



**MONTGOMERY COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PARKS**  
THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION

MCPB Agenda Item 4  
January 28, 2021

**MEMORANDUM**

**Date:** January 26, 2021

**TO:** Montgomery County Planning Board

**VIA:** Michael F. Riley, Director of Parks *m*  
Miti Figueredo, Deputy Director, Administration *mf*  
John Nissel, Deputy Director, Operations  
Andrew Frank, Chief, Park Development Division *af*

**FROM:** Carl Morgan, CIP Manager, Park Development Division *cm*

**SUBJECT:** Briefing: County Executive's Recommended FY 21-26 Capital Improvements Program and FY22 Capital Budget for the Department of Parks

---

**Staff Recommendation**

Transmit response to Non-Recommended Reductions to the FY21-26 CIP to the County Council and County Executive

**Background**

On January 15, 2021 the County Executive released the [Recommended FY22 Capital Budget and Amendments to the FY21-26 Capital Improvements Program \(CIP\)](#). This included some technical adjustments to the CIP and requested reductions to the Department's CIP submission of October 30, 2020. The County Executive's transmittal letter and recommended versions of project description forms are attached beginning **page ©1**.

The County Executive's recommendations to the Council included modifications to the Department's CIP submission of October 30, 2020:

- **Reduction Requests** \$4.926 million of reductions<sup>1</sup>
  - Current Revenue: General - \$485K in FY22
  - GO Bonds - \$4.441M in FY23-25

---

<sup>1</sup> These reductions were listed in a temporary project description form (PDF) [M-NCPPC Affordability Reconciliation \(P871747\)](#). Ultimately, as the Council reviews the CIP and FY22 Capital Budget, the PDF will be retired and any affordability recommendations that the Council agrees with will be reflected on the respective PDFs of each affected capital project.

- FY23: \$2.5M
- FY24: \$1.5M
- FY25: \$441k
- Funding Switch, [Ballfield Initiatives \(P008720\)](#), Community Use of Public Facility (CUPF) Funding is replaced with GO Bonds in FY21 and FY22
- Minor Technical Adjustments – these were made with prior consultation of Parks Department CIP staff
  - [Small Grant/Donor Assisted Capital Improvements \(P058755\)](#), the appropriation and the Through FY20 expenditure data were adjusted to more closely reflect actual Contributions collected under this program.
  - [ALARF: M-NCPPC \(P727007\)](#), adjustment to the Thru FY20 expenditure data was made to align the revolving fund's expenditure and funding data with the cumulative appropriation.

### **Response to Requested Reductions**

The County Executive's approach of identifying reductions by funding source and fiscal year rather than by project places the Department in the position of having to review specific CIP projects for impacts. Any reductions presented in this report are presented only as *non-recommended reductions*. This is because the Department's submission of the CIP and FY22 Capital Budget in October carefully balanced fiscal responsibility amid pressures of a pandemic influenced economy with funding requests necessary for stewardship of the park system.

#### *Reductions to Current Revenue: General*

The County Executive's request to reduce this funding by \$485K is limited to FY22 only. Projects with Current Revenue: General funding in FY22 include:

- Acquisition: Non-Local Parks
- ADA Compliance: Non-Local Parks
- Facility Planning: Non-Local Parks
- Legacy Open Space
- PLAR: NL - Minor Renovations
- PLAR: NL - Park Building Renovations
- Pollution Prevention and Repairs to Ponds & Lakes
- Restoration Of Historic Structures
- Small Grant/Donor-Assisted Capital Improvements
- Trails: Natural Surface & Resource-based Recreation

The Department's approach was to take these reductions across almost all projects. Because most projects have similar amounts of current revenue, each project was reduced by \$50K. The only exception to this was Planned Life-cycle Asset Replacements: Non-local – Minor Renovations (PLAR:NL- Minor Renovations) which is impacted by an \$85K reduction and Trails: Natural Surface & Resource-based Recreation was not impacted. While PLAR: NL is a larger reduction by dollar amount, the percentage reduction in this project is by far the least. This was intentional because this is one of the work-horse capital projects that funds renovations that maintain the park system. A summary of the non-recommendations reductions is provided on **page ©24**.

### *Reductions to GO Bonds*

The County Executive's request to reduce this funding by \$4.441M is limited to FY23-25 only. Projects with GO Bonds funding in FY22-25 include:

- ADA Compliance: Non-Local Parks
- Ballfield Initiatives
- Blair HS Field Renovations and Lights
- Brookside Gardens Master Plan Implementation
- Cost Sharing: Non-Local Parks
- Energy Conservation - Non-Local Parks
- Legacy Open Space
- Minor New Construction - Non-Local Parks
- North Branch Trail
- Ovid Hazen Wells Recreational Park
- PLAR: NL - Boundary Marking
- PLAR: NL - Park Building Renovations
- PLAR: NL - Play Equipment
- PLAR: NL - Resurfacing Lots and Paths
- PLAR: NL - Court Renovations
- Restoration of Historic Structures
- S. Germantown Recreational Park: Cricket Field
- Trails: Hard Surface Design & Construction
- Trails: Hard Surface Renovation
- Trails: Natural Surface & Resource-based Recreation
- Vision Zero
- Wheaton Regional Park Improvements

The Department's approach was to avoid reductions in capital projects that deal with renovations to maintain the park system and reduce deferred maintenance, projects that contribute to public safety, and projects that leverage other funding (such as contributions, state funding, or federal funding). Additionally, there were some projects with so few GO bonds that they would provide little if any relief.

Projects that the Department has proposed for non-recommended reductions include

- Ballfields
  - Ballfield Initiatives – Reduce FY23-25 \$500K/yr. While this reduces the level-of-effort in FY23-25, this project has had significant funding increases over the past several years with additional increases that will be requested in future CIPs. The Department is also currently working with Del Craig Zucker for a \$225k Bond Bill that would provide field lighting improvements in Damascus Recreational Park and will continue to explore future opportunities to leverage other funding.
  - Blair High Schools Field Renovations and lights – Delay Phase II (\$1.5M) from FY23 to FY26. Phase I remains on schedule and will focus on track field with improvements including irrigation, drainage, grading, bermudagrass, portable goals and lights.
- Legacy Open Space – Delay funding into FY26 (\$500k/yr in FY23-24 and \$441K in FY25). Should any Legacy Open Space appropriate acquisition opportunities come during this time, the Department is prepared to work with the Executive and Council on Supplemental Appropriations as necessary.

- Wheaton Regional Park Improvements – Delay \$250K for design funds from FY24 into FY25. The Department is currently working on a Park Master Plan update that will be presented to the Planning Board in FY22. With the coming master plan update, the Department will likely revisit the scope and funding of this capital project in the next CIP. Independent of the master plan process and the resulting capital project revisions, the Department has been investing significant resources in Wheaton Regional Park during some fiscally tight times. During this upcoming state legislative session, the Department is coordinating with Senator Ben Kramer and Delegates Charlotte Crutchfield, Bonnie Cullison, and Vaughan Stewart on a \$200k Bond Bill that will renovate the hard surface trail from Narin Rd to the dog park access road. Additional recent investments include renovations at fields #1 and #2, a new restroom facility with irrigation control room, a new plant propagation greenhouse for Brookside Gardens, a new access ramp at Brookside Nature Center, a renovated parking lot at the Brookside Gardens Visitor Center, renovation of the Pine Lake Trail, a new fitness area near the Adventure Playground, as well as various lifecycle asset and ADA improvements and in the park.
- S Germantown Cricket Field – delay \$250k GO Bonds from FY24 into FY25. This delay of expenditure does not delay the project because it is also funded with Program Open Space in FY24 through FY26 and is also fully appropriated.

A summary of the recommendations is provided on **page ©25**.

### What is next?

Staff will transmit the Planning Board's feedback regarding the County Executive's version of the CIP in the coming week. The County Council will begin their work on the CIP with a Public Hearing on February 9, 2021 and then continue their review of the CIP later in the February and March. This review will take place first in the Council's Planning, Housing, and Economic Development Committee (PHED) followed by the full Council. The County Council will take final action on the FY22 Capital Budget and any amendments to the FY21-22 CIP in May 2021.

