick responses to events such as terrorism, environmental disasters and public health crises that create major impacts to the transportation system.

5. Affordability and Attainability

An expansion of the housing supply will not meet the affordability needs for the full range of incomes and current and future households.

The focus of the Affordability discussion is correctly placed on the housing burdens of lowest income households, with most of the policy weight on the land development and supply components of strategy. The discussion also correctly identifies equity issues with the access to affordable housing in all neighborhoods.

However, the fundamentals of income disparities and need for subsidies to lower the rent burdens is not broken out as a specific component, where the actions and policies which do address this subsidy challenge can be understood and evaluated. The recommendations to increase supply and preserve existing affordable housing frames the issues related to those objectives, though without including the known cost challenges – of sufficient public subsidized capital for acquisition and affordability financing.

The actions and policies which can share that cost through land use requirements of increased numbers of MPDU units, (action 5.3.3) lower AMI for MPDUs (action 5.3.3.a), and imposition of No Net Loss requirements on land development (actions 5.5.1.a; and 5.5.5, 5.5.5.a) need to be presented as tools which reduce the level of subsidy needed to meet the goals outlined. The recommendation for flexibility of MPDUs (5.3.3.c) modification/cancellation due to unaffordability doesn't address the structure of current MPDU design which lead to the unaffordability, and the Executive is working to address redesign options to improve initial and long-term affordability in all developments.

On the supply side of the policies, this section introduces valuable discussion of the opportunities to redevelop underutilized office and commercial sites for housing (action 5.1.2) which can address the movement of office parks to the more transit and housing proximate goals.

The support for the subsidies which address the critical housing cost burden on lowest income households include the policies and actions which should have higher visibility in the presentation. These include creating a preservation fund for acquisition (5.5.4.b); introduction of opportunities to dedicate or generate revenue to affordability, including land value taxation incentives for redevelopment (5.1.3.b); obtain support for very low-income with LIHTC set-aside and dedicated vouchers (5.3.3.e; 5.3.3.f); and prioritize other revenue for dedication to HIF (5.3.5; 5.3.4.a).

Issues and Challenges

It costs too much to live and build housing in Montgomery County. Reflecting both high demand

and the county's reputation for a high quality of life, housing prices in Montgomery County are among the highest in the Washington metropolitan area.

Our failure to deliver appropriate and attainable housing has created a housing supply mismatch with negative, secondary effects on our neighborhoods, schools, environment, transportation infrastructure and economic health. Deep disparities in wealth and homeownership in the county were shaped by a legacy of discriminatory lending practices, restrictive covenants and single-family zoning. The implications and secondary effects of this history are still being felt to this day.

The county's housing attainability gap for both renters and owners is increasing, especially for low- and moderate-income households. Between 2014 and 2018, the supply gap (the number of units affordable to households at a certain income segment) worsened for renter households earning up to 65% of the area median income (AMI)—receding by almost 5,000 units in five years. Only one unit for every two households at 50% of AMI, and one unit out of every four for households earning 30% of AMI are available for low-income households in Montgomery County.

Household growth in the county has been concentrated in the lowest and highest end of the income distribution. About half of all new households since 2010 earn less than \$50,000 annually. While in 2018, households earning less than \$50,000 comprised just less than a third of total households, this group has been growing the most rapidly over the past decade. These trends could be due to various factors, including existing households increasing their income or lower income households moving to the county. Whatever the cause, these trends imply that there is demand for housing across the income spectrum and a growing need for affordably priced housing. NOTE: It is unclear if this is due to decreases in household size, more residents retiring, or the movement into the County of low-income households. It's unclear if this is referring to total household wages – or all household income.

We expect this bifurcated income growth to continue. By 2040, Montgomery County expects to add more than 60,000 new households, both working and non-working, including seniors and persons with disabilities.

The 5th paragraph mentions 60,000 new households by 2040. On p. 9 it was stated that we are expecting +200,000 new people. This results in an average household size of 3.33. Per the Montgomery County Trends report: over a 26 yr span the average household size increased from 2.65 in 1990 to 2.77 in 2016. Is it expected that the average household size will grow so significantly by 2040? Or does the average household size for anticipated new residents vary from the larger county average?

How does this compare with the increase in householders living alone from 7% (1960) to 25% (2018), as noted on p.6? Is this counterbalanced by larger families? Or did much of that increase in living-alone occur before 1990, with little or negative change since then?

Why is 2040 used as a target year instead of 2050?

Based on the wages of new jobs and incomes of non-workers, the greatest share of new households are expected to have incomes under \$50,000 a year (27% of forecasted new households), and over \$125,000 a year (24% of new forecasted households), with a growing need to provide multifamily owner and renter housing options.

New multifamily housing needed to accommodate new households during the 2020 to 2040 period is estimated at 55% rental and 28% owner. These multifamily types include

condominiums, townhomes, duplexes, triplexes and quadplexes.

Given the average annual production of around 2,500 new units from 2015-2019, the county is producing less housing than what the employment-driven housing demand forecasts. A significant increase in housing production would be needed to meet current and future demand.

Aside from housing, unaffordable land, buildings, labor, taxes, insurance and regulatory fees in the country also affect small businesses, tech and other entrepreneurs, arts and cultural institutions, and nonprofits. The ripple effect of these issues impacts transportation, economic development, equity and the environment. Addressing affordability will help us achieve our goal of making the county a thriving place for all.

Vision for Affordability and Attainability

In 2050, all Montgomery County residents have a right to affordable and attainable housing. Housing is not only a right, but a value added to the community and a means to meet our economic development, environmental resilience and equity goals. The county's housing supply is affordable as well as attainable, meaning the market creates units that respond to the broader housing demands of the community. .

Housing policies, regulations and practices address the deep disparities that exist in wealth and homeownership shaped by a legacy of institutional racism, and discriminatory laws, policies, and lending practices in housing.

A key factor in Montgomery County's ability to thrive is ensuring the availability of housing that meets the needs of the people who live here. In 2050, our housing supply grew to relieve pressure on the market, stabilize rents, and ensure housing is attainable for a broad range of incomes. This involved a comprehensive look at impediments to building housing, a will to change current policies when necessary, and an understanding that new housing and new residents are a benefit to Montgomery County.

Wide-ranging housing policies and actions that address supply, type, size, development costs, affordability, preservation, tenant protections, homelessness, and housing for older adults and people with disabilities are deployed to meet the varied housing needs of a diverse and growing population.

Build More Housing, of More Types, in More Ways

Montgomery County needs to build more housing. Declining production and increased development costs have resulted in rising housing costs and an increase in the number of cost-burdened households. Without an appropriate range of housing types at attainable price points, the county will be unable to attract and retain the employment base necessary to support our economic wellbeing.

Future housing growth is needed in transit accessible locations including current and planned rail and BRT corridors. These transit corridors are lined by zones of predominantly single-family detached units. Gentle density increases would allow the introduction of more housing types near transit to serve a mix of incomes. OMB: What is meant by "gentle density increases?" Residents should have a very clear picture about what is being proposed in order to support or oppose the recommendation.

Communities hostile to new development have become highly adept at using the public process to fight and block building. Addressing this barrier to new development involves building capacity and understanding of the value of housing and new residents to our communities.

Building more housing also involves strengthening and refining current housing policies and programs that help build income-restricted affordable housing. Montgomery County's pioneering inclusionary program, the Moderately Priced Dwelling Unit Ordinance, should be continually strengthened to meet the affordable needs of moderate-income households, but it is not the only option. Tools like the county's housing trust fund, the Housing Initiative Fund, tax abatement policies like Payments In Lieu of Taxes (PILOTS), and state resources like the Low-Income Housing Tax should be leveraged and expanded when possible to help fill our growing need.

Solving the county's housing crisis will require a shared vision and coordination with neighboring jurisdictions to find regional solutions.

Goals, Policies and Actions

Goal 5.1: Provide and produce housing units that meet the diverse household sizes and needs of all Montgomery County residents in terms of type, size, accessibility, affordability and location.

Policy 5.1.1: Encourage the production of a broad variety of housing types to achieve attainable price ranges.

Action 5.1.1.a: Expand housing options in detached residential areas near high-capacity transit by modifying the zoning code to allow duplexes,¹⁶ triplexes,¹⁷ and quadruplexes,¹⁸ residential types by-right and with smaller lot areas.

Action 5.1.1.b: Look at market-oriented mechanisms to help set inclusionary zoning requirements, automatic Payment in Lieu of Taxes (PILOTS)¹⁹ or tax abatement requirements to incentivize affordable housing production in housing projects.

Policy 5.1.2: Develop new housing, especially affordable housing, on surplus, vacant and underutilized public and private lands in the county.

The Executive regularly reviews County assets to identify underutilized spaces for a variety of public needs.

Action 5.1.2.a: Create and analyze an inventory of available surplus, vacant and underutilized private and public lands for public and private partners to acquire for housing, with special attention to assembling land.

The Executive maintains an inventory of County-owned land and reviews the inventory regularly to determine suitability of land to meet a variety of public needs.

Action 5.1.2.b: Establish tax abatements and other financial incentives to encourage conversion of high-vacancy office sites into residential uses through adaptive reuse or redevelopment of the site.

Policy 5.1.3: Continue exploring and prioritizing co-location of housing and other uses between public agencies, especially during the master planning and public lands disposition processes.

The Executive regularly reviews assets to identify underutilized spaces for a variety of public needs. We look forward to continuing this work and the work from the 2018 Colocation of Public Facilities study with partner agencies.

OMB routinely works with DGS and DHCA to evaluate the potential for co-locating housing with County CIP projects. It's possible that other County agencies such as MNCPPC and the College could also

¹⁶ A duplex house plan has two dwelling units attached to each other, either next to each other as townhouses or above each other like apartments.

¹⁷ A triplex is a dwelling structure composed of three units.

¹⁸ A quadruplex is a dwelling structure split into four units.

¹⁹ The Payment in Lieu of Taxes (PILOT) allows local governments, when authorized by state law to negotiate agreements with rental property owners to lower the cost of county real property and special area taxes. In return, a rental property owner commits to provide affordable housing to low-income residents. <https://www3.montgomerycountymd.gov/311/Solutions.aspx?SolutionId=1-5JQAZZ>

Action 5.1.3.a: Establish a more streamlined process for affordable housing projects, including revisions to the Local Map Amendment,²⁰ Floating Zone,²¹ Conditional Use and Mandatory Referral²² processes.

The Executive supports a more streamlined process for affordable housing projects during the Mandatory Referral process.

Action 5.1.3.b: Study the possibility of implementing a progressive tax structure that rewards investment in vacant and underutilized sites and shifts the tax burden to sites that remain vacant and underutilized, through tools such as land value taxation.²³

Policy 5.1.4: Build capacity for affordable housing development production with faith-based institutions, employers, the private sector and nonprofits through education and technical support on the development review process.

Action 5.1.4.a: Create an affordable housing ombudsman to manage projects with an emphasis on coordination across agencies.

This seems like an unnecessary expense. DHCA can fulfill this role as needed.

Policy 5.1.5: Reduce development costs by pursuing and encouraging new and innovative housing construction types to help reduce construction costs, including modular²⁴ prefabricated housing²⁵, and mass timber²⁶.

