

Path= P:\15483600\Exhibits\Impervious Exhibit\Impervious_Exhibits_Sheet_Seed.dgn Scale= 0.0833331

KEFERENCE A €RID		В		С		D		E
	PARTMENT LISE ONLY (F.P							
FLANNING DEF	FARTMENT USE ONLT (E-F	LANS						
1. Manage A. Minir	ement of Natural Resemize Erosion and Semi	ources diment Transpo	ort					
i) E	stablished vegetative	e cover over the	e entire CMP	area.				
ii) P a	Provide Urban to Woo) Establish mow are	dland transect	between the	developed	area and th	ie forested a	rea.	
b) The remainder of t	he CMP area s	hall be desig	nated as a	no mow are	a and be pla	anted wi	th a
	native pollinator fri	endly seed mix						
III) IV B. Minir	nize pollution to Rec	eiving Waters						
i) S	tormwater from deve	lopment is trea	ted using ES	D BMP's pr	rior to disch	arging.		
ii) N	Ainimize use of herbic	cides and fertiliz	zers. ed ringrige et	ream huffer	s adiacont :	to CMP area	1	
C. Fore	st Management	m uno expanue	sa npanan St		o aujao o ni		ι.	
i) lo	dentify trees impacted	d by disease or	pests such a	as Emerald	Ash Borer ((EAB) and re	move if	located
n ii) D	ear a trail or other an Develop and impleme	ea or potential l nt invasive spe	nazard. cies manade	ment plan.				
, _								
2. Preserv	ation of Pervious Lar	nd Cover	ithin CMP or					
i) A	Ill trails shall be natur	al surface.		ca.				
B Rem	ioval and revegetatio	n of impervious	areas within	CMP area	previously	used for agri	icultural	
activ	ities.							
3. Compat	ibility with ALL Adjac	ent Land Uses						
A. Deve	elop Urban to Woodla	and Transect B	etween Deve	loped Area	and Forest	ed Areas		
I) D	levelopeu Alea - Law					22		
CONSER\	ATION MANAGEME	ENT SEQUENC	E:					
Existing Im	pervious Removal: of any clearing, grad	ing or construc	ction the Anr	licant shall	remove im	nervious sur	faces ar	hd
restore	these areas to a fully	/ pervious cond	lition, as part	of the initia	I phase of c	development	: as show	wn on
the Clar	rksburg Chase - Impe	ervious Exhibit.	The remova	l and restor	ation of per	vious surfac	es must	follow
M-NCP	PC Forest Conserva	tion Inspection	Staff must be	e present at	ived Areas a pre-work	meeting pri	s Greens or to the	space⁄.
remova	I of the impervious si	urfaces.	-		-		-	
Conservati	ion Management Pla							
1. Implem	entation of the appro	ved Conservati	on Managem	nent Plan, s	pecifically r	neadow plar	nting	
prepara	ation, shall occur upo	n stabilization o	of the site foll	owing mass	s grading ap	oproved unde	er the So	ediment
and Erc 2 Meadov	osion Control Permit · w planting required u	from the Monto	gomery Cour	nty Departm ation Manac	ent of Perr	nitting Servic n must begin	ces. Ndurina	the first
growinę	g season following the	e release of the	e Sediment a	nd Erosion	Control Per	mit from the	Montog	omery
County	Department of Perm	itting Services	for the Subje	ct Property.				
Forest Cor	nservation - Stream V	allev Buffer Pla	antings:					
1. The dev	velopment must com	oly with the Fin	al Forest Cor	nservation F	Plan No. F2	0240180, ap	proved	as part
of this S	Site Plan. plicent must install th	o Afformatation	/Deferentatio	n nlantinga		on the energy	und ECE) within
the first	plicant must install th planting season follo	owing the release	se of the Sec	liment and I	Erosion Co	ntrol Permit	from the	, within
Montog	jomery County Depai	rtment of Permi	tting Service	s for the Su	bject Prope	erty, or as dir	ected by	y the M-
NCPPC	C Forest Conservation may be required to	n Inspection Sta allow for the re	aff and Depa quired stream	rtment of Pa n restoration	arks Staff. n work to or	Flexibility in [·]	the timir	ng of the
						•		
Stream Re	estoration					opt of Dist	three	the
Park Co	onstruction permit rev	/iew process.	The stream re	estoration w	ork shall n	ot occur prio	r to all u	pstream
areas d	Iraining to the stream	having been p	ermanently s	tabilized or	the release	e of the Sedir	ment an	d
Erosion Proport	n Control Permit from	the Montogom	ery County D	epartment	of Permittin	ig Services f	or the S	ubject
ropert	.y.						Notes):
EVELOPER'S CE	RTIFICATE]					1.	See she
he Undersigned ag Conservation Plan N	Including the secure all the features of the secure all the features of the secure all the features of the secure	Approved Final Forest					2. 2	Trees ar
vrest planting, main	tenance, and all other applicable agree	eements.					з. 4.	Tree cac
eveloper's Name:	US HOME, LLC Printed Company Name wner:						5.	The Con
∙oπαoι r eisun of U	MARK ANDERSON Printed Name						e	approve
Address:	7035 ALBERT EINSTEIN DR., SUITE 20	00, COLUMBIA, MD 21046					U.	Manage
mone and Email:	(443) 206-5737							-
ngnature:		br	0 100 0	200	400			



