

Item 9 - Correspondence

From: [Richard Thoms](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Cc: [Caroline Taylor](#); [Findlay Steven](#)
Subject: Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - Continuation of Public Hearing from 9/5/"24 January 9, 2025
Date: Friday, January 3, 2025 5:26:34 PM
Attachments: [Solar Response and testimony letter - PSC.pdf](#)

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Mandatory Referral #MR2024016 **Proposal to construct a 4 MW Solar Collection system**

Please submit this for testimony in the January 9, 2025 Hearing

I have enclosed testimony that was submitted to the PSC regarding this development proposal, for information addressing the technical difficulties with this proposal.

In this hearing, I would like to express my opposition to the proposal for the following additional reasons:

1. This proposal is clearly a “zoning busting” effort that will completely usurp the ability of Montgomery County to control land development within its own area, and specifically within the Agricultural Reserve.
2. The county and multiple stakeholders developed zoning text that represents a compromise to address responsibly all their objectives. This compromise protects the limited prime soils in the Reserve, yet allows farmers to construct solar arrays on sub-prime soils.
3. The property owners – the Bouchers – are not without alternatives. The conditions for zoning compliant solar development on their property can be readily met with a solar array of different design configuration.
4. The thought that this development will be compatible with co-existing agricultural activity is simply a pipe dream. As far as I am aware, there has been NO demonstrated successful co-location of this type solar array with agricultural activity in the Mid-Atlantic region. Instead of developing “beneficial pollinators” under these panels, infestations of invasive plants such as Bull Thistle, Johnson grass, MultiFlora Rose bush, Wineberry, and Mile-a-minute seem much more likely. To successfully achieve “agri-voltaic” operation, the panels need to be much higher off the ground to enable equipment operation, the structure much more substantial to accommodate grazing, and a much more sophisticated rain water management system is required. In this proposal, the entity that will be responsible for operating the “farming” aspect of this operation has not been identified and their subcontract stipulating the methods for operation and maintenance of the agricultural operation has not been written and submitted.

This is not an emergency – there are abundant alternative methods of achieving the renewable energy goals of the county that comply with the zoning requirements. It is not necessary to

destroy productive farmland to get there, and it is certainly not necessary to overrule Montgomery county land use plans, including those of the nationally recognized Agricultural Reserve.

It is my understanding that the majority of the solar installations in Montgomery County are already located in the Agricultural reserve. Perhaps it is time we started to emphasize solar locations that are closer to the areas of the greatest electrical consumption as well as the locations that are appropriate for solar within the Ag Reserve.

Tiewyan Farms

Bev & Dick Thoms

21700 Big Woods Rd., Dickerson, Md. 20842
e-mail rwthoms2@icloud.com PHONE # 301-758-2575

Tuesday, July 9, 2024

Jamie Bergen
Maryland Public Service Commission
6 St. Paul Street – 16th Floor
Baltimore, MD 21202

Re: Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf I LLC Project **PSC Case #9726**

Bev & I have owned the property adjacent the Boucher farm – the proposed site of this utility scale solar installation - since 1973.

We do not oppose solar.

We have had 85 solar panels installed on our farm building rooftops since 2016, and plan to expand that system to generate up to 200% of our power requirements as soon as we have the cash available. On our 57 acre farm we have approximately 6 acres of pasture on class 4 soils that we would consider for ground level solar array to accomplish this if rooftop space is not sufficient. All of this would be in accordance with the compromise criteria established in the Montgomery County zoning text amendment (ZTA 20-01) for the Agricultural Reserve, a compromise developed in the “County Climate Working Group” convened by the County Executive including 62 local and state civic groups, and providing for the following in the Ag Reserve zone:

- Allows ground level Solar which previously not permitted.
- Sets up a conditional use process that considers:
 - Protection of water quality, forests, and farms to keep arrays off slopes and out of stream buffers
 - Allows solar on cropland with Class 3 and 4+ soils
 - Caps solar arrays at 1800 Ac in the Ag Reserve (4462 acres in the Ag Reserve qualify with these criteria)

We object to the proposed Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf LLC project as designed for the following reasons:

1. This project will remove or destroy fertile farmland from the available acreage surrounding the WDC Metropolitan area. As close-in farmland is destroyed, the food supply for the DC Region will need to be trucked in from greater distance, increasing the pollution and expense associated with transportation in bringing the food to market.
 - a. The loss of farmland will mean the loss of carbon capture associated with that farmland, loss of “Urban Heat Island” reduction that farmland provides, as well as ecosystem services such as clean air, clean water, and biodiversity.

ordinance. Providing sound enclosures and relocating the inverters to the NW side of the array should be required.

