# Corso Chevy Chase Residential Care Facility 

## Comprehensive Vehicular Site Access Study

## OVERVIEW

Corso DC, LLC is redeveloping the property located at 7100 Connecticut Avenue in the Town of Chevy Chase, Maryland. The proposed Residential Care Facility, Corso Chevy Chase, is a senior living community containing up to 287 Senior Adult Housing dwelling units, 190 Assisted Living beds, 30 Memory Care beds, and up to 5,000 gross square feet (gsf) of convenience retail use. The convenience retail use is intended to serve project residents and staff but will also be made available to the surrounding community. The overall project will supply 458 standard on-site garage parking spaces with 48 additional tandem and 10 surface spaces for a total of 516 parking spaces.

This document provides a review and analysis of the site access on Connecticut Avenue to develop a plan to improve vehicular and pedestrian access for the site. The review and analysis of the site access include: (1) intersection capacity analysis without existing or MNCPPC trip adjustments, (2) a full signal warrant analysis, including recent accident data/history, and (3) an evaluation of existing intersection geometrics resulting in three potential site access modification concepts.

## CORSO CHEVY CHASE OPERATIONAL INPUTS

Based upon Corso Chevy Chase's proposed program as noted above, the project will generate 107 AM and 158 PM peak hour trips based on the Institute of Transportation Engineers Trip Generation Report $11^{\text {th }}$ edition. This analysis is based on the ITE trip Generation rates without reduction of the prior use, or MNCPPC Bethesda/Chevy Chase Policy Area trip generation adjustments for multimodal (walk, bike, or transit) reductions.

If the MNCPPC trip generation adjustments for multimodal (walk, bike, or transit) had been used in the analysis, the vehicle trips would be approximately 13 percent less than the trips used in this study. Therefore, this analysis should be considered conservative. The ITE trip generation used in this analysis is shown on Table 1.

Table 1
Corso Chevy Chase
Trip Generation ${ }^{(1)}$

| Land Use | LUC | Amount | Unit | ITE Trip Generation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  | ADT |
|  |  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Proposed Uses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assisted Living/Memory care | 254 | 220 | Beds | 24 | 16 | 40 | 21 | 32 | 53 | 572 |
| Senior Adult Housing - Multifamily | 252 | 287 | DU | 19 | 36 | 55 | 42 | 30 | 72 | 854 |
| Conv. Retail (<40k) | 822 | 5,000 | S.F. | 7 | 5 | 12 | 17 | 16 | 33 | 441 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal |  | 57 | 107 | 80 | 78 | 158 | 1,867 |

Notes:
(1) Trip Generation based on the Institute of Transportation Engineers' (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition.

ITE trip generation rates consider resident, staff, visitor, and vendor trips. The rates are commensurate with the total operational program anticipated for Corso Chevy Chase. In addition, the specific operational characteristic of this project (i.e., employee shift changes, transportation services, etc.) are consistent with and included in the ITE trip generation rates. A copy of the anticipated staff schedules is contained in Appendix A.

## Existing Conditions

Connecticut Avenue is a six-lane divided roadway with traffic signals located at East-West Highway (MD 410) approximately 2,500-feet north of the site and Bradley Boulevard/Raymond Street approximately 1,150-feet south of the site. Connecticut Avenue has a posted speed limit of 30 miles per hour (MPH).

There are two existing points of access to/from the property on the west side of Connecticut Avenue (as shown in Figure 1). The northern point of access is an entrance only and the southern driveway is a right turn exit only from the property. The Corso Chevy Chase project will use the existing access points to the property on Connecticut Avenue. The entrance and exit are spaced approximately 130 feet apart.

Taylor Street, located on the east side of Connecticut Avenue, is halfway between the entrance and exit from property/Corso Chevy Chase. Taylor Street is a two-lane, two-way, undivided residential street with on-street parking. All turning movements are permitted to and from Taylor Avenue.

## Intersection Capacity Analysis

To establish baseline traffic conditions for the study intersection, a thirteen-hour vehicular and pedestrian volume count was conducted on January 31, 2023. The morning peak hour occurred from 7:30 to 8:30 AM and the evening peak hour occurred from 4:45 to 5:45 PM. A copy of the vehicle and pedestrian hour turning movement counts are provided in Appendix $B$ and the $A M$ and PM peak hour trips are summarized in Figure 2.

The study intersection of Connecticut Avenue at Taylor Street and the Corso Chevy Chase site entrance was then analyzed using the existing traffic volumes shown in Figure 2, the existing lane use, side street stop conditions, and the anticipated trips generation generated by Corso Chevy Chase. An additional analysis was completed for the intersection with a traffic signal. As stated above, no multimodal trip reductions were taken which represents a "worst case" scenario for both analysis conditions. Site trips were assigned to the area road network based on the current travel patterns and added to existing peak hour traffic volumes.

The capacity analysis was completed using the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) intersection operational analysis methodology for unsignalized and signalized intersections. The analysis results are presented based on the average vehicle delay, in seconds per vehicle for a one-hour period. The average vehicle delay standard is 80 seconds in the Bethesda Chevy Chase Policy Area.

The results of the intersection operation under existing side street stop and a traffic signal are summarized in Table 2 and the corresponding analysis worksheets are contained in Appendix C.