What is driving the high construction costs, and how feasible is it to help bring these costs down? Are costs tied into materials transport, and expanding local production sources might assist? Or are costs a result of fitting within limited right-of-way in dense areas, or a lack of staging areas? How do regulations & the time from submittal to approval affect costs?

Action 5.1.5.a: Consider using financial tools and zoning incentives to encourage alternative construction types.

The use of alternative construction types should be related to other benefits (e.g. climate friendly, cost efficiency). Funds should not be put to this use just for the sake of doing something different.

Policy 5.1.6: Support the production of innovative and creative housing options including single-room occupancy units (SROs),²⁷ duplexes, triplexes, quadruplexes, multiplexes, shared housing,²⁸ co-housing,²⁹ accessory dwelling units (ADUs), and cooperative housing³⁰ to help meet housing needs and diversify housing options.

Action 5.1.6.a: Review regulations and policies, including impact taxes and zoning, to remove barriers and encourage the production of creative housing options, including multi-generational housing, single room occupancy, shared housing, cooperative housing and co-housing.

Impact taxes are in place to fund important schools and transportation infrastructure. Reducing the availability of these funds for these purposes should only be considered for the highest priorities.

Draft Work Product for Planning Board Review on June 11, 2020

²⁰ A Local Map Amendment is a rezoning requested for a particular parcel of land by the property owner or a contract purchaser.

²¹ A flexible zone that is to be used for a designated purpose, but whose location is to be determined in the future as part of a Local Map Amendment.

²² Mandatory Referrals are plans submitted by government agencies for a variety of development activities including road improvements, public school projects, and any other government-owned property development.

²³ A land value tax (LTV) is a method of assessing property taxes that only considers the value of the land itself and related improvements, and not the structures built on the land.

²⁴ Modular is a construction method that involves constructing sections away from the building site, then delivering them to the intended site to be assembled.

²⁵ Prefabricated homes are dwelling types of prefabricated building, which are manufactured off-site in advance, usually in standard sections that can be easily shipped and assembled.

²⁶ Mass timber is a term used to describe a number of large engineered wood products that typically involve the lamination and compression of multiple layers to create solid panels of wood. Mass timber construction uses solid wood panels to frame a building's walls, floors and roofs.

²⁷ Single room occupancy (more commonly abbreviated to SRO) is a form of housing that is typically aimed at residents with low or minimal incomes who rent small, furnished single rooms.

²⁸ Shared housing is defined as two or more people who live in one permanent rental housing unit, sharing costs associated with maintaining housing such as rent and utilities.

²⁹ Co-housing is semi-communal housing consisting of a cluster of private homes and a shared community space (as for cooking or laundry facilities).

³⁰ Cooperative housing is a different type of homeownership, with cooperative housing you own a part of a corporation that owns the building.

Action 5.1.6.b: Consider fee waivers, financial assistance, and other incentives to increase ADU production.³¹

Action 5.1.6.c: Explore the possibility of county-offered low-cost financing or financial assistance to homeowners who are willing to enter their ADU into a deed restriction that restricts the rent.

Action 5.1.6.d: Explore ways to work adaptability into building design to ensure buildings can respond to changing demographics and generational need over the lifetime of a building.

Policy 5.1.7: Provide family-sized housing units in new multifamily housing developments.

Action 5.1.7.a: Develop incentives and tools to encourage the development of housing units that meet the needs of families, including larger size three-bedroom (or more) units.

Policy 5.1.8: Build or retrofit housing that meets the needs of older adults to enable them to age in place, downsize, choose rental or ownership, or find housing with the appropriate level of services.

Policy 5.1.9: Expand current state and county programs and identify new tools to increase access to homeownership in order to support and improve paths to homeownership, especially among low-income residents, people of color and younger households.

Action 5.1.9.a: Expand state and county down payment assistance programs, like the SmartBuy program.

Action 5.1.9.b: Expand support for county and nonprofit organizations like the [Housing Opportunities Commission's Mortgage Purchase Program](#) that provide education, financial counseling on homeownership especially for low- and moderate-income households, and households of color.

Action 5.1.9.c: Create employer-supported homebuyer and renter counseling programs and assess the feasibility of developing programs for employer contributions to closing cost and down payment assistance programs for their employees.

Action 5.1.9.d: Consider exempting first-time homebuyers from county-imposed fees and taxes like the recordation tax. OMB: Given the needs for these funds to support the County's general fund, MCPS and County CIP projects, and the Housing Initiative Fund, this proposal should only be considered for those who most need this to become homeowners.

Policy 5.1.10: Collaborate with neighboring jurisdictions to expand housing resources and tools; share data, resources, and best practices; and identify and consider regional solutions to housing challenges.

Action 5.1.10.a: Develop and update shared housing goals for both Montgomery County and the region through collaboration with the Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments.

Action 5.1.10.b: Study strategies to best align with neighboring jurisdictions' housing policies and practices to reduce housing instability and eliminate homelessness.

³¹ An Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) is a residential unit that is either in or added to an existing one-family dwelling, or in a separate

Draft Work Product for Planning Board Review on June 11, 2020

accessory structure on the same lot as an existing one-family dwelling and used as a complete, independent living facility with provisions for cooking, eating, sanitation and sleeping.

Action 5.1.10.c: Create a Housing Functional Master Plan to provide measurable housing goals and strategies for different market segments in Montgomery County as well as an analysis of affordability gaps and impediments to the housing supply.

Policy 5.1.11: Encourage affordable, sustainable, green development and environmental sensitivity in housing, neighborhood design, and redevelopment.

Goal 5.2: Ensure that the majority of new housing is located near rail and BRT stations, employment centers and within complete communities that provide needed services and amenities for residents.

Policy 5.2.1: Pursue financial and zoning opportunities to increase residential density, especially for older adults and people with disabilities, near high-capacity transit that will result in increased walkability and access to amenities.

Action 5.2.1.a: Provide appropriate financial incentives, such as tax abatements, Payment in Lieu of Taxes (PILOTs) and Tax Increment Financing (TIFs)³² to increase housing production in targeted locations near high-capacity transit.

Action 5.2.1.b: Provide incentives to encourage county employers and property owners to develop mixed-income housing at employment centers by redeveloping surface parking lots and underutilized property.

Policy 5.2.2: Ensure all established residential communities have access to affordable, high-quality transit.

Goal 5.3: Continue to promote the policy of mixed-income housing³³ development through the implementation of county policies, programs, regulations and other tools and incentives.

Policy 5.3.1: Combat the concentration of poverty and segregation with financial and zoning incentives that help spur targeted housing investments to increase demographic and income diversity across the county.

Action 5.3.1.a: Implement lower Moderately Priced Dwelling Unit (MPDU)³⁴ requirements for new residential development in low-income areas to increase economic diversification and reduce the barrier to development.

If this is to be considered, it should only be done when the long-term affordability of the other units can be locked in – otherwise, we risk shrinking the availability of affordable housing – something that is a worse outcome than overconcentration of affordable housing.

Policy 5.3.2: Fully integrate affordable housing into our communities and ensure it is indistinguishable from market-rate housing, including quality of design, sustainability features and community amenities.

Policy 5.3.3: Encourage the development of affordable housing by strengthening the capacity of the Moderately Priced Dwelling Unit(MPDU) program and other affordable housing programs.

³² Tax increment financing, or TIF, subsidizes companies by refunding or diverting a portion of their taxes to help finance development in an area or (less frequently) on a project site.

³³ Mixed-income housing development is generally defined as housing units affordable to a broad range of income levels.

³⁴ A Moderately Priced Dwelling unit, or MPDU is Montgomery County's inclusionary zoning program that requires 12.5%-15% of new

Draft Work Product for Planning Board Review on June 11, 2020

units in a development to be affordable to households earning 65 % of area median income (AMI) for garden-style apartments and 70 % for high-rise apartments.

Action 5.3.3.a: Consider implementing income averaging³⁵ to reach broad levels of affordability.

Action 5.3.3.b: Create a centralized MPDU application system for rental units, including establishing a waiting list.

Action 5.3.3.c: Ensure that the Department of Housing and Community Affairs (DHCA) has the ability and flexibility to terminate and modify covenants due to unaffordability.

Action 5.3.3.d: Explore the county's and the state's ability to make homeowner's association (HOA) and condo fees more reasonable for residents of affordable units. OMB: What does this mean – does it imply County subsidies?

Action 5.3.3.e: Work with Prince George's County and the State of Maryland to attain a 9% Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC)³⁶ set-aside for Montgomery and Prince George's County. The CE supports this goal. This is already being pursued.

Action 5.3.3.f: Expand local housing voucher³⁷ programs with dedicated funding to meet the needs of lower-income households.

Policy 5.3.4: Explore public-private partnerships to build 100% affordable housing projects in areas where the project is consistent with the idea of increasing income diversification.

Policy 5.3.5: Continue to grow and identify new sources of revenue for the Housing Initiative Fund (HIF).³⁸

Action 5.3.4.a: Explore changes to the recordation tax to generate additional funding for the HIF.

Changes in the recordation tax are under consideration in the new SSP.

Goal 5.4: Eliminate homelessness through strategies that provide safe, stable and affordable housing opportunities for homeless youth, singles and families.

Policy 5.4.1: Enhance implementation of the county's Housing First Initiative³⁹, which places people experiencing homelessness into housing as rapidly as possible and provides wraparound services²⁸ to help them maintain their housing.

Action 5.4.1.a: Increase access to housing options for tenants who face challenges in obtaining housing, including expanding resources for the Risk Mitigation Fund.⁴⁰

³⁵ Income averaging allows property owners to elect to serve households with varied incomes, so long as the average income/rent level in the project remains at or under a set AMI (Area Median Income) limit.

³⁶ The Low-Income Housing Tax Credit provides a tax incentive to construct or rehabilitate affordable rental housing for low-income households.

³⁷ The Housing Choice Voucher Program, formerly known as "Section 8," provides assistance for very low-income households (single or family), the elderly and the disabled to afford decent, safe and sanitary housing in the private market.

³⁸ The Housing Initiative Fund (HIF) is a locally funded housing trust fund that receives revenue from a variety of sources including loan repayments and property tax revenue. Administered by Montgomery County's Department of Housing and Community Affairs, the fund provides loans to the Housing Opportunities Commission (HOC), nonprofit developers, experienced rental property owners and for-profit developers to build new housing units, renovate deteriorated multi-family housing developments, preserve existing affordable housing and provide housing for people with disabilities.

³⁹ The Housing First Initiative offers permanent, affordable housing as quickly as possible for individuals and families experiencing homelessness, then provides the supportive services and connections to the community-based supports people need to keep their housing and avoid returning to homelessness

⁴⁰ Risk mitigation funds provide financial assurances to landlords to address specific concerns and risks such as damage to property,

Policy 5.4.2: Increase the number of permanent supportive housing units to address the unmet need in the county.

Action 5.4.2.a: Explore changes to the zoning code to support the creation of permanent supportive housing units like Single-Room Occupancy (SRO) or Personal Living Quarter (PLQ) units by-right in all residential and mixed-use zones.

Policy 5.4.3: Continue to focus on reducing the time families and individuals spend in temporary shelters.

Policy 5.4.4: Use the master plan process to collaborate with the Department of Health and Human Services to evaluate the housing needs of individuals and families on the homelessness spectrum, including identifying potential locations for supportive housing.