### Attachments

- County Executive's transmittal letter and recommended versions of project description forms, Page ©1
- Summary of non-recommended reductions for Current Revenue: General, page ©24
- Summary of non-recommended reductions for GO Bonds, page ©25

*MG-bdy*

01/27/2021

*my*

01/26/2021

*adrian*

01/27/2021




OFFICE OF THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE  
ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND 20850

Marc Elrich  
County Executive

MEMORANDUM

January 15, 2021

TO: Tom Hucker, President, County Council

FROM: Marc Elrich, County Executive 

SUBJECT: Recommended FY22 Capital Budget and Amendments to the FY21-26 Capital Improvements Program (CIP)

I am pleased to transmit to you, in accordance with the County Charter, my Recommended FY22 Capital Budget and amendments to the FY21-26 Capital Improvements Program (CIP). This is a biennial year for the capital budget. As a result, amendments are limited to project changes that either meet the County's CIP amendment criteria, or that are necessary to address capital or operating budget constraints. The attached recommendations are affordable within our reduced resources, take advantage of opportunities to leverage non-County resources, and reflect our shared values of prioritizing education and core infrastructure while considering racial equity and climate change concerns.

**Overall Fiscal Context**

Unfortunately, both capital and operating budget revenues are estimated to experience significant reductions compared to the previously approved budgets and fiscal plan. For example, the Council's changes to the 2020-2024 Growth and Infrastructure Policy (Subdivision Staging Policy) resulted in a reduction of \$51.5 million in impact taxes that fund critical school and transportation capital infrastructure. Additionally, recordation tax estimates that support Montgomery County Public Schools and Montgomery County CIP projects have been reduced to reflect the economic impacts of the COVID pandemic (-\$41.7 million). Furthermore, the December fiscal plan update indicated that FY21 tax-supported revenues will be \$101.5 million less than the FY21 approved budget, and FY22 tax-supported revenues are estimated to be \$163.9 million less than the approved FY21-26 fiscal plan because of COVID-related fiscal impacts.

COVID-related cost pressures coupled with uncertainty regarding the speed of economic recovery and the availability of federal aid to mitigate some of the COVID fiscal impacts require significant modifications of the CIP to support the operating budget and to live within available resources. In July, the Council approved a savings plan that recognized project savings and delayed and reduced a number of CIP projects in order to reduce FY21 Pay-As-You-Go (PAYGO) cash contributions to the CIP by \$23,412,000. In order to improve our FY21 and FY22 finances, my January CIP amendments assume an additional \$8,588,000 in FY21 PAYGO reductions and reduced FY22 PAYGO funding (\$15.5 million). The amendments also include project savings, delays and reductions designed to reduce FY22 tax-supported current revenue by \$17,995,000.

My recommended amendments assume participation by all agencies in reconciling the CIP program in an affordable way. As in the past, I am recommending affordability adjustments for Montgomery County Public Schools (MCPS), Montgomery College, the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission (M-NCPPC), and the Housing Opportunities Commission. I have not specified particular project reductions or delays to allow the agencies maximum flexibility in balancing their varied capital budget needs.

### **Racial and Other Equity Considerations**

The process of integrating racial and other equity considerations into our budgets is one that will evolve over time. This year, the Office of Management and Budget has worked with the Office of Racial Equity and Social Justice, the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission, and the Council of Governments to begin building the data that will help inform our budget decision making. All CIP projects with stand-alone addresses have been assigned to census tracts, and racial and median household income census data has been collected so that reports and maps can help measure the impact of the CIP on households that have historically been underserved. The Council of Governments has also identified census tracts which it considers Equity Emphasis Areas due to their significant concentrations of low-income and/or minority populations. The Office of Management and Budget has incorporated this data into its CIP project database so that reports and maps can easily identify projects in Equity Emphasis Areas. Likewise, MCPS data regarding the percent of children receiving free and reduced meals and racial profile data for school CIP projects have also been collected for consideration.

This year, when a number of reductions and delays were required in CIP projects, care was taken to consider who benefits and who is burdened by these recommendations to avoid negatively impacting communities that are already marginalized. For example, no projects in COG's Equity Emphasis Areas were reduced or delayed unless other factors warranted it. (For example, the Rockville Fire Station 3 and the Burtonsville Access Road projects were delayed for one year since the projects were not ready to proceed for non-County related reasons.) On a positive note, one of the few increases in the recommended CIP will add a Linkages to Learning and School Based Health Center at South Lakes Elementary School – a school where more than 85 percent of its students receive free and reduced meals and over 90 percent of the students are either African-American/Black or Hispanic.

While significant progress has been made this year, our work on this issue has only begun. We are still in the process of validating project location and demographic data. Furthermore, data has limitations and, in isolation, does not tell a complete story. For instance, census tracts may not be consistent with school attendance zones or facility service areas. Median household income data means very different things for a one-person or a four-person household. And, many transportation projects cross a number of census tracts which requires a more complex analytical approach. In addition, Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission staff are developing an equity analysis tool for the County which will be considered in future budget deliberations. Most importantly, we will need to continue to consider the best ways to involve marginalized communities in developing and prioritizing CIP projects.

In making my affordability recommendations for MCPS, the College, and M-NCPPC, I have asked that the agencies consider racial and other equity impacts in their recommendations. As the Office of Management and Budget refines its equity related reports and maps, we will make them available to Council and agency staff to assist in these efforts.

### **New Projects and Scope Increases**

Given the fiscal situation, new projects and increases to the CIP have been held to a minimum – addressing pressing health and safety needs, supporting economic development through transportation projects, maintaining essential government operations, or leveraging non-County resources.

### **Transit Projects**

Two new projects have been included in my recommended CIP amendments to improve key transportation corridors, combat climate change, and support economic development. The Great Seneca Science Corridor Transit Improvements project will advance the planning, design and implementation of new premium transit services including new, upgraded transit stations, dedicated bus and bus and bicycle lanes, transit signal priority, new roadway connections, upgrades to transit centers, purchase of new transit vehicles, as well as pedestrian and bicycle improvements. Until the Corridor Cities Transitway (CCT) is implemented, these transit services will provide frequent and reliable connections between Kentlands, Crown Farm, King Farm, the Universities of Shady Grove (USG), Adventist Shady Grove Hospital, Shady Grove Metro, Rockville, and other key destinations to support the economic development envisioned in the Great Seneca Science Corridor Master Plan, and to provide better transit operations for USG students. We have begun conversations with the Mayors of Rockville and Gaithersburg about partnering on this exciting project and plan to have this included in updated Impact Tax Memorandums of Understanding with the cities later this year.

The US 29 Managed Lane Project will fund preliminary engineering to implement a managed lane along the US 29 corridor from Musgrove Road to Southwood Drive and from Dale Drive to Spring Street. The managed lanes will be restricted to use by high occupancy vehicles (HOV) and transit to improve roadway performance and persons throughput. The project will also include improvements at identified “hot spot” locations to improve overall traffic operations along the US 29 corridor. The project will provide for more reliable and faster travel times for buses and high-occupancy vehicles to support the White Oak Redevelopment corridor, environmental and Vision Zero goals, and improve bus operations in the southernmost part of US 29 without major expansion of the roadway.

### **Supporting Students in High Poverty Areas**

As mentioned above, the recommended biennial CIP amendments also include funding for Linkages to Learning and School Based Health Centers at South Lakes Elementary School. Earlier this year, we partnered to accelerate needed renovation of this school that serves many at-risk children. Adding these important programmatic elements at South Lakes Elementary School will support our collective efforts to ensure success for all students. Similarly, the recommended amendments also add a Linkages to Learning site at Neelsville Middle School – a school where more than 65 percent of students receive free and reduced meals and African American and Hispanic students make up over 77 percent of the student body.

### **Other Critical Operations**

Important government operations will also be maintained through other CIP amendments. The County Radio Replacement and Related Equipment and Master Leases: Transit Radio System Replacement projects will replace radios and related equipment for the Departments of Transportation and Correction and Rehabilitation to ensure compliance with the County’s new 800 MHz radio system. The Council Office Building Renovations project has also been increased to reflect the costs of adding offices for the two new Councilmembers that were approved in a November 2020 charter amendment.

Three supplementals recently transmitted for the Stormwater Management Facility Major Structural Repair, Stormwater Management Retrofit: Countywide, and Facility Planning: Stormwater Management projects will support additional stormwater management improvements funded by refunds from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and developer contributions.

### **Affordable Housing**

In December, I transmitted a supplemental appropriation request for the Affordable Housing Opportunity Fund project. It is my understanding that Council's technical questions regarding this project have been addressed, and I would ask that you approve this supplemental quickly so that the Department of Housing and Community Affairs can launch this innovative partnership to preserve and increase our supply of affordable housing.

