Montgomery County Planning Board

THE MARYLAND NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION

MCPB No. 22-089 Site Plan No. 820220080 LIDL Germantown Date of Hearing: July 28, 2022 AUG 2 5 2022

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, under Section 59-7.1.2 of the Montgomery County Zoning Ordinance, the Montgomery County Planning Board is authorized to review site plan applications; and

WHEREAS, on December 15, 2021, LIDL US Operations, LLC ("Applicant") filed an application for approval of a site plan for the construction of a 30,000 square foot retail/service establishment on 2.78 acres of land in the CR-1.0, C-0.75, R-0.75, H-75 zone and the Germantown Transit Mixed Use ("GTMU") Overlay Zone, located in the southern quadrant of the intersection of Germantown Road (MD 118) and Wisteria Drive, on Walter Johnson Road, 225 Feet South of Wisteria Drive("Subject Property"), in the Germantown Center Policy Area and 2009 Germantown Employment Area Sector Plan ("Sector Plan") area; and

WHEREAS the site plan application for the Subject Property was designated Site Plan No. 820220080, LIDL Germantown ("Site Plan" or "Application"); and

WHEREAS, following review and analysis of the Application by Planning Board staff ("Staff") and other governmental agencies, Staff issued a memorandum to the Planning Board, dated July 28, 2022, setting forth its analysis and recommendation for approval of the Application, subject to certain conditions ("Staff Report"); and

WHEREAS, on July 28, 2022, the Planning Board held a public hearing on the Application and voted to approve the Application subject to certain conditions, by the vote certified below.

2425 Reedie Drive, Floor 14, Wheaton, MD 20902 | Phone: 301-495-4605 | Fax: 301-495-1320 www.montgomeryplanningboard.org | mcp-chair@mncppc.org

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Board approves Site Plan No. 820220080 for the construction of a 30,000 square foot retail/service establishment, on the Subject Property, subject to the following conditions:¹

Density, Height & Housing

1. Density

The Site Plan is limited to a maximum of 30,000 square feet of commercial uses on the 2.78-acre Site.

2. Height

The development is limited to a maximum height of 35 feet, as measured from the building height measuring point, as illustrated on the Certified Site Plan.

Open Space, Facilities and Amenities

- 3. Public Open Space, Facilities, and Amenities
 - a. The Applicant must provide a minimum of 18,770 square feet of public open space (15% of Site area) on-site.
 - b. Prior to Use and Occupancy Certificate, the Applicant must construct the streetscape improvements, including the undergrounding of utilities, along the Property's frontages.
 - c. Prior to Use and Occupancy Certificate, all public open space areas on the Subject Property must be completed.
 - d. Landscape buffers between sidewalk and streets or drive aisles must be provided as illustrated on Certified Site Plan.
- 4. Maintenance of Public Amenities

The Applicant is responsible for maintaining all publicly accessible amenities including, but not limited to plaza, seating, landscaping, building mural, and historic signage.

Environment

5. Forest Conservation & Tree Save

The Applicant must comply with the following conditions of approval for the Final Forest Conservation Plan ("FFCP") No. 820220080, approved as part of this Site Plan:

¹ For the purpose of these conditions, the term "Applicant" shall also mean the developer, the owner or any successor(s) in interest to the terms of this approval.

- a. The Applicant must schedule the required site inspections by M-NCPPC Forest Conservation Inspection Staff per Section 22A.00.01.10 of the Forest Conservation Regulations.
- b. The Applicant must comply with all tree protection and tree save measures shown on the approved Final Forest Conservation Plan. Tree save measures not specified on the Final Forest Conservation Plan may be required by the M-NCPPC Forest Conservation Inspection Staff.
- c. Prior to the start of any clearing, grading, or construction, except for clearing and grading associated with the building and paving demolition, the Applicant must record an M-NCPPC approved Certificate of Compliance in an M-NCPPC approved off-site forest bank within the Seneca Creek Watershed to satisfy the reforestation requirement for a total of 1.58 acres of mitigation credit. The offsite requirement may be met by purchasing credits from a mitigation bank elsewhere in the County, subject to Staff approval, if forest mitigation bank credits are not available for purchase within the Seneca Creek Watershed, or by making a fee-in-lieu payment if mitigation credits are not available at any M-NCPPC approved forest bank.
- d. Prior to the start of any demolition, clearing, grading, or construction for this development Application, whichever comes first, the Applicant must submit financial surety, in a form approved by the M-NCPPC Office of the General Counsel, to the M-NCPPC Planning Department for the mitigation trees and maintenance of those trees, credited toward meeting the requirements of the Final Forest Conservation Plan.
- e. Prior to the start of any demolition, clearing, grading, or construction for this development Application, whichever comes first, the Applicant must execute a five-year Maintenance and Management Agreement ("MMA") in a form approved by the M-NCPPC Office of the General Counsel. The MMA is required for all mitigation tree plantings, including variance tree mitigation plantings credited towards meeting the requirements of the Forest Conservation Plan. The MMA includes invasive species management control measures as deemed necessary by the M-NCPPC Forest Conservation Inspection Staff.
- f. Within the first planting season following the release of the first Sediment and Erosion Control Permit from the Montgomery County Department of Permitting Services for the Subject Property, or as directed by the M-NCPPC Forest Conservation Inspection Staff, the Applicant must install the variance tree mitigation plantings as shown on the approved Final Forest Conservation Plan.
- g. The Limits of Disturbance ("LOD") shown on the Final Sediment and Erosion Control Plan must be consistent with the LOD shown on the approved /Final Forest Conservation Plan.