## Table 2

Connecticut Avenue at the Corso Chevy Chase Site Entrance and Taylor Street
Intersection Delay Summary ${ }^{(1)(2)}$

| Street/Approach | Movement | Future With Corso <br> (Existing Side Street Stop) |  | Future With Corso <br> (With a Traffic Signal) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| Connecticut Ave | NBL/Approach | [2.6] | [1.4] | (1.8) | (1.8) |
| Connecticut Ave | SBL/Approach | [0.7] | [2.7] | (2.3) | (1.8) |
| Corso Exit | EB Right Turn | [15.4] | [12.3] | (44.1) | (43.8) |
| Taylor Street | WB Approach | [14.8] | [29.7] | (44.1) | (43.6) |

Not $\epsilon$ (1) Numbers in brackets [] represent delay at unsignalized intersections in seconds per vehicle.
(2) Numbers in parentheses ( ) represent delay at signalized intersections in seconds per vehicle.

## Signal Warrant Analysis

To determine the feasibility of a traffic signal as analyzed above, a traffic signal warrant analysis was conducted for the Connecticut Avenue at Taylor Street and Corso Chevy Chase site entrance intersection. The results of the signal warrant analysis indicate that the applicable Maryland State Highway Administration (MDSHA) Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) warrants are not met. The traffic volume warrants, accident history, and pedestrian volumes do not meet the minimum thresholds to warrant a signal at the intersection. A copy of the full warrant study is provided in Appendix D.

## Intersection Layout Options

After meeting with the MDSHA and Montgomery County Department of Transportation (MCDOT) staff, several options for access modifications were discussed. Although the signal warrant analysis indicates a signal is not warranted at this location, signalization has been considered due to the existing crosswalk, bus stop locations, and the anticipated pedestrian demand along Connecticut Avenue and the Corso Chevy Chase site. Three of the options discussed during the meeting that staff would consider are discussed below. Concept layouts of these three options are shown on exhibits Option A, Option B, and Option C.

Option A would close the median along Connecticut Avenue at the entrance and exit of the Corso Chevy Chase. The median opening that serves Taylor Street would remain open. This option would limit all vehicles entering or exiting the Corso Chevy Chase site to right turns in and out of the site. The median break closures would be designed to accommodate emergency vehicles only. The traffic patterns on Taylor Street would not be changed from current/existing conditions. The intersection would be controlled by stop signs at the Corso Chevy Chase exit drive and on Taylor Street. Pedestrian circulation would generally remain in its current location.

Option B would close the median along Connecticut Avenue at the entrance and exit of the Corso Chevy Chase site and close the median opening that serves Taylor Street. This option would limit all vehicles entering or exiting the Corso Chevy Chase site to right turns in and out of the site. Similarly, all vehicles entering or exiting Taylor Street would be limited to right turns into and out of Taylor Street and the median break closures would be designed to accommodate emergency vehicles only. The intersection would be controlled by stop signs at the Corso Chevy Chase exit drive and on Taylor Street. Pedestrian circulation would generally remain in its current location.

Option C would close the median on Connecticut Avenue at the southern exit of the Corso Chevy Chase site permitting right turn out only from this access point. The northern entrance into the Corso Chevy Chase site will remain unchanged, southbound right turns and northbound left turns from Connecticut Avenue would be permitted. Taylor Street traffic flow would also remain the same except a new traffic signal would be installed that controls the Corso Chevy Chase inbound traffic as well as the traffic to and from Taylor Street. The existing Connecticut Avenue crosswalk
located at the south edge of Taylor Street would be relocated to the north, at the Corso Chevy Chase northern entrance. New signalization would also provide for pedestrian traffic control (push button, countdown pedestrian signals, etc.).

Currently, there is a bus stop located on the north side of the Corso Chevy Chase side of Connecticut Avenue, and it will be shifted to align with the new sidewalk network in all options.

## Analysis of Intersection Options

## Pro/Cons:

- Option A

Pro: Reduces vehicle conflicts at the Corso Chevy Chase northern site access points.
Con: Increases the number of U-turns for northbound Connecticut Avenue site traffic. No signal-controlled pedestrian crossing.

- Option B

Pro: Reduces vehicle conflicts at the Corso Chevy Chase northern site access point and Taylor Street.
Con: Increases the number of U-turns for northbound and Southbound Connecticut Avenue site traffic and Taylor Street, respectively. Changes traffic patterns in the Chevy Chase neighborhood on east side of Connecticut Avenue. No signal-controlled pedestrian crossing.

## - Option C

Pro: Signal installation protects vehicle conflict movements at the Corso Chevy Chase northern site access point and Taylor Street. Provides signal protected pedestrian crossing, relocates the pedestrian crossing and bus stop location to the north away from the majority of turning vehicles.
Con: Increases the amount of vehicle delay at the north site access and Taylor Street.

## Conclusion

Based on the data, analysis, and the design options presented in this report, intersection layout Option C with signalization and relocated crosswalk provides the best and safest option to improve pedestrian and vehicular movement and safety at the intersection of Taylor Street, Corso Chevy Chase, and Connecticut Avenue. Signalization would not change the current turning movements allowed at either Taylor Street or at the Corso Chevy Chase site entrance on Connecticut Avenue, but would enhance traffic and pedestrian safety at this location.