### **White Flint Redevelopment**

When the White Flint Development District was first established, there was an assumption that the district tax would cover the cost of specific infrastructure and that a repayment plan for any County advances would be established. Back in January 2018, the prior County Executive notified the Council that the district was generating far less revenue than originally projected, and that project costs had increased compared to the original estimates. As recently as December 2019, we added \$11,425,000 to the White Flint West Workaround project to cover increased PEPCO charges related to undergrounding utilities in order to preserve developers' ability to maximize their development potential. With \$42 million in project expenditures in FY21 and FY22, project costs will exceed the County's pledge to provide advance funding up to \$45 million if we do not act quickly.

We have been working to develop a district financing and repayment plan to address these issues and will be sending that to you shortly after we have had an opportunity to communicate with key stakeholders.

### **Montgomery County Public Schools**

The biennial CIP request from the Board of Education was very complex – incorporating scaled back, deferred, or eliminated projects in favor of alternative solutions, project accelerations, or other infrastructure priorities as indicated on the attached summary chart. In addition, MCPS was able to accelerate construction of several projects – particularly Seneca Valley High School – such that \$68,377,000 in costs that were planned for FY21 and FY22 were actually spent in FY20. Although this acceleration appears as a reduction in the FY21-26 funding for MCPS, it does not represent a reduction in support for MCPS projects.

Schools Impact Taxes (-\$35.3 million) and Recordation taxes (-\$32.8 million) - two important funding sources for schools CIP projects – have decreased by over \$68 million due to Growth Policy changes and COVID related revenue adjustments, respectively. My CIP amendments were able to mitigate some of these revenue losses by deferring and reducing other CIP projects, but MCPS reductions of \$53,758,000 compared to the Board of Education's request will still be required to maintain overall affordability. MCPS' requested project accelerations and infrastructure increases in FY22 and FY23 will be particularly challenging.

### **Montgomery College**

The College's request was very straightforward including a \$1,590,000 increase in FY22 to cover State-approved escalation costs for the furniture, fixtures, and equipment for the Takoma Park/Silver Spring Math and Science Center. Half of the funding for these costs will be provided by the State. The College has also requested accelerating renovation of their libraries with 50 percent State Aid for the project. This acceleration was offset by the College's requested deferral of the Germantown

Student Services project. The Student Services project now assumes a \$30.6 million cost increase – due in large part to extensive site work. While the recommended CIP supports these project initiatives, in order to maintain overall CIP affordability, my recommendations assume that the College can find \$7,964,000 in General Obligation bond reductions or deferrals in FY24 – FY26, and \$1,433,000 in current revenue reductions. Technical adjustments to the Collegewide Road/Parking Lot Repairs and Replacements and Planned Lifecycle Asset Replacement College projects are also included.

### **Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission**

My recommended CIP supports M-NCPPC's request to create the Mid-County Park Benefit Payments project. This new project is designed to use developer funding to purchase or develop new park amenities to serve the White Flint, Grosvenor-Strathmore, and Rock Spring areas.

My CIP recommendations also reflect the impact that COVID has had on projects funded through various enterprise funds. M-NCPPC's Enterprise Facilities' Improvements project has deferred funding for the Ridge Road Ice Rink to beyond the six-year period to allow time to assess the Enterprise Fund's financial capability to support the planned project costs. Similarly, as Council heard on December 1, the Community Use of Public Facilities enterprise fund is not able to afford the planned FY21 and FY22 contributions to M-NCPPC's Ballfields initiative project. This project is one that the Council and I have supported for many years, and so my CIP amendments assume that we will use G.O. bonds instead of the CUPF current revenue to maintain support for the project in FY21 and FY22. In addition to minor technical adjustments that the Office of Management and Budget staff have worked on with M-NCPPC staff, my only other changes to the M-NCPPC requested CIP are FY22 to FY25 affordability adjustments of \$4,926,000. These reductions are needed due to reduced CIP and operating budget resources.

### **Savings and Other Cost Adjustments**

Other projects have had relatively minor increases or decreases due to technical corrections, savings, or adjustments made for fiscal capacity reasons. Costs have been corrected for the FS Emergency Power System Upgrades and Kennedy Shriver Aquatic Center Building Envelope Improvement projects. The Cost Sharing: MCG project reflects Council's most recent supplemental for FY21 and FY22 funding. While this funding will not allow for additional FY22 Arts Facility Grants beyond those already assumed, the amendment does increase FY23 funding to \$1 million as previously approved. Due to fiscal constraints, minor scope reductions have been included in the Advanced Transportation Management System, Traffic Signals System Modernization, 21<sup>st</sup> Century Library Enhancements Level of Effort, Facility Planning: HCD, and Supplemental Funds for Deeply Subsidized HOC Owned Units Improvements projects. Due to our difficult financial circumstances, the CIP amendments also assume that only half of the Council approved FY21 supplemental increase (\$109,000) in the Public Arts Trust project will be affordable.

### **Schedule Adjustments**

Delays in the following projects reflect updated implementation schedules: White Oak Science Gateway Redevelopment Project; Apparatus Replacement Program; Glen Echo Fire Station Renovation; Rockville Fire Station 3 Renovation; Purple Line; Franklin Avenue Sidewalk; Burtonsville Access Road; Noyes Library for Young Children Rehabilitation and Renovation; and Countywide Façade Easement Program.

Delays in the following projects are necessary due to fiscal constraints: White Flint Fire Station 23; White Flint Metro Station Northern Entrance; Facility Planning and Renovations in the Bethesda and Wheaton Parking Lot Districts; Bradley Boulevard (MD 191) Improvements; construction of the tunnel under Wisconsin Avenue portion of the Capital Crescent Trail project; Forest Glen Passageway; and Observation Drive Extended. In the case of the Northern Entrance for the White Flint Metro Station, our team working with WMATA on redevelopment of the White Flint Metro Station site will look for opportunities to leverage private sector funding for these enhancements. To provide an alternative approach to the Capital Crescent Trail tunnel under Wisconsin Avenue, the County has requested that the State consider alternative designs of the Purple Line tunnel to provide savings without sacrificing service. And, the Department of Transportation will also reach out to the State to consider whether more immediate traffic management measures can improve safety until we are able to fund a more permanent solution in Forest Glen.

#### **Other Amendments/Updates**

Also included in my recommended CIP are technical adjustments related to project acceleration prior to FY21, funding switches, appropriation and other corrections, and updated project description forms reflecting Council actions since the approved CIP in May.

#### **General Obligation Bonds and PAYGO**

I recommend maintaining the approved level of General Obligation (GO) bond issues in each of the remaining five years of the FY21-26 CIP with \$310 million in FY22; \$300 million in FY23; \$290 million in FY24; \$280 million in FY25; and \$270 million in FY26. Council's recent decision to increase FY21 debt by \$50 million to support Housing Opportunities Commission projects increases the constraints that we are facing.

As previously referenced, I recommend assuming no PAYGO in FY21 and only \$15.5 million in FY22 due to fiscal constraints. I recommend maintaining FY23 to FY26 PAYGO funding at our policy level of 10 percent of planned General Obligation bond issuance.

#### **Set-Aside Considerations**

Set asides are funds that are intentionally left unprogrammed to provide capacity to respond to unexpected needs and opportunities. The recommended capital budget assumes a \$147,002,000 set-aside with \$33,521,000 available in FY21 and FY22. The FY21 and FY22 set-aside levels are being maintained at higher levels than usual to accommodate expected costs related to projects to provide emergency homeless shelter and to stabilize the Lincoln High School building. In addition, there may be a need to provide further support to the operating budget, and this set-aside can help accomplish that goal.

As required by State law, I am also providing today (under separate cover) the recommendations for both the FY22-FY27 Capital Improvements Program and the FY22 expenditures for the Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission (WSSC Water).

Many people have helped to shape the recommendations I submit to you in these amendments, and I am grateful for their efforts. I wish to thank the members of the Board of Education, the College Trustees, the WSSC Water Commissioners, and the Montgomery County Planning Board for their work.

Tom Hucker, President, County Council  
January 15, 2021  
Page 7

As noted above, further recommendations relating to current revenue and other CIP initiatives will be provided once I have finalized my March 15<sup>th</sup> Operating Budget recommendations. I look forward to discussing these proposals with you. As always, Executive Branch staff is available to assist you in your deliberations on the Capital Budget and CIP.