6. Historic Preservation

The Planning Board has reviewed and accepts the recommendations of the Historic Preservation Commission ("HPC") in its letter dated March 23, 2022, and incorporates them as conditions of Site Plan approval. The Applicant must comply with each of the recommendations as set forth in the letter.

a) The portion of the of the environmental setting for the historic site on the Site, must be clearly delineated on the Certified Site Plan. Any alterations within the environmental setting (i.e., new construction, grading changes, tree removal, fence installation, retaining walls, and alterations to the historic house) will require review and approval through the Historic Area Work Permit process ("HAWP").

Transportation & Circulation/Adequate Public Facilities (APF)

- 7. Transportation
 - a) The Planning Board has reviewed and accepts the recommendations of the Montgomery County Department of Permitting Services Right-of-Way Section ("MCDPS-ROW") in its memo dated July 14, 2022 and incorporates them as conditions of approval. The Applicant must comply with each of the recommendations as set forth in their memo, which MCDPS-ROW may amend if the amendments do not conflict with other conditions of Site Plan approval.
- 8. Bicycle Parking
 - a) The Applicant must provide a minimum of 1 long-term and 3 short-term bicycle parking spaces.
 - b) The long-term space must be in a secured, well-lit room on the ground floor and the short-term spaces must be inverted-U racks (or approved equal) installed along the building's retail frontage. The specific location(s) of the short-term bicycle rack(s) must be identified on the Certified Site Plan.

Site Plan

9. Site Design

The exterior architectural character, proportion, materials, and articulation must be substantially similar to the schematic elevations of the submitted architectural drawings, as determined by M-NCPPC Staff.

10. Lighting

a) Prior to certified Site Plan, the Applicant must provide certification to Staff from a qualified professional that the exterior lighting in this Site Plan conforms to the latest Illuminating Engineering Society of North America (IESNA) recommendations (Model Lighting Ordinance-MLO: June 15, 2011, or as superseded) for a development of this type. All onsite exterior area lighting must be in accordance with the latest IESNA outdoor lighting recommendations (Model Lighting Ordinance-MLO: June 15, 2011, or as superseded).

- b) All onsite down-lights must have full cut-off or BUG-equivalent fixtures.
- c) Deflectors will be installed on all proposed fixtures to prevent excess illumination and glare.
- d) Illumination levels generated from on-site lighting must not exceed 0.5 footcandles (fc) at the lot line, excluding areas impacted by streetlights within the right-of-way.
- e) Streetlights and other pole-mounted lights must not exceed the height illustrated on the Certified Site Plan.

11. Artwork

The Applicant must consult with the Arts and Humanities Council with respect to mural artist selection and appropriate design suggestion(s).

12. Site Plan Surety and Maintenance Agreement

Prior to release of any above grade building permit, the Applicant must enter into a Site Plan Surety and Maintenance Agreement with the Planning Board in a form approved by the M-NCPPC Office of General Counsel that outlines the responsibilities of the Applicant. The Agreement must include a performance bond(s) or other form of surety in accordance with Section 59.7.3.4.K.4 the Montgomery County Zoning Ordinance, with the following provisions:

- a) A cost estimate of the materials and facilities, which, upon Staff approval, will establish the surety amount.
- b) The cost estimate must include applicable Site Plan elements, including, but not limited to plant material, on-site lighting, site furniture, trash enclosures, railings, signage, private drive-aisles and sidewalks, private utilities, paths, artwork and associated improvements of development, including sidewalks, bikeways, storm drainage facilities, street trees and streetlights. The surety must be posted before issuance of any building permit of development and will be tied to the development program.
- c) The bond or surety must be tied to the development program, and completion of all improvements covered by the surety for each phase of development will be followed by a site plan completion inspection. The surety may be reduced based upon inspector recommendation and provided that the remaining surety is sufficient to cover completion of the remaining work.

13. Development Program

The Applicant must construct the development in accordance with a development program table that will be reviewed and approved prior to the approval of the Certified Site Plan.

14. Certified Site Plan

Before approval of the Certified Site Plan the following revisions must be made and/or information provided subject to Staff review and approval:

- a) Include the stormwater management concept approval letter, MCDPS-ROW letter, development program, Preliminary Plan resolution and Site Plan resolution on the approval or cover sheet(s).
- b) Add a note to the Site Plan stating that "M-NCPPC Staff must inspect all treesave areas and protection devices before clearing and grading."
- c) Include the approved Fire and Rescue Access plan in the Certified Site Plan.
- d) Add a note stating that "Minor modifications to the limits of disturbance shown on the site plan within the public right-of-way for utility connections may be done during the review of the right-of-way permit drawings by the Department of Permitting Services."
- e) Modify data table to reflect development standards approved by the Planning Board.
- f) Provide designs of pedestrian crossings at the intersection of the two main drive aisles to illustrate raised "table-style" crossings and note on plan sheets.
- g) Provide specifications for light pole based on the Landscape and Light Plans and removed obsolete light pole specifications.
- h) Add a note stating, "the light poles along the southwestern edge of the LIDL parking lot must be mounted flush with the paved surface".
- i) Identify the indoor long-term bicycle parking space.
- j) Ensure consistency of all details and layout between Site and Landscape plans.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all site development elements shown on the latest electronic version of LIDL Germantown, Site Plan No. 820220080, submitted via ePlans to the M-NCPPC as of the date of the Staff Report, are required, except as modified by the above conditions of approval; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that having considered the recommendations of its Staff as presented at the hearing and/or as set forth in the Staff Report, which the Board hereby adopts and incorporates by reference (except as modified herein), and upon consideration of the entire record, the Planning Board FINDS, with the conditions of approval, that:

1. The development satisfies any previous approval that applies to the site.

Existing Lot 1 and 3 are subject to approved Preliminary Plan 119900680; all conditions of approval pertinent to the Site Plan either have been satisfied or will be superseded by approval of Preliminary Plan No. 120220030, which is being considered concurrently with this Application. Portions of the Site are also covered by Final Forest Conservation Plans 819990068 and 819996013, and Site Plan No. 819960130, all of which will be superseded by approval of the present Site Plan and concurrent Preliminary Plan.

