Date	MC Tracker Ticket		Association	ment Planning Board Pub Plan Section	Testimony or Comments	Staff Response
Date	Number	Name	Association	Plan Section	resumony of comments	Stari Response
11.13.2024	MCP-169393-Z2D4	Kevin Kehus	St. John's Norwood Church		Support eliminating development cap and increase to PIP rate.	Comment Received
11.16.2024	MCP-169522-Y6T0	Ryan M. Harrison	Resident	Transportation, Affordable Housing	Supporting sustained and improved transit service; affordable housing, including NOAH	Comment Received
11.19.2024	MCP-169601-C7B5	Elaine Akst	Resident	Development Cap, PIP	Oppose removal of development cap, citing impacts to schools and transportation; support requirement for bird-safe building design; support more PIP and paid up front.	Comment will be reviewed during Planning Board work sessions
11.23.2024	MCP-169738-G2K5	Lloyd Guerci	Resident	Development Cap, Affordable Housing, Transportation	Oppose increasing cap. Incremental development cap increases should be tied to park creation. Housing recommendations inadequate.Plan does not adequately address loading vehicles blocking public streets.	Comment will be reviewed during Planning Board work sessions
11.24.2024	MCP-169747-P8W5	Dedun Ingram & Jack Alexander, Co-Chairs	Bethesda Downtown Plan Implementation Advisory Committee	Development Cap, PIP	Support eliminating development cap if "multiple check-in points" to ensure community resources keep pace with development and that public policy and funding mechanisms to support implementation are in place. Support increase in PIP rate and encourages alternative funding sources.	Comment will be reviewed during Planning Board work sessions
11.25.2024		Rob Eisinger	ProMark Development	Development Cap, PIP, BOZ	Support eliminating development cap; oppose recommended increase to PIP rate; support moving PIP payment to final building inspection; support eliminating BOZ "use or lose" provision.	Comment will be reviewed during Planning Board work sessions
11.26.2024	MCP-169807-N0Q2	Bryan Cannon	Resident	Development Cap	Oppose eliminating development cap. Road system cannot handle additional traffic. Development diminishes quality of life.	Comment Received
11.26.2024	MCP-169822-B4R2	Geoff Sharpe	Federal Realty	Development Cap, PIP	Planning Board should practice restraint in considering increased PIP rate. Support elimination of development cap.	Comment will be reviewed during Planning Board work
11.26.2024	MCP-169825-Q2F4	Leanne Tobias	Resident	Development Cap, Affordable Housing, Transportation	Removing develoment cap will increase area traffic. New development should be required to provide more affordable housing. Additional development should be contingent upon new parks and other public amenities. More vibrant urban landscape.	Comment will be reviewed during Planning Board work sessions
11.27.2024		Jonathan Rogers	MDOT	Transportation	Support for development centered around transit, walking and bicycling. Support recommendation for enhanced transit service connecting downtown Bethesda to nearby residential neighborhoods and regional centers of activity. Support broadening recommendation to expand transit support facilities needed to accommodate enhanced transit services.	Comment will be reviewed during Planning Board work sessions
11.27.2024	MCP-169843-P3B2	Stephen Seidel	Resident	General	The plan lacks critical information about key assumptions essential for the public to be able to understand the transportation metrics which serve as the sole basis for justying the removal of the cap. The plan fails to include critical information about projected population growth in Bethesda under the tested scenarios.	Comment will be reviewed during Planning Board work sessions
11.27.2024	MCP-169848-W5B0	Todd Hoffman	Town of Chevy Chase	Development Cap, Additional review	Recommend implementing periodic, data-driven, holistic reviews of cumulative development impacts, incorporating opportunities for community input before removing development cap. Use holistic review to develop sufficient data and actionable strategies for achieving key goals. Regular reviews, based on updated data, would enable adaptive and responsive development policies and build confidence that growth is being managed responsibly and in alignment with both current needs and future aspirations.	Comment will be reviewed during Planning Board work sessions

11.27.2024	MCP-169849-K1C8	Andy Leon Harney	Chevy Chase Village	Development Cap,	The County must make good on the promises of the 2017 Master Plan	Comment will be reviewed
-1.27.2024		-,,	Section 3	Affordable Housing	inclding public facilities and amenities, before eliminating the cap.	during Planning Board work
				0	Recommend frequent, periodic assessment (not just monitoring) of the	sessions
					status of grown so that all the necessary improvements can be made.	