## Attached Figures and Exhibits

Figure 1: Intersection Study Area
Figure 2: Existing and Future Traffic Volumes
Option A: Site Entrances Median Closures
Option B: Site Entrances and Taylor Street Median Closures
Option C: South Entrance Median Closure and Signal

Appendix:
A. Staff Schedules
B. Traffic Counts - Peak and 13 hour
C. HCM Intersection Analysis Worksheets
D. Connecticut Avenue at Taylor Street Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis

Figure 2
Connecticut Avenue at Taylor Street Existing and Future Traffic Volumes
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## APPENDIX A

## Anticipated Staff Schedules

| Department | Shift | Number of Staff | AM Peak Period (3Hrs) |  |  | PM Peak Period (3Hrs) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | IN | OUT | TOTAL | IN | OUT | TOTAL |
| Kitchen and Food Services | 5:00 am - 1:00 pm | 25 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  | 1:00 pm - 9:00 pm | 14 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Nursing | 6:00 am - 2:00 pm | 14 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  | 2:00 pm - 10:00 pm | 14 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
|  | 10:00 pm - 6:00 am | 9 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Front Desk and Support | 7:00 am - 4:00 pm | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
|  | 4:00 pm - 9:00 pm | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9:00 pm - 7:00 am | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Security | 7:00 am - 7:00 pm | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 7:00 pm - 7:00 am | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Housekeeping | 7:00 am - 2:00 pm | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11:00 pm - 7:00 am | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Activities | 9:00 am - 5:00 pm | 6 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| Management | 9:00 am - 5:00 pm | 15 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 15 |
|  | Total | 120 |  |  | 42 |  |  | 25 |

AM Peak Period (MNCPPC- three hours) 6:30 to 9:30 AM
PM Peak Period (MNCPPC- three hours) 4:00 to 7:00 PM
Intersection peak hour occurs 7:30-8:30 AM and 4:45-5:45 PM

## APPENDIX B <br> Traffic Counts - Peak and 13 Hour

Prepared by National Data \& Surveying Services

## Connecticut Ave \& Taylor St

Peak Hour Turning Movement Count

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ID: 23-280003-001 } \\
& \text { City: Chevy Chase }
\end{aligned}
$$



## SOUTHBOUND

| AM | 0 | 2003 | 16 | 0 | 1027 | AM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Noon | 0 | 1043 | 13 | 1 | 1276 | NOON |
| PM | 0 | 1113 | 23 | 1 | 1728 | PM |

Day: Tuesday
Date: 1/31/2023

HT (NOON)


HT (PM)


# National Data \& Surveying ServicesIntersection Turning Movement Count 



| Time <br> Period <br> 1 Hour | Existing Hourly Turning Movements Counts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Time Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Southbound Connecticut Ave |  |  |  | Westbound Taylor Street |  |  |  | Northbound Connecticut Ave |  |  |  | Eastbound Corso Site Ent. |  |  |  |  <br> South | $\begin{gathered} \text { East } \\ \text { \& } \\ \text { West } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { Right } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { Thru } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3 \\ \text { Left } \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { Right } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5 \\ \text { Thru } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { Left } \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ \text { Right } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8 \\ \text { Thru } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 9 \\ \text { Left } \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ \text { Right } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 11 \\ \text { Thru } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 12 \\ \text { Left } \end{gathered}$ | Total |  |  |  |  |
| 6:00-7:00 | 0 | 1,356 | 3 | 1,359 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 372 | 0 | 373 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,732 | 7 | 1,739 | 6:00-7:00 |
| 7:00-8:00 | 0 | 1,955 | 13 | 1,968 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 6 | 895 | 0 | 901 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,869 | 19 | 2,888 | 7:00-8:00 |
| 8:00-9:00 | 0 | 1,867 | 12 | 1,879 | 46 | 0 | 1 | 47 | 9 | 1,100 | 0 | 1,109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,988 | 47 | 3,035 | 8:00-9:00 |
| 9:00-10:00 | 0 | 1,665 | 12 | 1,677 | 33 | 0 | 2 | 35 | 1 | 1,045 | 0 | 1,046 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,723 | 35 | 2,758 | 9:00-10:00 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 0 | 1,225 | 5 | 1,230 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 3 | 905 | 0 | 908 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,138 | 19 | 2,157 | 10:00-11:00 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 0 | 1,049 | 10 | 1,059 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 10 | 1,064 | 0 | 1,074 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,133 | 22 | 2,155 | 11:00-12:00 |
| 12:00-1:00 | 0 | 1,019 | 13 | 1,032 | 26 | 0 | 3 | 29 | 10 | 1,211 | 0 | 1,221 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,253 | 29 | 2,282 | 12:00-1:00 |
| 1:00-2:00 | 0 | 942 | 7 | 949 | 21 | 0 | 8 | 29 | 6 | 1,256 | 0 | 1,262 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,211 | 29 | 2,240 | 1:00-2:00 |
| 2:00-3:00 | 0 | 1,080 | 17 | 1,097 | 37 | 0 | 3 | 40 | 4 | 1,463 | 0 | 1,467 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,564 | 40 | 2,604 | 2:00-3:00 |
| 3:00-4:00 | 0 | 1,049 | 12 | 1,061 | 19 | 0 | 3 | 22 | 9 | 1,729 | 0 | 1,738 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,799 | 22 | 2,821 | 3:00-4:00 |
| 4:00-5:00 | 0 | 991 | 18 | 1,009 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 4 | 1,620 | 0 | 1,624 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,633 | 22 | 2,655 | 4:00-5:00 |
| 5:00-6:00 | 0 | 1,135 | 20 | 1,155 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 24 | 12 | 1,682 | 0 | 1,694 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,849 | 24 | 2,873 | 5:00-6:00 |
| 6:00-7:00 | 0 | 998 | 9 | 1,007 | 18 | 0 | 4 | 22 | 7 | 1,574 | 0 | 1,581 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,588 | 22 | 2,610 | 6:00-7:00 |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
3: Connecticut Ave \& Site/Taylor St