Policy 5.4.5: Eliminate racial disparities across the homelessness spectrum.

Goal 5.5: Minimize displacement of people, especially among low-income residents, people of color, people with disabilities and older adults.

Include action items with gentrification-fighting tools such as rent & property tax stabilization programs to allow people choosing to remain in place to do so, &/or relocation assistance for those choosing to relocate.

Policy 5.5.1: Preserve market-rate and income-restricted affordable housing stock, striving for no net loss³⁰ of affordable housing in the event of redevelopment. Develop targeted, balanced, priority-based strategies that minimize displacement, increase our housing supply and reinvestment, and help the creation of mixed-income communities.

Action 5.5.1.a: Create a “No Net Loss” of Affordable Housing Floating Zone in targeted areas, like the Purple Line corridor.

Policy 5.5.2: Monitor and work with owners of affordable housing to develop preservation plans for properties to ensure long-term affordability.

Action 5.5.2.a: Consider implementing Housing Affordability Strategy⁴¹ plans for small areas with expiring subsidies or existing market-rate affordable housing that allow for the enhancement and preservation of long-term housing affordability through the provision of additional density and zoning changes.

Policy 5.5.3: Consider and support enhancement of the Right of First Refusal⁴² and other efforts to aid the Housing Opportunities Commission (HOC) and other county and nonprofit partners in the purchase of affordable rental buildings that are at risk of being sold to keep the units affordable.

Policy 5.5.4: Continue to use the Housing Initiative Fund acquisition and rehabilitation loans and the Right of First Refusal contracts to acquire and preserve or create extended affordability in housing developments.

Action 5.5.4.a: Create financial and technical assistance and zoning incentives to preserve market-rate affordable rental and common ownership condominium properties.

⁴¹ See an example in South Patrick Street Housing Affordability Strategy, City of Alexandria, 2018.
<https://www.alexandriava.gov/planning/info/default.aspx?id=100785>

⁴² The Right of First Refusal requires that the County and/or HOC have the right to match any signed bona fide third-party sales contract for an existing rental building in the county.

Action 5.5.4.b: Create a preservation fund in targeted areas to help nonprofits purchase market rate affordable properties.

Policy 5.5.5: Encourage the replacement of larger family sized units in redevelopment, relocation and right to return processes.

Action 5.5.5.a: In the case of redevelopment, create strategies to retain naturally occurring affordable housing and existing housing types that are typically not constructed in the marketplace including 3+ bedroom units for families.

Policy 5.5.6: Protect tenants' rights, improve living conditions in rental housing, and ensure renters' contributions to the community are emphasized and valued.

Action 5.5.6.a: Promote financial and technical assistance and counseling to renter households that may be at risk of eviction.

Action 5.5.6.b: Collect and report data on evictions and use of emergency financial assistance to find opportunities to enhance existing assistance.

Policy 5.5.7: Support various programs (nonprofit, private, county-sponsored and philanthropic) that aid residents in the upkeep and repair of their homes and properties.

Action 5.5.7.a: Continue and strengthen the county's vigorous Housing Code Enforcement program to maintain in good condition the county's aging but vital rental housing stock.

Policy 5.5.8: Continue to strengthen the rights of tenants in properties with multiple code-enforcement violations that require more frequent inspections ("troubled properties") through education to ensure timely maintenance and safe living conditions.

Policy 5.5.9: Integrate people with disabilities and older adults into communities with affordable and accessible housing that offer services and amenities. Continue and enhance coordination between county and other agencies and nonprofits that provide housing with wraparound services.

Policy 5.5.10: Identify and implement programs to meet any shortfall of housing for people with disabilities. As funds are available, increase rental subsidies and opportunities to the most at-risk populations. Obtain and leverage federal and state funds when possible.

Action 5.5.10.a: Develop zoning and financial incentives to designate and build housing for people with disabilities.

Action 5.5.10.b: Through the regulatory process, incorporate accessible design features such as no-step entrances, wider doors, barrier-free entrances, and other improvements to help people age in place, assist persons living with a disability and accommodate populations with mobility limitations.

Policy 5.5.11: Monitor indicators of neighborhood change and establish programs to minimize the concentration of poverty or the involuntary displacement of vulnerable populations.

Action 5.5.11.a: Create an interactive monitoring database that maps indicators of neighborhood change including gentrification and displacement, and concentration of poverty and segregation.

Policy 5.5.12: Use the County's Growth Policy [Subdivision Staging Policy] to monitor the secondary effects of targeted growth policies, including loss of market-rate affordable housing and displacement. CE: The SSP is not a housing policy. Its purpose is to assure adequate public facilities, particularly for schools and transportation, for new construction.

What is meant by this? How does the SSP process relate to Affordable Housing goals?

Goal 5.6: Expand housing access through elimination of fair housing barriers and enforcement of fair housing laws to protect residents from discrimination.

Policy 5.6.1 Provide education, outreach and services for residents regarding their rights as tenants and home buyers under the Fair Housing Law and additional protections offered through the state and county.

Policy 5.6.2: Provide education and training to landlords, property managers, real estate agents, lending institutions and others on their obligations under the Fair Housing Law and additional protections offered through the state and county.

Policy 5.6.3: Conduct fair housing testing to ensure access to housing choice for protected classes and compliance by public and private sector housing providers.

Policy 5.6.4: Commit to the principles of Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing⁴³ by pursuing meaningful actions, in addition to combating discrimination, that overcome patterns of segregation and foster inclusive communities free from barriers that restrict access to opportunity.

Action 5.6.4.a: Develop a Regional Fair Housing Plan with other jurisdictions and the Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments.

6. Healthy and Sustainable Environment

Issues and Challenges

Climate change is the most serious environmental and public health issue to confront our society. The negative impacts of climate change are diverse and far-reaching: heat waves, increased storm frequency and intensity, flooding, stormwater runoff and stream erosion, urban heat island effects, droughts, loss of species and habitat and many others. Economic impacts include increased energy costs, infrastructure failure and damage, impacts to outdoor labor, recreation, tourism, food production and financial loss of ecosystem services. These impacts are only projected to increase. Disadvantaged communities bear a disproportionate share of these negative consequences.

Serious environmental issues in addition to Climate Change: Thrive 2050 states that Climate Change is the most serious environmental and public health issue to confront our society. There are other environmental issues that are equally concerning. Increasing impervious surfaces, continued development, loss of tree canopy, loss of natural resources should be added. Also, solid waste challenges, zero waste, plastic and excessive waste, recycling, water supply, chemical, pesticide, fertilizer, PAH, and many other pollutants, and etc.

Public health issues are exacerbated by climate change and they are intertwined with the quality of the physical environment. Higher temperatures have health implications already evident in cities. Climate change will affect the occurrence of infectious diseases and may impact our housing and

⁴³ The principles of Affirmatively Further Fair Housing refer to the legal requirement (implemented in 2015) that federal agencies and federal grantees further the purposes of the Fair Housing Act. Under the rule, any jurisdiction that receives money from HUD must analyze its housing occupancy by race, disability, familial status, economic status, English proficiency, and other categories. It must then analyze factors which contribute to any prohibitive barriers in housing and formulate a plan to remedy the impediments. It was suspended under Ben Carson in 2018.

infrastructure as well as restrict access to healthcare.⁴⁴

Opportunities to eat well and be active are impacted by the quality of neighborhoods and the availability of services and opportunities. The inequitable distribution and accessibility of job opportunities, public transportation, parks and recreation facilities and healthy food choices lead to inequitable health outcomes. Sedentary lifestyles contribute to obesity and related health issues. Except for much of our parks system, Montgomery County is not designed to encourage and promote physical activity or active personal transit (walking and cycling to destinations). County roads and sidewalks are not safe or comfortable for people exercising, running errands or commuting on foot or bicycles. Our built environment makes it difficult to incorporate movement into our everyday lives.

Montgomery County is a leader in protecting and enhancing the natural environment through a broad range of planning initiatives, policies and regulations to protect sensitive environmental resources. But many indicators such as stream water quality, forest loss, loss of plant and animal species, and increased imperviousness are worsening. As the population expands and the region continues to develop, pressures on our natural systems increase.

Vision for Healthy and Sustainable Environment

In 2050, Montgomery County has a culture of sustainability embraced by residents, workers, businesses and government agencies. Living in complete communities, residents work, play and obtain most of their basic needs close to their homes. Biking, walking and public transit are the major modes of travel in urban areas and are common elsewhere. Reliance on cars is significantly reduced. Virtually all vehicles owned and operated in the county are zero emission vehicles. Using a compact form for all new growth, infill and redevelopment have resulted in complete communities and reduced the amount of impervious surface. OMB: It is not clear how this is being accomplished. Tree canopy and green areas exist in places where none did before, providing cleaner air and water and supporting and encouraging outdoor physical activity, thereby improving health for all residents. Climate change is factored into all land use and planning initiatives resulting in highly resilient and adaptive natural and built environments. The county pursues best practices and innovative technologies to absorb more greenhouse gases than it generates. Most buildings are net-zero energy buildings. All energy used in the county is 100% clean energy. Reuse, recycling and composting of food and yard waste results in very little municipal solid waste generation.

OMB: Public transit, walking and biking should be the priority order based on most likely usage.

Montgomery County provides thriving, livable and healthy habitats for both humans and wildlife. Green resources and the many benefits they provide are distributed equitably throughout the county. Creative programs and public investments ensure that communities that once experienced deficits of these resources are just as cool, green and healthful as the rest of the county. Residents benefit from improved health outcomes no matter where they live. The county's parks and open spaces provide or enable essential environmental benefits including tree canopy and shade; carbon dioxide pollution reduction; and clean water, air and wildlife habitat. Comprehensive watershed management and park stewardship efforts safeguard the health of our natural areas and improve water quality.

Urbanism as the Key to True Sustainability

Compact development: Compact development will not reduce storm water runoff, just concentrate its effects. This increases the burden on the Executive to mitigate/repair impacts and creates a massive maintenance burden and cost. This needs to be acknowledged.

OMB will need to work with DEP to understand how retrofitting SWM facilities in a more urbanized area can be considered for cost analysis.

How does compact dense urban development by itself reduce the impacts of climate change? i.e. flooding, increased precipitation volumes and rates, increased urban heat islands, etc. Engineering is a poor substitute for reducing impacts.

Plan needs a stronger focus on replacing old infrastructure, including SWM, sewer, water, roads, bridges and culverts.

Need to plan for high and more variable water table with increased precipitation and more infiltration BMPs. This is not mentioned and is a growing problem that is likely to increase over the next several years.

Many urban areas have utilized a “blue-green infrastructure” approach to address water quality and water quantity issues in conjunction with other co-benefits such as transportation improvements and increased access to green space. This approach has been integrated into the Thrive 2050 plan as it relates to transportation (Goals 4.2 and 4.9). References to collaborating with stormwater management and restoration efforts could also be added to Policy 1.3.1 and Policy 2.1.3 to loop it into green space as well.

What is meant by “de-pave”? We suggest adding conservation of existing natural resources as an additional benefit. De-pave seems to imply removing impervious surfaces.