					The County must provide the public with detailed metrics on the impact	
					of eliminating the development cap. More density brings more traffic.	
					Diverse housing types and price points that would appeal to diverse	
					income levels and races are absent.	
11.27.2024	MCP-169850-F4N4	Joan Barron	Resident	Development Cap, Parks	More development will bring more traffic to Wisconsin Avenue and	Comment Received
					environmental impact to Little Falls stream valley. Has not heard of	
					concrete plans for parks.	
11.27.2024	MCP-169855-M0K3	Meg Jones	Resident	Development Cap	Opposed to lifting development cap: too much traffic, limited parking,	Comment Received
					lack of green space and overcrowded schools.	
11.27.2024	MCP-169857-Q3N1	Elizabeth Rogers	Lerch, Early &	Density Cap, BOZ,	Representing property owner on Arlington Road and Montgomery Lane.	Comment will be reviewed
			Brewer	Affordable Housing	Request to expand Height Incentive Area to include properties between	during Planning Board work
					Arlington Road and Woodmont Avenue not already included. Not	sessions
					support PIP rate increase. Support removal of density cap and use-or-	
44 27 2024	MCD 400050 72/2		Desident	Davida and ant Can	lose provision.	Common and Docasius d
	MCP-169858-Z2K2 MCP-169861-L3Z1	Howard Schoenholtz	Resident Resident	Development Cap	Oppose lifting development cap. Concern about additional cut-through traffic from more development.	Comment Received Comment will be reviewed
11.27.2024	MCP-109801-L321	Naomi Spinrad	Resident	Transportation, Environment, General,		during Planning Board work
				, ,	Concern about greenhouse gas impact of additional development.	sessions
				Development Cap, Additional Review	Economic analysis is confusing and often contradictory to the statements in the recommendations. More data needed to justify recommendations.	sessions
				Additional Review	If you decide at this time to increase or eliminate the cap, there must be	
					check-ins at regular intervals with identified benchmarks to ensure that	
					infrastructure and amenities catch up - and keep up - with development.	
11.27.2024	MCP-169862-Y1S3	Dennis and Donna Fey	Resident	Density Cap	Removing the density cap would increase population, downgrade quality	Comment Received
		Collins			of live. Not one new park has been delivered. Bethesda has existing high	
					vacancy rates.	
11.27.2024	MCP-169864-R7B7	Victor Bonilla	Greenwich Forest	Density Cap, Parks,	Additional development will negatively affect air quality. No new parks	Comment Received
			Citizens Association	Transportation	have been delivered. Bethesda has existing high vacancy rates. Traffic is	
					at an all-time high.	
11.27.2024	MCP-169866-R4C8	Dedun Ingram	Resident	General, Development	Insufficient data or inaccurate to support recommendations. Support	Comment will be reviewed
					lifting the development cap in Bethesda provided additional analyses	during Planning Board work
				PIP	conducted now to rectify the weaknesses in the analyses done thus far	sessions
					indicate no issues and provided several check-in points are included in the	
					Bethesda Overlay Zone. These check-ins should evaluate whether the five	
					transportation metrics used to assess master plans are within	
					the acceptable limits. Support PIP rate increase but need to find other	
					sources of park funding.	
11.27.2024	MCP-169868-F2G6	Stacey Wolf	Resident	Development Cap,	The County must make good on the promises of the 2017 Master Plan	Comment will be reviewed
				Affordable Housing	inclding public facilities and amenities, before eliminating the cap.	during Planning Board work
					Recommend frequent, periodic assessment (not just monitoring) of the	sessions
					status of grown so that all the necessary improvements can be made.	
					The County must provide the public with detailed metrics on the impact	
					of eliminating the development cap. More density brings more traffic.	
					Diverse housing types and price points that would appeal to diverse	
					income levels and races are absent.	