2. The development satisfies the binding elements of any development plan or schematic development plan in effect on October 29, 2014.

A portion of the Subject Property was the subject of a schematic development plan that was approved in 1990 as part of Local Map Amendment G-649 and amended in 1995 by DPA 95-1. The same land was rezoned to the TMX-2 zone in 2009 by the Sectional Map Amendment that implemented the 2009 *Germantown Forward* Sector Plan and was rezoned again to the CR zone in 2014 by the District Map Amendment associated with the County's comprehensive rezoning. As a result of the 2009 rezoning, the schematic development plan was no longer in effect on October 29, 2014. The Applicant has received confirmation from the Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings that no further action is required with regard to LMA G-649 and DPA 95-1 in connection with this Site Plan Application.

3. The development satisfies any green area requirement in effect on October 29, 2014 for a property where the zoning classification on October 29, 2014 was the result of a Local Map Amendment.

This section is not applicable as the Subject Property's zoning classification on October 29, 2014 was not the result of a Local Map Amendment.

4. The development satisfies applicable use standards, development standards, and general requirements under the Zoning Ordinance.

a. Use Standards

The Site Plan meets all applicable use standards, development standards and general requirements under the Zoning Ordinance, with the modification outline below. Based on the CR-1.0, C-0.75, R-0.75, H-75 zoning, retail/service establishment with between 15,001 and 50,000 square feet is a permitted use in the CR-1.0, C-0.75, R-0.75, H-75 zone under Section 59.3.1.6. A general building such as a grocery store is a permitted building type in the CR zone under Section 59.4.1.5.

Retail/service establishment is a permitted use in the CR zone and not a prohibited use in the Germantown Transit Mixed Use Overlay zone, per Section 4.9.11. The land use and development standards are the same as the underlying zone. The purpose of the overlay is to prioritize the purchase of Building Lot Terminations (BLT) by requiring public benefit points. However, public benefit points and purchasing BLT's is not required for this Application, because the development is standard method, with site plan approval.

b. Development Standards

The Subject Property includes approximately 3.59 acres (gross tract area) zoned CR-1.0 C-0.75 R-0.75 H-75 and the GTMU Overlay zone, with dedications of 35,161 square feet, resulting in a Site Area of 2.78 acres (121,265 square feet). The Application satisfies the applicable development standards as shown in the following data table, with the exception of the items identified with ** for which relief is being granted and discussed further below regarding certain placement, form and parking standards :

Development Standard	Required	Proposed
1. Site		
Open Space (min)		
Public Open space, tract > 10,000 SF	10% (12,126 SF) of Site Area	15% (18,770 SF)
2. Lot and Density		
Lot (min)		
Site Plan Gross Tract		156,426 SF (3.591 AC)
Prior Dedication		31,942 SF (0.733 AC)
Existing Site		124,484 SF (2.86 AC)
Proposed Dedication (Walter Johnson Rd.)		3,219 SF (0.0739 AC)
Site Area (Lot 4)		121,265 SF (2.784 AC)
Density (Lot 4)		
Max CR Density (FAR)	1.0 FAR (156,426 SF)	0.20 FAR (30,000 SF)
Commercial	0.75 FAR (117,319 SF)	0.20 FAR (30,000 SF)
Residential	0.75 FAR (117,319 SF)	N/A
Lot Coverage (max)	n/a	25% max.
3. Placement		
Principal Building Setbacks (min)		

Site Plan Data Table 1

LIDL Germantown: Site Plan Data Table for CR Zone, Standard Method, Section 59.4.5 (General Building Type)

Front setback	0'	29 ft. (Walter Johnson Rd.) 230 ft. (Germantown Rd.)
Side street setback	0'	n/a
Side setback, abutting Agricultural, Rural Residential, Residential Detached, or Residential Townhouse zones	See Section <u>4.1.8</u> .A	n/a
Side setback, abutting all other zones	0'	15 ft.
Side setback between lot and site boundary	n/a	n/a
Rear setback, abutting Agricultural, Rural Residential, Residential Detached, or Residential Townhouse zones	See Section <u>4.1.8</u> .A	n/a
Rear setback, abutting all other zones	0'	n/a²
Rear setback, alley	4 ft.	n/a
Rear setback between lot and site boundary	n/a	n/a
Parking Setbacks for Surface Parking Lots (min) ³		
Front setback	must be behind front building line of building in the BTA	Provided (Walter Johnson Rd)
Front setback	must be behind front building line of building in the BTA	Modified (Germantown Rd) ⁴
Side street setback	must be behind side street building line of building in the BTA	n/a
Side setback	must accommodate landscaping required under Section <u>6.2.9</u>	Not required – abutting existing parking lots

 ² Lot 4 is a through lot with two front setbacks, two side setbacks and no rear setback.
³ Parking lot setback standard applies to the new parking lot only.
⁴ The Applicant is seeking modified standards as justified below.