- b. Erosion and pollution of creek:** North and East of the array is a small creek that feeds onto our property and into the Little Monocacy River, which in turn, flows into the Potomac River. That creek, and the Little Monocacy River, are potential water sources for our livestock, and the Potomac is, of course, a primary drinking water source for much of Virginia, DC, and suburbs. Since the plans that we were provided don't label the topographic lines, I cannot determine the likely drainage route from this 10 acres of impervious solar panels. However, it appears that the water pouring off the solar panels will run off into the J&M Andrews farm on one side, and into the southeast corner of the "Conservation Easement forest" (ground which has been left untouched to regenerate as forest, a process that will take about 19 years according to Dr. Boucher). **The plans do not appear to show any form of retention pond or other means of collection to prevent erosion and to enable all of this water pouring from the impervious surface of the solar panels in a heavy rainstorm to reabsorb into the soil and the aquifer.** Further, we are concerned that such runoff might contain contaminants such as cadmium telluride from damaged panels or glyphosate from the weed control that will be required beneath the lower perimeter of the panels where it cannot be maintained by grazing or by mowing.

It should be pointed out that there have been no maintenance procedures or planting practices stipulated in this proposal, and the associated subcontractors have not been acquired and their maintenance procedures have not been required.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard & Beverly Thoms
21700 Big Woods Rd
Dickerson, MD 20842

References:

1. USDA Agriculture Census 2022
2. Yale e360 report published in *Nature* magazine October 2021
3. Montgomery County Clean Energy Working Group report 2019
4. Chaberton 6/20/2024 Community Meeting presentation and John Miller email dated 6/23
5. MCA presentation to MoCoAlliance.org

From: [Marc Imlay](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 10:31:06 AM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Marc Imlay
ialm@erols.com
2321 Woodberry Drive, Bryans Road, MD, USA 20616
Bryans Road, Maryland 20616

From: [nanci.wilkinson](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 10:32:04 AM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

nanci.wilkinson
nanciwilkinson@gmail.com
5502 Glenwood Rd
Bethesda, Maryland 20817

From: [Marney Bruce](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 10:32:38 AM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Marney Bruce
marneyb3@gmail.com
4541 Windsor Lane
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

From: [Scott Rockafellow](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 10:33:03 AM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Scott Rockafellow
scott@willowoak.biz
18015 Moore Rd
Dickerson, Maryland 20842

From: [Ann Connor](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 10:34:12 AM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Ann Connor
conrfam@aol.com
17325 Soper St
Poolesville, Maryland 20837

From: [Megan Barbour](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 10:34:41 AM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

The county set aside the Ag Reserve for a reason, yet it seems that it is now constantly trying to destroy it. Put solar panels on the roofs of county owned buildings in the CBD's or ontop of parking garages!! Please leave the Ag Reserve alone!!!!!!

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Megan Barbour
half_shekel@yahoo.com
Barnesville Rd
Barnesville, Maryland 20838

From: [Barry Ridgway](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 10:42:58 AM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Barry Ridgway
barryridgway@hotmail.com
14430 Sugarland Lane
Poolesville, Maryland 20837

From: [Robert Goldberg](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 10:48:27 AM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Robert Goldberg
goldbergrn@gmail.com
21404 Davis Mill Road
Germantown, Maryland 20876-4422

From: [Claire Wolfe](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 10:55:36 AM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to ask you to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report explains that the project does not meet the county's policies to balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve. This includes the protection of prime soils that are a finite resource in the reserve.

Please understand, I am not against solar development. And I understand that solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. However, the rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to save areas with prime soil for farmers. This is the only land in the county set aside for farming and farming must not be forgotten in the aim to gather more revenue for the state.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

I urge you to uphold the master plan and commit to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Thank you for your time.

Claire Wolfe
c_wolfe2003@yahoo.com
14305 Long Channel Drive
Germantown, Maryland 20874

From: [Catherine Lemp](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9th Item #9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 10:57:38 AM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

Greetings! As a voter and longtime Montgomery County resident, I'm writing to support the MoCo Planning Board's staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

As the staff report clearly lays out, the Chaberton Sugarloaf project does not meet current county policies intended to carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve, particularly the protection of prime soils, which are a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. I believe that solar and farming can coexist, but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 to allow solar on the Ag Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a whole new generation of diverse producers out of the only remaining land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of extensive stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, and several more approved projects have been paused by the grid operator, which is unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing, and more projects are being proposed under MoCo's conditional use process.

Please accept my sincere thanks for demonstrating your commitment to the Agricultural Reserve by recommending denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf project's mandatory referral plan - and for clearly communicating this commitment to Maryland's Public Service Commission as they weigh this proposal and others.