ME: jb

Attachments:

**Fiscal Summary Schedules**

- FY21-26 Biennial Recommended CIP – January Budget Amendments Summary
- General Obligation Bond Adjustment Chart
- General Obligation Bond – Programming Adjustment for Unspent Prior Years
- Tax Supported Current Revenue Adjustment Chart
- M-NCPPC Bond Adjustment Chart

**Recommended Capital Budgets**

- MCG FY20 Capital Budget Appropriation and Closeout List
- MCPS FY20 Capital Budget Appropriation
- Montgomery College FY20 Capital Budget Appropriation
- MNCPPC FY20 Capital Budget Appropriation and Closeout List
- HOC FY20 Capital Budget Appropriation

**Project Description Forms and Briefs (as needed)**

- c: Montgomery County Councilmembers  
Marlene Michaelson, Executive Director, County Council  
Brenda Wolff, President, Montgomery County Board of Education  
Dr. Jack R. Smith, Superintendent, Montgomery County Public Schools  
Dr. DeRionne P. Pollard, President, Montgomery College  
Casey Anderson, Chair, Montgomery County Planning Board  
Carla A. Reid, General Manager/CEO, Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission (WSSC Water)  
Stacy Spann, Executive Director, Housing Opportunities Commission  
Keith Miller, Executive Director, Revenue Authority  
Executive Branch Department Heads and Office Directors  
Office of Management and Budget Staff



# M-NCPPC Affordability Reconciliation

(P871747)

|               |             |                      |          |
|---------------|-------------|----------------------|----------|
| Category      | M-NCPPC     | Date Last Modified   | 01/11/21 |
| SubCategory   | Development | Administering Agency | M-NCPPC  |
| Planning Area | Countywide  | Status               | Ongoing  |

## EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Cost Elements      | Total   | Thru FY20 | Rem FY20 | Total 6 Years | FY 21 | FY 22 | FY 23   | FY 24   | FY 25 | FY 26 | Beyond 6 Years |
|--------------------|---------|-----------|----------|---------------|-------|-------|---------|---------|-------|-------|----------------|
| Other              | (4,926) | -         | -        | (4,926)       | -     | (485) | (2,500) | (1,500) | (441) | -     | -              |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | (4,926) | -         | -        | (4,926)       | -     | (485) | (2,500) | (1,500) | (441) | -     | -              |

## FUNDING SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Funding Source           | Total   | Thru FY20 | Rem FY20 | Total 6 Years | FY 21 | FY 22 | FY 23   | FY 24   | FY 25 | FY 26 | Beyond 6 Years |
|--------------------------|---------|-----------|----------|---------------|-------|-------|---------|---------|-------|-------|----------------|
| Current Revenue: General | (485)   | -         | -        | (485)         | -     | (485) | -       | -       | -     | -     | -              |
| G.O. Bonds               | (4,441) | -         | -        | (4,441)       | -     | -     | (2,500) | (1,500) | (441) | -     | -              |
| TOTAL FUNDING SOURCES    | (4,926) | -         | -        | (4,926)       | -     | (485) | (2,500) | (1,500) | (441) | -     | -              |

## APPROPRIATION AND EXPENDITURE DATA (\$000s)

|                             |       |                          |      |
|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|------|
| Appropriation FY 22 Request | (485) | Year First Appropriation | FY16 |
| Cumulative Appropriation    | -     | Last FY's Cost Estimate  | -    |
| Expenditure / Encumbrances  | -     |                          |      |
| Unencumbered Balance        | -     |                          |      |

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Impact tax estimates for the FY21-26 biennial Capital Improvements Program have been reduced by \$51.5 million to reflect provisions of the 2020-2024 Growth and Infrastructure Policy (Subdivision Staging Policy) which the County Council adopted on November 16, 2020. Similarly, recordation tax estimates have been updated to reflect the economic impacts of the COVID pandemic (-\$41.7 million). As a result of these revenue reductions and extreme COVID-related operating budget pressures, all agencies have been required to defer and/or reduce funding for previously approved projects.

The County Executive has not stipulated how the reductions should be made to allow the Maryland National-Capital Park and Planning Commission maximum flexibility in developing their capital program. The County Executive asks that the Commission factor racial equity considerations into their analysis.

## COST CHANGE

Reductions are based on affordability.

# EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION



## Ballfield Initiatives (P008720)

|               |             |                      |          |
|---------------|-------------|----------------------|----------|
| Category      | M-NCPPC     | Date Last Modified   | 12/15/20 |
| SubCategory   | Development | Administering Agency | M-NCPPC  |
| Planning Area | Countywide  | Status               | Ongoing  |

### EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Cost Elements                    | Total         | Thru FY20    | Rem FY20     | Total<br>6 Years | FY 21        | FY 22        | FY 23        | FY 24        | FY 25        | FY 26        | Beyond<br>6 Years |
|----------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Planning, Design and Supervision | 1,153         | 395          | 110          | 648              | 118          | 130          | 100          | 100          | 100          | 100          | -                 |
| Site Improvements and Utilities  | 18,044        | 4,414        | 1,228        | 12,402           | 1,432        | 2,170        | 2,200        | 2,200        | 2,200        | 2,200        | -                 |
| <b>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</b>        | <b>19,197</b> | <b>4,809</b> | <b>1,338</b> | <b>13,050</b>    | <b>1,550</b> | <b>2,300</b> | <b>2,300</b> | <b>2,300</b> | <b>2,300</b> | <b>2,300</b> | <b>-</b>          |

### FUNDING SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Funding Source               | Total         | Thru FY20    | Rem FY20     | Total<br>6 Years | FY 21        | FY 22        | FY 23        | FY 24        | FY 25        | FY 26        | Beyond<br>6 Years |
|------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Current Revenue: CUPF        | 2,450         | 908          | 342          | 1,200            | -            | -            | 300          | 300          | 300          | 300          | -                 |
| Current Revenue: General     | 174           | 107          | 67           | -                | -            | -            | -            | -            | -            | -            | -                 |
| G.O. Bonds                   | 14,698        | 1,919        | 929          | 11,850           | 1,550        | 2,300        | 2,000        | 2,000        | 2,000        | 2,000        | -                 |
| PAYGO                        | 1,875         | 1,875        | -            | -                | -            | -            | -            | -            | -            | -            | -                 |
| <b>TOTAL FUNDING SOURCES</b> | <b>19,197</b> | <b>4,809</b> | <b>1,338</b> | <b>13,050</b>    | <b>1,550</b> | <b>2,300</b> | <b>2,300</b> | <b>2,300</b> | <b>2,300</b> | <b>2,300</b> | <b>-</b>          |

### COMPARISON (\$000s)

|                     | Total  | Thru FY20 | Rem FY20 | Total<br>6 Years | FY 21 | FY 22 | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 | FY 26 | Beyond<br>6 Years | FY 22<br>Approp. |
|---------------------|--------|-----------|----------|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------|------------------|
| Prior Year Approved | 19,197 | 6,147     | -        | 13,050           | 1,550 | 2,300 | 2,300 | 2,300 | 2,300 | 2,300 | -                 | 2,300            |
| Agency Request      | 19,197 | 4,809     | 1,338    | 13,050           | 1,550 | 2,300 | 2,300 | 2,300 | 2,300 | 2,300 | -                 | 2,300            |
| Recommended         | 19,197 | 4,809     | 1,338    | 13,050           | 1,550 | 2,300 | 2,300 | 2,300 | 2,300 | 2,300 | -                 | 2,300            |

| CHANGE                                | TOTAL | % | 6-YEAR | % | APPROP. | % |
|---------------------------------------|-------|---|--------|---|---------|---|
| Agency Request vs Prior Year Approved | -     | - | -      | - | -       | - |
| Recommended vs Prior Year Approved    | -     | - | -      | - | -       | - |
| Recommended vs Agency Request         | -     | - | -      | - | -       | - |

## RECOMMENDATION

Approve with Modifications.

---

This project was programmed with \$300,000 per year in Current Revenue: Community Use of Public Facilities (CUPF). Unfortunately, the COVID-19 pandemic significantly reduced County facility site rentals and CUPF is unable to make the programmed contribution in either FY21 or FY22. To maintain progress on ballfield improvements, the County Executive recommends using G.O. Bonds in FY21 and FY22 instead of Current Revenue: CUPF. The County Executive remains committed to making ballfield improvements, particularly at schools with high levels of enrollment of low-income students.