Key	Qty	Botanical Name	Common Name	Size	Spacing	Туре	Remarks		
Deciduc	Deciduous Trees (Shade)								
CAB	4	Carpinus betulus	European Hornbeam	3" Cal., 12' Ht.	As Shown	B&B	Single-Stem, Full, Well Balanced		
FGR*	2	Fagus grandiflora	American Beech	3 1/2" Cal., 12 Ht.	As Shown	B&B	Single-Stem, Full, Well Balanced		
GYD	1	Gymnocladus dioicus	Kentucky Coffeetree	3" Cal., 12' Ht.	As Shown	B&B	Single-Stem, Full, Well Balanced		
LST*	3	Liquidambar styraciflu	Sweetgum	3 1/2" Cal., 12 Ht.	As Shown	B&B	Single-Stem, Full, Well Balanced		
QBI*	3	Quercus bicolor	Swamp White Oak	3 1/2" Cal., 12 Ht.	As Shown	B&B	Single-Stem, Full, Well Balanced		
Orname	Ornamental Trees								
BND	9	Betula nigra 'BNMTF'	Dura-Heat River Birch	2 1/2" Cal., 10' ht.	As Shown	B&B	Multi-Stem, Full, Well Balanced		
CCM	1	Cercis canadensis 'Merlot'	Merlot Eastern Redbud	2 1/2" Cal., 10' ht.	As Shown	B&B	Single-Stem, Full, Well Balanced		
CFC	1	Cornus florida 'Cherokee Chief'	Flowering Dogwood	2 1/2" Cal., 10' ht.	As Shown	B&B	Single-Stem, Full, Well Balanced		
Shrubs									
Adv	33	Azalea 'Delaware Valley White'	Delaware Valley Azalea	24" Ht.	30" O.C.	Cont.	Dense, Full		
Cah	22	Clethra alnifolia 'Hummingbird'	Summersweet	30" Ht.	42" O.C.	Cont.	Dense, Full		
Hma	17	Hydrangea macrophylla 'All Summer Beauty'	Bigleaf Hydrangea	30" Ht.	42" O.C.	Cont.	Dense, Full		
lvs	50	Itea virginia 'Sprich'	Little Henry Sweetspire	18" Ht.	36" O.C.	Cont.	Dense, Full		
*Note: I	Note: Mitigation Trees planted within Planting Area. See FCP #F20240180 (Sheet 11 and Sheet 21)								

eet 1 for planting sizes, details, and notes.

eparation, installation, establishment, and maintenance of the Park Dedicated, Conservation

OUNDARY LINE
ERNNIAL OR INTERMITTEN TREAM
PHEMERAL STREAM
TREAM VALLEY BUFFER
VETLAND
VETLAND BUFFER
OREST SAVE AREA
OREST PLANTING AREA
REE MITIGATION PLANTING REA
ARK DEDICATION LINE
ONSERVATION MANAGEME REA RESTRICTED IOW/POLLINATOR MEADOW IIX

July 25, 2023

Maxwell Potember Land Entitlements Manager Lennar 14280 Park Meadow Dr. Ste. 108 Chantilly, VA 20151

Re: King Family Farm Revised Traffic Noise Analysis

Max:

This report summarizes the revised traffic noise analysis for the King Family Farm project in Montgomery County, MD. This analysis was revised with the latest site layout.