Catherine Lemp
cathylemp@gmail.com
18407 Jerusalem Church Road
Poolesville , Maryland 20837

From: [Thaomas Kennedy](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 11:05:49 AM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for DENIAL of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

In short, almost any and, in fact, every plan for such a solar project in Maryland and, in particular, Montgomery County, are, at their best, myopic. In the balance of things, such solar farms rob our region from decades of natural and more realistic ecological production and stability, sacrificing that to line the pockets of sympathetic (if not greedy) individuals who, after the short life of such solar farms has expired, have left the same to rot and rust in place, since none of the structure can be recycled or reused. The cost to remove such solar farms would be prohibitive.

Besides that, the staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils AND waterways - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

AS AN ALTERNATIVE to giving away precious lands, the State, County and developers ought to be considering ways to erect their solar farms gridded atop of existing high-rise buildings and other structures throughout cities of Montgomery County and the State!

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Thaomas Kennedy

TomKennedy@OzRealtors.com
16712 Alexander Manor Drive
Sandy Spring-, Maryland 20905

From: [James Zwiebel MD](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 11:21:44 AM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

James Zwiebel MD
zwiebelj@verizon.net
6317 Kenhowe Drive
Bethesda, Maryland 20817

From: [Daniel Chalk](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 11:22:56 AM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Daniel Chalk
daniel.m.chalk@gmail.com
14412 long channel circle
Germantown , Maryland 20874

From: [Jeff Sypeck](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 11:23:30 AM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

"Solar farms" clearly are not "farms," any more than a strip mall would be a "retail farm." The Ag Reserve exists for farming, not exploitation by energy firms.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Jeff Sypeck
jeffsypeck@gmail.com
19800 Lyndenwood Ave
Beallsville, Maryland 20839

From: [Sheila Blum](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 11:24:06 AM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Sheila Blum
sheilablum2@gmail.com
6904 Ridgewood Ave.
Chevy Chase, Maryland 20815

From: [Robert Atack](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 11:35:09 AM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Lastly, once the flood gates are opened, there is little hope in closing them. Please do not allow this.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Robert Atack
robatack@gmail.com
17520 W Willard Rd.
Poolesville, Maryland 20837

From: [Christa Boroskin](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 11:41:58 AM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Christa Boroskin
cbbacesj@outlook.com
26313 Forest Vista Dr
Clarksburg, Maryland 20871

From: [Charles Alexander](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 11:45:59 AM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

It's distressing enough to hear that less than prime soil is being allowed to site solar panels. As a person from a farming family (on mothers side) I know substandard soils can be converted to grazing land, as a site for outbuildings, etc. Now that prime soil's are under attack, it cuts even deeper. What is the reserve for anyway - an agreement to be modified or a generations long commitment to a farming way of life ??? Let's use the agricultural reserve for the purpose it was established for.

Charles Alexander
ch_a_alex@hotmail.com
10308 M Malcolm Circle
Cockeysville, Maryland 21030

From: [Lawrence Cain](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016 - Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 11:55:15 AM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

Dear Mr Harris,

I ask that you and the Planning Board support the staff recommendation that the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan be denied.

The staff report speaks for itself and highlights that this project does not meet the county's policies with respect to both solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve. Prime farming soils are not being protected by this project

Rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain were the result of significant collaboration and are critical to protecting the Ag Reserve for farming - it's intended purpose.

Please continue commitment to the master plan and the Agricultural Reserve. Please this project and future proposals that conflict with the ZTA and the Master Plan.

Thank you.

Lawrence Cain
larrycainjr@gmail.com
15801 River Rd
Darnestown, Maryland 20874

From: [Sarah Demarest](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 11:55:47 AM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan. It is imperative that farmers can use the prime soils in the Ag Reserve, and this further supports habitat for local wildlife, including resident and migratory bird species that favor fields and grasslands.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Sarah Demarest
bealesarah@gmail.com
7102 Meadow Lane
Chevy Chase, Maryland 20815

From: [Colleen Davies](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 12:08:25 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Colleen Davies
csd Davies5@gmail.com
24403 Hipsley Mill Rd
Gaithersburg, Maryland 20882

From: [James Logie](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 12:11:07 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

James Logie
jamielogie@gmail.com
6414 Ridge Drive
Bethesda, Maryland 20816

From: [Michael Holdahl](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 12:16:49 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

Answer this question. What do you do with the metal and plastic (garbage) solar panels once they become non-functional or obsolete?

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Stop messing with our land.