Abutting ROW	must accommodate landscaping required under Section <u>6.2.9</u>	Screening Option A Provided
Build-to Area (BTA, max setback and min % of building facade)		
BTA (Walter Johnson Rd)		
Front setback	20 ft or as modified	36 ft**
Building in front street BTA	70%	100%
BTA (Germantown Rd)		
Front setback	20 ft	20 ft**
Building in front street BTA	70%	0%
Specifications for Build-to Area		

a. The Build-to Area maximum front or side street setback may be increased by the minimum setback necessary to avoid a platted public transportation or utility easement, or a platted public transportation or utility reservation.

b. The Build-to Area requirements may be modified by the Planning Board during site plan review under Section 7.3.4. In approving a site plan submitted under this subsection, the Planning Board must find that the plan: (1) deviates from the Buildto Area requirements only to the extent necessary to accommodate the physical constraints of the site or the proposed land use; and (2) incorporates design elements that engage the surrounding publicly accessible spaces such as streets, sidewalks, and parks.

4	Heigh	+
***	neign	U

4. neight		
Height (max)		
Principal building	mapped (75 ft) and Section <u>4.1.8</u> .B	35 ft max.
5. Form		
Building Orientation	n/a	
Entrance facing street or open space	required	2 provided (NE Open Space)
Entrance spacing (max)	100 ft.	136 ft (NE Open Space)**
Entrance facing street or open space	required	None (Walter Johnson Rd)**
Entrance spacing (max)	100 ft.	n/a
Transparency, for Walls Facing a Street or Open Space		
Ground story, front (min)	40%	12% (Walter Johnson Rd)** 308 SF of 2,562 SF facade

Ground story, side (min)	25%	84% (NE Open Space) 1,525 SF of 1,806 SF facade
Blank wall, front (max)	35 ft.	80 ft. (Walter Johnson Rd)**
Blank wall, side (max)	35 ft.	25 ft. (NE Open Space)
Specification for Building Orientation and Transparency		

a. Building Orientation and Transparency requirements may be modified by the Planning Board in a site plan under Section 7.3.4. In approving a site plan submitted under this subsection, the Planning Board must find that the plan: (1) deviates from the Building Orientation and Transparency requirements only to the extent necessary to accommodate the physical constraints of the site or the proposed land use; and (2) incorporates design elements that engage the surrounding publicly accessible spaces such as streets, sidewalks, and parks.

As depicted in Data Table above, the Application is seeking relief from certain placement, form and parking standards (identified with ** in the Data Table) which cannot be met as part of the Application. The Board makes the following findings to approve the requested modifications:

Modifications to the Placement and Parking Standards Pursuant to Section 59.4.5.3.C.3.b:

The Build-to Area requirements may be modified by the Planning Board during site plan review under Section 7.3.4. In approving a site plan submitted under this subsection, the Planning Board must find that the plan: (1) deviates from the Build-to Area requirements only to the extent necessary to accommodate the physical constraints of the site or the proposed land use; and (2) incorporates design elements that engage the surrounding publicly accessible spaces such as streets, sidewalks, and parks.

The Planning Board approves modified build-to-area requirements on both Germantown and Walter Johnson Roads. The Planning Board finds that the modifications to the build-to requirements are necessary due to the constraints of building on a through lot and the Sector Plan recommendation to provide a vehicular connection between Bowman Mill Road and Wisteria Drive, which bisects the Subject Property. The Site slopes down from Germantown Road to Walter Johnson Road. Once the through connection between the existing terminus of Walter Johnson Road (south of 7-11) and the existing bank

> drive-aisle ("Drive-Aisle A") was incorporated into the layout, the only feasible way to construct a grocery store was to place the parking on the north side of Drive-Aisle A with the building entrance at the corner of Drive-Aisle A and Drive-Aisle B ("Drive-Aisle B") which connects Drive-Aisle A to Walter Johnson Road (south), at the same grade as the parking lot. As discussed below, the Applicant went to great lengths to active the frontage of Walter Johnson Road and Drive-Aisle B, consistent with the Sector Plan's other recommendations. The other design elements engage the surrounding publicly accessible spaces.

> The build-to-area on Walter Johnson Road is being modified as little as possible to achieve a useable and high-quality open space along the frontage that will activate the space and provide sufficient space to accommodate required stormwater management facilities. Because the Site slopes down to Walter Johnson Road, this is the lowest part of the Site and by default the most appropriate location to incorporate stormwater management facilities.

> For the same reasons the BTA requirement on Germantown Road is being modified, the Applicant is requesting to modify the parking standard to allow a parking lot between the building line and the Property frontage on Germantown Road. Introducing the through connection eliminated the Applicant's ability to place the building in a more central location with the rear of the building orientated to the southwest Property line, with parking to the northeast side of the building. Instead, the building has been reoriented to front on Walter Johnson Road, which separates the building and parking and because the lot is a through lot, the parking is located in front of one of the two front building lines. From a functionality standpoint, the front of the building is located along Drive-Aisle B and Walter Johnson Road, while the side facing Germantown Road functions like a side of the building. The Planning Board approves of this request as well.

Modification to the Form Standards

The Planning Board approves the Applicant's request to modify the following Form Standard, Transparency requirements along Walter Johnson Road pursuant to Section 59.4.5.3.C.5.a:

Building Orientation and Transparency requirements may be modified by the Planning Board in a site plan under Section 7.3.4. In approving a site plan submitted under this subsection, the Planning Board must find that the plan: (1) deviates from the Building Orientation and Transparency requirements only to the extent necessary to accommodate the physical constraints of the site or the proposed land use; and (2) incorporates design elements that engage the surrounding publicly accessible spaces such as streets, sidewalks, and parks.

A modification of transparency and blank wall standards along Walter Johnson Road is necessary because building a private drive through the middle of the Site required turning the typically blank rear wall (back of house) of the store to face Walter Johnson Road. Windows are difficult to accommodate along Walter Johnson Road South due to store fixtures and back-of-house functions along the interior of this façade inside the store.