|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ | P |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  | F |  | ¢ |  |  | *中t |  |  | ¢个t |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 0 | 39 | 1 | 0 | 46 | 17 | 1146 | 9 | 12 | 1867 | 27 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 0 | 0 | 39 | 1 | 0 | 46 | 17 | 1146 | 9 | 12 | 1867 | 27 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 0 | 0 | 42 | 1 | 0 | 50 | 18 | 1246 | 10 | 13 | 2029 | 29 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (tt/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC , conflicting volume | 2571 | 3362 | 691 | 2031 | 3371 | 420 | 2058 |  |  | 1256 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 2571 | 3362 | 691 | 2031 | 3371 | 420 | 2058 |  |  | 1256 |  |  |
| tC , single (s) | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 100 | 100 | 89 | 96 | 100 | 91 | 93 |  |  | 98 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 11 | 7 | 387 | 28 | 7 | 582 | 268 |  |  | 550 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | NB 2 | NB 3 | SB1 | SB 2 | SB 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 42 | 51 | 330 | 623 | 322 | 520 | 1014 | 536 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 42 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 29 |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 387 | 418 | 268 | 1700 | 1700 | 550 | 1700 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.37 | 0.19 | 0.02 | 0.60 | 0.32 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) | 9 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 15.4 | 14.8 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | C | B | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 15.4 | 14.8 | 0.7 |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 54.9\% |  | CU Level | f Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
3: Connecticut Ave \& Site/Taylor St
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## APPENDIX D <br> SIGNAL WARRANT STUDY

# Connecticut Avenue at Taylor Street Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis 

Corso Chevy Chase<br>Residential Care Facility

## INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this Traffic Signal Warrant Study is to evaluate the need for a new traffic signal at Connecticut Avenue (MD 185) at Taylor Street/Corso Chevy Chase Residential Care Facility (Site) Entrance intersection. The study intersection is located in the Town of Chevy Chase, Maryland and shown on Figure 1.

Connecticut Avenue is a six-lane divided roadway with traffic signals located at East-West Highway (MD 410) to the north approximately 2,500-feet and Raymond Street to the south approximately 1,150 -feet. The roadway is posted 30 miles per hour ( mph ).

Taylor Street is a two lane, undivided residential street. The Corso Chevy Chase project would reuse the existing one-lane entrances to the property on Connecticut Avenue.

## EXISTING CONDITIONS

Existing 13-Hour Traffic Counts. Existing 13-hour traffic counts were completed on an average weekday (Tuesday, January 31, 2023) from 6:00 AM to 7:00 PM at the study intersection. The existing intersection traffic volumes are attached.

Traffic forecasts for 13-hours were developed for the Corso Chevy Chase Residential Care Facility approaches to the intersection. The forecasts are based on the development program that consists of 287 senior adult housing attached units, 190 assisted living beds, 30 memory care beds, and 5,000 gross square feet of convenience retail uses. Trips were developed using the latest edition of the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE), Trip Generation Manual average daily trips and diurnal rates. The resulting 13 -hour total future traffic forecasts are shown attached for each use and were added to existing traffic volumes.

## WELLS + ASSOCIATES

## MEMORANDUM

It should be noted that the total future traffic forecasts do not account for any reductions typically used with Maryland National Capital Park and Planning Commission (MNCPPC) Local Area Traffic Review (LATR) trip generation forecasts that consider vehicle, person, and other mode split trips. In addition, right-turn volume reductions were not used for this analysis based on shared lane usage from the minor street movements from the site and Taylor Street. Without the trip reductions noted, the signal warrant review represents a conservative analysis for the need for signal control.

## TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS

Criteria. This traffic signal warrant study has been conducted in accordance with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD), 2009 Edition. The purpose of the study is to determine if a traffic signal is warranted under total future development traffic conditions at the study intersection.

The MUTCD lists nine (9) warrants that may indicate the need for, and appropriateness of, a new traffic signal. These nine (9) warrants are summarized below.

```
Warrant 1 - Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume
    Condition A - Minimum Vehicular Volume
    Condition B - Interruption of Continuous Traffic
    Condition C - Combination of Warrants
Warrant 2 - Four-Hour Vehicular Volume
Warrant 3 - Peak Hour
    Condition A - Peak Hour Delay
    Condition B - Peak Hour Volume
Warrant 4 - Pedestrian Volume
    Condition A - Peak Hour Volume
    Condition B - Four-Hour Volume
Warrant 5 - School Crossing
Warrant 6 - Coordinated Signal System
Warrant 7 - Crash Experience
Warrant 8 - Roadway Network
Warrant 9 - Intersection Near a Grade (Railroad) Crossing
```


## MEMORANDUM

The following warrants were evaluated and determined to be not applicable to this intersection analysis:

Warrant 3 - Peak Hour - This warrant is typically applied to facilities that attract or discharge large numbers of vehicles over a short period of time.

Warrant 4 - Pedestrian Volume - Pedestrian counts were fairly moderate at the existing uncontrolled crosswalk and therefore were not considered as they would not affect the warrant study. Pedestrian volume, however, is anticipated to increase should the crossing be a protected movement with a signal or other traffic control devise.

Warrant 5-School Crossing - This warrant applies only to locations where there is an established school crossing. No established school crossing exists at this intersection.

Warrant 6-Coordinated Signal System - This warrant applies only when progressive movement in a coordinated signal system is needed to control platooning. A traffic signal may increase or provide better platooning but in this case is not being considered.

Warrant 7 - Crash Experience - Crash data for a recent three (3) year period was reviewed but was not used as only one right angle correctable crash was shown. Five (5) correctable crashes within a one-year period are needed to trigger this warrant.

Warrant 8 - Roadway Network - This warrant applies only to the intersection of two major roadways and was not used for this analysis.