# Ballfield Initiatives

(P008720)

|               |             |                      |          |
|---------------|-------------|----------------------|----------|
| Category      | M-NCPPC     | Date Last Modified   | 12/15/20 |
| SubCategory   | Development | Administering Agency | M-NCPPC  |
| Planning Area | Countywide  | Status               | Ongoing  |

## EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Cost Elements                    | Total         | Thru FY20    | Rem FY20     | Total<br>6 Years | FY 21        | FY 22        | FY 23        | FY 24        | FY 25        | FY 26        | Beyond<br>6 Years |
|----------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Planning, Design and Supervision | 1,153         | 395          | 110          | 648              | 118          | 130          | 100          | 100          | 100          | 100          | -                 |
| Site Improvements and Utilities  | 18,044        | 4,414        | 1,228        | 12,402           | 1,432        | 2,170        | 2,200        | 2,200        | 2,200        | 2,200        | -                 |
| <b>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</b>        | <b>19,197</b> | <b>4,809</b> | <b>1,338</b> | <b>13,050</b>    | <b>1,550</b> | <b>2,300</b> | <b>2,300</b> | <b>2,300</b> | <b>2,300</b> | <b>2,300</b> | <b>-</b>          |

## FUNDING SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Funding Source               | Total         | Thru FY20    | Rem FY20     | Total<br>6 Years | FY 21        | FY 22        | FY 23        | FY 24        | FY 25        | FY 26        | Beyond<br>6 Years |
|------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Current Revenue: CUPF        | 3,050         | 908          | 342          | 1,800            | 300          | 300          | 300          | 300          | 300          | 300          | -                 |
| Current Revenue: General     | 174           | 107          | 67           | -                | -            | -            | -            | -            | -            | -            | -                 |
| G.O. Bonds                   | 14,098        | 1,919        | 929          | 11,250           | 1,250        | 2,000        | 2,000        | 2,000        | 2,000        | 2,000        | -                 |
| PAYGO                        | 1,875         | 1,875        | -            | -                | -            | -            | -            | -            | -            | -            | -                 |
| <b>TOTAL FUNDING SOURCES</b> | <b>19,197</b> | <b>4,809</b> | <b>1,338</b> | <b>13,050</b>    | <b>1,550</b> | <b>2,300</b> | <b>2,300</b> | <b>2,300</b> | <b>2,300</b> | <b>2,300</b> | <b>-</b>          |

## APPROPRIATION AND EXPENDITURE DATA (\$000s)

|                             |       |                          |        |
|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|--------|
| Appropriation FY 22 Request | 2,300 | Year First Appropriation | FY99   |
| Cumulative Appropriation    | 7,697 | Last FY's Cost Estimate  | 19,197 |
| Expenditure / Encumbrances  | 5,569 |                          |        |
| Unencumbered Balance        | 2,128 |                          |        |

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This project addresses countywide ballfield needs by funding ballfield-related improvements on parkland, school sites, and other public sites. Improvements may include, but are not limited to, ballfield lighting, irrigation, drainage improvements, bleachers, fencing, backstops, soil improvements, turf and infield establishment/renovation, reconfigurations, program support elements, and field upgrades. Generally, ballfields to be constructed as part of new park construction or reconstruction will be shown in the individual new park construction and/or reconstruction PDFs.

## COST CHANGE

Increased scope to meet County needs and the addition of two fiscal years to this ongoing project.

---

## PROJECT JUSTIFICATION

2012 Parks, Recreation and Open Space (PROS) Plan. Montgomery County users of parks and recreation facilities identified a serious shortage of ballfields throughout the County. The Ballfield Work Group Reports, Phases 1 and 2, 1999.

## FISCAL NOTE

FY21-26 \$300k in CUPF Current Revenues. FY21 reduction of \$500k in G.O. Bonds for affordability. FY20 \$250k in CUPF Current Revenues approved for renovations of non-synthetic turf school fields and \$174k in Current Revenue - General approved to renovate the White Oak Recreation Center ballfield. FY19 funding source for MCPS ballfield improvement switched from Intergovernmental to Current Revenue: CUPF. FY17-19 \$1m in Community Use of Public Facilities (CUPF) operating funds transferred in to renovate MCPS ballfields. Prior year partial capitalization of expenditures through FY16 totaled \$15,642,000. FY15-16 transfer out \$250k GO Bonds to Urban Park Elements P871540. FY14 transfer in \$40K GO bonds from Pollution Prevention P078701.

## DISCLOSURES

Expenditures will continue indefinitely.

## COORDINATION

In January 1999, the Planning Board established a Work Group comprised of major sport user groups, public agencies and the Countywide Recreation Advisory Board to address the acute shortages of ballfields in the County.

# EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION



## Small Grant/Donor-Assisted Capital Improvements (P058755)

|               |             |                      |          |
|---------------|-------------|----------------------|----------|
| Category      | M-NCPPC     | Date Last Modified   | 12/30/20 |
| SubCategory   | Development | Administering Agency | M-NCPPC  |
| Planning Area | Countywide  | Status               | Ongoing  |

### EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Cost Elements                    | Total         | Thru FY20    | Rem FY20   | Total 6 Years | FY 21        | FY 22        | FY 23        | FY 24        | FY 25        | FY 26        | Beyond 6 Years |
|----------------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| Planning, Design and Supervision | 1,368         | 561          | 147        | 660           | 110          | 110          | 110          | 110          | 110          | 110          | -              |
| Site Improvements and Utilities  | 8,868         | 2,217        | 761        | 5,890         | 940          | 990          | 990          | 990          | 990          | 990          | -              |
| <b>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</b>        | <b>10,236</b> | <b>2,778</b> | <b>908</b> | <b>6,550</b>  | <b>1,050</b> | <b>1,100</b> | <b>1,100</b> | <b>1,100</b> | <b>1,100</b> | <b>1,100</b> | <b>-</b>       |

### FUNDING SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Funding Source               | Total         | Thru FY20    | Rem FY20   | Total 6 Years | FY 21        | FY 22        | FY 23        | FY 24        | FY 25        | FY 26        | Beyond 6 Years |
|------------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| Contributions                | 9,175         | 2,775        | 400        | 6,000         | 1,000        | 1,000        | 1,000        | 1,000        | 1,000        | 1,000        | -              |
| Current Revenue: General     | 255           | 3            | 2          | 250           | -            | 50           | 50           | 50           | 50           | 50           | -              |
| Current Revenue: M-NCPPC     | 806           | -            | 506        | 300           | 50           | 50           | 50           | 50           | 50           | 50           | -              |
| <b>TOTAL FUNDING SOURCES</b> | <b>10,236</b> | <b>2,778</b> | <b>908</b> | <b>6,550</b>  | <b>1,050</b> | <b>1,100</b> | <b>1,100</b> | <b>1,100</b> | <b>1,100</b> | <b>1,100</b> | <b>-</b>       |

### COMPARISON (\$000s)

|                     | Total  | Thru FY20 | Rem FY20 | Total 6 Years | FY 21 | FY 22 | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 | FY 26 | Beyond 6 Years | FY 22 Approp. |
|---------------------|--------|-----------|----------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------------|---------------|
| Prior Year Approved | 11,535 | 4,985     | -        | 6,550         | 1,050 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 | -              | 1,100         |
| Agency Request      | 11,535 | 2,778     | 2,207    | 6,550         | 1,050 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 | -              | 1,100         |
| Recommended         | 10,236 | 2,778     | 908      | 6,550         | 1,050 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 | -              | (199)         |

| CHANGE                                | TOTAL   | %      | 6-YEAR | % | APPROP. | %       |
|---------------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|---|---------|---------|
| Agency Request vs Prior Year Approved | -       | -      | -      | - | -       | -       |
| Recommended vs Prior Year Approved    | (1,299) | -11.3% | -      | - | (1,299) | -118.1% |
| Recommended vs Agency Request         | (1,299) | -11.3% | -      | - | (1,299) | -118.1% |

## RECOMMENDATION

---

Approve with Technical Modifications. In consultation with M-NCPPC staff, the appropriation and the Rem FY20 funding and expenditure data were adjusted to more closely reflect actual Contributions collected under this program.