1. Executive summary

A site survey was performed and sound levels were measured in the locations shown in Figure 3 for over two days. Traffic volumes were counted briefly at the beginning and end of the survey. The Traffic Noise Model was used to model existing conditions. The output sound levels compared acceptably well to the measured sound levels. A traffic forecast was developed based on forecasts provided by the state DOT. The Traffic Noise Model was used to predict future noise levels in outdoor recreation areas and at the facades of residences.

The design goals are to ensure that the Day-Night Average Sound Level (DNL) not exceed 60 dB in usable outdoor areas such as rear and sometimes side yards, or 45 dB inside residences.

The future DNL due to traffic will be 55 dB or lower in outdoor activity areas on the site which meets the county goal of 60 dB or lower. No noise barriers are required. The future DNL due to traffic at the houses on the loudest floor will be 62.3 dB or lower. Standard building construction can reduce outdoor noise levels approximately 19 to 25 dB. The indoor DNL due to traffic will therefore be 37 to 43 dB at the loudest house (i.e., lot 48) which meets the county goal of 45 dB. No architectural noise mitigation measures are required.

2. Introduction

Hush Acoustics LLC was contracted by Lennar to perform sound level measurements on the site and to model future traffic noise levels. This analysis was based on the Pre-Preliminary Plan 720230020 Clarksburg Chase dated July 2021 (revised September 2022). This drawing shows proposed townhouse and single-family house locations, existing and proposed ground elevations, and the location and elevation of the existing Clarksburg Road pavement closest to the site. The site is located along the southwest side of Clarksburg Road at Gosnell Farm Drive. A vicinity map is included as Figure 1.



Figure 1. Vicinity Map

Per conversations with Montgomery County Park and Planning staff we understand that Montgomery County uses the 1983 Staff Guidelines to evaluate transportation noise impacts for proposed residential land development. The guidelines provide outdoor DNL criteria as a function of both site location and community type. Per the map (see Figure 2), which is used for general reference purposes only, the site location is close to the border between the 55- and 60-dB goals. Per Table 2-1 of the guidelines, the DNL goal should be 60 dB in "most areas of the County where suburban densities predominate." (The stricter goal of 55 dB is used in permanent rural areas of the County where residential zoning is for five or more acres per dwelling unit, and the least strict goal of 65 dB is used along "major highway corridor areas where ambient levels are such that application of a stricter guideline would be infeasible..."). Considering that the built houses would be in a suburban community (certainly well less than 5 acres per dwelling unit) not directly along a busy highway, we are interpreting the 60 dB guideline to apply at this Although the Staff Guidelines say the noise level goals apply at the building line, from site. conversations with county staff we learned that they should be evaluated in usable outdoor areas such as rear and sometimes side yards, as well as common recreation areas. The Montgomery County Staff Guidelines also state that the interior noise guideline is a DNL of 45 dB.



Figure 2. 1983 Staff Guidelines Map

3. Site survey

The purposes of the site survey are as follows:

- 1. to collect noise level data on the site. Noise level data are useful for the following reasons:
 - a. to validate the noise model
 - b. to determine how the hourly average sound levels compare to the Day-Night Average Sound Levels (DNL). The DNL is the noise metric used by Montgomery County, MD. However, the Traffic Noise Model (TNM) uses the hourly average sound level. For locations mostly impacted by traffic noise, the relationship between the DNL and loudest hour average sound level is relatively constant. The measured sound levels are useful for determining this relationship.
 - c. to identify any significant non-traffic noise sources.
- 2. to observe <u>traffic conditions</u> such as prevailing speeds, classifications (i.e., percentages of automobiles, trucks, buses, and motorcycles), and directional distributions. Many of these parameters are not well documented in traffic studies. The prevailing speed often differs from the posted speed limit.