Warrant 9 - Intersection Near a Grade (Railroad) Crossing - This warrant is intended for use at a location where none of the other eight (8) warrants are met, but the intersection is in proximity to a railroad grade crossing.

It should be noted that one or more of the nine (9) warrants should be satisfied before a new traffic signal is considered for installation. However, satisfaction of a warrant does not in itself justify the need for a new signal. A new signal should improve the overall safety and/or operation of the intersection. For the purposes of this analysis, Warrant's 1 and 2 are the primary indicator of a traffic signal and are applicable for analysis based on available data.

## WELLS + ASSOCIATES

## MEMORANDUM

Warrant Analysis. An evaluation of the MUTCD warrants guidelines using TEAPAC software was prepared based on forecasted 13 -hour traffic volumes and roadway geometry. The resulting TEAPAC analysis worksheets are attached to this document and Table 1 provides a summary of the signal warrant evaluation.

## Table 1

Connecticut Avenue at Taylor Street and Site Entrance
Traffic Signal Warrant Summary (1)

| Warrant | Warrant Condition | Requirements | Initial Conditions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Analysis Results | Warrant Results |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1A } \\ & \text { 1B } \\ & \text { 1C } \end{aligned}$ | 8 - Hour Minimum Vehicular Volume <br> 8 - Hour Interruption of Continuous Traffic <br> 8 - Hour Combination of Warrants (80\%) | 8 hours 8 hours 8 hours | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ -/ 8 \end{gathered}$ | Not Met <br> Not Met <br> Not Met |
| 2 | 4 - Hour Vehicular Volume | 4 hours | 1 | Not Met |
| Summary of MUTCD Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis ${ }^{(2)}$ |  |  | Not Met |  |

Notes: (1) Warrant summary based on 2009 MUTCD Warrant Analysis using TEAPAC.
(1) Warrants 3 thru 9 were evaluated an determined not applicable to the warrant study.

The signal warrant analysis indicates that under total future project development traffic conditions for Corso Chevy Chase, the applicable volume warrants are not satisfied.

## MEMORANDUM

## CONCLUSIONS

The signal warrant analysis completed for the Connecticut Avenue at Taylor Street/Site Entrance intersection indicates that a traffic signal is not warranted for the applicable volume warrants and a traffic signal is not recommended for installation at the study intersection.

Attached:
A. Existing 13-hour Traffic Count -60 min
B. Corso Chevy Chase Project ADT Trip Generation
C. Senior Adult Housing 13-hour Trip Forecasts
D. Active Adult/Memory Care 13-hour Trip Forecasts
E. Retail 13-hour Trip Forecasts
F. Connecticut Avenue at Taylor Street/Corso Site Entrance Total Future 13-hour Trip Forecasts
G. Connecticut Avenue at Taylor Street/Corso Site Entrance Warrant Analysis (TEAPAC) Results


Prepared by National Data \& Surveying Services

## Connecticut Ave \& Taylor St

Peak Hour Turning Movement Count

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ID: 23-280003-001 } \\
& \text { City: Chevy Chase }
\end{aligned}
$$



## SOUTHBOUND

| AM | 0 | 2003 | 16 | 0 | 1027 | AM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NOON | 0 | 1043 | 13 | 1 | 1276 | NOON

Day: Tuesday
Date: 1/31/2023

HT (NOON)


HT (PM)


# National Data \& Surveying ServicesIntersection Turning Movement Count 



| Time <br> Period <br> 1 Hour | Existing Hourly Turning Movements Counts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Time Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Southbound Connecticut Ave |  |  |  | Westbound Taylor Street |  |  |  | Northbound Connecticut Ave |  |  |  | Eastbound Corso Site Ent. |  |  |  |  <br> South | $\begin{gathered} \text { East } \\ \text { \& } \\ \text { West } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { Right } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { Thru } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3 \\ \text { Left } \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { Right } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5 \\ \text { Thru } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { Left } \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ \text { Right } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8 \\ \text { Thru } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 9 \\ \text { Left } \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ \text { Right } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 11 \\ \text { Thru } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 12 \\ \text { Left } \end{gathered}$ | Total |  |  |  |  |
| 6:00-7:00 | 0 | 1,356 | 3 | 1,359 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 372 | 0 | 373 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,732 | 7 | 1,739 | 6:00-7:00 |
| 7:00-8:00 | 0 | 1,955 | 13 | 1,968 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 6 | 895 | 0 | 901 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,869 | 19 | 2,888 | 7:00-8:00 |
| 8:00-9:00 | 0 | 1,867 | 12 | 1,879 | 46 | 0 | 1 | 47 | 9 | 1,100 | 0 | 1,109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,988 | 47 | 3,035 | 8:00-9:00 |
| 9:00-10:00 | 0 | 1,665 | 12 | 1,677 | 33 | 0 | 2 | 35 | 1 | 1,045 | 0 | 1,046 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,723 | 35 | 2,758 | 9:00-10:00 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 0 | 1,225 | 5 | 1,230 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 3 | 905 | 0 | 908 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,138 | 19 | 2,157 | 10:00-11:00 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 0 | 1,049 | 10 | 1,059 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 10 | 1,064 | 0 | 1,074 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,133 | 22 | 2,155 | 11:00-12:00 |
| 12:00-1:00 | 0 | 1,019 | 13 | 1,032 | 26 | 0 | 3 | 29 | 10 | 1,211 | 0 | 1,221 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,253 | 29 | 2,282 | 12:00-1:00 |
| 1:00-2:00 | 0 | 942 | 7 | 949 | 21 | 0 | 8 | 29 | 6 | 1,256 | 0 | 1,262 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,211 | 29 | 2,240 | 1:00-2:00 |
| 2:00-3:00 | 0 | 1,080 | 17 | 1,097 | 37 | 0 | 3 | 40 | 4 | 1,463 | 0 | 1,467 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,564 | 40 | 2,604 | 2:00-3:00 |
| 3:00-4:00 | 0 | 1,049 | 12 | 1,061 | 19 | 0 | 3 | 22 | 9 | 1,729 | 0 | 1,738 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,799 | 22 | 2,821 | 3:00-4:00 |
| 4:00-5:00 | 0 | 991 | 18 | 1,009 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 4 | 1,620 | 0 | 1,624 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,633 | 22 | 2,655 | 4:00-5:00 |
| 5:00-6:00 | 0 | 1,135 | 20 | 1,155 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 24 | 12 | 1,682 | 0 | 1,694 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,849 | 24 | 2,873 | 5:00-6:00 |
| 6:00-7:00 | 0 | 998 | 9 | 1,007 | 18 | 0 | 4 | 22 | 7 | 1,574 | 0 | 1,581 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,588 | 22 | 2,610 | 6:00-7:00 |