The Applicant is adding multiple significant features to activate this façade, including a variety of architectural materials, a grove of birch trees, a mural, accent lighting, and a public plaza containing seating, a pedestrian path and extensive landscaping.

Section 4.1.7.D.2.a defines Blank Wall as "the area of the exterior street facing façade of a building that does not include windows or doors, columns, pilasters, or other articulation greater than 8 inches in depth." The Applicant has reserved a blank 80-foot-wide by 17-foottall space on the southeast face of the building for a mural. The space qualifies as a blank wall per the definition of Blank Wall. Since the area will feature a mural which will meet the intent of the blank wall requirements, to break up large expanses of featureless façade, the Applicant is seeking an exception. Nonetheless, the Applicant is incorporating windows along the entire façade except the area reserved for the mural. Transparency is maximized where building function will allow, with a 12- foot-tall storefront window system that wraps 110 linear feet of the building on Drive-Aisle B.

The primary reason the Applicant requested relief from the entrance spacing standards was the grade of the Site and building orientation. As previously discussed, the primary entrance to the building is located at an angle to the corner of the new drive-aisles. The second entry is located approximately 136 feet south, adjacent to the plaza. Due to the grade, the store floor plate is approximately 4 feet above grade along Walter Johnson Road, for that reason a ramp to the second entrance is provided. No additional entrances are provided on Walter Johnson Road because of the grade separation and internal layout requires refrigerated units and back-of-house space to be located along the internal wall.

Given the aforementioned Site constrains, the Planning Board grants the Applicant's requests for modified building Form standards.

- c. **General Requirements**
 - i. Site Access

The Site is accessible from Bowman Mill Drive, Walter Johnson Drive, and Wisteria Drive (via access easement).

The interior drive-aisles connect the existing vehicular access points creating a grid, which provides connectivity within the block. The additional side paths (including off-site) provide improved circulation to and along Wisteria Drive, Walter Johnson Road and MD 118. Internally, the sidewalks on both sides of the drive-aisles and in the parking lot will provide safe pedestrian routes between the grocery store, parking lot, parallel parking spaces, plaza and sidepath network.

ii. Parking, Queuing, and Loading

As summarized in Table 2, the Application satisfies the parking and loading requirements necessary to support the 30,000 square foot grocery store. Queuing space is not required for the proposed use; however, the internal drive-aisle network does provide adequate space for vehicles to queue while navigating the parking lot and waiting for patrons to safely cross from the store front to the adjacent parking lot.

Parking Standards	Required/Allowed	Proposed
Vehicle Parking Spaces	105 min	121
(Reduced Parking Area)	(3.5 per 1,000 SF)	
	180 max	
	(6 per 1,000 SF)	
Parking Breakdown (spaces)		
Standard (8.5 ft x 18 ft)	.	91
Parallel (8 ft x 21 ft) On-site		10

Table 2: Parking Analysis

Parallel (8 ft x 21 ft) Public	-	9
ROW		_
Accessible (8 ft x 18 ft)	5	5
Motorcycle/scooter (4 ft x 18	3	3
ft)		
Car-Share (8.5 ft x 18 ft)	1	1
Electric charging (9 ft x 18 ft)	2	2
Bicycle Parking		
Bicycle Parking Spaces	4 spaces (1 long	4 space (1 long
	term) (1	term)
	per 10,000 SF/GFA)	
Loading Spaces (12 ft x 55 ft)	1	1 (21 ft x 100 ft)
Landscaping		
Parking Lot Internal	2,200 SF or 5% of	23% or 10,226
Landscaped Area	44,001 SF	SF
	(pavement area)	
Parking Lot Landscape	100 SF min.	228 SF min.
Island Required	······································	×1.00 0 1
Parking Lot Tree Canopy at	11,001 SF or 25% of	30% or 13,200
20 years	44,001 SF	SF
	(pavement)	
Parking Lot Perimeter		
Planting width, abutting		
ROW (MD 118)		
Minimum width	6 ft	27 ft
Minimum hedge height	3 ft	3 ft
Canopy tree spacing	30 ft o.c. (7 trees)	30 ft o.c. (7 trees)

iii. Open Space and Recreation

The Zoning Ordinance requires 10 percent Public Open Space for general building types in the CR zone with a tract greater than 10,000 square feet. This Site Plan satisfies the Public Open Space requirement by providing 15 percent or 18,770 square feet of space devoted to public use and enjoyment, which exceeds the minimum 12,126 square feet required. As depicted on the Landscaping and Lighting sheets, 12,290 square feet of open space is provided west of Drive-Aisle B and 3,144 square feet is east of Drive-Aisle B.

The primary Public Open Space is between the southern face of the building and Walter Johnson Road, parallel to the proposed sidepath.

> The space along the western half of the building is a passive area, which is predominately landscaped and features a large grove of river birch trees.

> The activated portion of the Public Open Space is the plaza, between the southwest half of the building and corner of the building, west of Drive-Aisle B. The plaza features pedestrian pavers beginning at the back edge of the 12-foot-wide shared use path space that leads to a multi-tier curvilinear concrete seat wall, that extends around the southeast corner of the building. To soften the predominately hard surface area, a variety of the of landscaping is being planted between the edge of the seating wall and building facade. Two linear stormwater micro infiltration boxed will be constructed paralleling the building face, which will be vegetated and blend in with the surrounding landscaping. The plaza will be highlighted by a unique backdrop, the proposed 80-foot wide (approximately) mural that will be applied to the building face. According to the Applicant's Statement of Justification, LIDL envisions the mural will depict images from Germantown history.