- 3. to observe <u>road conditions</u> such as locations and timing of traffic flow control devices (e.g., traffic signals, stop signs, and toll booths), and the pavement type.
- 4. to observe <u>site conditions</u> not represented on the site plan such as the presence and height of existing noise barriers along the road right-of-way.

The purpose of the site survey was not to determine how loud it will be at the proposed buildings. That is performed using the computerized noise modeling discussed below.

3.1 Sound level measurement procedure

Larson Davis model 831 sound level meters were installed in the locations indicated in Figure 3 from approximately 10:30 am on Wednesday May 19, 2021, through 2 pm on Friday May 21, 2021. The sound level meters were programmed to report average, maximum, and minimum A-weighted sound levels during each one-minute interval. In addition, the meters were programmed to record audio files each time a loud noise event occurred over 70 dBA at location M1 and 68 dBA at location M2. The meters were chained to trees and the microphones were attached to poles 28 to 30 feet above the ground.



Figure 3. Sound Level Meter Locations

3.2 Site observations

The site currently has a field and is sloping down away from Old Clarksburg Road. The main noise source on the site is birds and to a lesser extent construction on the site across Old Clarksburg Road (see Figure 3). There is also some sound from traffic on Clarksburg Road. There is virtually no traffic on Old Clarksburg Road since it only serves a few houses remaining after the construction of the new Clarksburg Road.

There are no traffic signals on Clarksburg Road in the vicinity of the site. The posted speed limit on Clarksburg Road is 35-40 mph far from the site, and 20 mph in the two traffic circles and between them.

3.3 Measured sound levels

Average sound levels during five-minute intervals were calculated based on the measured one-minute average sound levels. Figure 4 presents the resulting five-minute average sound levels. Hourly average sound levels were calculated based on the five-minute average sound levels. Figure 5 presents the hourly average sound levels. The Day-Night Average Sound Levels (DNL) were calculated for each full calendar day. Table 1 presents the DNL and loudest-hour average sound level, and the difference between the two, for each calendar day.



Time at Start of Five-Minute Interval

Figure 4. Five-Minute Average Sound Levels



Figure 5. Hourly Average Sound Levels

T-1.1. 1	M IDNI			1 T1. JT
I able I.	measured DINL	and Loudest-Hou	r Average Sound	i Leveis, ar

Day, Date	Dì	NL	Loudest-Ho	Loudest-Hour Average		DNL Minus Loudest-	
			Sound	Level	Hour A	Average	
	M1	M2	M1	M2	M1	M2	
Wed., May 19, 2021			51.6	50.1			
Thu., May 20, 2021	60.0	55.1	61.7	54.2	-1.7	0.9	
Fri. May 21, 2021			61.7	54.2			

Sound levels were significantly elevated on many occasions. 603 audio files were created at location M1 since the sound level exceeded 70 dB on those occasions. Likewise, 24 audio files were created at location M2 since the sound level exceeded 68 dB on those occasions. We listened to the audio files and determined they were due to the following:

- At location M1:
- Hundreds of events due to birds
- 13 events due to a loud banging noise on the farm
- At location M2:
- 12 events were due to a loud banging noise on the farm
- 5 events were due to loud cars or trucks
- 4 events were due to backup beepers on the site, lawn mowing
- 2 events were due to birds
- 1 event was due to a siren

Clearly, the overall sound level at location M1 was controlled by birds. The measured DNL at this location does not represent traffic noise at all.

3.4 Traffic counts

Traffic volumes were counted during ten- or fifteen-minute intervals for each direction of traffic on Clarksburg Road at the start and end of the survey. From these volumes the hourly average traffic volumes were extrapolated. Table 2 presents the extrapolated hourly traffic volumes. Automobiles include pickup trucks, passenger cars hauling trailers, and vans. Medium trucks are six-wheeled cargo vehicles with two axles. Heavy trucks are cargo vehicles with three or more axles. Speeds were determined using a hand-held radar gun. The median speeds for dozens of vehicles are listed in Table 2; speeds within the traffic circle were 18 mph.