# Small Grant/Donor-Assisted Capital Improvements

(P058755)

|               |             |                      |          |
|---------------|-------------|----------------------|----------|
| Category      | M-NCPPC     | Date Last Modified   | 12/30/20 |
| SubCategory   | Development | Administering Agency | M-NCPPC  |
| Planning Area | Countywide  | Status               | Ongoing  |

## EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Cost Elements                    | Total  | Thru FY20 | Rem FY20 | Total 6 Years | FY 21 | FY 22 | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 | FY 26 | Beyond 6 Years |
|----------------------------------|--------|-----------|----------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------------|
| Planning, Design and Supervision | 1,667  | 561       | 446      | 660           | 110   | 110   | 110   | 110   | 110   | 110   | -              |
| Site Improvements and Utilities  | 9,868  | 2,217     | 1,761    | 5,890         | 940   | 990   | 990   | 990   | 990   | 990   | -              |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES               | 11,535 | 2,778     | 2,207    | 6,550         | 1,050 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 | -              |

## FUNDING SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Funding Source           | Total  | Thru FY20 | Rem FY20 | Total 6 Years | FY 21 | FY 22 | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 | FY 26 | Beyond 6 Years |
|--------------------------|--------|-----------|----------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------------|
| Contributions            | 10,474 | 2,775     | 1,699    | 6,000         | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | -              |
| Current Revenue: General | 255    | 3         | 2        | 250           | -     | 50    | 50    | 50    | 50    | 50    | -              |
| Current Revenue: M-NCPPC | 806    | -         | 506      | 300           | 50    | 50    | 50    | 50    | 50    | 50    | -              |
| TOTAL FUNDING SOURCES    | 11,535 | 2,778     | 2,207    | 6,550         | 1,050 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 | -              |

## APPROPRIATION AND EXPENDITURE DATA (\$000s)

|                             |       |                          |        |
|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|--------|
| Appropriation FY 22 Request | 1,100 | Year First Appropriation | FY05   |
| Cumulative Appropriation    | 6,035 | Last FY's Cost Estimate  | 11,535 |
| Expenditure / Encumbrances  | 2,940 |                          |        |
| Unencumbered Balance        | 3,095 |                          |        |

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This project authorizes expenditures for new or existing projects that receive support from non-County government funding sources, e.g. grants, donations, gifts, fund raising projects, and sponsorships. No funds can be expended from this project unless at least 80 percent of the total cost of the project, or the change to an existing project is provided from a non-County government funding source. The funds provided can be expended within this project provided: 1. The capital cost is less than \$100,000; or 2. The capital cost is at least \$100,000, but the project: (a) does not have an Operating Budget Impact (OBI) in excess of 10 percent of the capital cost; and (b) vehicle trips generated by the project do not exceed 25 vehicle trips during the peak one-hour period in the vicinity of the project. The Department must notify the County Council of any grants or donations for projects having a capital cost of at least \$100,000. The Department must submit a PDF for approval by the County Council for all other projects in accordance with the County Council policy on non-County funded capital projects, approved on February 22, 2000.

---

## COST CHANGE

Increase due to the addition of two fiscal years to this ongoing project, and FY21 reduction of \$50k for affordability.

## PROJECT JUSTIFICATION

Montgomery County Department of Park and Planning Policy and Guidelines on Donations and Public/Private Partnerships, adopted 2003.

## FISCAL NOTE

Prior year partial capitalization of expenditures through FY16 totaled \$2,165,000. FY15 transfer of \$151,000 in County Current Revenue to Brookside Gardens Master Plan #078702. In FY20, increased appropriation for contributions by \$800,000. FY18 current revenue reduced \$100k to reflect the FY18 Savings Plan. FY19 Special Appropriation of \$1M in Contributions.

## DISCLOSURES

Expenditures will continue indefinitely.

# EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION



## ALARF: M-NCPPC (P727007)

|               |             |                      |          |
|---------------|-------------|----------------------|----------|
| Category      | M-NCPPC     | Date Last Modified   | 12/24/20 |
| SubCategory   | Acquisition | Administering Agency | M-NCPPC  |
| Planning Area | Countywide  | Status               | Ongoing  |

### EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Cost Elements             | Total         | Thru FY20     | Rem FY20     | Total<br>6 Years | FY 21        | FY 22        | FY 23        | FY 24        | FY 25        | FY 26        | Beyond<br>6 Years |
|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Land                      | 23,798        | 16,798        | 1,000        | 6,000            | 1,000        | 1,000        | 1,000        | 1,000        | 1,000        | 1,000        | -                 |
| <b>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</b> | <b>23,798</b> | <b>16,798</b> | <b>1,000</b> | <b>6,000</b>     | <b>1,000</b> | <b>1,000</b> | <b>1,000</b> | <b>1,000</b> | <b>1,000</b> | <b>1,000</b> | <b>-</b>          |

### FUNDING SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Funding Source                | Total         | Thru FY20     | Rem FY20     | Total<br>6 Years | FY 21        | FY 22        | FY 23        | FY 24        | FY 25        | FY 26        | Beyond<br>6 Years |
|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Revolving Fund (M-NCPPC Only) | 23,798        | 16,798        | 1,000        | 6,000            | 1,000        | 1,000        | 1,000        | 1,000        | 1,000        | 1,000        | -                 |
| <b>TOTAL FUNDING SOURCES</b>  | <b>23,798</b> | <b>16,798</b> | <b>1,000</b> | <b>6,000</b>     | <b>1,000</b> | <b>1,000</b> | <b>1,000</b> | <b>1,000</b> | <b>1,000</b> | <b>1,000</b> | <b>-</b>          |

### COMPARISON (\$000s)

|                     | Total  | Thru FY20 | Rem FY20 | Total<br>6 Years | FY 21 | FY 22 | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 | FY 26 | Beyond<br>6 Years | FY 22<br>Approp. |
|---------------------|--------|-----------|----------|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------|------------------|
| Prior Year Approved | 27,798 | 21,798    | -        | 6,000            | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | -                 | -                |
| Agency Request      | 27,798 | 20,798    | 1,000    | 6,000            | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | -                 | -                |
| Recommended         | 23,798 | 16,798    | 1,000    | 6,000            | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | -                 | -                |

| CHANGE                                | TOTAL   | %      | 6-YEAR | % | APPROP. | % |
|---------------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|---|---------|---|
| Agency Request vs Prior Year Approved | -       | -      | -      | - | -       | - |
| Recommended vs Prior Year Approved    | (4,000) | -14.4% | -      | - | -       | - |
| Recommended vs Agency Request         | (4,000) | -14.4% | -      | - | -       | - |

## RECOMMENDATION

Approve with Technical Modifications. In consultation with M-NCPPC staff, an adjustment to the Thru FY20 column was made to align the revolving fund's expenditure and funding data with the cumulative appropriation.



# ALARF: M-NCPPC

(P727007)

|               |             |                      |          |
|---------------|-------------|----------------------|----------|
| Category      | M-NCPPC     | Date Last Modified   | 12/24/20 |
| SubCategory   | Acquisition | Administering Agency | M-NCPPC  |
| Planning Area | Countywide  | Status               | Ongoing  |

## EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Cost Elements      | Total  | Thru FY20 | Rem FY20 | Total 6 Years | FY 21 | FY 22 | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 | FY 26 | Beyond 6 Years |
|--------------------|--------|-----------|----------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------------|
| Land               | 27,798 | 20,798    | 1,000    | 6,000         | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | -              |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 27,798 | 20,798    | 1,000    | 6,000         | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | -              |

## FUNDING SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Funding Source                | Total  | Thru FY20 | Rem FY20 | Total 6 Years | FY 21 | FY 22 | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 | FY 26 | Beyond 6 Years |
|-------------------------------|--------|-----------|----------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------------|
| Revolving Fund (M-NCPPC Only) | 27,798 | 20,798    | 1,000    | 6,000         | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | -              |
| TOTAL FUNDING SOURCES         | 27,798 | 20,798    | 1,000    | 6,000         | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | -              |

## APPROPRIATION AND EXPENDITURE DATA (\$000s)

|                             |        |                          |        |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------------------------|--------|
| Appropriation FY 22 Request | -      | Year First Appropriation | FY72   |
| Cumulative Appropriation    | 17,798 | Last FY's Cost Estimate  | 27,798 |
| Expenditure / Encumbrances  | 17,798 |                          |        |
| Unencumbered Balance        | -      |                          |        |

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Advance Land Acquisition Revolving Fund (ALARF) was established in the Commission's FY72-FY77 Capital Improvements Program pursuant to Land Use Article Section 18-401 of the Annotated Code of the State of Maryland to enable the Commission to acquire rights-of-way and other property needed for future public projects. Before acquisition of a specific parcel, the Montgomery County Planning Board will submit an authorization request to the Montgomery County Council for approval by formal resolution. The corpus of the revolving fund includes the original \$7 million bond issue in FY71, an additional \$5 million bond issue in FY90, an additional \$2.2 million bond issue in FY95, an additional \$2 million bond issue in FY05, plus reimbursements in excess of costs, accumulated interest, and any surplus of Advance Land Acquisition (ALA) tax revenue over debt service. M-NCPPC must seek County Council approval to change the use of ALARF-acquired property. It is the intent of the County Council that land acquisition costs for ALARF-acquired properties will ultimately be appropriated in a specific project PDF or acquisition PDF so that ALARF can be reimbursed and continue to revolve. In the event that the County Council does not require that ALARF be reimbursed, the cost of the land acquisition related to the development project shall be disclosed in the PDF text.