Montgomery County has been a pioneer in protecting and preserving its natural environment. One of its significant achievements--the Agricultural Reserve—more than one-third of the county’s land

⁴⁴ “Health impacts of climate change” - https://www.apha.org/-/media/files/pdf/topics/climate/guide_section4.ashx?la=en&hash=118F4FD2E4719EF51A76C0B0865BAEF57BEB7EDB

area (35.1%). It is also the origin of many of the streams which have the best water quality of any in the county. Another 13.8% of the county is designated parkland (some of it in the Agricultural Reserve). Together, these two land uses and numerous regulatory mechanisms and policy initiatives have put the county in the forefront of environmental protection in the country. In 2017, Montgomery County was the first suburban jurisdiction to pass a resolution declaring a Climate Emergency and committing the county to reducing greenhouse gas emissions to zero by 2035.

The county was also a leader in adopting smart growth principles in its planning and land use policies, which have helped reduce and contain the negative environmental impacts of new development. It started concentrating new development around Metrorail stations through the implementation of Central Business District zones in the early 1970s and later through mixed-use centers in the 1990s.

We must continue to address the threat of climate change and adjust the county's planning framework to help achieve the goal of net-zero emissions by 2035.

Thrive Montgomery 2050 is proposing to embrace “urbanism” and a compact form of development to reduce its carbon footprint. (See more on compact development in the Diverse and Adaptable Growth chapter). A compact form of development implies having multiple options for transportation such as walking and biking, without relying on a car. This will also promote more active lifestyles as it allows us to provide more land for parks, trails and other recreation spaces. It will also help us achieve complete communities that emphasize a mix of uses, pedestrian and bicycle facilities and 15-minute living.

It is possible to accomplish all these goals – they are not mutually exclusive. For example, a compact form of development can reduce stormwater runoff and heat island effects using green roofs, other green cover, and building design and orientation to reduce urban temperatures. And of course, we must continue to protect forests, wetlands, meadows, and streams as they are the principal components of our natural areas and act as the lungs, backbone, and circulatory systems of our natural environment. Street trees, recreational parks and other green spaces provide similar benefits in the built environment. Both ecosystems provide clean air, water, habitat, recreation, and other needs that are vital for human, animal, plant, and economic health.

Conflicting Goals: Some of the goals are at odds with each other, such as increased housing, increased developer opportunities, economic development, streamlining of development, denser development, and more bike paths and sidewalks all being at odds with “de-paving.” There are no actions to ensure collaboration between County departments, municipalities, and agencies that operate in the County.

Goals, Policies and Actions

Goal 6.1: Use a compact form of development to create and support a variety of urban, suburban and rural places that benefit human health through active lifestyles, reduction in carbon footprint, mitigation of climate change and protection of natural resources.

What does this goal mean? What is the nature of the suburban and rural places?

Policy 6.1.1: Accommodate future growth through a compact form of infill and redevelopment to create long-term sustainability for both human and environmental health.

Action 6.1.1.a: Develop compact development strategies suitable for different parts of the county to reduce building footprints as much as possible and create walkable, bikeable neighborhoods. Use smart growth principles and best practices to increase the

Is the same form of compact development to be used throughout the County, but at different density levels and with different designs?

Action 6.1.1.b: Develop urban environmental guidelines to incorporate green features and amenities in urban areas that will address climate change, provide cleaner air, water and shading and cooling features, and improve human health.

Policy 6.1.2: Plan in three dimensions. Creatively integrate and use different building levels, from below ground to rooftops, to provide sustainability benefits in densely developed areas within the limited space available. Examples include use of underground spaces for stormwater, utilities, and tree beds; use of terraces, building step-backs, and rooftops for gathering spaces and vegetation; and use of building faces and rooftops for solar energy generation.

Policy 6.1.3: Support the concepts of compact form of development and complete communities to avoid sprawl. Limit expansion of new roads and of the sewer and water system to direct new development to areas served by existing infrastructure.

This is a big change. Delete the second sentence. A plan that is to last 30 years should not tie the County's hands this way. Concerned that, without clarification, limiting water/sewer system expansion could work against providing water and sewer service for areas already planned but lacking service.

Goal 6.2: Mitigate, reduce and adapt to climate change through land use and infrastructure that is more resilient to climate change and moves the county to a climate-positive⁴⁵ future.

Policy 6.2.1: Use compact, dense, urban development to help reduce, mitigate and adapt to climate change. Use building and site design and other development features to address the effects of extreme temperatures, increased and more frequent flooding and extreme weather events.

Action 6.2.1.a Develop guidelines and standards for climate-sensitive design principles and materials for new public and private development projects. Ensure these standards include strategies to maximize greenhouse gas reductions in the built environment.

Policy 6.2.2: Meet the county's greenhouse gas (GHG) reduction goals and maintain the GHG reductions over the long-term through innovative land use, green approaches, and design standards and practices. Incorporate recommendations from the county's Climate Action Plan⁴⁶ to reduce GHG emissions in planning and development initiatives, regulatory controls, and strategies. Collaborate with regional partners to seek and implement climate change solutions.

Action 6.2.2.a: Develop incentives such as a carbon tax or fee to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

Action 6.2.2.b: Increase the number of required electric vehicle charging stations for all new development.

Action 6.2.2.c: Create or choose a tool to apply during the planning process to evaluate land use options to meet our GHG reduction goals.

Policy 6.2.3: Upgrade the county's water supply and distribution systems to withstand the effects of climate change and continue to meet the county's current and long-term needs for safe and adequate drinking water supply.

Policy 6.2.4: Enhance the county's climate resilience by planning and designing new utility infrastructure, including electrical, water and sewer, stormwater and communications, and other infrastructure improvements that incorporate climate change mitigation and adaptation strategies to ensure service continuity during major hazard events.

⁴⁵ Climate positive means going beyond achieving net-zero emissions and removing additional carbon dioxide from the atmosphere.

Action 6.2.4.a Create performance standards for utility infrastructure to mitigate and adapt to climate change and track the effectiveness of climate adaptation strategies for infrastructure.

Policy 6.2.5: Reduce the county's energy demand and generate all energy needs by clean, efficient and renewable methods that are more climate resilient, less centralized in generation and distribution, and increasingly able to use more local sources of power.

Action 6.2.5.a: Identify and evaluate opportunities for siting alternative energy production and storage systems. What does this mean for the Ag Reserve? Is this an effort to increase solar farms?

Action 6.2.5.b: Identify innovative ways to incorporate energy production into new building design.

The aforementioned policy (6.2.5) and actions (6.2.5.a; 6.2.5.b) are consistent with County sustainability initiatives.

Policy 6.2.6: Retrofit existing development and neighborhoods to reduce heat island effects by better shading and cooling.

Policy 6.2.7: Expand the capacity of the Agricultural Reserve to provide essential contributions to the county's efforts to reduce, mitigate and adapt to climate change.

Action 6.2.7.a: Create mechanisms to encourage and support sustainable agricultural practices that enhance soil and environmental benefits, provide greater resilience to climate change disruptions and promote healthier farming communities.

Policy 6.2.8: Identify, mitigate or eliminate existing environmental injustices within affected communities by funding and conducting public environmental mitigation and enhancement projects and creating incentives for private entity mitigation and cleanup.

Policy 6.2.9: Strive to eliminate municipal solid waste through reduced waste generation, resource reuse, recycling and composting.

Goal 6.3: Improve health and well-being for all Montgomery County residents and address the health disparities that currently exist.

Policy 6.3.1: Incorporate a "Health in all Policies"⁴⁷ approach into policies, programs and practices affecting all aspects of the built environment. Develop cross-agency collaborations to promote health equity for all residents.

Action 6.3.1.a: Develop Health Impact Assessment tools to be used at all levels of decision making specific to each agency and to inform cross-agency collaboration. Predict and advance the health and well-being of our residents using technological innovations for data gathering.

Policy 6.3.2: Create convenient and safe opportunities for physical activity for residents of all ages, cultures, abilities and income levels. Include walking, biking, informal movement, activity and recreation opportunities within communities. Ensure safe, convenient connections to parks and open spaces.

⁴⁷ <https://www.montgomerycountymd.gov/healthymontgomery/programs/health-policies.html>

Action 6.3.2.a: Expand Montgomery Planning's [Recreation Guidelines](#) and requirements to include design guidelines for informal activity opportunities and needs.

Action 6.3.2.b: Identify communities with chronic health conditions and prioritize them for retrofitting to improve deficiencies in access to physical activity opportunities.

Policy 6.3.3: Ensure that all residents breathe clean air and are not exposed to an unhealthy environment.

Action 6.3.3.a: Reduce vehicle miles traveled and the use of single-occupancy cars⁴⁸.

Action 6.3.3.b: Develop land use guidelines to reduce exposure to air pollution from traffic and other emissions sources.

Action 6.3.3.c: Encourage owners and managers of existing buildings to retrofit indoor air handling systems in residences and schools to increase indoor air quality.

Policy 6.3.4: Consider the health threats of climate change when creating and implementing climate adaptation strategies. Partner with health-focused organizations on climate adaptation projects. Analyze health outcomes data, particularly for marginalized communities and other residents with greater health challenges, as part of developing mitigation and adaptation projects to reduce exacerbated impacts of climate change on vulnerable residents' health.

Policy 6.3.5: Promote active and healthy lifestyles and active transportation, including walking and biking for all segments of the population in all parts of the county, by maintaining and improving built and natural environments. This includes ensuring that all county residents in urban and suburban communities have access to a park or open space within walking distance from their homes. Enhance and protect our park system of natural and built elements to promote and increase opportunities for healthy active lifestyles and physical fitness. Foster human-to-human and human-to-nature connections.

It is unclear what is meant by “park or open space”. Typically, there is a big difference.

Policy 6.3.6: Use master plans and the regulatory review process to protect communities from excessive noise, especially in places where it is not possible to mitigate all noise impacts.

Policy 6.3.7: Achieve nighttime light levels near natural areas that protect wildlife and enhance our ability to enjoy the night sky.

Action 6.3.7.a: Review County lighting standards for potential revision to address energy efficiency, fixture design, and other considerations that avoid light spillover into adjacent areas.

Action 6.3.7.b: Study Dark Skies Initiative⁴⁹ and similar approaches to limit light pollution.

These goals need to be balanced with safety concerns.

Goal 6.4: Provide all residents with safe, convenient access to affordable, healthy foods.

Policy 6.4.1: Provide safe, convenient opportunities to obtain affordable fresh fruits and vegetables in all neighborhoods.

⁴⁸ See also Goal 4.5 and related policies in the Safe and Efficient Travel

⁴⁹ <https://mcdonaldobservatory.org/darkskies>

Action 6.4.1.a: Evaluate existing public and private open space and facilities and identify opportunities for the inclusion of community gardens and the development of urban farms.

Policy 6.4.2: Avoid concentrations of sources of unhealthy food, particularly in communities with chronic health conditions.

Policy 6.4.3: Study and evaluate options for creating a comprehensive food system including appropriate locations for food crop growth, storage, processing and distribution.

**Goal 6.5: Preserve, restore, enhance, expand and sustainably manage natural and other green areas to support human, animal and plant life.
Provide appropriate and accessible outdoor recreation opportunities for all.**

Policy 6.5.1: Minimize imperviousness by limiting and removing existing impervious surfaces while respecting goals, needs, and conditions in different parts of the county.

What does this mean?

Action 6.5.1.a: Research and create guidance for innovative development, retrofit and construction designs and techniques that minimize imperviousness.