Michael Holdahl
mcholdahl@gmail.com
23800 Peach Tree Road
Clarksburg, Maryland 20871

From: [Steven Kurimchak](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 12:20:48 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Steven Kurimchak
skurimchak@gmail.com
12930 Prices Distillery Rd
Clarksburg, Maryland 20871

From: [Maryann Brondi](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 12:27:25 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Maryann Brondi
maryann_brondi@hotmail.com
8605 Hempstead Ave
Bethesda, Maryland 20817

From: jane.thompson
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 12:37:25 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

there are so many government and commercial buildings that could have solar on them - why not start there rather than good quality soil needed for an ever growing population? also there are lots of parking lots where solar could be put. cars parking under them are shaded in the summer, as well as shading the asphalt in general to reduce the heat.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

jane thompson
jayteehike@gmail.com
22621 west harris rd
dickerson, Maryland 20842

From: [Stacey Schacter](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 12:39:09 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Stacey Schacter
Staceyjschacter@gmail.com
7605 Meadow Lane
Chevy Chase, Maryland 20815

From: [glenn_marshall](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: nix solar
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 12:56:38 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Keep multiple solar panels off our good farmland. Please

Glenn Marshall
Frederick, MD

From: [glenn_marshall](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: Re: Automatic reply: nix solar
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 1:04:55 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Re my e mail

Glenn Marshall

My address is 5601 Avonshire place apt B
Frederick,MD 21703

On Sun, Jan 5, 2025 at 12:56 PM MCP-Chair <mcp-chair@mncppc-mc.org> wrote:

Thank you for contacting the Planning Board Chair's Office. This confirms receipt of your message for distribution to appropriate staff to review. If you have submitted an inquiry, we will respond in a timely manner. You may also leave a voice message at (301) 495-4605 and a staff member will return your call.

If you have submitted written testimony for a Planning Board item, please be sure to include your mailing address to satisfy proper noticing requirements. If this was not already included, please reply to this email with that information. Written testimony submitted before the deadline of 12pm, two business days before the scheduled Planning Board meeting, will be distributed to the Board and staff and included in the public record. Written testimony received after the deadline will only be distributed to staff to review.

For more information about the Chair's Office, please visit:
<https://montgomeryplanningboard.org/>

From: [John Wehrle](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 1:26:21 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others

In addition to the letter above that I completely agree with, let me say that the Ag Reserve is a finite resource so that covering prime farm land with solar panels destroys that resource. The same goes for Data Centers using water resources that are essential for agriculture.

John Wehrle
johnwehrle3@gmail.com
8200 Rocky Road
Laytonsville , Maryland 20882

From: [Maureen Jais-Mick](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 1:28:41 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

It seems to me that we are constantly having to defend the purposes of the Agricultural Reserve. I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Maureen Jais-Mick
jaismick1@verizon.net
7-17 Exfair Road
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

From: [Carl Gottlieb](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 1:49:32 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Carl Gottlieb
cgottlieb50@gmail.com
3309 Ashmore Court
Olney, Maryland 20832

From: [Christine Rai](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 1:58:08 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Christine Rai
sunny_rai@verizon.net
17320 Whitaker Rd
Poolesville, Maryland 20837

From: [Nancy Katherine Deshler Gould](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 2:03:11 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I have lived in Montgomery County since 2009, and before that from 1985-2007. My husband and I own a condo in King Farm and appreciate careful development as well as preservation of our agricultural heritage and the importance of local production of food. We are active in our community garden. We recognize and value the job you do and the difficult decisions you have to make.

Please vote to deny the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

I understand staff recommended denial as the project does not meet the county's policies that balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve. It is important to protect prime soils. We are already losing so much land to development and our agricultural reserve is a precious resource.

We support solar development. Solar is an important factor to address climate change but the rules set up under ZTA 20-01 must be honored.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Sincerely,

Nancy Katherine Deshler Gould
nkdgould@gmail.com
301-254-5849
802 Grand Champion Drive
Apartment 401
Rockville, MD 20850

Nancy Katherine Deshler Gould
nkdgould@gmail.com
802 Grand Champion Drive, Suite 401
Rockville, Maryland 20850

From: [Erika Bucciantini](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 2:04:11 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

Montgomery County's Ag Reserve is a special place.

Developers are drooling over prime farm lands which they hope to develop for cheap this close to VA and DC.

