

Montgomery County Planning Board

THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION

Mailing Date:

November 15, 2023

MCPB No. 23-109
Forest Conservation Plan No. F20230310
Axcobar Tree Experts
Date of Hearing: November 2, 2023

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, under Montgomery County Code Chapter 22A, the Montgomery County Planning Board is authorized to review forest conservation plan applications; and

WHEREAS, on July 10, 2023, Melvin Alfaro (“Applicant”) filed an application for approval of a forest conservation plan on approximately 2.01 acres of land located at 20108 Zion Road (“Subject Property”) in the Olney Policy Area and *2005 Olney Master Plan* (“Master Plan”) area, in conjunction with Conditional Use Application CU202402 for a landscape contractor; and

WHEREAS, Applicant’s forest conservation plan application was designated Forest Conservation Plan No. F20230310, Axcobar Tree Experts (“Forest Conservation Plan” or “Application”); and

WHEREAS, following review and analysis of the Application by Planning Board Staff (“Staff”) and other governmental agencies, Staff issued a memorandum to the Planning Board dated October 20, 2023, providing its analysis and recommendation for approval of the Application, subject to certain conditions (“Staff Report”); and

WHEREAS, on November 2, 2023, the Planning Board held a public hearing on the Application and voted to approve the Application subject to conditions, on the motion of Vice Chair Pedoeem, seconded by Commissioner Bartley, with a vote of 5-0; Chair Harris, Vice Chair Pedoeem, Commissioners Bartley, Hedrick, and Linden voting in favor.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Board APPROVES Forest Conservation Plan No. F20230310 on the Subject Property, subject to the following conditions:¹

¹ For the purpose of these conditions, the term “Applicant” shall also mean the developer, the owner, or any successor in interest to the terms of this approval.

1. The Applicant must schedule the required site inspections by M-NCPPC Forest Conservation Inspection Staff per Section 22A.00.01.10 of the Forest Conservation Regulations.
2. The Applicant must comply with all tree protection and tree save measures shown on the approved Final Forest Conservation Plan (“FCP”). Tree save measures not specified in the Final Forest Conservation Plan may be required by the M-NCPPC Forest Conservation Inspection Staff.
3. The Limits of Disturbance (“LOD”) shown on the Final Sediment and Erosion Control Plan must be consistent with the LOD shown on the approved Final Forest Conservation Plan.
4. Before the start of any demolition, clearing, grading, or construction for this development Application, whichever comes first, the Applicant must:
 - a) Record a Category I Conservation Easement over all areas of forest retention, forest planting, and environmental buffers as specified on the approved Final Forest Conservation Plan. The Category I Conservation Easement must be in a form approved by the M-NCPPC Office of the General Counsel and must be recorded in the Montgomery County Land Records by deed.
 - b) Install permanent conservation easement signage along the perimeter of the conservation easements as shown on the FCP, or as directed by the M-NCPPC Forest Conservation Inspection Staff.
 - c) Install the permanent conservation easement fencing along the perimeter of the conservation easements as shown on the FCP, or as directed by the M-NCPPC Forest Conservation Inspection Staff.
 - d) Execute a five-year Maintenance and Management Agreement (“MMA”) in a form approved by the M-NCPPC Office of the General Counsel. The MMA is required for all forest planting areas, mitigation tree plantings, including variance tree mitigation plantings, and landscape plantings credited toward meeting the requirements of the FCP. The MMA includes invasive species management control measures as deemed necessary by the M-NCPPC Forest Conservation Inspection Staff.
 - e) Submit financial surety, in a form approved by the M-NCPPC Office of the General Counsel, to the M-NCPPC Planning Department for the 0.48 acres of new forest planting and for the landscape trees, mitigation trees and maintenance, including invasive species management controls, credited toward meeting the requirements of the FCP.
5. The Applicant must install the Afforestation/Reforestation plantings as shown on the approved FCP, within the first planting season following the release of the first Sediment and Erosion Control Permit from the Montgomery County Department of Permitting Services for the Subject Property, or as directed by the M-NCPPC Forest Conservation Inspection Staff.

6. Within the first planting season following the release of the first Sediment and Erosion Control Permit from the Montgomery County Department of Permitting Services for the Subject Property, or as directed by the M-NCPPC Forest Conservation Inspection Staff, the Applicant must install the variance tree mitigation plantings on the Subject Property as shown on the approved FCP. The variance tree mitigation plantings must be a minimum size of 3 caliper inches totaling 16 caliper inches, as shown on the approved FCP. Adjustments to the planting locations of these trees is permitted with the approval of the M-NCPPC Forest Conservation Inspection Staff.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that having given full consideration to the recommendations of its Staff as presented at the hearing and/or as set forth in the Staff Report, which the Board hereby adopts and incorporates by reference (except as modified herein), and upon consideration of the entire record, the Planning Board FINDS, with the conditions of approval, that:

1. *The Application satisfies all the applicable requirements of the Forest Conservation Law, Montgomery County Code Chapter 22A, and ensures the protection of environmentally sensitive features.*

A. Forest Conservation

The Board finds that as conditioned, the Forest Conservation Plan complies with the requirements of the Forest Conservation Law.