Policy 6.5.2: Protect, enhance and increase the coverage, connectivity and health of natural habitats such as forests, non-forest tree canopy, wetlands and meadows through land acquisition, easements, habitat restoration and ecosystem management.

Action 6.5.2.a: Conduct a study to determine and establish appropriate forest and non-forest canopy goals to protect natural and human health.

Action 6.5.2.b: Conduct a study of the Special Protection Area (SPA) program law, regulations and implementation and determine what changes are needed to achieve the original SPA program goals and objectives.

Action 6.5.2.c: Study the County Forest Conservation Law and regulations intended to preserve specimen and champion trees. Identify improvements to the law and regulation's effectiveness and efficiency.

Action 6.5.2.d: Develop a long-range forest quality management plan to address fragmentation, deer pressure, invasive threats and the forest's capacity to withstand and mitigate climate impacts.

Policy 6.5.3: Design and construct transportation and other infrastructure improvements using environmentally sensitive methods.

Policy 6.5.4: Preserve and enhance privately owned forest land through incentives and other approaches such as easements, forest mitigation bank programs or transfer of development rights.

Assistance in costing this goal will be needed.

Policy 6.5.5: Reduce and manage invasive and other problem species to levels that pose no significant threats to green areas.

Policy 6.5.6: Improve water quality and stream conditions in existing and new development through green enhancements and retrofits such as green streets, increasing tree canopy and green stormwater management.

Action 6.5.6.a: Develop incentives for developers to restore existing streams and daylight piped streams during the redevelopment process.

7. Diverse and Adaptable Growth

Issues and Challenges

The [1964 General Plan](#) laid out the broad planning principles based on the “Wedges and Corridors” concept. These principles generally worked, and the county grew in an orderly fashion into an economic engine for the state and a desirable home to more than a million people. However, the county’s current land use and development patterns need to be reimagined to deal with the current and emerging issues of technological innovation, traffic congestion, economic and equity issues, and the threats of climate change.

Continuing the current land use pattern supported mainly by driving is not sustainable. As outlined in the previous chapters, this pattern of development has negative implications for the county’s economy, housing affordability, traffic congestion and environmental sustainability and resilience. And it will continue the current pattern of inequality and separation of its neighborhoods by income and race.

On the positive side, the Agricultural Reserve has significant value as a tool to preserve farmland and rural open space as well as to provide numerous environmental benefits (e.g., protecting downstream water quality) and opportunities for local food production and carbon sequestration. But there are competing demands for land and other purposes, such as solar energy production, which put pressure on the Agricultural Reserve. It faces local and global challenges including a loss of contiguous farmland, lack of funding to purchase preservation easements, extreme weather events associated with climate change, technological advancements and global trade disputes.

Vision for Diverse and Adaptable Growth

In 2050, Montgomery County’s growth is focused on infill development and redevelopment in areas of the county supported by rail and BRT that lead to improved human and environmental health and healthy, active lifestyles. Focusing new growth and redevelopment along transit corridors transforms auto-centric roads into people-centric places. Reduction of automobiles fosters stewardship of treasured resources, including the Agricultural Reserve, parkland and environmentally sensitive areas. Residents have access to healthy, local food provided through agriculture integrated into urban and suburban neighborhoods and increased local food production in the Agricultural Reserve. Growth and development are served by adequate, timely and equitable public facilities and infrastructure. The regulatory mechanisms to support this development are nimble and focused on design excellence and achieve measurable, equitable outcomes.

Diverse and Compact Growth

Thrive Montgomery 2050 envisions a shift from the conventional suburban model of car-oriented greenfield development to walkable urbanism based on a compact form of infill development and redevelopment resulting in Complete Communities as described in the first chapter.

A compact form of development uses the idea of a village or a town center with places of commerce and gathering in the center of a walkable residential community. Compact form of building

essentially means using a smaller footprint of buildings placed close together. When designed appropriately, compact development provides a number of advantages over suburban sprawl including efficient land use, more natural areas for recreation and preservation, reduced automobile travel and increased walking and biking and reduced expense for building and maintaining infrastructure. “When a variety of uses are close together, people are more likely to walk, public places are livelier, and a civic identity develops more readily than in a conventionally planned development.”⁵⁰

The principles of urbanism and compact development can be applied in urban, suburban and rural areas to address the variation in context, scale, intensity and the desired community character. According to a ULI paper, compact development “does not imply high-rise or even uniformly high density, but rather higher average “blended” densities. Compact development also features a mix of land uses, development of strong population and employment centers, interconnection of streets, and the design of structures and spaces at a human scale.”⁵¹

A large part of the future growth in the county must be transit-oriented with both jobs and housing located within walking distance of the existing and planned rail and BRT stations. Montgomery County should focus future growth not around these transit stations but also on the connective tissue between them—the network major corridors (MD 355, Georgia Avenue, Route 29, Veirs Mill Road, University Boulevard, New Hampshire Avenue, Corridor Cities Transitway, and North Bethesda Transitway). As Montgomery County transitions from greenfield development to infill and redevelopment to accommodate future growth in a changing social and natural environment, these corridors provide an opportunity to help the county grow and improve economic health, equity and environmental resilience.

There should be a map that shows these corridors and clarifies what radius around the corridor MNCPPC is recommending for changes in zoning/density.

Managing Growth as a Mature, Built-Out County

Since the future is unpredictable, we must be flexible and nimble in our plans and implementation tools so we can change quickly and adapt to new conditions and still be able to keep our focus on achieving the desired outcomes no matter what challenges and disruptions we will face. Today, technological innovations such as wayfinding apps can give us real time information expanding our ability to manage traffic in a whole different way. Increases in the number of people working remotely, as well as demand for office space, and could change the traffic conditions significantly. We must manage growth and development as a mature, built out county by maximizing use of constrained land, and explore new mechanisms to evaluate and deliver public facilities and infrastructure improvements.

The Executive recommends separating neighborhood agriculture from agriculture in the Reserve. Below are suggested revisions to the discussion of the Agriculture Reserve and other kinds of farming. It is confusing to include urban and suburban farming with the Agricultural Reserve, since the Agricultural Reserve is a master-planned area of 93,000 acres, and urban and suburban farming are simply new uses to be permitted Zoning Code.

Delete the paragraph below:

The Role of Agriculture in Neighborhoods and the Agricultural Reserve

While the establishment of the Agricultural Reserve successfully preserved farmland and rural open space in the county, the nationally recognized planning initiative, needs to evolve to address the changing circumstances over the next 30 years. We will continue to retain the Agricultural Reserve and celebrate its value in sustaining farmland and rural open space and providing opportunities for local food production and carbon sequestration.

We will also expand access to healthy food and agricultural employment through the diversification of the agricultural sector, including urban and suburban farming.

Insert the following:

The Agricultural Reserve

While the establishment of the Agricultural Reserve successfully preserved farmland and rural open space in the county, the nationally recognized planning initiative, needs to evolve to address the changing circumstances over the next 30 years. We will continue to retain the Agricultural Reserve and celebrate its value in sustaining farmland and rural open space and providing opportunities for local food production and carbon sequestration.

The Role of Agriculture in Neighborhoods

We will also expand access to healthy food and agricultural employment through the diversification of the agricultural sector, including urban and suburban farming throughout the county. There are a number of actions that will make urban and suburban farming viable and appealing.

END OF INSERT

Goals, Policies and Actions

Goal 7.1: Focus growth on infill development and redevelopment concentrated around rail and BRT.

Policy 7.1.1: Direct Montgomery County's future growth—to include a mixture of uses and a diversity of housing types—to areas supported by rail and BRT.

Action 7.1.1.a: Initiate an update to [the Master Plan of Highways and Transitways](#) to identify typologies for transit station nodes in rail and BRT corridors.

Action 7.1.1.b: Initiate master, sector and corridor plans to analyze land use and zoning in areas accessible to rail and BRT to identify opportunities for infill development, redevelopment and adaptive reuse.

Action 7.1.1.c: Study opportunities to leverage federal, state and local tax incentives, publicly owned land, and land investment opportunities to achieve a diverse mixture of housing options and activities in areas supported by transit.

The Executive supports the concept (Action 7.1.1.c).

Policy 7.1.2: Prioritize the development of the highest density around rail and BRT.

Policy 7.1.3: Encourage redevelopment of underutilized properties, particularly near rail and BRT, by updating zoning and developing a suite of financial tools needed to catalyze redevelopment.

Policy 7.1.4: Create new and enhance existing parks near rail and BRT to provide an equitable distribution of active, social and contemplative park experiences.

Goal 7.2: Transform land uses surrounding rail and BRT corridors to accommodate future population growth and varied lifestyle preferences in attractive, walkable and mixed-use communities.

Policy 7.2.1: Incentivize development and public realm improvements along rail and BRT corridors.

Action 7.2.1.a: Initiate master, sector and corridor plans to transform rail and BRT corridors and station areas and identify opportunities to incentivize development and improvements.

Action 7.2.1.b: Conduct an evaluation of the Commercial / Residential and Employment Zones Incentive Density Implementation Guidelines for development projects, including the required public benefits, and public benefit categories and criteria so as to provide incentives for increased density around rail and BRT corridors.

Policy 7.2.2: Develop the county as an interconnected web of transportation and green corridors focused on pedestrians and bicyclists.

Action 7.2.2.a: Identify transportation and green corridors across the county and develop a framework for enhancing their quality, connectivity and potential to support neighborhood centers for the communities they connect.

Action 7.2.2.b: Develop an action plan to link stream valleys, natural lands, parks, open spaces and tree-lined boulevards to create natural corridors throughout the county to provide trails for walking and biking and to link habitats.

See general comments about balancing the desire to connect existing resources with the need to invest in underinvested communities which may also not appear to be priorities for this urban-oriented general plan.

Action 7.2.2.c: Develop an action plan to convert auto-dominated corridors and underutilized utility rights-of-way into high-performance, sustainable environments that link transit-oriented communities and allow appropriate connections into less dense adjacent neighborhoods.

Policy 7.2.3: Advance compact, mixed-use development surrounding rail and BRT stations in land use and zoning policies and regulations.

Policy 7.2.4: Retrofit single-use areas to accommodate higher densities and a mixture of uses within a 15-minute walk or bike ride of rail and BRT stations.

How big a radius is implied by a 15-minute walk or bike ride?

Goal 7.3: Manage future growth and development as a mature, built-out county by maximizing use of constrained land and identifying innovative solutions to deliver public facilities and infrastructure.

Policy 7.3.1: Create flexible and adaptable land use policies, programs and regulations that allow for unanticipated changes in land use and development as technology, economy, climate change and other factors create the need for quickly updating the county's regulatory mechanisms.

Action 7.3.1.a: Use public space and redevelopment opportunities to build resilience and respond and adapt to climate change.

Action 7.3.1.b: Identify opportunities for adaptive reuse in suburban communities for stormwater management and flood control.

Policy 7.3.2: Develop new methods of funding public infrastructure by capturing increases in land value due to growth, development and increased density. Recover and reinvest this value in public infrastructure.

Action 7.3.2.a: Identify successful case studies to learn how other communities across the United States are using land value capture to fund investment in public infrastructure.

Policy 7.3.3: Leverage existing publicly owned land to provide public facilities and services and encourage co-location of essential services such as schools, medical clinics, daycare centers, libraries, parks and recreation centers.