## Attachment B

## Corso Chevy Chase

Trip Generation ${ }^{(1)}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Gen |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Peak |  |  | Peak |  |  |
| Land Use | LUC | Amount | Unit | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | ADT |
| Proposed Uses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assisted Living/Memory care | 254 | 220 | Beds | 24 | 16 | 40 | 21 | 32 | 53 | 572 |
| Senior Adult Housing - Multifamily | 252 | 287 | DU | 19 | 36 | 55 | 42 | 30 | 72 | 854 |
| Strip Retail Plaza (<40k) | 822 | 5,000 | S.F. | $\underline{7}$ | $\underline{5}$ | 12 | $\underline{17}$ | 16 | 33 | $\underline{441}$ |
|  |  |  | Total Trips | 50 | 57 | 107 | 80 | 78 | 158 | 1,867 |

Notes:
(1) Trip Generation based on the Institute of Transportation Engineers' (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition.

Hourly Distribution of Entering and Exiting Vehicle Trips by Land Use
Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual , 10th Edition


Attachment C

| Turning Movement Distributions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Southbound Connecticut Ave |  |  | Westbound Taylor St |  |  | Northbound Connecticut Ave |  |  | Eastbound Corso Site Ent |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { Right } \\ 60 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { Thru } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { Left } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { Right } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { Thru } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { Left } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ \text { Right } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 8 \\ \text { Thru } \\ 60 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 9 \\ \text { Left } \\ 40 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ \text { Right } \\ 40 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ \text { Thru } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 12 \\ \text { Left } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 2 | 4 |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 2 | 5 |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 4 | 9 |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | 7 | 11 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | 8 | 12 |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 11 | 13 |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | 12 | 11 |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 | 14 | 15 |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 | 13 | 14 |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | 16 | 12 |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 15 | 10 |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | 14 | 11 |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 12 | 10 |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 11 | 9 |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 10 | 9 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 8 | 7 |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 5 | 4 |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 4 | 3 |  |  |
| $\underline{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{3}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\underline{2}$ |  |  |
| 257 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 253 | 169 | 174 |  |  |

Hourly Distribution of Entering and Exiting Vehicle Trips by Land Use
Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual , 11th Edition

| Land Use Code | 254 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Setting | Assisted Living |
| Time Period | Gen Urban/Suburban |
| Trip Type | Weekday |
| Units | 220 |


| Time |  |  | ADT | 572 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% of 24-Hour Traffic |  |  |  |
|  | Entering | Exiting | Enter | Exit |
| 12-1 AM | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 |
| 1-2 AM | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 |
| 2-3 AM | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 |
| 3-4 AM | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 |
| 4-5 AM | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 |
| 5-6 AM | 2.8\% | 2.5\% | 8 | 7 |
| 6-7 AM | 5.0\% | 1.9\% | 14 | 5 |
| 7-8 AM | 14.5\% | 4.1\% | 41 | 12 |
| 8-9 AM | 7.2\% | 3.1\% | 21 | 9 |
| 9-10 AM | 6.6\% | 6.9\% | 19 | 20 |
| 10-11 AM | 6.0\% | 7.0\% | 17 | 20 |
| 11-12 PM | 8.5\% | 8.2\% | 24 | 23 |
| 12-1 PM | 9.4\% | 10.3\% | 27 | 29 |
| 1-2 PM | 11.3\% | 6.9\% | 32 | 20 |
| 2-3 PM | 6.9\% | 9.1\% | 20 | 26 |
| 3-4 PM | 7.9\% | 7.9\% | 23 | 23 |
| 4-5 PM | 6.0\% | 11.6\% | 17 | 33 |
| 5-6 PM | 4.1\% | 10.1\% | 12 | 29 |
| 6-7 PM | 0.9\% | 6.3\% | 3 | 18 |
| 7-8 PM | 0.6\% | 0.9\% | 2 | 3 |
| 8-9 PM | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 1 | 0 |
| 9-10 PM | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0 | 1 |
| 10-11 PM | 1.3\% | 0.3\% | 4 | 1 |
| 11-12 AM | 0.6\% | 2.5\% | $\underline{2}$ | 7 |
|  | 100\% | 100\% | 287 | 86 |