> The open space will serve multiple functions in this location. It will provide visual interest with the mural and unique landscape design and activate the frontage of Walter Johnson Road. The seating area serves a variety of people, including patrons, employees, or cyclists in need of a shady respite.

> At the eastern edge of the wall, a separate style of pedestrian paver begins, delineating the plaza and transitioning to the sidewalk leading to the entrance of the LIDL store, along the southside of Drive-Aisle B. This portion of the open space includes a pedestrian connection, pedestrian access to the on street parallel parking space and street trees.

> As described above, a contiguous Public Open Space area is being provided on the Subject Property, which includes passive and active spaces. As proposed, the Public Open Spaces are safe, adequate, and efficient to meet the proposed development.

iv. General Landscaping and Outdoor Lighting

The location and quantity of the proposed landscaping is safe, adequate, and efficient on the Subject Property. The Site Plan is proposing landscaping to serve multiple purposes, including canopy cover in-and-around the parking facilities, landscaping around the Public Open Space, and landscaping adjacent to the proposed buildings. Planting includes canopy trees, understory trees, shrubs, perennials, ornamental grasses, and groundcover.

Distributed throughout the Site, along the building facades, and in the parking lot, the proposed landscaping will enhance the visual appeal of the Subject Property and provide an aesthetically pleasing development. Internal landscaping occupies 22% of the parking lot, exceeding the 5 percent required by the Zoning Ordinance. Additionally, canopy trees will shade at least 30 percent of the parking lot; 5 percent more than required.

The lighting provided with this Application is safe, adequate, and efficient for ensuring good nighttime visibility within the parking lot and open space. The sidepath along Walter Johnson Road will be illuminated by 12-foot-tall decorative streetlights with globe LED luminaires. Interior to the site, the parking lot and parallel parking on the drive-aisles will be lit by 25-foot-tall modern pole lights. Wallsmounted lights on the side of the building will provide additional lighting along the building perimeter. Accent lights will also be installed to highlights the grove of river birches being plant in the Open Space, as well as the mural.

The photometric plan submitted by the Applicant shows that the proposed lighting will adequately illuminate the site creating a safe environment, without creating light spillage or excessive glare on adjacent properties or the rights-of-way. As conditioned, all site lighting provides adequate, safe and efficient illumination.

v. Screening

As specified in the Site Plan Data Table and in accordance with Section 59.6.2.9, the Applicant is installing screening (Option A) between the proposed parking lot and the abutting Germantown Road right-of-way. The screening will provide an adequate visual buffer between the roadway and the new parking lot.

The development satisfies the applicable requirements of Chapters 19 and 22A of the Montgomery County Code.

> d. <u>Chapter 19, Erosion, Sediment Control, and Stormwater Management</u> A Stormwater Concept Plan was approved by the Montgomery County Department of Permitting Services on May 27, 2022. The Application will meet stormwater management goals via micro bioretention planter boxes. A partial stormwater management waiver has also been requested due to site constraints. The application of these approaches will provide for full environmental site design (ESD) to the maximum extent practicable.

e. Chapter 22A, Forest Conservation

The Board finds that as conditioned the Forest Conservation Plan complies with the requirements of the Forest Conservation Law.

The Applicant has submitted a Preliminary//Final Forest Conservation Plan ("FCP") with the current development plan applications for Preliminary Plan No. 120220030 and Site Plan No. 820220080. The Applications satisfy the applicable requirements of the Forest Conservation Law, Montgomery County Code, Chapter 22A and comply with the Montgomery County Planning Department's approved Environmental Guidelines.

The Subject Property is zoned CR and is assigned a Land Use Category of Commercial and Industrial ("CIA") as defined in Section 22A-3 of the Montgomery County Forest Conservation Law ("FCL") and in the Land Use Table of the Trees Technical Manual. This results in an afforestation threshold of 15% and a conservation threshold of 15% of the Net Tract Area. The tract area for forest conservation purposes includes the 3.75-acre Subject Property plus 0.92 acres of offsite disturbance associated with this Application, for a total net tract area of 4.67 acres. There is a total of 1.40 acres of existing forest within the net tract area which includes the 1.33 acres of onsite forest and 0.07 acres of forest within the adjacent offsite right-of-way. The Application includes remove of the entire 1.40 acres of existing forest. The forest clearing generates a reforestation requirement of 1.58 acres. The Applicant proposes to meet the planting requirement offsite by purchasing credits from an M-NCPPC approved forest bank. If there are no credits available for purchase, the Applicant will meet the requirements via fee-in-lieu payment.

Forest Conservation Variance

The Planning Board previously approved a tree variance with the Preliminary Forest Conservation Plan for the impact to fifteen (15) trees and removal of 196 (one hundred and ninety-six) trees, totaling 368 caliper inches. Mitigation for the Variance is at a rate that approximates the form and function of the Protected Trees removed. The Board approved replacement of Protected Trees at a ratio of approximately 1-inch caliper for every four inches removed using trees that are a minimum of three caliper inches in size. This results in a total mitigation of 92 inches with the installation of thirty-one 3-inch caliper overstory trees native to the Piedmont Region of Maryland on the Property outside of any rights-of-way and outside of any utility easements. at a ratio of approximately 1/4" diameter of tree planted for every 1" diameter of tree removed. No mitigation is required for Protected Trees impacted but retained.

5. The development provides safe, well-integrated parking, circulation patterns, building massing and, where required, open spaces and site amenities.

The Site provides a safe, and efficient layout with parking, pedestrian connections, and open space that is well-integrated and logically located in relation to the proposed building. Sidewalks raised crosswalks and specialty pavement clearly differentiate the pedestrian space on-site. Between the northwest building face and Drive-Aisle A, where vehicles will be in close proximity to pedestrians, unintended conflicts are diminished with the installation of bollards along the perimeter of the pedestrian space.