All County projects are required to examine the feasibility of affordable housing per Bill 37-12 – Housing – Capital Improvements Program – Affordable Housing Assessment, and child care facilities per Bill 38-12 – Capital Improvements Program – Child Care Assessment, as a potential co-location. Individual sector plans typically dictate other public facilities. High School Wellness Centers, Linkages to Learning and School Based Health Centers are located in many schools serving high risk populations.

Policy 7.3.4: Expand the use of public-private partnerships to achieve co-location of essential services with private redevelopment.

The Executive has successfully utilized public-private partnerships in the past and looks forward to building on its success. Experience has shown that it is best for MNCPPC to involve County departments early in the process rather than private developers or MNCPPC stipulating facility requirements. P3 facilities need to be workable from an operations perspective.

The Executive recommends deleting Goal 7.4, and inserting the goal for urban and suburban farming.

Goal 7.4: Strengthen Montgomery County's agricultural character to ensure the prosperity of the Agricultural Reserve into the future. Balance advancements in industry practices to enhance the Agricultural Reserve. Sustain farmland, rural open space and rural environmental resources to support the well-being of the entire county.

Insert in its place:

Goal 7.4: Promote urban and suburban farming in areas of Montgomery County where farming is not otherwise permitted, and support its continuation in the Agricultural Reserve.

Policy 7.4.1: Eliminate barriers and broaden access to healthy food, agritourism and agricultural employment through the support of urban and suburban farming. Identify opportunities for adaptive reuse of underutilized urban and suburban land for farming the agricultural sector.

Action 7.4.1.a: Develop a food security plan with the Montgomery County Food Council and county agencies to include urban, suburban and rural farming that expands access to local foods. -- **NO CHANGES**

Action 7.4.1.b: Update the Montgomery County Zoning Code to identify urban and suburban farming as permitted uses. --**NO CHANGES**

Action 7.4.1.c: Examine the Urban Agricultural Property Tax Credit to identify opportunities to enhance and expand the tax credit to support urban and suburban farming. --**NO CHANGES**

Action 7.4.1.d: Revise the Commercial/Residential and Employment Zones Incentive Density Implementation Guidelines to identify urban and suburban farming as a public benefit. -- **NO CHANGES**

Delete Policy 7.4.2 below:

Policy 7.4.2: Maintain and enhance the Agricultural Reserve as a national model for supporting and protecting agriculture and rural open space that provides vital economic, environmental and health benefits in a major metropolitan area. Study, promote and monitor the economic, environmental and health benefits of the Agricultural Reserve.

Insert the following as Policy 7.4.2:

Policy 7.4.2: Retain agriculture as the primary land use in the Agricultural Reserve, zoned AR, as provided in the Functional Master Plan for Preservation of Agriculture and Rural Open Space and other current or future master plans. Promote farming as the preferred use by providing large areas of generally contiguous properties suitable for agriculture and related uses and permitting the transfer of development rights from properties in the AR zone to properties in designated receiving areas.

Action 7.4.2.a: Conduct a study of the economic impacts of the county's agricultural business. Identify future trends and opportunities to remain competitive in food production and distribution. **NO CHANGE**

Action 7.4.2.b: Develop a strategic plan to engage culinary entrepreneurs, leading food research organizations and high-tech rural and urban farmers in food-based innovation and self-reliance in food production. **NO CHANGE**

Policy 7.4.3: Support and enhance policies that provide opportunities for new farmers who want to own and operate their own farms in the county. **NO CHANGE**

Action 7.4.3.a: Connect students with growers and producers in the Agricultural Reserve and with relevant federal and state agencies to help develop a talent pipeline for sustainable agriculture and the biosciences industry. **NO CHANGE**

Policy 7.4.4: Increase public awareness of the agricultural, environmental and economic benefits of the Agricultural Reserve and better connect communities throughout the county to this vital resource through public education and outreach, school programs, and fostering agritourism and ecotourism. NO CHANGE

Policy 7.4.5: Provide residential communities in the Agricultural Reserve with adequate public facilities and services that preserve the agricultural heritage and the unique character of this resource and supports the needs of evolving agriculture.

Action 7.4.5.a: Identify opportunities for co-location of public services in a compact form to connect rural communities with improved access to resources that support health, safety and well-being. **NO CHANGE**

Policy 7.4.6: Support the evolution of farming while ensuring the conservation of farmland, rural open space and environmental resources in the Agricultural Reserve.

Action 7.4.6.a: Enhance economic viability and environmental resilience to reflect the evolution in farming.

Action 7.4.6.b: Support the evolution of agriculture by identifying recommendations that support food processing, distribution, sale, consumption and waste management as accessory activities to farming. **NO CHANGE**

What is meant by food processing and waste management?

Action 7.4.6.c: Enhance the Transfer of Development Rights and the Building Lot Termination programs to ensure that they continue to preserve contiguous farmland and maintain the economic viability of farming. **NO CHANGE**

DELETE POLICY 7.4.7 AS TOO DETAILED FOR A GENERAL PLAN, AND INCONSISTENT WITH EARLIER POLICIES ON THE RESERVE. RENUMBER Policy 7.4.8 to 7.4.7.

Policy 7.4.7: Balance support for an evolving agricultural industry with the conservation of farmland, rural open space and environmental resources in the Agricultural Reserve.

Action 7.4.7.a: Develop recommendations to enhance economic viability and environmental resilience to reflect the evolution in agriculture.

Action 7.4.7.b: Analyze the Montgomery County Zoning Ordinance, including industrially zoned land, to support an evolving agricultural industry. Identify recommendations for flexibility in accommodating industries and services that support agriculture including food processing, distribution, sale, consumption and waste management.

Action 7.4.7.c: Look at and enhance the Transfer of Development Rights and the Building Lot Termination programs to ensure that they continue to fulfill their desired goal of preserving contiguous farmland as well as maintaining the economic viability of farming.

Policy 7.4.8: Establish food production and distribution infrastructure to enable county growers to process their products locally and to reach residents through culinary, grocery and wholesale outlets. This system will increase the competitiveness of farmers and reduce reliance on imported agricultural products and associated carbon emissions.

⁵⁰ <https://coastalsmartgrowth.noaa.gov/elements/design.html>

⁵¹ <https://www.smartgrowthamerica.org/app/legacy/documents/growingcoolerCH1.pdf>

8. Design, Arts and Culture

Issues and Challenges

Montgomery County is home to one of the most diverse populations in the nation. The county's diversity, however, is not fully represented in its arts and cultural institutions. This deficiency excludes those who have been historically underrepresented based on race and ethnicity, age, disability, sexual orientation, gender identity, socioeconomic status, geography, citizenship status and religion.

The 1964 Plan envisioned a variety of living environments and encouraged “imaginative urban design” to avoid sterile suburban sprawl. As we try to retrofit our existing neighborhoods to be complete communities, good urban design will help resolve conflicts and concerns about the changes in our built environment. These design changes include introducing new housing types in our single-family neighborhoods and creating a more resilient infrastructure in the face of climate change. Major corridors within the county have become auto-dominated traffic arteries, devoid of a sense of place, mostly as a result of poor design decisions regarding land use and urban design. As the county strives to create more places with an emphasis on walkability and opportunities for social interaction, the design of every part of our built environment—buildings, streets, parks and open spaces, public facilities and infrastructure—will need careful attention.

Vision for Design, Arts and Culture

In 2050, Montgomery County is home to diverse cultures and is a leader in new ideas and emerging trends in culture, arts and entertainment. A comprehensive urban design vision strengthens and creates a collection of great towns, cities and rural villages, each with neighborhoods built around a walkable center.

Montgomery County's buildings, public spaces, streets and infrastructure are designed to meet the needs of a changing population and combat climate change. Beautiful buildings frame walkable streets and welcoming public spaces that engage residents in activities that build relationships. All buildings and infrastructure contribute positively to the environment and improve the physical and mental health of users by encouraging an active lifestyle and exposure to nature at various scales. Streets are designed as a part of the public space network, offering a reliable and delightful journey that encourages people to walk, bike or take transit. Public buildings and major infrastructure projects are conceived by world-class designers who work directly with residents to integrate art, showcase local cultures and set a high bar for innovative design.

All residents have a say in how their neighborhoods look and everyone benefits equally from good design. Opportunities to experience art and culture as a part of daily life are equitably distributed. The county celebrates its heritage while welcoming newcomers.

Urban design and planning policies protect vulnerable communities against gentrification and displacement, and the planning process engages all residents in decision making about the future of their communities. Architecture is a problem-solving tool to encourage innovation, increase affordability and provide access to well-designed buildings for everyone.

A strong emphasis on design, arts and culture in Montgomery County makes our communities welcoming, inspiring, connected and fun. Residents, visitors and workers are attracted to the area and support economic development.

Good Design, Art & Culture Build Resilient Places

Montgomery County is one of the most livable places in the country with a high per capita income. It has a wealth of cultural, economic and natural resources. However, these assets that make Montgomery County a great place to live are not equitably accessible to all its residents. In addition, we are facing increased competition regionally to attract jobs and are projected to add 200,000 residents over the next thirty years. All this is set to unfold in a period likely to be marked by increased disruption caused by climate change, technological advancement and a higher frequency of unforeseen events such as the current pandemic.

The way we design our communities will have a great impact on how they fare in this uncertain future. Good design is not a luxury. It must be considered as a critical tool to create resilient places that can adapt to change, be attractive to workers and businesses and house residents in diverse neighborhoods with welcoming public spaces that build social trust.

Design is not just about architecture. It affects all aspects of the built environment—overall land use pattern, infrastructure, public facilities, buildings, open spaces and physical accessibility. We need good design to reflect the cultural diversity of the county in its built environment, provide communal beauty in the public realm and create great places that address the varied needs of a diverse population and bring people together.

As the county strives to emphasize walking, biking and accessibility for people with disabilities and opportunities for social interaction, the design of every part of our built environment—buildings, streets, parks and open spaces, public facilities and infrastructure—will need careful attention. Redevelopment will put pressure on the county's historic resources and require a greater emphasis on preserving them for future generations.

Montgomery County is also home to cultures and people of various ethnicities, races and

backgrounds. We must use our diversity to attract talent, spur innovation through exchange of ideas and create a robust creative economy. Not only do arts and cultural organizations enrich the lives of county residents, they can also become significant contributors to the county's economy. As parts of the county become denser, we must use good design and the arts to create distinct identities for these places that reflect their culture and history. Supporting locally based artists, and offering a variety of music and other entertainment venues will attract patrons and visitors of all ages.

Goals, Policies and Actions

Goal 8.1: Use design to shape Montgomery County as a collection of world class towns, cities and rural villages, with neighborhoods that celebrate their history, geography and culture.

Policy 8.1.1: Use form-based codes, design guidelines and other innovative regulatory tools to ensure future developments across the county respond to their context through massing, architecture, public spaces, landscape and street design.

Action 8.1.1.a: Create a county-wide urban design vision to guide future growth and provide design guidance based on a rural-to-urban transect.

OMB: What does this mean?

Action 8.1.1.b: Use design competitions for major new civic facilities such as schools, libraries, post offices and fire stations to create the highest-quality public structures that are a source of civic pride. Retrofit existing civic buildings with a goal of design excellence and less dependence on cars.

See previous response related to design excellence and design competitions. Due to the many competing demands, design excellence should be achieved with an eye towards budget efficiency.