Attachment D

| Turning Movement Distributions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Southbound Connecticut Ave |  |  | Westbound Taylor St |  |  | Northbound Connecticut Ave |  |  | Eastbound Corso Site Ent |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { Right } \\ 60 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2 \\ \text { Thru } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { Left } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { Right } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { Thru } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { Left } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ \text { Right } \end{gathered}$ | 8 <br> Thru $60 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 9 \\ \text { Left } \\ 40 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 10 \\ \text { Right } \\ 40 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 11 \\ \text { Thru } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 12 \\ \text { Left } \end{gathered}$ |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 3 | 3 |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 6 | 2 |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 16 | 5 |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 8 | 4 |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 8 | 8 |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 7 | 8 |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 10 | 9 |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | 11 | 12 |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 13 | 8 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | 8 | 10 |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 9 | 9 |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 | 7 | 13 |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | 5 | 12 |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 1 | 7 |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 0 |  |  |
| $\underline{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 1 | $\underline{3}$ |  |  |
| 171 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 172 | 116 | 114 |  |  |

Hourly Distribution of Entering and Exiting Vehicle Trips by Land Use
Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition

Attachment E


## Attachment F

| Time <br> Period <br> 1 Hour | Future Hourly Turning Movement Forecasts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Time Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Southbound Connecticut Ave |  |  |  | Westbound Taylor Street |  |  |  | Northbound Connecticut Ave |  |  |  | Eastbound Corso Site Ent |  |  |  | North \& South | East <br>  <br> West |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { Right } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { Thru } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { Left } \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { Right } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { Thru } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { Left } \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ \text { Right } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8 \\ \text { Thru } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ \text { Left } \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ \text { Right } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ \text { Thru } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ \text { Left } \end{gathered}$ | Total |  |  |  |  |
| 6:00-7:00 | 13 | 1,356 | 3 | 1,372 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 383 | 8 | 392 | 7 | 0 | 11 | 18 | 1,764 | 25 | 1,789 | 6:00-7:00 |
| 7:00-8:00 | 32 | 1,955 | 13 | 2,000 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 6 | 916 | 21 | 943 | 15 | 0 | 21 | 36 | 2,943 | 55 | 2,998 | 7:00-8:00 |
| 8:00-9:00 | 27 | 1,867 | 12 | 1,906 | 46 | 0 | 1 | 47 | 9 | 1,123 | 17 | 1,149 | 16 | 0 | 23 | 39 | 3,055 | 86 | 3,141 | 8:00-9:00 |
| 9:00-10:00 | 29 | 1,665 | 12 | 1,706 | 33 | 0 | 2 | 35 | 1 | 1,078 | 20 | 1,099 | 22 | 0 | 33 | 55 | 2,805 | 90 | 2,895 | 9:00-10:00 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 36 | 1,225 | 5 | 1,266 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 3 | 941 | 24 | 968 | 25 | 0 | 36 | 61 | 2,234 | 80 | 2,314 | 10:00-11:00 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 44 | 1,049 | 10 | 1,103 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 10 | 1,104 | 30 | 1,144 | 26 | 0 | 40 | 66 | 2,247 | 88 | 2,335 | 11:00-12:00 |
| 12:00-1:00 | 52 | 1,019 | 13 | 1,084 | 26 | 0 | 3 | 29 | 10 | 1,264 | 34 | 1,308 | 35 | 0 | 53 | 88 | 2,392 | 117 | 2,509 | 12:00-1:00 |
| 1:00-2:00 | 51 | 942 | 7 | 1,000 | 21 | 0 | 8 | 29 | 6 | 1,301 | 34 | 1,341 | 30 | 0 | 45 | 75 | 2,341 | 104 | 2,445 | 1:00-2:00 |
| 2:00-3:00 | 47 | 1,080 | 17 | 1,144 | 37 | 0 | 3 | 40 | 4 | 1,508 | 32 | 1,544 | 30 | 0 | 45 | 75 | 2,688 | 115 | 2,803 | 2:00-3:00 |
| 3:00-4:00 | 47 | 1,049 | 12 | 1,108 | 19 | 0 | 3 | 22 | 9 | 1,769 | 32 | 1,810 | 27 | 0 | 40 | 67 | 2,918 | 89 | 3,007 | 3:00-4:00 |
| 4:00-5:00 | 43 | 991 | 18 | 1,052 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 4 | 1,669 | 29 | 1,702 | 32 | 0 | 49 | 81 | 2,754 | 103 | 2,857 | 4:00-5:00 |
| 5:00-6:00 | 38 | 1,135 | 20 | 1,193 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 24 | 12 | 1,726 | 25 | 1,763 | 30 | 0 | 44 | 74 | 2,956 | 98 | 3,054 | 5:00-6:00 |
| 6:00-7:00 | 28 | 998 | 9 | 1,035 | 18 | 0 | 4 | 22 | 7 | 1,609 | 19 | 1,635 | 24 | 0 | 35 | 59 | 2,670 | 81 | 2,751 | 6:00-7:00 |


| Attachment G |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Corso Chevy Chase Connecticut Ave at Taylor St and Site Ent |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| TEAPAC[Ver 9.50.02] - MUTCD Warrant Analysis |  |
| Conditions Used for Warrant Analysis | 2009 MUTCD |
| Intersection \# 1 |  |
| Major Street Direction | NorthSouth |
| Number of Lanes in North-South direction | 3 |
| Number of Lanes in East-West direction | 1 |
| Approach speed on major street is greater than 40 mph | No |
| Isolated community has population less than 10,000 | No |
| Signal will not seriously disrupt progressive traffic flow | Yes |
| Trials of other remedies have failed to improve conditions | Yes |
| Number of accidents correctable by a signal | 0 |
| Peak hour stop sign delay for worst minor approach (veh-hours) | 0 |
| Number of accidents correctable by a multi-way stop | 0 |
| Peak hour average delay for all minor approaches (sec/veh) | 0 |