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - an incredibly finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement--including residents like myself. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Please stand firm against big developers in favor of community-led action.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal. Please transmit this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Erika Bucciantini
erikabucciantini@gmail.com
22014 Dickerson Rd
Dickerson , Maryland 20842

From: [Anne Ambler](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 2:21:09 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I fully support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

Montgomery County went to great pains to create a policy that would enable solar in the Agricultural Reserve where prime farming lands were not sacrificed. The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not comply with those policies carefully balancing solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Anne Ambler
anambler@gmail.com
12505 Kuhl Road
Silver Spring, Maryland 20902-1443

From: [Leslie Hubbell](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 2:37:43 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Although this is a form letter, I agree with what is in it. I don't understand why the rules can constantly be challenged by people who are trying to enrich themselves at the expense of those who live here. Governments ought to respect what has been agreed upon. I do hope the Planning Board will stand fast and prevent all the solar money-grubbing just because there is a little open land left in Maryland that might enrich someone at the moment.

I do not oppose solar. My family has already committed to it and we have an array on our roof in Poolesville (in the Ag Reserve) to prove it! I just hope we can keep the solar industry from pushing aside other major human concerns, like the production of food.

Leslie Hubbell
hubbell757@gmail.com
17030 Hughes Road

Poolesville, Maryland 20837

From: [Linda Bergofsky](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 2:53:29 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Linda Bergofsky
bergo72@hotmail.com
17317 Hughes Road
Poolesville, Maryland 20837

From: elisabeth.l.fidler@gmail.com
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 3:33:10 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

elisabeth.l.fidler@gmail.com
6106 Wilson Ln
Bethesda, 20817

From: [Maris Miles](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 3:58:19 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others. This matters very much to me as once prime farming land is lost it is very hard if to impossible to recover

Maris Miles
glennandmaris@gmail.com
20 Barley Field Court
Dickerson, Maryland 20842

From: [Suzanne Piner](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 4:54:45 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan. to reiterate, I support DENIAL of solar projects in the Ag reserve. Leave alone the gem of Montgomery County.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Suzanne Piner
suzanne.piner@hotmail.com
Hughes Rd
Poolesville, Maryland 20837

From: [Linda Sumonson](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 5:26:38 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Linda Sumonson
ljsimonson11@gmail.com
12107 mackell ln
Bowie, Maryland 20715

From: [Anne Sturm](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 5:59:56 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

Please listen to your Planning Staff and deny the Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

Farmers, and organizations like the Sugarloaf Citizens Association, and MCA worked hard with the planning staff to come up with a compromise that protected the Agriculture Reserve while allowing solar on poor soil. The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

If you allow such development, it will be the end of the Agriculture Reserve as Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others. Your hard work is much appreciated.

Anne Sturm
annets1@aol.com
P.O. Box 341, 22120 Beallsville RD
Barnesville, Maryland 20838

From: [Margie Shultz](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 6:21:10 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming. Let's stop this, use farm land to continue to feed people

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Please uphold the master plan and commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others. Deny this proposal!

Margie Shultz
margie.shultz@gmail.com
20614 Big Woods Road
Dickerson, Maryland 20842

From: [Josh Goldman](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 6:28:15 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I am under 30, am advocate of renewable energy, and a supporter of wise solar siting.

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan. This is a matter of significance.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Josh Goldman
joshjgman@gmail.com
15711 Hughes Road
Poolesville, Maryland 20837

From: [Julia Wight](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 6:34:47 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Julia Wight
jwight00@gmail.com
20242 McNamara rd
Poolesville, Maryland 20837

From: [Velvet Beard](#)
To: [MCP-Chair](#)
Subject: January 9, 2025 Item 9 Chaberton Solar Sugarloaf - Mandatory Referral Plan - MR2024016
Date: Sunday, January 5, 2025 6:53:37 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Exercise caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding.

Planning Chair Artie Harris,

I'm writing to support the planning staff recommendation for denial of the Chaberton Sugarloaf solar project mandatory referral plan.

The staff report clearly lays out that the project does not meet the county's policies that carefully balance solar and farming in the Agricultural Reserve - notably protection of prime soils - a finite resource.

Solar developers are paying up to 20 times what farmers pay to lease land in the Ag Reserve. Solar and farming can coexist but the careful rules set up under ZTA 20-01 that allowed solar in the Reserve must remain in place to avoid locking a new generation of diverse producers out of the only land in the county set aside for farming.

ZTA 20-01 was the result of lengthy stakeholder engagement. Community solar arrays approved under the ZTA are being built on less-than-prime soils, several more approved projects have been paused in line by the grid operator, unable to process more requests at the speed they are coming in. This backlog is slowly clearing and more projects are being proposed under the county conditional use process.

Thank you for upholding the master plan and a commitment to the Ag Reserve with a denial of this proposal - and transmitting this commitment to the PSC as they weigh this proposal and others.

Velvet Beard
velvetvsb2016@gmail.com
19407 umstead Ct
Poolesville, Maryland 20837