11.27.2024	MCP-169869-W4M5	Richard Hoye	Resident	Development Cap,	Supports removing Density Cap on Bethesda, a number of transportation-	Comment Received
				Transportation	related improvements to enhance pedestrian and bike safety. redesigning	
					the Bethesda Metro Station are into a "Union Station" style experience	
					and enhanced pedestrian functionality.	
11.27.2024	MCP-169871-Y7X7	Lorena Cano	Resident	Development Cap	More development can lead to safety, traffic, and environmental impact	Comment Received
					issues.	
12.1.2024	MCP-169963-S9R2	Dr. Elizabeth Katz	Resident	Development Cap	Oppose removing density cap, with concern about traffic and schools.	Comment Received
12.2.2024	MCP-169970-W2N6	Nora Shler	Resident	Development Cap	Oppose removing density cap.	Comment Received
12.2.2024	MCP-169981-Y2V0	Barbara Voorhees	Resident	Development Cap	Oppose removing density cap.	Comment Received
12.2.2024	MCP-169984-X8W8	Nina and Noah Merlin	Resident	Development Cap	Oppose removing density cap.	Comment Received
12.2.2024	MCP-169996-D4T9	Gregory Maged	Resident	Development Cap	Oppose removing density cap.	Comment Received
12.2.2024	MCP-170022-F3R7	Matthew Gordon	Bethesda LLC	Development Cap	Supports removing density cap.	Comment Received
12.2.2024	MCP-170023-B6C8	Laura Hambleton	Resident	Development Cap	Additional development will have negative environmental and traffic	Comment Received
					impacts. Hold on lifting the cap.	
12.2.2024	MCP-170029-V7V4	Barbara Felker	Resident	Development Cap	Oppose removing density cap.	Comment Received
12.3.2024	MCP-170059-C0B2	Shaheda Sultan	Resident	Development Cap	Oppose removing density cap.	Comment Received
12.4.2024	MCP-170078-L2S6	Harisch Sood	Resident	Development Cap	Oppose removing density cap.	Comment Received
12.4.2024	MCP-170079-J4L7	Robert Lipman	Resident	· · ·	No new development until parks are built.	Comment Received
-					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
12.4.2024	MCP-170080-B3B3	Frederica Weiner	Resident	Development Cap	Oppose more development.	Comment Received
12.4.2024	MCP-170082-R6J5	Mike Dutka	Resident	Development Cap	Support removing density cap. Oppose Park impact tax.	Comment Received
12.4.2024	MCP-170091-Q4V8	Matt Gaegler	Resident	Development Cap	Support removing density cap.	Comment Received
12.4.2024	MCP-170099-Q0V5	Dale Ingrid Barnhard	Resident	Development Cap	Oppose removing development cap	Comment Received
12.4.2024	MCP-170103-Y8Z5	Joan Barron & Shelley	Chevy Chase West	Development Cap,	Additional development will negatively impact traffic, parks, and the	Comment Received
		Yeutter	Neighborhood	Transportation, Parks,	environment. Oppose removing development cap.	