## TEAPAC[Ver 9.50.02] - MUTCD Warrant Analysis

## TEAPAC[Ver 9.50.02] - Warrant Analysis for Traffic Signal

| Start Time | 1200 | 1600 | 1300 | 1400 | 1700 | 1500 | 1100 | 1000 | Req. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minor Volume | 88 | 81 | 75 | 75 | 74 | 67 | 66 | 61 | 150 |
| Major Volume | 2392 | 2754 | 2341 | 2688 | 2956 | 2918 | 2247 | 2234 | 600 |
| Warrant Met? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | 8 |
| Number of 1-hour periods meeting the warrant Signal will not seriously disrupt progressive traffic flow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes |

Warrant 1B Analysis - 8-Hour Interruption of Continuous Traffic

| Start Time | 1200 | 1600 | 1300 | 1400 | 1700 | 1500 | 1100 | 1000 | Req. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minor Volume | 88 | 81 | 75 | 75 | 74 | 67 | 66 | 61 | 75 |
| Major Volume | 2392 | 2754 | 2341 | 2688 | 2956 | 2918 | 2247 | 2234 | 900 |
| Warrant Met? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | 8 |
| Number of 1-hour periods meeting the warrant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Signal will not seriously disrupt progressive traffic flow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes |

TEAPAC[Ver 9.50.02] - Warrant Analysis for Traffic Signal

| Start Time | 1200 | 1600 | 1300 | 1400 | 1700 | 1500 | 1100 | 1000 | Req. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minor Volume | 88 | 81 | 75 | 75 | 74 | 67 | 66 | 61 | 120 |
| Major Volume | 2392 | 2754 | 2341 | 2688 | 2956 | 2918 | 2247 | 2234 | 480 |
| Warrant Met? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | 8 |

Number of 1-hour periods meeting the warrant

Warrant 1B Analysis (80\%) - 8-Hour Interruption of Continuous Traf

| Start Time | 1200 | 1600 | 1300 | 1400 | 1700 | 1500 | 1100 | 1000 | Req. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minor Volume | 88 | 81 | 75 | 75 | 74 | 67 | 66 | 61 | 60 |
| Major Volume | 2392 | 2754 | 2341 | 2688 | 2956 | 2918 | 2247 | 2234 | 720 |
| Warrant Met? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | 8 |

Number of 1-hour periods meeting the warrant 8

Warrant 1C Analysis - 8-Hour Combination of Warrants

| $80 \%$ of Warrants 1A and 1B are met | No |
| :--- | ---: |
| Signal will not seriously disrupt progressive traffic flow | Yes |
| Trials of other remedies have failed to reduce delays | Yes |

Warrant 2 Analysis - 4-Hour Vehicular Volume

| Start Time | 1200 | 1600 | 1300 | 1400 | 1700 | 1500 | 1100 | 1000 | Req. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minor Volume | 88 | 81 | 75 | 75 | 74 | 67 | 66 | 61 |  |
| Minor Reqrmt | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | <-- |
| Warrant Met? | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | No | No | 4 |
| Number of 1-hour periods meeting the warrant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Signal will not seriously disrupt progressive traffic flow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes |

TEAPAC[Ver 9.50.02] - Warrant Analysis for Traffic Signal

| Warrant 3A | sis - | ak Hour | De |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | 1200 | 1600 | 1300 | 1400 | 1700 | 1500 | 1100 | 1000 | Req. |
| Minor Volume | 88 | 81 | 75 | 75 | 74 | 67 | 66 | 61 | 100 |
| Total Volume | 2509 | 2857 | 2445 | 2803 | 3054 | 3007 | 2335 | 2314 | 800 |
| Warrant Met? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | 1 |
| Number of 1-hour periods meeting the warrant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Signal will not seriously disrupt progressive traffic flow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay for worst minor approach (must be at least 4 veh-hours) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\overline{\ggg \text { WARRANT 3A IS NOT MET } \ll}$

Warrant 3B Analysis - Peak Hour Volume

| Start Time | 1200 | 1600 | 1300 | 1400 | 1700 | 1500 | 1100 | 1000 | Req. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minor Volume | 88 | 81 | 75 | 75 | 74 | 67 | 66 | 61 |  |
| Minor Reqrmt | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | <-- |
| Warrant Met? | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | 1 |

Number of 1-hour periods meeting the warrant 0
Signal will not seriously disrupt progressive traffic flow Yes
>> WARRANT 3B IS NOT MET <<
Warrant 7 Analysis - Crash Experience

| $80 \%$ of Warrant 1A or 1B is met | Yes |
| :--- | ---: |
| Signal will not seriously disrupt progressive traffic flow | Yes |
| Trials of other remedies have failed to reduce accidents | Yes |
| Number of correctable accidents (must be 5 or more per year) | 0 |

## Summary of MUTCD Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis

| Warrant 1A 8-Hour Minimum Vehicular Volume | NOT MET |
| :--- | :---: |
| Warrant 1B 8-Hour Interruption of Continuous Traffic | NOT MET |
| Warrant 1C 8-Hour Combination of Warrants | NOT MET |
| Warrant 24-Hour Vehicular Volume | NOT MET |
| Warrant 3A Peak Hour Delay | NOT MET |
| Warrant 3B Peak Hour Volume | NOT MET |
| Warrant 7 Crash Experience | NOT MET |