Day, Date and Time	Lanes	Speed	Autos	Medium	Heavy	Buses	Motor-
		(mph)		Trucks	Trucks		cycles
Wed. May 19, 2021	Clarksburg SB	33	196	8	4	0	0
10:46-11:01 am	Clarksburg NB	28	240	4	12	0	0
	In Circle	18					
Fri. May 21, 2021	Clarksburg SB	-	216	0	18	36	0
1:52-2:02 pm	Clarksburg NB	-	360	0	0	0	0

Table 2. Extrapolated Hourly Traffic Volumes and Prevailing Speeds

3.5 Weather

Weather can affect both the propagation of sound from a roadway, as well as produce sound by rustling leaves or causing wind or rain noise at the microphone. For these reasons, weather conditions were documented during the survey. Hourly weather information was obtained from the National Weather Service for Frederick Municipal Airport (KFDK). The following precipitation and wind faster than 10 mph were noted:

- During the traffic counts on May 19 at 10:47 am: wind from the SSW at 6 mph
- May 20 at 5:47 to 7:47 pm: wind from the ESE to SE at 7 to 13 (gusting to 16) mph
- Just before the traffic counts on May 21 at 1:47 pm: wind from the WSW at 6 mph

4. Outdoor noise modeling

4.1 TNM overview

In the United States, roadway traffic noise levels are typically analyzed using the Federal Highway Administration's (FHWA) Traffic Noise Model (TNM). The current version is 2.5. The output from TNM is the hourly average sound level at the receivers. The program allows input of the following information:

- Coordinates of selected points along the road centerlines
- Pavement width and type
- Hourly volumes and speeds of autos, medium trucks, heavy trucks, buses, and motorcycles for each road segment

- Locations of traffic flow control devices such as stop signs, traffic signals, and toll booths at the start of roads
- Coordinates and heights of evaluation points (receivers)
- Coordinates of ground elevations in selected locations (terrain lines)
- The default ground type
- Coordinates of existing and proposed objects that shield the site such as noise walls and buildings (barriers)
- Coordinates, height and spacing between buildings of rows of buildings which partially shield the site (building rows)

Not used for this project:

- Road locations that are elevated (structure roadways)
- Coordinates and height of areas covered with thick evergreen forest (tree zones)
- Coordinates and ground material in selected locations (ground zones)

4.2 TNM validation

The traffic volumes and speeds presented in Table 2 were input into TNM. This TNM run is called the validation run. Following is a list of included parameters:

- The traffic volumes and speeds presented in Table 2 were used, although the speed for traffic within the circles was 18 mph.
- Traffic leaving the circles was assumed to accelerate from 18 mph up to either 28 or 33 mph depending on the direction.
- Each direction of travel of Clarksburg Road was modeled as an individual road in TNM. The locations and elevations of selected points along the road, and the width of the road, were taken from a combination of the concept grading plan and Google Earth.
- Per FHWA guidance, road pavement was modeled as "Average."
- Old Clarksburg Road was included as a road in TNM without traffic to define the ground elevation and include the ground type.
- A terrain line was added just to the southeast of Old Clarksburg Road to model the change in elevation.
- Barriers were included representing the 3 existing houses and barn on the site.
- The default ground type was lawn.

The output sound levels were then compared to the sound levels measured during the traffic counts. Table 3 presents this comparison, disregarding sound levels measured during the following anomalous events:

- For both locations M1 and M2 at 10:49, 10:50, and 10:53 am on Wednesday when there were loud banging noises on the farm (and audio files created to identify the sounds)
- For location M1 at 2:00 and 2:01 pm on Friday when there were loud birds near the meter (and audio files created to identify the sounds)

	M1	M2
Measured During Wednesday Traffic Counts	48.9	49.3
TNM for Wednesday Traffic Counts	45.9	49.5
TNM Minus Measured for Wednesday Traffic Counts	-3.0	0.2
Measured During Friday Traffic Counts	48.9	48.4
TNM for Friday Traffic Counts	48.6	51.8
TNM Minus Measured for Friday Traffic Counts	-0.3	3.4

Table 3. Comparison of TNM Validation Run Output and Measured Sound Levels, dB

It can be seen from Table 3 that TNM produced sound levels between 3.0 dB lower than and 3.4 dB greater than were measured. The accepted level of accuracy of TNM is +/-3 dB. The lower bound is within this range, while the upper bound is a bit over this range. This means that, if anything, TNM is yielding somewhat excessive sound levels.