## COST CHANGE

Increase due to the addition of two fiscal years to this ongoing project.

---

## PROJECT JUSTIFICATION

Some of the acquisitions in this project may help meet 2012 Park, Recreation and Open Space (PROS) Plan objectives. This project enables the Commission to acquire private property in lieu of allowing development that would adversely affect a planned public use of the property. All properties acquired with ALARF must first be shown on adopted area master plans as needed for future public use. Properties included for acquisition in a current capital budget of any public agency are not eligible for acquisition under this project.

## FISCAL NOTE

Prior year partial capitalization of expenditures through FY16 totaled \$19,337,000. As of April 19, 2017, the balance in the ALARF account is \$9,911,853.



# Enterprise Facilities' Improvements (P998773)

|               |             |                      |          |
|---------------|-------------|----------------------|----------|
| Category      | M-NCPPC     | Date Last Modified   | 10/02/20 |
| SubCategory   | Development | Administering Agency | M-NCPPC  |
| Planning Area | Countywide  | Status               | Ongoing  |

## EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Cost Elements                    | Total         | Thru FY20    | Rem FY20     | Total<br>6 Years | FY 21        | FY 22      | FY 23    | FY 24    | FY 25      | FY 26    | Beyond<br>6 Years |
|----------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------|----------|----------|------------|----------|-------------------|
| Planning, Design and Supervision | 2,770         | 1,537        | 775          | 458              | 383          | -          | -        | -        | 75         | -        | -                 |
| Site Improvements and Utilities  | 32,992        | 6,648        | 3,352        | 2,992            | 2,167        | 400        | -        | -        | 425        | -        | 20,000            |
| <b>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</b>        | <b>35,762</b> | <b>8,185</b> | <b>4,127</b> | <b>3,450</b>     | <b>2,550</b> | <b>400</b> | <b>-</b> | <b>-</b> | <b>500</b> | <b>-</b> | <b>20,000</b>     |

## FUNDING SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Funding Source                        | Total         | Thru FY20    | Rem FY20     | Total<br>6 Years | FY 21        | FY 22      | FY 23    | FY 24    | FY 25      | FY 26    | Beyond<br>6 Years |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------|----------|----------|------------|----------|-------------------|
| Current Revenue: Enterprise (M-NCPPC) | 15,762        | 8,185        | 4,127        | 3,450            | 2,550        | 400        | -        | -        | 500        | -        | -                 |
| Revenue Bonds                         | 20,000        | -            | -            | -                | -            | -          | -        | -        | -          | -        | 20,000            |
| <b>TOTAL FUNDING SOURCES</b>          | <b>35,762</b> | <b>8,185</b> | <b>4,127</b> | <b>3,450</b>     | <b>2,550</b> | <b>400</b> | <b>-</b> | <b>-</b> | <b>500</b> | <b>-</b> | <b>20,000</b>     |

## APPROPRIATION AND EXPENDITURE DATA (\$000s)

|                             |         |                          |        |
|-----------------------------|---------|--------------------------|--------|
| Appropriation FY 22 Request | (7,050) | Year First Appropriation | FY99   |
| Cumulative Appropriation    | 22,312  | Last FY's Cost Estimate  | 35,762 |
| Expenditure / Encumbrances  | 18,256  |                          |        |
| Unencumbered Balance        | 4,056   |                          |        |

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This project funds renovations or new construction at M-NCPPC-owned Enterprise facilities that operate in a manner similar to private business enterprises. Enterprise facilities include: Black Hill boats, Lake Needwood boats, Little Bennett campground, South Germantown Mini-golf and Splash Playground, Cabin John Ice Rink, Wheaton Ice Arena, Wheaton Sports Pavilion, Pauline Betz Addie Tennis facility, Wheaton Indoor Tennis, Cabin John Train, Wheaton Train and Carousel, Brookside Gardens, South Germantown Driving Range, Rockwood Manor and Seneca Lodge Event Centers.. The PDF supports planning, design, and construction-related activities, with an emphasis on renovation of existing Enterprise facilities. Projects may include minor renovations, fire suppression system installations, roof replacements, lighting improvements, and associated support facilities. All projects are subject to the availability of funds.

## COST CHANGE

Cost increases reflect the addition of FY25 as well as costs for the Ridge Road Ice Rink project. However, in FY22 Capital Budget, the

---

Ridge Road project was delayed beyond FY26 for affordability.

## PROJECT JUSTIFICATION

Infrastructure Inventory and Assessment of Park Components, 2008

## FISCAL NOTE

The Ridge Road Ice Rink is financed through Revenue Bonds instead of Enterprise Current Revenue beginning in FY22. Prior year partial capitalization of expenditures through FY16 totaled \$2,907,000. M-NCPPC's Enterprise Facilities provide recreational and cultural activities that operate in a manner similar to private business enterprises. User fees replenish the enterprise fund that sustains all revenue-generating facilities in the parks system.

## DISCLOSURES

Expenditures will continue indefinitely.



# Mid-County Park Benefit Payments

(P872201)

|               |                             |                      |          |
|---------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------|
| Category      | M-NCPPC                     | Date Last Modified   | 10/12/20 |
| SubCategory   | Acquisition                 | Administering Agency | M-NCPPC  |
| Planning Area | North Bethesda-Garrett Park | Status               | Ongoing  |

## EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Cost Elements      | Total | Thru FY20 | Rem FY20 | Total<br>6 Years | FY 21 | FY 22 | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 | FY 26 | Beyond<br>6 Years |
|--------------------|-------|-----------|----------|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------|
| Land               | 2,500 | -         | -        | 2,500            | -     | 2,500 | -     | -     | -     | -     | -                 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 2,500 | -         | -        | 2,500            | -     | 2,500 | -     | -     | -     | -     | -                 |

## FUNDING SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Funding Source        | Total | Thru FY20 | Rem FY20 | Total<br>6 Years | FY 21 | FY 22 | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 | FY 26 | Beyond<br>6 Years |
|-----------------------|-------|-----------|----------|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------|
| Contributions         | 2,500 | -         | -        | 2,500            | -     | 2,500 | -     | -     | -     | -     | -                 |
| TOTAL FUNDING SOURCES | 2,500 | -         | -        | 2,500            | -     | 2,500 | -     | -     | -     | -     | -                 |

## APPROPRIATION AND EXPENDITURE DATA (\$000s)

|                             |       |                          |   |
|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|---|
| Appropriation FY 22 Request | 2,500 | Year First Appropriation |   |
| Cumulative Appropriation    | -     | Last FY's Cost Estimate  | - |
| Expenditure / Encumbrances  | -     |                          |   |
| Unencumbered Balance        | -     |                          |   |

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The central portion of Montgomery County continues to experience population and economic growth. The 2010 White Flint Sector Plan, the 2017 Rock Spring Sector Plan, the 2018 White Flint 2 Sector Plan, and the 2018 Grosvenor-Strathmore Metro Area Minor Master Plan support this growth by creating livable communities that offer a range of benefits such as walkable neighborhoods and access to community facilities including parks. Specifically, these Plans focus on creating new parks with central gathering spaces and active recreational amenities to support the quality of life.

The dedication of land for a school and/or park site is one of the top priority public amenities to be provided by all development projects within these Plan areas. In lieu of dedication, the Planning Board may accept substantive contributions toward acquisition of new land to meet these master plan recommendations. Any Park Benefit Payments (PBPs) submitted to the M-NCPPC as a condition of Planning Board development approvals within these Plan areas will be placed into this Project for appropriation and expenditure.