The Subject Property is zoned R-200 and is assigned a Land Use Category of High Density Residential (“HDR”) as defined in Section 22A-3 of the Montgomery County Forest Conservation Law (“FCL”) and in the Land Use Table of the Trees Technical Manual. This results in an afforestation threshold of 15% and a conservation threshold of 20% of the Net Tract Area.

The Net Tract Area for forest conservation purposes includes 2.12 acres. There is a total of 0.81 acres of existing forest on the Subject Property with the Applicant proposing to remove 0.53 acres of forest. This results in a total afforestation/reforestation requirement of 0.48 acres within the same watershed/Priority Area or 0.75 acres outside of the same watershed/Priority Area. The Applicant will meet the planting requirement onsite, thus requiring 0.48 acres.

B. Forest Conservation Variance

Section 22A-12(b)(3) of the Forest Conservation Law identifies certain individual trees as a high priority for retention and protection (“Protected Trees”). Any impact to these Protected Trees, including removal or any disturbance within a Protected Tree’s critical root zone (“CRZ”), requires a variance under Section

22A-12(b)(3) (“Variance”). Otherwise, such resources must be left in an undisturbed condition.

This Application will require the removal or CRZ impact to six (6) Protected Trees as identified in the Staff Report. In accordance with Section 22A-21(a), the Applicant requested a Variance, and the Board finds that the Applicant would suffer unwarranted hardship by being denied reasonable and significant use of the Subject Property for a landscape contractor business without the Variance.

The Board makes the following findings necessary to grant the Variance:

1. *Granting the Variance will not confer on the Applicant a special privilege that would be denied to other applicants.*

Granting the Variance to remove Trees 3 and 4 and impact Trees 1, 8, 9, and 14 will not confer a special privilege on the Applicant as the impacts are due to necessary development requirements of the site. These impacts cannot be avoided. Therefore, the granting of this Variance is not a special privilege that would be denied to other applicants.

2. *The need for the Variance is not based on conditions or circumstances which are the result of the actions by the Applicant.*

The requested Variance is not based on conditions or circumstances which are the result of actions by the Applicant. The requested Variance is based on existing site conditions, proximity to infrastructure, topographic conditions, and the requirements to meet development standards.

3. *The need for the Variance is not based on a condition related to land or building use, either permitted or non-conforming, on a neighboring property.*

The requested Variance is a result of the existing conditions and proposed improvements, not as a result of land or building use on a neighboring property.

4. *Granting the Variance will not violate State water quality standards or cause measurable degradation in water quality.*

The Variance will not violate State water quality standards or cause measurable degradation in water quality. Two of the Protected Trees being impacted but retained will help to stabilize the stream buffer and become part of the stream buffer reforestation area.

This approval is conditioned on mitigation that approximates the form and

function of the trees removed. Therefore, their removal will not violate State water quality standards or cause measurable degradation in water quality. The Protected Trees being impacted will remain to provide the same level of water quality protection as they currently provide.

Mitigation for the Variance is at a rate that approximates the form and function of the Protected Trees removed. The Board approves the replacement of Protected Trees at a ratio of approximately 1-inch caliper for every 4-inch DBH removed. No mitigation is required for Protected Trees impacted but retained.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution constitutes the written opinion of the Planning Board in this matter, and the date of this Resolution is

November 15, 2023

(which is the date that this Resolution is mailed to all parties of record); and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that any party authorized by law to take an administrative appeal must initiate such an appeal consistent with the Maryland Rules for the judicial review of administrative agency decisions.

* * * * *

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of a resolution adopted by the Montgomery County Planning Board of The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission on the motion of Commissioner Hedrick, seconded by Vice Chair Pedoeem, with a vote of 5-0, Chair Harris, Vice Chair Pedoeem, and Commissioners Bartley, Hedrick, and Linden, voting in favor of the motion, at its regular meeting held on Thursday, November 9, 2023, in Wheaton, Maryland and via video conference.



Artie L. Harris, Chair
Montgomery County Planning Board

Sean Hughes
200-B Monroe St.
Rockville, MD 20850

Mike Norton
5146 Dorsey Hall Drive
2nd Floor
Ellicott City, MD 21042

Melvin Alfaro
20108 Zion Road
Gaithersburg, MD 20882

Axcobar Property
Forest Conservation Plan
Mailing List
F20230310