4.3 Future traffic conditions

The following traffic information was obtained from a consultant for the Maryland Department of Transportation (MDOT):

- It is expected that the Old Clarksburg Road volume would have shifted to the newly completed Clarksburg Road.
- The Average Daily Traffic (ADT) volume on MD 121 was 12,000 in 2017 and will be 25,100 in 2040.
- The K Factor was 8.86% with a direction split of 51.92% northbound during the peak-hour.
- MDOT provided 2017 48-hour classified traffic counts for Old Clarksburg Road. The peakhour was 3-4 pm. During this hour, traffic included 3.22% medium trucks, 2.25% heavy trucks, 0.44% buses, and 0.27% motorcycles.

We applied the peak-hour and direction factors to the 2040 ADT of 25,100 to yield peak-hour volumes of 1,155 northbound and 1,069 southbound. We then applied the 2017 vehicle type classifications. The resulting forecast traffic volumes are presented in Table 4. It can be seen from Tables 2 and 4 that the forecast traffic volumes are much higher than those observed during the site visits. We used the same speeds as for the validation run of 33 mph SB and 28 mph NB on Clarksburg Road, with 18 mph within the circles.

Lanes	Autos	Medium	Heavy	Buses	Motor-	Prevailing
		Trucks	Trucks		cycles	Speed (mph)
Clarksburg SB	1,003	34	24	5	3	33
Clarksburg NB	1,083	37	26	5	3	28

Table 4. Year 2040 Loudest-Hour Traffic Volumes

4.4 Future traffic noise modeling

TNM was run using the traffic volumes and speeds presented in Table 4. All parameters from the validation runs were retained, with the following changes:

- Traffic volumes in Table 4 were used
- Building rows representing the proposed single-family houses were added
- Barriers representing the proposed townhouses were added
- Receivers were located at proposed residences and elsewhere on the site, five feet high generally on the site and 19 feet high at the single-family houses and 30 feet at the townhouses to represent noise levels at upper floor windows
- The proposed road into the site was added without traffic to define the ground elevation

4.5 Future outdoor traffic noise levels

It can be seen from Table 2 that the DNL at location M2 was 0.9 dB greater than the loudest-hour average sound level for May 20, 2021. The future loudest-hour average sound levels were output from TNM. We assumed that in the year 2040 the DNL would be approximately 1 dB greater than the loudest-hour average sound level. This assumption is equivalent to assuming that the percentage of traffic traveling on Clarksburg Road at night (between 10 p.m. and 7 a.m.) in the future would match the percentage that occurred during the noise monitoring period.

The resulting year 2040 DNL are presented in Figures 6 and 7. It can be seen from Figure 6 that the DNL at a height of 5 feet will be 55 dB or lower in rear yards and other recreation areas (which is well lower than the county goal of 60 dB). No outdoor noise mitigation measures (e.g., noise walls or berms) are required.



Figure 6. Year 2040 DNL, dB, Five Feet High



Figure 7. Year 2040 DNL, dB, at Facades of Residences on Top Floor

It can be seen from Figure 7 that the DNL at the top floor (which is always the loudest floor at long distances from a road such as these) will be 51.9 dB or lower at most houses, 59.5 dB at lot 49, and 62.3 dB at lot 48. Standard building construction can reduce outdoor noise levels approximately 19 to 25 dB. This means the indoor DNL due to traffic will be 37 to 43 dB at the loudest house (i.e., lot 48). Clearly, the indoor DNL due to traffic will be far below the county goal of 45 dB. No architectural noise mitigation measures are required.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 703/534-2790 or via e-mail at Gary@HushAcoustics.com.

Sincerely,

Farry Ehlin

Gary Ehrlich, P.E. Principal