In addition to providing internal sidewalks for safe pedestrian movements, the Applicant is adding additional design features to increase pedestrian awareness and safety. To highlight that Drive-Aisle A is a priority pedestrian area, stamped concrete is being installed between the building and parking lot in lieu of standard asphalt. As depicted on the Certified Site Plan, stamped concrete will also be used to delineate the pedestrian crossings which will further improve pedestrian safety and awareness.

6. The development substantially conforms to the recommendations of the applicable master plan and any guidelines approved by the Planning Board that implement the applicable plan.

The Site Plan substantially forms to the recommendations in the 2019 MARC Rail Communities Sector Plan, the 1985 Amendment to the Master Plan for Historic Preservation, the 2020 Bicycle Master Plan, and the 2021 Complete Streets Design Guide.

2019 Approved and Adopted MARC Rail Communities Sector Plan

The Vision statement for the Germantown portion of the 2019 Approved and Adopted MARC Rail Communities Sector Plan states "the Germantown plan area

> is envisioned as a compact, connected and walkable neighborhood with a mix of land uses. These uses include community- and commuter-serving retail, a range of housing options and parking structures for MARC commuters near the station. The historic integrity of the station area is maintained through the preservation and adaptive reuse of historic structures, while the design and placement of new buildings are sensitive to the historic scale and character. A variety of public spaces and dedicated open spaces accommodates community gatherings and enhance existing public events, such as the flea market that draws visitors to the area.

> Walter Johnson Road/Liberty Mill Road, the historic alignment of MD 118, is revitalized as a neighborhood main street, while an enhanced street network improves access to the station and provides a safer and more comfortable environment for walking and bicycling. The interconnected street grid and redesigned streets near a newly rebuilt Seneca Valley High School support increased walking, biking and student safety."

> The recommendations in the Sector Plan for the Germantown area are geared toward supporting this vision and transforming the Germantown MARC Station area from an auto-dominated environment to an environment with an interconnected street network that is safe and comfortable for pedestrians, bicyclists, transit riders, and motorists. The five recommendations that are most applicable to the Lidl Germantown site are as follows:

Renew Germantown Recommendation B.2.c. (page 80)

Design streets and streetscapes to promote a comfortable walking environment that fosters a distinct sense of place.

• Establish Walter Johnson Road as a neighborhood main street and primary pedestrian link to the MARC station by incorporating wide sidewalks, neighborhood-serving uses, a variety of public open spaces and outdoor gathering spaces and seating.

Sustain Germantown Recommendation C.4.a. (page 94)

Celebrate Germantown's history and historic resources through local events, walking tours, signage, and artwork.

The Lidl grocery store is to be located north of the railroad tracks in the GI designated area of the Germantown portion of the 2019 Approved and Adopted MARC Rail Communities Sector Plan, less than a quarter mile north of the Germantown MARC Station. The GI designated area is generally bounded by

Wisteria Drive on the north, Walter Johnson Road on the east, Bowman Mill Drive on the south and Germantown Road on the west. A drive-aisle will be introduced that runs parallel to Walter Johnson Road and connects Bowman Mill Drive with Wisteria Drive. An existing unimproved right-of way midway along the west side of Walter Johnson Road (Drive-Aisle A) will be built to create a midblock connection between this drive-aisle street and the existing stub of Walter Johnson Road to the west.

The grocery store will be sited so that building is located at the juncture of Walter Johnson Road, Drive-Aisle B, and the new midblock connecting (Drive-Aisle A). The streetscapes for the three adjacent roads will be improved in accordance with the general recommendations of the Sector Plan. Walter Johnson Road, which is to serve as the "neighborhood main street" for the Germantown portion of the MARC station area and is significant pedestrian connection to the station, will be improved with a 12-foot wide sidepath with a planting strip that has shade trees.

Connect Germantown Recommendation A2.a. (page 53)

Transform the MARC station area from an auto-dominated environment to an interconnected street network that is safe and comfortable for pedestrians, bicyclists, transit riders and motorists (Sites GA, GF, GG, GH, GI, GJ, GK, GL and GM).

• Provide a network of local roads and pedestrian/bicycle facilities that will result in improved circulation and safe access, and create a fine-grained network of small, walkable blocks on the north side of the railroad tracks. Implement context-sensitive improvements to streets that calm traffic, improve safety and respect historic resources.

Connect Germantown Recommendation A.2.i. (page 63)

Classify Bowman Mill Drive as a two-lane business district street with wide sidewalks and shade trees.

• Confirm the recommended private road connection between Bowman Mill Drive and Walter Johnson Road as recommended in the 2009 Germantown Employment Area Sector Plan unless properties are consolidated for redevelopment (Site GI).

Connect Germantown Recommendation A.2.p. (page 66)

Enhance streetscapes along Walter Johnson and Liberty Mill Roads to improve the walking and bicycling experience while reinforcing the street's historic role as

the spine of historic Germantown and a significant link to the MARC station (Sites GB, GC, GE, GF, GG, GI, GJ, GL and GM).

- Design the streetscape to complement the historic character of the area.
- Construct a sidepath on the northwest side of the streets linking to the bridge and a broad sidewalk on the southeast side of the streets with shade trees on both sides. If pedestrian facilities (south of the railroad tracks) cannot be located on both sides of the street, a sidepath on the northwest side will suffice.

2020 Bicycle Master Plan

The 2020 *Bicycle Master Plan* recommends the following improvements along the Subject Property:

- Sidepath along the north side of Walter Johnson Road
- Sidepath along the west side of Wisteria Drive

Additionally, the 2021 Complete Streets Design Guide recommends a minimum 10 ft. wide sidepath along the south side of Germantown Road.