Goal 8.2: Create and preserve great places with attractive streets and public spaces, inspired urban design and high-quality architecture that delivers lasting beauty.

Policy 8.2.1: Ensure high-quality design for all public and private architecture, infrastructure and open space projects through the use of design guidelines, design advisory panels, design competitions and other tools. Make design excellence a priority, even when cost saving measures are considered.

Policy 8.2.2: Create county-wide or area-specific design guidelines that facilitate the construction of well-designed, accessible, cost-efficient housing at various price points.

Action 8.2.2.a: Create design guidelines focused on projects that deliver high levels of affordable housing. Guidelines should illustrate how great architecture can be achieved at an affordable price point through simple design and new construction technologies that reduce costs.

Policy 8.2.3: Use a variety of existing and new parks and trails to support communities with compact development and sustainable design.

Action 8.2.3.a: Within complete communities, assure that there is a strategic real estate development framework that relates new development to nearby parks and trails.

Action 8.2.3.b: Create design guidelines for high-density sustainable development near parks and trails.

Action 8.2.3.c: Implement the [Energized Public Spaces Functional Master Plan](#)'s analysis tool and implementation framework. Promote an integrated system of parks and public spaces where every resident is within walking distance of an appropriate space for community gathering, physical activity and events.

Policy 8.2.4: Through the regulatory process, incorporate accessible design features such as a no-step entrance, wider doors and barrier-free entrances. These and other improvements in housing will help people age in place, assist those living with a temporary or permanent disability and accommodate populations with mobility limitations.

Policy 8.2.5: Use placemaking activities to engage residents in higher levels of social interaction in public spaces. Create public spaces that are welcoming and encourage all residents to gather and interact in ways that builds a sense of community.

Action 8.2.5.a: Develop placemaking plans that define and highlight distinctive identities for all neighborhoods based on local history and culture. Establish and fund a program that invites communities to submit applications to implement their placemaking ideas within their neighborhoods.

Action 8.2.5.b: Integrate on-the-ground placemaking activities as a part of community engagement for master plans intended to transform infill and redevelopment sites. Include placemaking recommendations in new sector plans, functional plans and studies when appropriate.

Policy 8.2.6: Maximize use of county and state-owned rights-of-way to create more opportunities for active transportation and public use spaces.

Action 8.2.6.a: Encourage walking and bicycling through smaller blocks, narrower streets, buffered bike lanes and sidewalks, the lowest possible auto speeds, and no new surface parking.

OMB: Why are smaller blocks needed? Do the costs to retrofit them into urbanized areas warrant the cost?

Action 8.2.6.b: Adopt a Vision Zero approach with regard to public service vehicles (e.g., purchasing smaller fire engines) so that street safety improvements, quality urban design and public safety are not compromised.

- **The ExecutiveMCFRS has already started to employ strategies to improve apparatus maneuverability and safety to the public; for example, the new generation of fire engines is shorter, has a narrower body, and is designed to allow for a tighter turning radius. The Executive RS will continue to research and replace apparatus that have these improvements.... However, differences in geography, land use, and hydrants do affect the minimum size of our apparatus, so we will continue to monitor these factors and reassess the risks, as needed.**

Action 8.2.6.c: Adopt the 8-80 Principle as official county policy. This policy promotes the idea that if all buildings, streets and public spaces are safe and easily accessible for an 8- year-old and an 80-year-old, then they will function well for all people.

Action 8.2.6.d: Create a "Ciclovia" or "open streets" program for the county that facilitates temporary and long-term closures of streets for community events, recreation and play.
It's not clear what's meant here.

Action 8.2.6.e: Create a Parklet program for the county that facilitates the creation of small-scale public spaces to support retail businesses and provide gathering places within rights-

Goal 8.3: Use design as a tool to avoid and mitigate the negative effects of climate change.

Policy 8.3.1: Maximize the environmental benefits of transit-oriented development by increasing density and removing regulatory barriers such as parking requirements within one-half mile of Metro and Purple Line stations.

Policy 8.3.2: Retrofit the design of single-use commercial developments and car-oriented residential communities to reduce their energy consumption. Promote walking and biking to reduce reliance on single-occupancy vehicles and minimize disruptions caused by a changing climate.

Action 8.3.2.a: Develop a sprawl repair manual for the county that highlights strategies to retrofit the design and mix of uses for single-use commercial areas and car-oriented residential communities. Apply the strategies outlined in the manual on a neighborhood scale. Use the manual as a guide to prioritize capital improvement projects and to implement new and existing master plans and studies.

What is intended by this manual? What would be included that is not already considered in this general plan draft?

Policy 8.3.3: Make high-impact sustainability features such as net-zero buildings and district-level energy generation a top priority for the design of structures, blocks and neighborhoods across the county.

Action 8.3.3.a: Create design guidelines, regulations and incentives that help achieve the goal of having all new and retrofitted buildings and projects in the county be net-zero by 2035.

Action 8.3.3.b: Create a funding stream that provides incentives for upgrading existing buildings to minimize their energy consumption.

Unclear re: scale of the need.

Action 8.3.3.c: Create an Urban Tree Canopy Assessment and analyze trends from 1990 to 2020. Establish policies to regain and exceed 1990 tree canopy levels. Ensure a countywide net-zero loss of tree canopy through a robust street tree planting program in coordination with bicycle and pedestrian facilities. Goal 8.4: Make buildings in the county more resilient to disruption through flexible design and high adaptive reuse potential.

Policy 8.4.1: Prioritize the reuse of existing structures where possible through incentives to maintain building diversity, preserve naturally occurring affordable space and retain embodied energy of structures.

Action 8.4.1.a: Partner with DPS and other county agencies to update the County Code to fast track and create incentives for projects that adaptively reuse at least 50% of an existing structure or preserve at least 50% of all existing building materials on site.

Action 8.4.1.b: Update and strengthen the Historic Preservation Ordinance to prioritize adaptively reusing or repurposing existing buildings. Require mitigation and other offsets to benefit arts and cultural uses in the community when demolition is required.

Action 8.4.1.c: Create a program that periodically catalogs building types in the county with a high risk of obsolescence such as suburban office buildings and shopping malls. Promote their conversion and adaptive reuse through design guidelines and ideas competitions.

Action 8.4.1.d: Conduct a study exploring future uses for parking and automobile related transportation infrastructure in the context of impending automation and shared mobility trends.

What does this mean?

Are you talking about removing parking lots?

Policy 8.4.2: Design all buildings and parking structures to be adaptable to changing demographics, technologies, generational and program needs over time. Prioritize resilient design for all buildings and promote ideas through design guidelines that enable buildings and communities to function well during periods of disruption. For example, encourage residential building types in the county to incorporate semi-public spaces such porches, stoops and balconies that can function well during periods requiring social distancing.

Policy 8.4.3: Encourage trade organizations, colleges and high schools to teach building trades and connect them with local businesses that repair structures and reuse building materials.

Goal 8.5: Montgomery County is nationally recognized as a home to people of diverse cultures and a leader in new ideas and emerging trends in arts and entertainment.

Policy 8.5.1: Celebrate the county's arts, cultural institutions, and sports and entertainment related businesses as a part of the county's identity and economy.

Action 8.5.1.a: Create a Cultural Plan that leverages the county's diversity and promotes the arts to attract talent and spur economic development.

Is MNCPPC the best agency to create a Cultural Plan?

Policy 8.5.2 Partner with private property owners, nonprofit groups, and county agencies to maximize the economic potential of parks and public spaces through programming, activation, placemaking events and updates to operating procedures.

What is meant by the "economic potential of parks/public spaces?"

Policy 8.5.3: Create affordable space for artists and cultural uses by including them in public facilities such as schools, libraries, and community centers, and through other types of public/private partnerships.

The Executive supports this concept where feasible. Examples include: Artist housing on Sligo Avenue, Arts on the Block, Gandhi Brigade & Levine Music in the new Silver Spring Library and the Wheaton Arts and Cultural Center.

Action 8.5.3.a: Update the county's zoning ordinance to further incentivize the provision of affordable space for arts and cultural uses and eliminate regulatory barriers to live-work, home studios, galleries, and other small-scale artmaking activities and creative businesses.

Policy 8.5.4: Ensure that the county's design, heritage, arts and institutions are inclusive and representative of its cultural diversity. Engage underrepresented groups, including youth, in planning for and making public art.

Action 8.5.4.a: Partner with the Arts and Humanities Council and Montgomery County Economic Development Corporation (MCEDC) to create incentives for the creation of small-scale museums and cultural centers throughout the county. Make them low-cost and accessible ways to highlight local history and culture.

Is this economically viable?

Action 8.5.4.b: Partner with the Arts and Humanities Council, schools, nonprofits and other community groups to create youth art installations in parks, open spaces and public buildings. Develop programs that foster love of art and creativity among the county's future residents.

Policy 8.5.5: Provide every resident in the county opportunities to experience art and culture

Draft Work Product for Planning Board Review on June 11, 2020

daily by making public art an integral part of the public realm and physical infrastructure. Integrate art and interactive design into parks, public spaces and civic facilities like libraries, post offices and schools. Use art and design to reflect the history and unique

characteristics of the place, stimulate the mind and encourage physical activity. Add public art to bridges, noise barriers, bike racks, streetscape elements, etc.

Artistic elements of these public infrastructure components can provide joy and a sense of place but the cost of these elements should be reasonable and not unduly increase the cost of projects due to the significant amount of competing demands.

Action 8.5.5.a: Partner with the Arts and Humanities Council to reinstate the “Art in Architecture” program. Allocate 0.5% of construction costs in all public buildings for public art projects.

Action 8.5.5.b: Partner with the Arts and Humanities Council to create and fund programs that provide grants and opportunities for local artists and residents to share their works in public spaces and along streets.

Action 8.5.5.c: Amend the zoning ordinance to make public art a prerequisite of receiving incentive density within the Commercial/Residential and Employment Zones.

Action 8.5.5.d: Host competitions for the design of countywide streetscape elements.

Goal 8.6: Ensure all communities benefit equitably from good design, regardless of their location or demographics.

Policy 8.6.1: Develop and implement tools and strategies to ensure that the quality of design of public and private buildings, streets and public spaces in all parts of the county are equitable and respond to the needs of local residents.

The policy goal seems worthwhile but the proposed actions seem tangential to the goal. In the grand scheme of other priorities, these actions seem to be a very low priority vs. other recommendations.

Action 8.6.1.a: Create a design literacy campaign for the county, with a focus on educating residents regarding the positive impacts of equitable and innovative design.

Action 8.6.1.b: Partner with Montgomery County Public Schools to introduce all students to the Thrive Montgomery 2050 vision as a part of the standard educational curriculum.

Action 8.6.1.c: Establish a neighborhood design center within the Planning Department that equitably supports citizens through community-engaged design and planning services for projects identified by neighborhood residents.

Implementation Chapter

It is disappointing that the implementation chapter is not available for comment. There should be an opportunity to provide comments on this prior to the September publication date.

Moving from Vision and Goals to Implementation

The Thrive Montgomery 2050 Plan will be implemented through subsequent plans and initiatives of:

- Montgomery Planning;
- Montgomery Parks;
- Montgomery County departments and agencies;
- Montgomery County Economic Development Corporation;
- State of Maryland agencies;
- the private sector and nonprofit organizations; and
- regional entities such as the Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission, Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority and neighboring jurisdictions.