			Association	Environment		
12.4.2024	MCP-170210-Q3R6	Ann Telma	Resident	Development Cap, Parks	No new development until parks are built.	Comment Received
12.9.2024	MCP-170214-S4Y5	Robert Dalrymple &	8001 Wisconsin	Development Cap, PIP	Support recommendations. Proposes language allowing PIP credit for	Comment will be reviewed
		Matthew Gordon	Avenue, LLC		land not dedicated to Parks but subject to public access easement.	during Planning Board work
					······································	sessions
12.9.2024	MCP-170215-R1Z9	Robert	Resident	Development Cap	Oppose removing development cap	Comment Received
12.9.2024	MCP-170217-W5N9	Eamon Vahidi	Resident	Development Cap	Support removing density cap	Comment Received
12.9.2024	MCP-170218-C5F8	Elizabeth Tish	Resident	Development Cap	Support removing density cap	Comment Received
12.9.2024	MCP-170219-V8R9	Anna Irwin	Resident		Oppose more development in Bethesda	Comment Received
12.9.2024	MCP-170220-V1C9	Catherine Cecere	Resident	Development Cap	Oppose removing development cap	Comment Received
12.9.2024	MCP-170221-D8S3	Yvonne Bennett	Resident	Development Cap	Oppose removing development cap	Comment Received
12.9.2024	MCP-170223-Q5P4	Scott Bonney	Resident	Parks	Suggests holding public design workshops for Capital Crescent Civic Green	
12.9.2024	MCP-170224-H9R1	Fawzi Bayan	Resident	Development Cap	Opposed to removing development cap	Comment Received
12.9.2024	MCP-170225-S5C4	Mary Cahill	Resident	Development Cap	Opposed to removing development cap	Comment Received
12.9.2024	MCP-170226-M8K6	Nancy Leopold	Resident	Development Cap	Additional study is needed before removing development cap	Comment Received
12.9.2024	MCP-170227-J9Q3	Andrea Witt	Resident	Development Cap	Oppose removing development cap; build more parks and transportation infrastructure	Comment Received
12.9.2024	MCP-170228-J858	Rich Biedrzycki	Resident	Development Cap, PIP,	Support removing development cap, limit PIP rate to inflation; support	Comment Received
				BOZ	BOZ recommendations	
12.9.2024	MCP-170230-R8N3	Henry Lebard	Resident	Development Cap	Oppose removing development cap	Comment Received
12.9.2024	MCP-170231-R4G4	June Wolff	Resident	Development Cap	Oppose removing development cap	Comment Received
12.9.2024	MCP-170232-X7C3	Lonni Millman	Resident	Development Cap	Oppose removing development cap	Comment Received

12.9.2024	MCP-170233-N0V2	Vanessa Fetter	Resident	PIP	Support PIP rate increase w/ payment upfront; support community	Comment Received
					garden and recreation center; support for removing development cap	
					with expanding transit, affordable housing.	
12.9.2024	MCP-170234-K6B7	Bryan Cannon	Chevy Chase West	Development Cap	Support removal of development cap with multiple check-ins, establish	Comment Received
			Neighborhood		funding mechanisms, "comprehensive, independent traffic and	
			Association		transportation analysis, better community input	
12.9.2024	MCP-170235-C7R9	Martin Thomen	Resident	Development Cap	Support removal of development cap	Comment Received
12.9.2024	MCP-170236-P1B9	Marjory Stoller	Resident	General	Re-evaluate plan goals	Comment Received
12.9.2024	MCP-170237-K7R4	Amanda Farber	Resident	Affordable Housing	Oppose expansion of BOZ Height Incentive Area; provides additional	Comment Received
					context and perspectives on development and infrastructure projects	
					around downtown Bethesda; how will schools accommodate students	
					generated by additional development?	
12.9.2024	MCP-170238-G8D9	Stephanie Coppula	Bethesda Arts &	BOZ, Public Benefits,	Support allowing updating BOZ to allow public art fee-in-lieu payments to	Comment will be reviewed
			Entertainment	Public Art	be made to other entities than the Public Art Steering Committee	during Planning Board work
			District			sessions
12.9.2024	MCP-170239-N7D7	Naomi Spinrad	Resident	General	Recommendations not supported by data; notice was unclear;	Comment Received
					suggestions for a better public hearing format; virtual tour was not part	
					of public hearing; suggest restarting process.	
12.9.2024	MCP-170240-Q4Y6	Dedun Ingram	Resident	Affordable Housing	Oppose expansion of BOZ Height Incentive Area; provides additional	Comment Received
					perspective on status of park and infrastructure projects; additional	
					analysis required.	
12.9.2024	MCP-170242-R1N1	Karin Bolte	Wildwood Manor	Development Cap,	Development cap increase should be tied to transportation and park	Comment Received
			Citizens Association	Transportation, Parks,	infrastructure delivery; additional development will increase traffic and	
				Environment	greenhouse gases	
12.9.2024	MCP-170243-K8R9	Brooke Thomas	Resident	Development Cap,	Development cap increase should be tied to transportation and park	Comment Received
				Transportation, Parks	infrastructure delivery; additional development will increase traffic	