As conditioned, , the Applicant is constructing these improvements along the Subject Property frontage and off-site in conformance with these recommendations.

1985 Amendment to the Master Plan for Historic Preservation

The Madeline V. Waters House (MP 19/13-1) historic site is identified in Sector Plan and 1985 Amendment to the Master Plan for Historic Preservation Sites in Montgomery County, Maryland. The Application is subject to historic preservation review pursuant to Chapter 24A of the Montgomery County Code. The Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) reviewed the Application during a Preliminary Consultation on March 23, 2022 and recommended approval.

Sector Plan recommendations:

Maintain the entire Madeline V. Waters House (MP 19/13-1) historic site (Sites GH and GI) [see Reference Key in the Sector Plan] and all rights-of-way, including Germantown Road and Wisteria Drive within its designated environmental setting as follows:

• The portion of the Subject Property north of Germantown Road, within the Wisteria Drive right-of-way, must maintain the existing linear park with benches, lighting, stone wall, pavers and commemorative monument/signage. Street signage should be added for Waters House Avenue.

- The portion of the Subject Property south of Germantown Road must maintain the historic row of trees (including replanting as necessary) and existing commemorative signage within a publicly accessible, grassy open space.
- For both north and south portions of the Subject Property, commemorative signage must be erected along the street frontage of every parcel and the existing environmental setting, and these requirements will remain in place even if there is parcel assemblage, resubdivision or redevelopment of the site.

Excerpt from *Places from the Past:*

A linear park along Wisteria Drive, at Rt. 118, commemorates the Madeline Waters House that was destroyed by arson in 1986. Built in 1899-1902, this roomy frame Colonial Revival house belonged to the owner of Germantown's general store, Horace D. Waters. His stepson Lloyd Dorsey built the house, which was the long-time dwelling of Madeline Waters, daughter of Horace. The residence was the most elaborate house in Germantown, featuring a three-story projecting pavilion with palladian windows, a broad hipped roof with dormers, cornice with dentil molding, and pedimented wrap-around porch with classical columns.

The historic allee of white pines that delineated the historic alignment of the Water's driveway, have died over the years, so the Applicant is replanting white pines, in the linear green area between Drive-Aisle B and the existing parking lot on Outlot A.

Commemorative signage will be installed at the southern side of the green, adjacent to the new sidepath where it will be easily viewed from the public realm. Additionally, the mural being installed on the southern face of the building will relate to the history of Germantown, tying the historic signage and open place to one another.

As discussed in the HPC staff report, the change in use and associated architectural alterations and improvements are consistent with the goals and recommendations of the Sector Plan. At the time of building permit, the Applicant with return to the HPC for a Historic Area Work Permit.

The Site Plan substantially conforms to the recommendations in the Sector Plan, and 1985 Amendment to the Master Plan for Historic Preservation.

7. The development will be served by adequate public services and facilities, including schools, police and fire protection, water, sanitary sewer, public roads, storm drainage, and other public facilities.

As part of concurrent Preliminary Plan No. 120220030, the Planning Board found that adequate public services and facilities exist to serve Lot 4, including, but not limited to adequate water and sewer service, public roads and storm drainage. Those findings remain valid and are incorporated herein.

8. The development is compatible with existing and approved or pending adjacent development.

The proposed commercial use is compatible with the existing abutting and confronting commercial uses. The grocery store is similar in massing, height and scale to the abutting bank and retail establishments. By providing a connection through the Site, the Application will result in more efficient and convenient vehicular and pedestrian access and circulation through the commercial block and surround area.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution incorporates by reference all evidence of record, including maps, drawings, memoranda, correspondence, and other information; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Site Plan shall remain valid as provided in Montgomery County Code § 59-7.3.4.H; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution constitutes the written opinion of the Board in this matter, and the date of this Resolution is <u>AUG 2 5 2022</u> (which is the date that this resolution is mailed to all parties of record); and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that any party authorized by law to take an administrative appeal must initiate such an appeal within thirty days of the date of this Resolution, consistent with the procedural rules for the judicial review of administrative agency decisions in Circuit Court (Rule 7-203, Maryland Rules).

This is to certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of a resolution adopted by the Montgomery County Planning Board of The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission on motion of Vice Chair Verma, seconded by Commissioner Cichy, with a vote of 5-0; Chair Anderson, Vice Chair Verma, and Commissioners Cichy, Patterson, and Rubin, voting in favor of the motion, at its regular meeting held on Thursday, July 28, 2022, in Wheaton, Maryland and via video conference.

Casey Anderson, Chair Montgomery County Planning Board



Easy Peel Address Labels Bend along line to expose Popula Edge eo in mer contractionerio dato

Daniel Goodman 3500 S. Clark Street Arlington, VA 22202

Michael Paylor 100 Edison Park Drive Gaithersburg, MD 20878

Dustin Schade 3500 S. Clark Street Arlington, VA 22202

MNCPPC, IRC 2425 Reedie Drive 14th Floor Wheaton, MD 20902 Patrick La Vay 9220 Wightman Road Suite 120 Montgomery Village, MD 20886

Billy Whelan 101 Monroe Street, 10th Floor Rockville, MD 20850

Nicholas Crowley 1902 Campus Commons Drive, Ste. 101 Reston, VA 20191 Francoise Carrier 7315 Wisconsin Avenue Suite 800 West Bethesda, Maryland 20814

> Rebecca Torma 101 Monroe Street, 10th Floor Rockville, MD 20850

Katie Wagner 1140 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Ste. 1010 Washington, DC 20036

820220080 LIDL Germantown

2.90