Most of the Plan's recommendations will need further study before becoming actionable items. For example, the Plan recommends that the county's rail and BRT corridors accommodate a greater share of future growth than they have in the past. Further analysis of this recommendation through future plans and studies will determine the specific characteristics of each corridor needed to support the additional growth: the capacity; the type, size and scale of development and the related zoning changes; the type of amenities needed to support this additional growth; and cost estimates for public infrastructure (schools, parks and open spaces, utilities, transit, sidewalks and bike paths, etc.). Accomplishing the goal of corridors accommodating a greater share of future growth may be done by amending individual local master plans or through a single countywide functional master plan in one step, or in another manner.

The Implementation Chapter of the Plan will lay out the broad outline of how Thrive Montgomery 2050 will be implemented over the next 30 years. It could:

- 1) Include guidance for using tools to implement the Plan's policies and actions, such as:
 - Subsequent plans and studies to define the detailed actions for implementation;
 - Changes to zoning regulations
 - Changes to other regulations and policies
 - Development review guidance
 - Other agency programs/services
- 2) Identify agencies responsible for implementing actions
- 3) Prioritize implementation in terms of short-term, medium-term and long-term actions
- 4) Include metrics for tracking implementation of the Plan

Since Thrive Montgomery will be a long-term plan with high level goals and general policy guidance, unlike typical master or sector plans, it will not have a fiscal impact analysis to estimate the cost of implementing the recommendations of the Plan. This is due to two reasons:

- 1) The main purpose of the General Plan is to guide future land use, facility and infrastructure planning and public investments as well as private development. They will be the mechanisms to achieve the Plan's vision, goals, policies and actions. Subsequent, more detailed plans and studies and the capital budgeting process will identify specific public infrastructure improvements and cost estimates of those projects. For example, the General Plan will not specify how many bridges will need to be rebuilt to address higher flooding due to climate change. Or how many properties will need to be acquired to provide new schools, libraries, parks and other facilities. All that will be

determined by future schools, transportation, parks, library and other infrastructure plans.

- 2) Many of the implementation actions will not be evident to us today. The actions included in this General Plan are only a starting point. Additional implementation actions will be created by others in the coming decades as new solutions and resources become available and new challenges and opportunities arise. For example, the 1969 plan did not recommend an exact mechanism to protect farming in the county. Its recommendation to protect agricultural lands⁵² led to the creation, over ten years later, of the Agricultural Reserve and the related Transferable Development Rights Program (TDR) in 1980.


Nonetheless, the County Executive is required to provide a fiscal analysis of the General Plan. Collaboration with MNCPPC and County staff will be required to prepare this analysis due to the lack of detail in the plan.

Overarching Comments on the Thrive Montgomery Plan


- 1) Since corridors are such a key focus of the draft plan, there should be a map that shows the corridors. It would also be interesting to see the map with the corridors mapped against the demographic data. It would also be good to see this with corridors mapped against various income levels.
- 2) The plan appears to be silent on what the recommended characteristics of the more suburban and rural parts of the County would be. Are these envisioned to be complete communities as well? How is the travel time adjusted or not? What are the expected transportation modes there? This silence appears to convey that these areas are not important.
- 3) What, if any, road improvements would be recommended? The plan is silent on this except to say that walking, biking, and transit should be prioritized. Are there no important road considerations other than reallocating some of their real estate to walking, biking, and transit?
- 4) It does feel a little naïve to not address the practical realities when cars are more useful – e.g. schlepping groceries, carrying multiple children and/or elderly family members and their gear, etc. How does this plan reflect those needs?
- 5) It's unclear what if any consideration is intended to be given to locating necessary transportation maintenance facilities. Private sector industrial uses are specifically mentioned but public sector industrial uses are not mentioned. These are particularly critical to implement transportation priorities.
- 6) There are many instances where the phrase “financial tools” is mentioned without specifics. This will make it difficult to provide a cost estimate for the plan. In general, it would be helpful to have a separate meeting regarding all public financing tool proposals with OMB, Finance and MNCPPC staff. This will be helpful for fiscal analysis and for commenting purposes.
- 7) There is an equity challenge related to how to fairly prioritize completing connections for areas that have already had significant public investment vs. ignoring other areas that are not favored by this urban oriented plan that may have already had little public investment.
- 8) The corridors identified serve very different income mixes. Again, from an equity perspective prioritizing funding improvements in some of these corridors will just double down on prior patterns of heavy public investment and may leave other lower-income, underserved areas out. Are there equity preferences between the corridors?
- 9) There is hardly any mention of schools, day care, public safety, etc. Shouldn't these be part of the plan?

⁵² Objective N. Ensure that agriculture in the region becomes or continues as a viable land use. Guidelines: 1. Protect agricultural lands to preserve their value as farmland as long as the pressures of urbanization permit. 2. Promote the development of profitable agricultural endeavors. <https://montgomeryplanning.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/1969UpdatedGeneralPlanocr.pdf>


ATTACHMENT 1: Predominant Race or Ethnic Group, 1990-2016



ATTACHMENT 2: Household Family Types 1960-2018




ATTACHMENT 3: The County is Mostly Built-Out



ATTACHMENT 4: Land Use Distribution





COMMISSION ON AGING

May 22, 2020

Gwen Wright, Director
Montgomery County Planning Department
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

Dear Ms. Wright:

We would again like to thank Khalid Afzal for attending the Commission on Aging's February 27 meeting where he discussed the Thrive Montgomery 2050 initiative. We very much appreciate him taking the time to meet with us and providing an interesting and thorough presentation. His discussion answered many of our questions.

We applaud you and your staff's efforts on the Thrive Montgomery 2050 "Issues Report;" it is a well written, and obviously well-researched document. We thank you for noting the expected increase in the age 65-plus population by the year 2030 to 19 percent of the population.

Perhaps more importantly for the purposes of your long-term plan, however, is that by the year 2040 over 26 percent of Montgomery County's population will be over the age of 60. That represents a 54 percent increase in that population between 2020 and 2040. By the year 2050, according to the Congressional Budget Office, health care expenses paid by the Federal government will almost double as a percentage of the Gross National Product. Social Security expenses will go up 28 percent, assuming that the current promised benefits are paid out.

We raise these issues because, while working with the older population is implicit in your report, we think you should consider developing and stating more explicitly your plans for working with this population. For instance, it is going to be very important to ensure that older adults, especially in our diverse County, have affordable housing available to them in communities where they can easily access health care and senior programs, and where they are socially connected. A strong long-range goal for this population should be to keep them healthy as long as possible to maximize their level of contentment and connectedness, and to minimize their health care costs. You may want to stipulate in your report the importance of planning for an adequate standard of living in retirement and addressing health care and social needs, and explicitly propose some ideas of how that could be done.

One other issue that you should consider is the fact that the Social Security Trustees are predicting that the Social Security Trust Fund will have a shortfall by the year 2035. Regardless of what remedies are taken to shore up the Fund, it is going to have a major impact on our economy. We hope that you will keep that in mind as your plans continue to evolve.

Thank you for allowing us to comment. We would be delighted to work with you on this project and hope that you will include the Commission during your planning process.

Sincerely,



Commission on Aging, Chair

cc: Khalid Afzal

Dear Montgomery Planning and the Planning Board,

First, let me commend you on the 3 (soon to be 4) Ask Me Anything's you have been hosting with Gwen Wright. They are thoughtful, and Gwen is a wonderful representative for your department- delivering clear, concise, attentive and realistic on point messaging. Thrive 2050 is an exciting wave for the future.

I had a few ideas and questions regarding the Thrive 2050 plan, and I am unclear is there is still time to incorporate new ideas before the June 11th presentation?

Environmental Control

Is it possible to require all new building to meet minimum Leed Standards for energy and environmentally sustainable practices? In my dream for the county, all new buildings would include these standards as a baseline. Green and solar roofs, efficient use of water and energy, retrofitting or reuse of materials where possible, extensive plantings, etc. What are the challenges in creating a program like? Is this something the Montgomery County Planning Board along with our Council and Executive might be able to implement?

Affordable Housing

What are the barriers for new housing built in the county to be required to include a certain percentage of units (if for example a high-rise) that meet certain low-income requirements? Boston has had great success in these types of public/private partnerships to be able to meet and maintain affordable housing in their city. It might be something we could add to the Thrive 2050 plan.

Infill Issues

We are talking about infill projects, which is wonderful, but if a school is created using an existing building for example, what outdoor space would the children be able to enjoy? How would sporting teams and other extracurricular activities many of enjoyed as children be accommodated for?

If one is creating spaces out of impervious parking lots for example, and a new parking structure is built to accommodate those cars in others ways- ie the garage at pike and rose, these parking structures should be greenified in the South Korean manner by building terraced green spaces and walls along the up down axis of the building. Even better would be to force all parking underground....

Amenities

One amenity that I never hear about in this plan is dog parks. In a county as dog friendly as ours, yet also with strict leash laws, there is a surprising dearth of dog parks. Dog Parks are proven community builders.

Thank you so much for your hard work on this exciting plan, and I look forward to following it as we move through the various phases as a county.

In Kind Regards,
Christina



OFFICE OF THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE
Rockville, Maryland 20850

Marc Elrich
County Executive

August 13, 2020

Dear President Katz, PHED Committee Chair Riemer, and Councilmembers,

I am writing to ask the Council to postpone for six months consideration of the General Plan, Thrive Montgomery 2050, because of the ongoing exigencies of the Covid-19 pandemic.

The pandemic, as it must be, is the overwhelming, time-absorbing focus of this entire government. As recently as Tuesday, July 28, the Council, in a marathon session, passed important legislation critical to the immediate well-being of our residents with the greatest vulnerabilities. In the meantime, the PHED Committee first postponed and then on Wednesday, July 29, had a rushed review of Thrive Montgomery 2050.

The Executive departments have carefully reviewed the initial draft and identified many issues. Their comments and questions are interspersed throughout the draft, section by section, and deserve careful consideration. Although department representatives participated in the Planning Department's advisory group meetings, many of their questions remain unanswered. In fact, a careful reading of them clearly indicates that more collaboration between the Planning Department and the Executive departments is necessary if we are to have a strong end product.


For the next several months, we all need to concentrate on immediate actions in response to a deadly virus—one that may well change the way we view The General Plan, its recommendations, and its vision for the County's future for the next 30 years. Since there are no statutory timetable requirements for revising the General Plan, slowing the schedule would allow us to spend additional time working on a more collaborative vision that reflects the "new normal" that is all around us and at a time when we are not dealing with a public health and economic crisis, and residents are not worried about keeping safe, and when their children will be able to return to school.

Paramount to this request is the difficulty in getting true public input during the pandemic--for a plan expected to be in place for decades. MNCPPC did a great deal of outreach initially but a full public review of the actual recommendations is needed. Although virtual meetings have allowed some public participation, they are difficult for some, impossible for others, subject to technical difficulties, and no substitute for in-person meetings.

August 13, 2020
Page 2

Please consider a pause – there is no reason to push this plan forward during extraordinary circumstances.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Marc Elrich
County Executive

c: Marlene Michaelson, Executive Director
Montgomery County Council
Pam Dunn, Senior Legislative Analyst