The Park Benefit Payments will be used for acquisition of new parkland and the development of park facilities on newly acquired land to serve the White Flint, Grosvenor-Strathmore, and Rock Spring areas. These funds are intended to create new park resources, so will not be used not for the renovation/modification of existing parks. Funds that are allocated for planning, design, and construction of parks will be transferred to the appropriate development PDF within the CIP. Expenditures will be based on the park priorities within

---

each of the Master/Sector Plan areas, availability of land for acquisition, site improvement needs, and the Parks Departments' design and construction schedule.

## PROJECT JUSTIFICATION

Chapters 50 (Subdivision Regulations) and 59 (Zoning Ordinance) of the Montgomery County Code require development/redevelopment to demonstrate substantial conformance with the Master or Sector Plan for approval. When site conditions preclude dedication of land needed for a school and/or park site, this Project will provide an alternative path for developments to achieve plan conformance while also implementing the vision for vibrant communities with ample public parks and associated amenities. This Project will serve to hold, appropriate and expend any Park Benefit Payments that are made to the M-NCPPC through the regulatory application review process under Chapters 50 and 59 to serve the park needs of any of these four Master/Sector Plan areas.

## OTHER

Appropriations for payments received above the approved capital budget will be requested through supplemental appropriations or future CIP approvals.

## DISCLOSURES

Expenditures will continue indefinitely.

## COORDINATION

Acquisition: Local Parks PDF 767828, Acquisition: Non-Local Parks PDF 998798, ALARF: M-NCPPC PDF 727007, Facility Planning: Local Parks PDF 957775, Facility Planning: Non-Local Parks PDF 958776, Bethesda Park Impact Payment PDF 872002

## Non-recommended reductions, M-NCPPC

### Current Revenue: General

FY21-26 CIP, Responding to CE Request for reductions  
For Planning Board Consideration January 28, 2021

| PDF#    | PDF   | FY21  | FY22         | FY23  | FY24  | FY25  | FY26  | BSY |
|---------|---|-------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|
| P998798 | Acquisition: Non-Local Parks                      | 200   | 250          | 250   | 250   | 250   | 250   | -   |
|         | Revised Funding Schedule                          | 200   | 200          | 250   | 250   | 250   | 250   | -   |
|         | <b>Reduction</b>                                  | -     | <b>(50)</b>  | -     | -     | -     | -     | -   |
| P128702 | ADA Compliance: Non-Local Parks                   | -     | 50           | 50    | 50    | 50    | 50    | -   |
|         | Revised Funding Schedule                          | -     | -            | 50    | 50    | 50    | 50    | -   |
|         | <b>Reduction</b>                                  | -     | <b>(50)</b>  | -     | -     | -     | -     | -   |
| P958776 | Facility Planning: Non-Local Parks                | 250   | 300          | 300   | 300   | 300   | 300   | -   |
|         | Revised Funding Schedule                          | 250   | 250          | 300   | 300   | 300   | 300   | -   |
|         | <b>Reduction</b>                                  | -     | <b>(50)</b>  | -     | -     | -     | -     | -   |
| P018710 | Legacy Open Space                                 | 200   | 250          | 250   | 250   | 115   | 115   | 50  |
|         | Revised Funding Schedule                          | 200   | 200          | 250   | 250   | 115   | 115   | 50  |
|         | <b>Reduction</b>                                  | -     | <b>(50)</b>  | -     | -     | -     | -     | -   |
| P998708 | PLAR: NL - Minor Renovations                      | 2,263 | 2,348        | 2,348 | 2,348 | 2,348 | 2,348 | -   |
|         | Revised Funding Schedule                          | 2,263 | 2,263        | 2,348 | 2,348 | 2,348 | 2,348 | -   |
|         | <b>Reduction</b>                                  | -     | <b>(85)</b>  | -     | -     | -     | -     | -   |
| P871903 | PLAR: NL - Park Building Renovations              | 50    | 100          | 100   | 100   | 100   | 100   | -   |
|         | Revised Funding Schedule                          | 50    | 50           | 100   | 100   | 100   | 100   | -   |
|         | <b>Reduction</b>                                  | -     | <b>(50)</b>  | -     | -     | -     | -     | -   |
| P078701 | Pollution Prevention and Repairs to Ponds & Lakes | 250   | 300          | 300   | 300   | 300   | 300   | -   |
|         | Revised Funding Schedule                          | 250   | 250          | 300   | 300   | 300   | 300   | -   |
|         | <b>Reduction</b>                                  | -     | <b>(50)</b>  | -     | -     | -     | -     | -   |
| P808494 | Restoration Of Historic Structures                | 400   | 450          | 450   | 450   | 585   | 585   | -   |
|         | Revised Funding Schedule                          | 400   | 400          | 450   | 450   | 585   | 585   | -   |
|         | <b>Reduction</b>                                  | -     | <b>(50)</b>  | -     | -     | -     | -     | -   |
| P058755 | Small Grant/Donor-Assisted Capital Improvements   | -     | 50           | 50    | 50    | 50    | 50    | -   |
|         | Revised Funding Schedule                          | -     | -            | 50    | 50    | 50    | 50    | -   |
|         | <b>Reduction</b>                                  | -     | <b>(50)</b>  | -     | -     | -     | -     | -   |
| P871747 | M-NCPPC Affordability Reconciliation              | -     | <b>(485)</b> | -     | -     | -     | -     | -   |
|         | <b>Cumulative Non-recommended Reductions</b>      | -     | <b>(485)</b> | -     | -     | -     | -     | -   |

## Non-recommended reductions, M-NCPPC

### GO Bonds

FY21-26 CIP, Responding to CE Request for reductions  
For Planning Board Consideration January 28, 2021

| PDF#    | PDF  | FY21  | FY22  | FY23           | FY24           | FY25         | FY26         | BSY          |
|---------|--|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| P008720 | Ballfield Initiatives (incl CUPF funding switch FY21-22) | 1,550 | 2,300 | 2,000          | 2,000          | 2,000        | 2,000        | -            |
|         | Revised Funding Schedule                                 | 1,550 | 2,300 | 1,500          | 1,500          | 1,500        | 2,000        | 1,500        |
|         | <b>Reduction</b>   | -     | -     | <b>(500)</b>   | <b>(500)</b>   | <b>(500)</b> | -            | <b>1,500</b> |
| P872105 | Blair HS Field Renovations and Lights                    | -     | 1,400 | 1,500          | -              | -            | -            | -            |
|         | Revised Funding Schedule                                 | -     | 1,400 | -              | -              | -            | 1,500        | -            |
|         | <b>Reduction</b>   | -     | -     | <b>(1,500)</b> | -              | -            | <b>1,500</b> | -            |
| P018710 | Legacy Open Space  | 436   | 1,928 | 2,000          | 2,000          | 2,000        | 800          | 8,896        |
|         | Revised Funding Schedule                                 | 436   | 1,928 | 1,500          | 1,500          | 1,559        | 2,241        | 8,896        |
|         | <b>Reduction</b>   | -     | -     | <b>(500)</b>   | <b>(500)</b>   | <b>(441)</b> | <b>1,441</b> | -            |
| P871746 | S. Germantown Recreational Park: Cricket Field           | -     | -     | 195            | 786            | -            | -            | -            |
|         | Revised Funding Schedule                                 | -     | -     | 195            | 536            | 250          | -            | -            |
|         | <b>Reduction</b>   | -     | -     | -              | <b>(250)</b>   | <b>250</b>   | -            | -            |
| P871904 | Wheaton Regional Park Improvements                       | -     | -     | -              | 250            | 470          | 1,920        | 2,000        |
|         | Revised Funding Schedule                                 | -     | -     | -              | -              | 720          | 1,920        | 2,000        |
|         | <b>Reduction</b>   | -     | -     | -              | <b>(250)</b>   | <b>250</b>   | -            | -            |
| P871747 | M-NCPPC Affordability Reconciliation                     | -     | -     | <b>(2,500)</b> | <b>(1,500)</b> | <b>(441)</b> | -            | -            |
|         | <b>Cumulative Non-recommended Reductions</b>             | -     | -     | <b>(2,500)</b> | <b>(1,500)</b> | <b>(441)</b> | <b>2,941</b> | <b>1,500</b> |